

STATS310B – Lecture 6

Sourav Chatterjee
Scribed by Michael Howes

01/22/22

Contents

1	Optimal stopping problem	1
2	A hiring problem	2

1 Optimal stopping problem

We ended last lecture with the statement of the optimal stopping problem with a finite horizon. The problem was as follows. Suppose we are given integrable random variables X_1, \dots, X_N on a probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and a filtration $\mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{F}_N$ of sub σ -algebras. We wish to find a stopping time T with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ taking values in $\{1, \dots, N\}$ that maximizes $\mathbb{E}[X_T]$. We think of X_n as the reward at time n , and thus we wish to find a way of stopping that maximizes the expected reward at time T .

Our first step is to replace $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^N$ with a sequence adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^N$. With this in mind, define $Y_n = \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$. The next lemma shows that we can work with Y_n instead of X_n .

Lemma 1. *For any stopping time T , $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[Y_T]$.*

Proof. Since $\{T = n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_T] &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} [X_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}}] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n) \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}}] \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}}] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{n=1}^N Y_n \mathbf{1}_{\{T=n\}} \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y_T]. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Thus it suffices to find a stopping time that maximizes $\mathbb{E}[Y_T]$. We will now define random variable V_1, \dots, V_N by backwards induction. Let,

$$\begin{aligned} V_N &= Y_N, \\ V_n &= \max\{Y_n, \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\} \quad \text{for } n < N. \end{aligned}$$

The idea is that V_n is either equal to either the reward at time n (Y_n) or the expected future reward given the information up to time n ($\mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$). The random variable V_n selects whichever reward looks to be bigger. Note the following,

1. By definition, $V_N = Y_N$.
2. For all n , $V_n \geq Y_n$ by definition.

Thus, define the following random variable taking values in $\{1, \dots, N\}$.

$$\tau = \min\{n : V_n = Y_n\}.$$

Note that τ is a stopping time for $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^N$, since both V_n and Y_n are \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. We will now show that τ is an optimal stopping time.

Theorem 1. For all stopping times T , $\mathbb{E}[X_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[X_\tau]$.

Proof. Fix a stopping time T . By lemma (1), it suffices to show that $\mathbb{E}[Y_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau]$. Suppose that for some $\omega \in \Omega$ and $n \in \{1, \dots, N\}$, we have $\tau(\omega) > n$. This would imply that $V_n(\omega) \neq Y_n(\omega)$. Since $V_n = \max\{Y_n, \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\}$, this implies that

$$V_n(\omega) = \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)(\omega).$$

Thus, one $\{\tau > n\}$, $V_n = \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$. By a proposition proved in the previous lemma, this implies that $\{V_{n \wedge \tau}\}_{n=1}^N$ is a martingale with respect to $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^N$. By the definition of τ , $V_\tau = Y_\tau$. Also, $\tau \leq N$ surely which means $\tau = N \wedge \tau$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[V_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[V_{N \wedge \tau}] = \mathbb{E}[V_{1 \wedge \tau}] = \mathbb{E}[V_1].$$

So, $\mathbb{E}[Y_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[V_1]$. Note that, by definition,

$$V_n \geq \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n),$$

and thus $\{V_n\}_{n=1}^N$ is a super-martingale. Thus, by the optional stopping theorem,

$$\mathbb{E}[V_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[V_1] = \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau].$$

But we have $Y_n \leq V_n$ for every $n = 1, \dots, N$ and so $Y_T \leq V_T$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[V_T] \leq \mathbb{E}[Y_\tau]. \quad \square$$

2 A hiring problem

We will now consider an application of the optimal stopping theorem. Suppose we have N candidates for a job, and we are to interview them sequentially. The N candidates have ranks (r_1, \dots, r_N) which is a uniform permutation of $1, \dots, N$. After each interview, we have to either hire the current candidate immediately or we have to continue the search with no possibility of hiring the current candidate later.

The information we have up to time n is the relative ranks of the first n candidates which we label (r_1^n, \dots, r_n^n) . For example, if $N = 5$ and $(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, r_5) = (2, 4, 1, 3, 5)$. Then for $n = 3$, we would have $(r_1^3, r_2^3, r_3^3) = (2, 3, 1)$. Our filtration is given by $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(r_1^n, \dots, r_n^n)$.

We wish to maximize the probability that we pick the best candidate (i.e. the candidate with rank 1). Thus, we wish to find a stopping time that maximizes $\mathbb{P}(r_T = 1)$. To put this into the framework of the optimal stopping problem, define

$$X_n = \mathbf{1}_{\{r_n=1\}}.$$

This gives, $\mathbb{E}(X_T) = \mathbb{P}(r_T = 1)$ for all stopping times T . Our first step is to calculate $Y_n = \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$. We claim that,

$$Y_n = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r_n^n \neq 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } r_n^n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since Y_n is a function of r_1^n, \dots, r_n^n , the random variable Y_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable. To see that $Y_n = \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$, note that every event in \mathcal{F}_n can be written as a finite disjoint union of events of the form $A_\sigma = \{(r_1^n, \dots, r_n^n) = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n)\}$ where σ is a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Thus, it suffices to show that

$$\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}] = \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}],$$

for all σ . Fix such a σ . If $\sigma_n \neq 1$ and $r_n^n = \sigma_n$, then $r_n \neq 1$ and so $\mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}] = 0 = \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}]$. Thus suppose that $\sigma_n = 1$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}] &= \mathbb{P}(r_n = 1, (r_1^n, \dots, r_n^n) = (\sigma_1, \dots, 1)) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(r_n = 1 | r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1) \mathbb{P}(r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(r_n = 1 | r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1) \frac{1}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

