STATS300B – Lecture 3

Julia Palacios Scribed by Michael Howes

01/11/22

Contents

I	Portmanteau theorem	1
2	Tightness	2
3	Convergence in L^p	3
4	Almost sure convergence	3
5	Standard implications	4

1 Portmanteau theorem

Last time we stated the Portmanteau theorem.

Theorem 1. Let X_n and X be a random vectors. The following are equivalent.

- 1. $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$.
- 2. $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for all bounded and continuous f.
- 3. $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for all Lipschitz f with $f(x) \in [0,1]$ for all x.
- 4. $\liminf_n \mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for all continuous non-negative f.
- 5. $\liminf_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in O) \ge \mathbb{P}(X \in O)$ for all open sets O.
- 6. $\limsup_{n} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$ for all closed sets C.
- 7. $\lim_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in B) = \mathbb{P}(X \in B)$ for all measurable sets B such that $\mathbb{P}(X \in \delta B) = 0$ where δB denotes the boundary of B.

We will not prove the full theorem, but we will prove some parts to give the flavor of the arguments. Today we will prove that if $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for all bounded and continuous f, then $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for all bounded and continuous $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and let $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ be a closed set. Consider the function $h_C : \mathbb{R}^d \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$h_C(x) = \inf\{||x - y|| : y \in C\}.$$

01/11/22 STATS300B – Lecture 3

Since C is closed, we have $h_C(x) = 0$ if and only if $x \in C$. The function h_C is continuous. For each $J \in \mathbb{N}$ define $\phi_J : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi_J(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \le 0, \\ 1 - Jt & \text{if } 0 < t < \frac{1}{J}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \ge \frac{1}{J}. \end{cases}$$

Also define $f_J(x) = \phi_J(h_C(x))$. The functions f_J are continuous and bounded. Furthermore, $f_J(x) \to \mathbf{1}_C(x)$ and $f_J(x) \geq \mathbf{1}_C(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Thus, for all J,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_C(X_n)]$$

$$\leq \mathbb{E}[f_J(X_n)].$$

By taking n to infinity, we have $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{E}[f_J(X)]$. But $|f_J(X)| \leq 1$ and $f_J(X)$ converges to $\mathbf{1}_C(X)$. By the dominated convergence theorem we therefore have

$$\lim_{J\to\infty} \mathbb{E}[f_J(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_C(X)] = \mathbb{P}(X\in C).$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \ge \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$$

Definition 1. A collection of functions \mathcal{F} is a convergence determining class if for all random vectors $(X_n)_{n\geq 1}$ and X, $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ if and only if $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$.

The Portmanteau Theorem show that all bounded and continuous functions is a convergence determining class. As is the class of Lipschitz functions taking values in [0,1]. Another important class of convergence determining functions are the functions

$$f_t(x) = e^{it \cdot x},$$

which is a class indexed by t. The function

$$\phi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[f_t(X)] = \mathbb{E}[e^{it \cdot X}],$$

is called the characteristic function of X. Since $\{f_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^d}$ is a convergence determining class, we know that $X_n\stackrel{d}{\to} X$ if and only if $\phi_{X_n}(t)\to\phi_X(t)$ for all t.

Note that the assumption that f is bounded is important. A sequence X_n may converge in distribution to X, but this does not imply that $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \to \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$ for continuous unbounded f. Indeed, we may not have $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \to \mathbb{E}[X]$.

2 Tightness

Definition 2. A collection of random vectors $\{X_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly tight if for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $M < \infty$ such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}(\|X_a\| > M) \le \varepsilon.$$

A uniformly tight collection of random vectors is sometimes said to be bounded in probability. This is because if $\{X_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ is uniformly tight, then with probability at least $1-\varepsilon$, $\|X_a\|\leq M$ for every a. We can also define uniform tightness for probability measures instead of random variables.

Definition 3. A collection of probability measures $\{\mathbb{P}_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ on \mathbb{R}^d is uniformly tight if for all $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a compact set C such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}_a(C) \ge 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Remark 1. The following are examples of uniformly tight collections.

1. A single random vector X is uniformly tight since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \le n) = \mathbb{P}(\|X\| < \infty) = 1.$$

- 2. If $\{X_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}_1}$, $\{X_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}_2}$, ... $\{X_a\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}_m}$ are all uniformly tight, then $\{X_a\}_{a\in\bigcup_{i=1}^m\mathcal{A}_i}$ is also uniformly tight.
- 3. If $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$, then $\{X_n\}_{n>1}$ is uniformly tight.

