

# STATS300B – Lecture 3

Julia Palacios  
Scribed by Michael Howes

01/11/22

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Portmanteau theorem</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Tightness</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Convergence in <math>L^p</math></b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Almost sure convergence</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Standard implications</b>	<b>4</b>

## 1 Portmanteau theorem

Last time we stated the Portmanteau theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X_n$  and  $X$  be a random vectors. The following are equivalent.*

1.  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .
2.  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for all bounded and continuous  $f$ .
3.  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for all Lipschitz  $f$  with  $f(x) \in [0, 1]$  for all  $x$ .
4.  $\liminf_n \mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for all continuous non-negative  $f$ .
5.  $\liminf_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in O) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in O)$  for all open sets  $O$ .
6.  $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$  for all closed sets  $C$ .
7.  $\lim_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in B) = \mathbb{P}(X \in B)$  for all measurable sets  $B$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(X \in \delta B) = 0$  where  $\delta B$  denotes the boundary of  $B$ .

We will not prove the full theorem, but we will prove some parts to give the flavor of the arguments. Today we will prove that if  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for all bounded and continuous  $f$ , then  $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{P}(X \in C)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for all bounded and continuous  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and let  $C \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  be a closed set. Consider the function  $h_C : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  given by

$$h_C(x) = \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in C\}.$$

Since  $C$  is closed, we have  $h_C(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in C$ . The function  $h_C$  is continuous. For each  $J \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $\phi_J : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\phi_J(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t \leq 0, \\ 1 - Jt & \text{if } 0 < t < \frac{1}{J}, \\ 0 & \text{if } t \geq \frac{1}{J}. \end{cases}$$

Also define  $f_J(x) = \phi_J(h_C(x))$ . The functions  $f_J$  are continuous and bounded. Furthermore,  $f_J(x) \rightarrow \mathbf{1}_C(x)$  and  $f_J(x) \geq \mathbf{1}_C(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus, for all  $J$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_C(X_n)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[f_J(X_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $n$  to infinity, we have  $\limsup_n \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \leq \mathbb{E}[f_J(X)]$ . But  $|f_J(x)| \leq 1$  and  $f_J(X)$  converges to  $\mathbf{1}_C(X)$ . By the dominated convergence theorem we therefore have

$$\lim_{J \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[f_J(X)] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_C(X)] = \mathbb{P}(X \in C).$$

Therefore,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(X_n \in C) \geq \mathbb{P}(X \in C) \quad \square$$

**Definition 1.** A collection of functions  $\mathcal{F}$  is a *convergence determining class* if for all random vectors  $(X_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $X$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  if and only if  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ .

The Portmanteau Theorem show that all bounded and continuous functions is a convergence determining class. As is the class of Lipschitz functions taking values in  $[0, 1]$ . Another important class of convergence determining functions are the functions

$$f_t(x) = e^{it \cdot x},$$

which is a class indexed by  $t$ . The function

$$\phi_X(t) = \mathbb{E}[f_t(X)] = \mathbb{E}[e^{it \cdot X}],$$

is called the characteristic function of  $X$ . Since  $\{f_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^d}$  is a convergence determining class, we know that  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  if and only if  $\phi_{X_n}(t) \rightarrow \phi_X(t)$  for all  $t$ .

Note that the assumption that  $f$  is bounded is important. A sequence  $X_n$  may converge in distribution to  $X$ , but this does not imply that  $\mathbb{E}[f(X_n)] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$  for continuous unbounded  $f$ . Indeed, we may not have  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X]$ .

## 2 Tightness

**Definition 2.** A collection of random vectors  $\{X_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$  is *uniformly tight* if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $M < \infty$  such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}(\|X_a\| > M) \leq \varepsilon.$$

A uniformly tight collection of random vectors is sometimes said to be *bounded in probability*. This is because if  $\{X_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$  is uniformly tight, then with probability at least  $1 - \varepsilon$ ,  $\|X_a\| \leq M$  for every  $a$ .

We can also define uniform tightness for probability measures instead of random variables.

**Definition 3.** A collection of probability measures  $\{\mathbb{P}_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is *uniformly tight* if for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a compact set  $C$  such that

$$\sup_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{P}_a(C) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

**Remark 1.** The following are examples of uniformly tight collections.

1. A single random vector  $X$  is uniformly tight since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(\|X\| \leq n) = \mathbb{P}(\|X\| < \infty) = 1.$$

2. If  $\{X_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_1}, \{X_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_2}, \dots, \{X_a\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}_m}$  are all uniformly tight, then  $\{X_a\}_{a \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \mathcal{A}_i}$  is also uniformly tight.
3. If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly tight.