By Bayes's rule, $\mathbb{P}(A|B) = \mathbb{P}(B|A) \frac{\mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{P}(r_n = 1 | r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1 | r_n = 1) \frac{\mathbb{P}(r_n = 1)}{\mathbb{P}(r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1)} \\ &= \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \frac{1/N}{1/n!} \\ &= \frac{n}{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[X_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}] &= \frac{n}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{n!} \\ &= \frac{n}{N} \mathbb{P}(r_1^n = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1}, r_n^n = 1) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[Y_n \mathbf{1}_{A_\sigma}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $Y_n = \mathbb{E}(X_n | \mathcal{F}_n)$. □

The definition of Y_n can also be seen intuitively. If $r_n^n = 1$, then r_n is the highest rank out of r_1, \dots, r_n . The probability that $r_n = 1$ given $r_n^n = 1$ is thus the probability that one of r_1, \dots, r_n is 1 and this event has probability $\frac{n}{N}$. Also, clearly if $r_n^n \neq 1$, then we cannot have $r_n = 1$.

We next claim that Y_n is independent of \mathcal{F}_{n-1} for $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Since Y_n only takes two values, it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N, A) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N) \mathbb{P}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$. As before, we can restrict our attention to A of the form $A_\sigma = \{r_1^{n-1} = \sigma_1, \dots, r_{n-1}^{n-1} = \sigma_{n-1}\}$ for σ a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Note that,

$$\mathbb{P}(A_\sigma) = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N) = \mathbb{P}(r_n^n = 1) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

And also,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N, A_\sigma) = \mathbb{P}(r_1^n = \sigma_1 + 1, \dots, r_{n-1}^n = \sigma_{n-1} + 1, r_n^n = 1) = \frac{1}{n!}.$$

Thus, $\mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N, A_\sigma) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n = n/N)\mathbb{P}(A_\sigma)$. \square

As in the proof of the optimal stopping theorem, let

$$\begin{aligned} V_N &= Y_N, \\ V_n &= \max\{Y_n, \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\} \quad \text{for } n < N. \end{aligned}$$

By the independence of Y_n and \mathcal{F}_{n-1} we can show that for all $n \leq N-1$, the random variable $\mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)$ is a number that we will denote by v_n^N .

Proof of above claim. Note that, by independence,

$$\mathbb{E}(V_N|\mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = \mathbb{E}(Y_N|\mathcal{F}_{N-1}) = \mathbb{E}[Y_N] = \frac{N}{N} \cdot \mathbb{P}(r_N^N = 1) = \frac{1}{N}.$$

Thus, the result holds for $n = N-1$, with $v_{N-1}^N = \frac{1}{N}$. Now suppose that the result holds for some n so that $\mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n) = v_n^N$. Then $V_n = \max\{Y_n, v_n^N\}$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_{n-1} and so,

$$\mathbb{E}(V_n|\mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = \mathbb{E}[\max\{Y_n, v_n^N\}] = v_n^N. \quad \square$$

By our solution to the optimal stopping problem, the optimal stopping time is

$$\tau = \min\{n : V_n = Y_n\} = \min\{n : Y_n \geq \mathbb{E}(V_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n)\} = \min\{n : Y_n \geq v_n^N\},$$

where we interpret $\mathbb{E}(V_{N+1}|\mathcal{F}_N)$ as Y_N and v_N^N as 0. Note that the condition $v_{n-1}^N = \max\{Y_n, v_n^N\}$ implies that the sequence v_n^N is decreasing. The random variable Y_n take values $\frac{n}{N}$ and 0. Thus, while $\frac{n}{N} < v_n^N$, we will never stop at time n . But once $\frac{n}{N} \geq v_n^N$, we will stop once we have $Y_n \neq 0$ which means $r_n^n = 1$. Thus,

$$\tau = \min\{n : n \geq t^N \text{ and } r_n^n = 1\},$$

where $t^N = \min\{n : \frac{n}{N} \geq v_n^N\}$. Thus, our rule is as follows:

1. Interview the first $t^N - 1$ candidates but do not hire any of them.
2. Hire the next candidate who is better than all the first $t^N - 1$ candidates.

It remains to calculate t^N which is a non-random quantity. Recall that $Y_n = \frac{n}{N}$ with probability $\frac{1}{n}$ and $Y_n = 0$ otherwise. Thus,

$$v_{n-1}^N = v_n^N \cdot \frac{n-1}{n} + \frac{n}{N} \cdot \frac{1}{n} = \frac{n-1}{n} v_n^N + \frac{1}{N}.$$

We also know that $v_{N-1}^N = \mathbb{E}[Y_N] = \frac{1}{N}$. Thus, by applying the above recursion, we get

$$v_n^N = \frac{1}{N} \left\{ 1 + n \sum_{k=n+1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k} \right\}$$