

國立陽明交通大學
資訊科學與工程研究所
碩士論文

Institute of Computer Science and Engineering
National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University
Master Thesis

可逆抽象機終止條件與其形式化證明

Formal Proof of Termination for Reversible Abstract Machines

研究生：蔡文龍 (Tsai, Wen-Lung)
指導教授：陳穎平 (Chen, Ying-Ping)

中華民國 一一三年四月
April 2024

可逆抽象機終止條件與其形式化證明
Formal Proof of Termination for Reversible Abstract Machines

研 究 生：蔡文龍
指 導 教 授：陳穎平

Student: Tsai, Wen-Lung
Advisor: Dr. Chen, Ying-Ping

國立陽明交通大學
資訊科學與工程研究所
碩士論文

A Thesis
Submitted to Institute of Computer Science and Engineering
College of Science
National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University
in partial Fulfilment of the Requirements
for the Degree of
Master
in
Science

April 2024

Taiwan, Republic of China

中華民國 一一三年四月

Acknowledgement

TODO: 致謝辭

可逆抽象機終止條件與其形式化證明

學生：蔡文龍

指導教授：陳穎平 博士

國立陽明交通大學 資訊科學與工程研究所

摘 要

在 CHAO-HONG CHEN 的研究中，對緊湊封閉類別的以「反轉時間」和「反轉空間」的解釋，將其形式化應用於可逆 SAT 求解器的實作。其中，對可逆抽象機的終止證明技術顯得尤其重要。在該研究中，有兩項定理提到了終止證明，但僅使用了部分形式化的概念證明。

我們的研究具體化了這一問題，提出了一個假設：對於可逆抽象機，如果給定初始狀態，其可抵達的狀態是有限的，那麼該初始狀態經由可逆抽象機的推移將會在有限的步數內終止。我們首先針對這一假設提出了狹義的證明，即將限制條件設為「可逆抽象機的所有狀態個數為有限的」。接著，我們移除了這一限制，完成了更廣義的證明。

陽明交大
NYCU

關鍵詞: 可逆抽象機、終止證明、Agda

陽明交大
NYCU

Formal Proof of Termination for Reversible Abstract Machines

Student: Tsai, Wen-Lung

Advisor: Dr. Chen, Ying-Ping

Institute of Computer Science and Engineering
National Yang Ming Chiao Tung University

Abstract

In the research conducted by CHAO-HONG CHEN, the interpretation of compact closed categories in terms of "reversing time" and "reversing space" was formalized and applied to the implementation of reversible SAT solvers. Among these, the technique for proving termination of reversible abstract machines stands out as particularly important. In this study, two theorems are mentioned regarding termination proofs, but only partial formalization of concepts was utilized.

Our research delved into this issue specifically. We proposed a hypothesis: for reversible abstract machines, if the reachable states from a given initial state are finite, then the initial state will terminate within a finite number of steps through transitions of the reversible abstract machine. We first presented a narrow proof based on this hypothesis, with the restriction that "the total number of states of the reversible abstract machine is finite." Subsequently, we removed this restriction and completed a more generalized proof.

Keyword: Abstract Machines, Termination Proofs, Agda

陽明交大
NYCU

Contents

陽明交大
NYCU

List of Figures

陽明交大
NYCU

List of Tables

陽明交大
NYCU

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Motivation

In CHAO-HONG CHEN’s study [1], three significant contributions are meticulously elaborated. Initially, the research formalizes the concepts of ”reversing time” and ”reversing space,” showcased through the compact closed categories $(N, +, 0)$ and $(N, *, 1)$ respectively; secondly, it further implements a reversible SAT solver, proving the feasibility of high-level control abstractions described in the first contribution within reversible programming languages; the third contribution focuses on proving the termination conditions for a large class of reversible abstract machines.

Specifically, the paper presents two combinatorial proofs in Chapters 5 and 7, regarding the property that a class of reversible abstract machines will inevitably terminate for initial states with a finite number of reachable states. This proof, although intuitively obvious —that is, on a path of unique states, the number of reachable states decreases as the state progresses, until no further states can be reached, or a termination state is achieved. However, the original paper only partially formalized this proof, failing to fully substantiate this concept.

Our contribution starts from constructing a similar class of reversible abstract machines. Begin with a given initial state, demonstrating that when all possible states of a reversible abstract machine are finite, it will ultimately reach a termination state. Subsequently, we modified the constraints to align with the objective of ”finite reachable states” mentioned in the original paper, thereby accomplishing a more generalized proof.

This paper is accompanied by approximately 400 lines of Agda code, serving to complement the proofs of the two theorems mentioned in the original paper as being incomplete.

1.2 Research Objectives

1.3 Road Map

Chapter ?? introduces thesis.cls document class. Chapter ?? introduces section ordering. Chapter ?? and ?? explain how to load citation, figures and tables (not completed).