The converse of the last remark is almost true. A uniformly tight collection of random vectors need not converge in distribution, but there must be a subsequence which does.

**Theorem 2.** *If  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly tight, then there exists a random vector  $X$  and a subsequence  $n_j$  such that  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{d} X$ .*

Note that the original sequence  $\{X_n\}$  need not converge to anything as the following example shows.

$$X_n = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ 2 + \frac{1}{n} & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

### 3 Convergence in $L^p$

Recall that  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$  if  $\mathbb{E}[\|X_n - X\|_p^p] \rightarrow 0$ . The following links convergence in  $L^p$  to convergence in distribution and convergence in probability.

**Definition 4.** A sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[\|X_n\| \mathbf{1}_{\|X_n\| \geq \lambda}] = 0.$$

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then for every  $r > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[\|X_n\|_r^r] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[\|X\|_r^r]$  if and only if  $\{\|X_n\|_r^r\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly integrable.

**Theorem 3** (Vitali). *Suppose  $X_n \in L^r$  for some  $r \in (0, \infty)$  and that  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ . Then the following are equivalent,*

1.  $\{\|X_n\|_r^r\}$  are uniformly integrable.
2.  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^r} X$
3.  $\limsup_n \mathbb{E} \|X_n\|_r^r \leq \mathbb{E} \|X\|_r^r$ .

### 4 Almost sure convergence

**Definition 5.** A sequence of random variables  $\{X_n\}$  converge almost surely to  $X$  if

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \neq X\right) = 0.$$

We denote almost sure convergence by  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ .

The following are equivalent

1.  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ .

2. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon, \text{ infinitely often}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

3. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

4. For all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\sup_{m \geq n} \|X_m - X\| \geq \varepsilon\right) = 0.$$

The following theorem is called the strong law of large numbers.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose  $X_1, \dots$  are i.i.d. with  $\mathbb{E}|X_i| < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$ . Then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ .

The Borel–Cantelli lemmas are the main tools for proving almost sure convergence.

**Proposition 1.** 1. Let  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be any sequence of events. If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} A_m\right) = 0.$$

2. If  $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of independent events and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ , then

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We will only prove 1. Note that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m\right) \leq \sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m).$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) < \infty$ , the sum  $\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m)$  goes to zero as  $n$  goes to infinity. Thus,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n \text{ infinitely often}) = 0. \quad \square$$

## 5 Standard implications

We have the following implications

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X,$$

and for any  $p > 0$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

The converse implications do not hold in general, but partial converses do hold. For instance if  $b$  is a constant, then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} b \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} b.$$

Also, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ , then there exists a subsequence  $X_{n_j}$  such that  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ . Likewise, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ , then there exists a subsequence  $X_{n_j}$  such that  $X_{n_j} \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ . Also, if  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  and  $\{\|X_n\|_p^p\}$  are uniformly

integrable, then  $X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X$ . But in general, almost sure convergence does not imply convergence in  $L^p$  and convergence in  $L^p$  does not imply convergence almost surely.

We have already proven some of these implications and others are given as homework. We will prove a few more now. Firstly we will show

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{p} X.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} \|X_m - X\| > \varepsilon\right).$$

By almost sure convergence, the term on the right goes to 0. Thus,  $\mathbb{P}(\|X_n - X\| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  and so  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ .  $\square$

We will also prove

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \implies X_n \xrightarrow{d} X.$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$  and let  $t$  be a continuity point of  $F$ , the cumulative distribution function of  $X$ . Let  $F_n$  be the cumulative distribution function of  $X_n$ . Fixing  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(t) &= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t, X \leq t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t, X > t + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X \leq t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \\ &= F(t + \varepsilon) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq F(t + \varepsilon).$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} F(t - \varepsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq t - \varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(X \leq t - \varepsilon, X_n \leq t) + \mathbb{P}(X \leq t - \varepsilon, X_n \geq t) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(X_n \leq t) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon) \\ &= F_n(t) + \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$F_n(t) \geq F(t - \varepsilon) - \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \geq \varepsilon).$$

Which implies,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \geq F(t - \varepsilon).$$

Since  $F$  is continuous at  $t$ , both  $F(t - \varepsilon)$  and  $F(t + \varepsilon)$  can be made arbitrarily close to  $F(t)$  and hence

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) \leq F(t) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t).$$

Thus  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(t) = F(t)$ .  $\square$