**Germany’s elephant in the room.**

* **Co2 in Germany since nuclear switched off**
* **CO2 per kWh of different energy sources**
* **UK biggest absolute reduction in Co2** <http://www.world-nuclear-news.org/EE-Anti-nuclear-Germany-is-Europes-biggest-GHG-emitter-13111701.html>
* **ElectricityMap.org**
* **Grid watch (UK and France)**

Beware the headlines. That’s nothing new, of course. You may have heard that Germany is in the midst of a great *Energiewende*, or energy transition. The highly-publicised plan would have Germany reduce greenhouse gas emissions by 80-95% of 1990 levels and produce 60% of its electricity from renewable energy sources by the year 2050 [1]. An honourable ambition! I hear you cry. Honourable indeed, but behind the glossy PR there lurks a dirty secret.

*[Disclaimer: I work in the nuclear industry! But fear not, in this article I am going to do something incredible: only rely on data ]*

Let your mind slip back to 2011. There was this *huge* earthquake off the coast of Japan [2]. Like, really huge. Likely, you’ll remember it as being the “Fukushima” earthquake, because of the damage to the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fukushima_Daiichi_nuclear_disaster>), and the media-coverage frenzy that followed. In Japan, the event is known as the 2011 Tōhoku (TOH-ho-ku) earthquake. It was the most powerful ever recorded in Japan, and the fourth most powerful earthquake in the world since modern record-keeping began in 1900 [3]. Sounds strong but, if you’re like me, you’ll prefer an absolute over a relative number. I recommend reading the first few sections of the Wikipedia entry (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/2011\_T%C5%8Dhoku\_earthquake\_and\_tsunami) yourself (yeah, I use Wikipedia, and so should you – more on that in a future blog post!), but here I have reproduced some of the most interesting figures, with the caveat that many of them are from “media” sources, rather than scientific journals or official government statistics:

The force of the earthquake *moved* Honshu, the main island of Japan, 2.4m to the east [4]. The tsunami waves were 40.5m high [5], that’s the same as a thirteen story building. In places the waves travelled 10km inland [6]. Not impressed? Well, the effects of the earthquake were not limited to Japan. It is estimated that the earthquake *shifted the Earth on its axis by 10 to 25cm* [7]. Yep, you read that right. And if the Tōhoku earthquake seems to you like it happened only yesterday, maybe that’s because the quake *increased the Earth’s rotational speed by 1.8µs per day* [8]!

Whilst I cannot vouch for the accuracy of the above numbers, sadly we do know that the tremors and ensuing tsunami killed at least 15,984 [9] people, with many more missing, presumed dead. Over a *million* buildings were damaged or destroyed [10]. The World Bank's estimated economic cost was US$235 billion, making it the *costliest natural disaster in history*.[11][12]

I’m sorry if it feels like I’m labouring the point – and there is one coming, I promise – but it’s important to remember just how powerful the 2011 Tōhoku earthquake was before we get to what happened at the Fukushima Daiichi plant, and what happened halfway around the world in Germany in that months that followed.

I’m not going to give the blow-by-blow Fukushima story – you can read the Diet (parliament) of Japan’s produced an official report here (<http://large.stanford.edu/courses/2013/ph241/mori1/docs/NAIIC_report_hi_res10.pdf>). The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) report is probably the most authoritative and informative, and anything I discuss below related to the accident is taken from their work. If you don’t have hours to burn, the Encyclopaedia Britannica (yes, they do still exist) summarised the incident succinctly here (<https://www.britannica.com/event/Fukushima-accident>).

Contrary to what you may assume, there is no evidence of damage to the main safety systems of the Fukushima Daiichi plants (there were three reactors involved) from the earthquake itself (i.e. from ground vibrations). The problem lay in the plant operators’ underestimation of the likelihood of large tsunamis and the damage they might cause. Tsunami flooding caused the Fukushima plants to lose electricity supply to vital systems required to cool nuclear fuel that remains hot (due to radioactive decay products https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Decay\_heat) even after the reactor has been shut down.

, and tsunami damaged We all know that stuff went wrong, and radioactive material was released to the environment

Websites such as fukuleaks (<http://www.fukuleaks.org/web/?p=13038>) have sprung up that are part pressure group, part conspiracy wackos. I’m all for holding governments and business to account, but why not do that by providing google-able information about the radiation dose to humans from the Fukushima accident, instead of wilfully omitting it (<http://www.fukuleaks.org/web/?page_id=13790>).

(PAHs) are known to be carcinogenic and toxic [13]

How many died from imposing the exclusion zone over those that would have died from cancer.

but there is an elephant in the room, and the elephant happens to spew out *massive* quantities of sooty, sulphurous, carcinogenic pollution.
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