陽明交大
NYCU

Chapter 2

Background Knowledge

In this chapter, we introduce the target language used in the research: Agda. As a dependently typed functional programming language, we provide a foundational explanation of its usage.

2.1 Agda

Agda is a dependently typed functional programming language. Writing in the Agda language is akin to organizing a mathematical proof. It begins with self-evident datatypes, proposes assumptions to be proven, and provides detailed evidence for their validity thereafter. The following is an example of a datatype concerning natural numbers.

```
data  $\mathbb{N}$  : Set where
  zero  :  $\mathbb{N}$ 
  suc   :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ 
```

This definition captures the first two axioms corresponding to Peano's axioms, and the other axioms within Peano's axioms can also be easily proven. The function `suc` can be considered as a mapping operation. We can describe this operation in natural language as follows: "Given a natural number n , `suc(n)` is also a natural number." Such a function from \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{N} will be utilized in Chapter TODO, where it will constitute a critical part of the proof process.

And the following demonstrates two examples of the proof process:

```
axioms-3 :  $\forall [n] (\text{suc } n \equiv \text{zero})$ 
axioms-3 ()
```

Here, we take the third Peano axiom as an example, which is to prove that there does not exist a natural number such that $\text{suc}(n)$ is zero. In Agda, a proof of non-existence typically begins by assuming the existence of such an entity, and then deriving a contradiction (denoted as \perp). In this case, the proof process is merely an empty parenthesis. When Agda attempts to find n among the two possible kinds of natural numbers (zero and $\text{suc}(n)$), it immediately encounters an obvious contradiction. Therefore, it concludes that no further cases need to be proven.

```
axioms-5 :  $\forall \{f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{Set}\}$ 
   $\rightarrow f\ 0$ 
   $\rightarrow (\forall n \rightarrow f\ n \rightarrow f\ (\text{suc}\ n))$ 
   $\rightarrow (\forall n \rightarrow f\ n)$ 
```

This statement corresponds to the fifth Peano axiom. Agda uses the right arrow to sequentially assign the necessary conditions, with the conclusion appearing to the right of the last right arrow. The fifth Peano axiom describes that for any function f , if:

1. $f(0)$ holds true,
2. $f(n)$ being true implies that $f(\text{suc}(n))$ is also true

then for all natural numbers n , $f(n)$ holds true.

```
axioms-5 f0 fn-sucn zero = f0
axioms-5 f0 fn-sucn (suc n)
  with axioms-5 f0 fn-sucn n
... | fn = fn-sucn n fn
```

This illustrates the proof of the fifth Peano axiom. This straightforward proof highlights a few commonly used proof techniques in Agda:

1. **Pattern Matching on Variables:** The example splits the natural number n into two cases: zero and $\text{suc}\ n$, for separate discussion. This technique allows for detailed examination of different scenarios directly related to the structure of natural numbers.

2. Mathematical Induction on Natural Numbers: Theorems involving natural numbers often leverage induction. In Agda, we typically prove a case for n to infer the case for $\text{suc } n$. Agda ensures that there is a corresponding proof for the base case (usually zero), which acts as the termination condition for a comprehensive proof.
3. Simplifying Variables Using 'with': In the example, the proof for $f(n)$ is obtained using axiom-5, and it is named fn . This approach helps to avoid verbose variable expressions, streamlining the proof.

2.2 Reversible Abstract Machine

The Reversible Abstract Machine, abbreviated as RevMachine, is defined as follows.

field

State : Set ℓ

$_ \mapsto _ : \text{Rel State } \ell$

deterministic : $\forall \{st\ st_1\ st_2\} \rightarrow st \mapsto st_1 \rightarrow st \mapsto st_2 \rightarrow st_1 \equiv st_2$

deterministic_{rev} : $\forall \{st\ st_1\ st_2\} \rightarrow st_1 \mapsto st \rightarrow st_2 \mapsto st \rightarrow st_1 \equiv st_2$

"State" is the set of all states.

" \mapsto " is used to record state transitions, for example, $st_0 \mapsto st_1$ indicates that st_0 transitions to st_1 .

"Deterministic" refers to forward determinism, meaning that identical states will transition to the same next state.

"deterministic_{rev}", on the other hand, is the opposite of "deterministic", indicating that for each state, all possible transitions to it are the same.

Based on the definitions above, given a RevMachine and one of its states, we can determine an invariant trace composed of states.

