

## COLT— Exercise Sheet 1

**Exercise 1.** Generalize the algorithm for rectangle learning to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and prove that the class of axis-aligned hyperrectangles in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is efficiently PAC-learnable.

**Exercise 2.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  and the concept class  $\mathcal{C}_c$  consisting of circles centered at  $(0, 0)$ , i.e., sets of the form  $\mathbf{c}_r = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq r\}$ . Show that this class is efficiently PAC-learnable and that the sample bound is  $m \geq \frac{1}{\epsilon} \log(\frac{1}{\delta})$ .

**Exercise 3.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_I$  be the concept class of closed intervals for the form  $[a, b]$ , where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\mathcal{C}_I$  is efficiently PAC-learnable.

**Exercise 4.** Consider  $X = \mathbb{R}$  and  $p > 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_p^I$  be the class of unions of  $p$  (possibly overlapping) intervals  $[a_1, b_1] \cup \dots \cup [a_p, b_p]$ . Show that this class is efficiently PAC-learnable. Derive a formula depending on  $\epsilon, \delta$  and  $p$  for the number of samples  $m$  required to learn a concept from  $\mathcal{C}_p^I$ .

**Exercise 5.** Consider  $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{0, 1\}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_B$  be the class of Boolean functions  $\mathbf{c}: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}^*$ . Show that there is no efficient PAC-learning algorithm for  $\mathcal{C}_B$ .

**Exercise 6.** Consider  $X = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \{0, 1\}^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_B$  be a subclass of all Boolean functions  $\mathbf{c}: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ . We pick some binary representation of Boolean functions and define  $\text{size}(\mathbf{c})$  as the minimal representation size of the function  $\mathbf{c}$ .

Assume that there is an efficient PAC-learning algorithm for  $\mathcal{C}$ , which additionally takes  $\text{size}(c)$  as input. Show that there is also an efficient PAC-learning algorithm for  $\mathcal{C}$ , which does not have access to  $\text{size}(c)$ .

**Exercise 7.** Consider the following modification of the PAC framework, called the **two-oracle** variant. For every concept  $\mathbf{c}$ , we consider two arbitrary probability distributions  $D_+$  and  $D_-$  over respectively positive and negative samples classified by  $\mathbf{c}$ . The learning algorithm has access to two random oracles: (a) the positive oracle, which returns positive (for  $\mathbf{c}$ ) examples drawn randomly according to the distribution  $D_+$ , and (b) the negative oracle, which returns negative examples drawn according to  $D_-$ . We require that the learning algorithm invoked with parameters  $\epsilon, \delta$  returns a hypothesis  $\mathbf{h}$  such that with probability at least  $1 - \delta$  we have: (1)  $\mathbb{P}_{x \sim D_+}(\{x \mid \mathbf{h}(x) = 0\}) \leq \epsilon$  (false negatives), and (2)  $\mathbb{P}_{x \sim D_-}(\{x \mid \mathbf{h}(x) = 1\}) \leq \epsilon$  (false positives).

Let  $h_0$  (resp.,  $h_1$ ) be the function that labels every example with 0 (resp., 1). Show that  $\mathcal{C}$  is efficiently PAC-learnable using  $\mathcal{H}$  in the original model if and only if  $\mathcal{C}$  is efficiently PAC-learnable using  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{h_0, h_1\}$  in the two-oracle model.