The converse of the last remark is almost true. A uniformly tight collection of random vectors need not converge in distribution, but there must be a subsequence which does.

Theorem 2. If $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is uniformly tight, then there exists a random vector X and a subsequence n_j such that $X_{n_j} \stackrel{d}{\to} X$.

Note that the original sequence $\{X_n\}$ need not converge to anything as the following example shows.

$$X_n = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

3 Convergence in L^p

Recall that $X_n \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X$ if $\mathbb{E}[\|X_n - X\|_p^p] \to 0$. The following links convergence in L^p to convergence in distribution and convergence in probability.

Definition 4. A sequence $\{X_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is uniformly integrable if

$$\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \limsup_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[|X_n| \mathbf{1}_{|X_n| \ge \lambda}] = 0.$$

If $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$, then for every r > 0, $\mathbb{E}[\|X_n\|_r^r] \to \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_r^r]$ if and only if $\{\|X_n\|_r^r\}_{n \ge 1}$ is uniformly integrable.

Theorem 3 (Vitalli). Suppose $X_n \in L^r$ for some $r \in (0, \infty)$ and that $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$. Then the following are equivalent,

- 1. $\{\|X_n\|_r^r\}$ are uniformly integrable.
- 2. $X_n \stackrel{L^r}{\to} X$
- 3. $\limsup_{n} \mathbb{E} \|X_n\|_r^r \leq \mathbb{E} \|X\|_r^r$.

4 Almost sure convergence

Definition 5. A sequence of random variables $\{X_n\}$ converge almost surely to X if

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n\to\infty} X_n \neq X\right) = 0.$$

We denote almost sure convergence by $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$.

The following are equivalent

01/11/22 STATS300B – Lecture 3

- 1. $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$.
- 2. For all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon, \text{ infinitely often}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

3. For all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

4. For all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{m > n} \|X_m - X\| \ge \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

The following theorem is called the strong law of large numbers.

Theorem 4. Suppose X_1, \ldots are i.i.d. with $\mathbb{E}[X_i] < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$. Then $\bar{X}_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} \mu$.

The Borel–Cantelli lemmas are the main tools for proving almost sure convergence.

Proposition 1. 1. Let $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ be any sequence of events. If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \ infinitely \ often) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m\right) = 0.$$

2. If $\{A_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ is a sequence of independent events and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) = 1.$$

Proof. We will only prove 1. Note that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m\right) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m).$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$, the sum $\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m)$ goes to zero as n goes to infinity. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) = 0.$$

5 Standard implications

We have the following implications

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X,$$

and for any p > 0,

$$X_n \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X.$$

The converse implications do not hold in general, but partial converses do hold. For instance if b is a constant, then

$$X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} b \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} b.$$

Also, if $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$, then there exists a subsequence X_{n_j} such that $X_{n_j} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$. Likewise, if $X_n \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X$, then there exists a subsequence X_{n_j} such that $X_{n_j} \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$. Also, if $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ and $\{\|X_n\|_p^p\}$ are uniformly

integrable, then $X_n \stackrel{L^p}{\to} X$. But in general, almost sure convergence does not imply convergence in L^p and convergence in L^p does not imply convergence almost surely.

We have already proven some of these implications are others are given as homework. We will prove a few more now. Firstly we will show

$$X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X.$$

Proof. Suppose $X_n \stackrel{a.s.}{\to} X$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon) \le \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right).$$

By almost sure convergence, the term on the right goes to 0. Thus, $\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon) \to 0$ and so $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$.

We will also prove

$$X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X \Longrightarrow X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X.$$

Proof. Suppose $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$ and let t be a continuity point of F, the cumulative distribution function of X. Let F_n be the cumulative distribution function of X_n . Fixing $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{split} F_n(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t, X \leq t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t, X > t + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X \leq t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \\ &= F(t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \end{split}$$

Since $X_n \stackrel{p}{\to} X$, $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \to 0$. Thus,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} F_n(t) \le F(t+\varepsilon).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{split} F(t-\varepsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq t-\varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq t-\varepsilon, X_n \leq t) + \mathbb{P}(X \leq t-\varepsilon, X_n \geq t) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) \\ &= F_n(t) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Thus,

$$F_n(t) > F(t - \varepsilon) - \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon).$$

Which implies,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} F_n(t) \ge F(t-\varepsilon).$$

Since F is continuous at t, both $F(t-\varepsilon)$ and $F(t+\varepsilon)$ can be made arbitrarily close to F(t) and hence

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} F_n(t) \le F(t) \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} F_n(t).$$

Thus
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_n(t) = F(t)$$
.