Chapter 3

Narrow Reversible Termination

3.1 Formulate Statement

Before we can construct the Termination Statement, it is necessary to define certain relationships between states.

is-initial : **State** \rightarrow **Set**
is-initial $st = \nexists [st'] (st' \mapsto st)$

The is-initial code segment provides a proof that a given state has no preceding states.

is-stuck : **State** \rightarrow **Set**
is-stuck $st = \nexists [st'] (st \mapsto st')$

The is-stuck code segment offers a proof that a given state has no succeeding states.

data $_ \mapsto^* _ : \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} (\mathbf{suc} \ell)$ **where**
 $\mathcal{H} : \{st : \mathbf{State}\} \rightarrow st \mapsto^* st$
 $_ :: _ : \{st_1 st_2 st_3 : \mathbf{State}\} \rightarrow st_1 \mapsto st_2 \rightarrow st_2 \mapsto^* st_3 \rightarrow st_1 \mapsto^* st_3$

The \mapsto^* symbol code segment establishes a trace between two specified states, demonstrating that one state can be reached from the other through a finite number of transitions.

data $_ \mapsto [_] : \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{State} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set} (\mathbf{L.suc} \ell)$ **where**
 $\mathcal{H} : \forall \{st\} \rightarrow st \mapsto [0] st$
 $_ :: _ : \forall \{st_1 st_2 st_3 n\} \rightarrow st_1 \mapsto st_2 \rightarrow st_2 \mapsto [n] st_3 \rightarrow st_1 \mapsto [\mathbf{suc} n] st_3$

Similar to the \mapsto^* code, the $\mapsto[n]$ segment specifies a definite trace length n , establishing a fixed number of transitions from one state to another.

First of all, we describe in natural language the proof content expected for narrow reversible termination: In a reversible machine m , if the number of states in the state set is finite, then each initial state should reach a stuck state after a finite number of transitions and terminate.

Here is the formal definition of a narrow reversible termination statement:

postulate

Finite-State-Termination : $\forall \{N \text{ } sto\}$
 $\rightarrow (\forall (st : \text{State}) \rightarrow \text{Dec} (\exists [st'] (st \mapsto st'))))$
 $\rightarrow \text{State } \text{Fin } N$
 $\rightarrow \text{is-initial } sto$
 $\rightarrow \exists [st_n] (sto \mapsto^* st_n \times \text{is-stuck } st_n)$

In the first two statements, we describe the necessary conditions for the termination of a reversible machine in narrow cases:

1. "For every state, the existence of a subsequent state is decidable." Imagine if the machine cannot determine whether a state can continue to progress; in such cases, the state would not be able to advance.
2. There is a bijection between the set of States and $\text{Fin } N$. This statement restricts the total number of states by establishing a correspondence with $\text{Fin } N$.

And in the former 2 statement, we 描述了 Termination 這件事本身：

Given an initial state, we can determine a reachable stuck state, ensuring that the machine will eventually terminate.

3.2 Informal Logic Proof

The proof of Narrow RevTermination using informal logic is straightforward and will serve as our guide for the formal proof:

1. Start from the initial state st_0 we know st_0 is reachable with 0 steps.
2. If st_0 cannot transition to another state, then st_0 is the target stuck state.
3. If st_0 can transition to st_1 we construct a trace from st_0 to st_1 denoted as $st_0 \mapsto^* st_1$ with length of 1.
4. Continue checking whether st_1 has a subsequent state to transition to until we find a stuck state or construct a trace $st_0 \mapsto^* st_n$ with length of n.
5. Upon reaching st_n it is confirmed that all states have been traversed. With no-repeating principle, we know it is impossible for st_n having next state.

. // TODO: 還可以簡化

陽明交大
NYCU

Chapter 4

Reference

Section ?? explains how to include citation. Section ?? explains how to use quote.

4.1 Citation

We use `\cite{}` to cite references. Besides, we need to use `~` to connect `\cite{}` and previous text.

For example (請看.tex 檔),

- Yang et al. [?] analyzed the strategy and tactics of Reinhard von Lohengramm blablabla.
- Yang and Fox divides the history of Free Planets into three eras [?].

Note that, when mentioning authors

- only 1 author: Yang [citation] ...
- just 2 authors: Yang and Fox [citation] ...
- more than 2 authors: Yang et al. [citation] ...

4.2 Quote

注意: 在 latex 中使用單/雙引號時要小心, 左邊的引號打法不同 (請看.tex 檔):

- ‘單引號’
- “單引號”

Chapter 5

Figures and Tables

關於怎麼使用圖和表格, 網路上都可以找到許多介紹. Google it. (其實是我累了, 不想寫了 XD)

5.1 Figures

這裡介紹如何載入一張圖片, 解釋請看 .tex 檔的註解吧! 此外, 我個人習慣是把所有的圖檔都放在一個資料夾下, 像是 *figures/*

注意: 在呼叫圖的標籤的時候, 請寫 `Figure~\ref{label}`. (請參考 .tex 檔看我是如何載入 Figure ?? 的吧).

5.2 Tables

我個人的習慣是把表格的內容放到另一個 .tex 內, 再把這些 .tex 檔放到另一個資料夾下 (e.g. *tables/*), 讓本文看起來不會那麼亂. 請參考 Table ?? 裡面的註解 (檔案位置 *tables/table-classopt.tex*), 看看要怎寫一個簡單的 table 吧.



Figure 5.1: The history of NCTU days back to 1896 ...

Appendix A

附錄標題

A.1 Testing

陽明交大
NYCU