

Stochastic Machine Learning

Chapter 04 - Filtering II

Thorsten Schmidt

Abteilung für Mathematische Stochastik

www.stochastik.uni-freiburg.de
thorsten.schmidt@stochastik.uni-freiburg.de

SS 2024

Filtering - general theory

- ▶ To simplify the notation we consider the following explicit form of the setup.
- ▶ Let E and F be two polish spaces with the associated Borel σ -algebras. Assume that the signal process $X = (X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ takes values in E and the observation $Y = (Y_n)_{n \geq 0}$ takes values in F with $Y_0 = 0$.
- ▶ assume measurable mappings $a : E \times E' \rightarrow E$, $A : F \times F' \rightarrow F$ are given and that $(\xi_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(\eta_n)_{n \geq 1}$ are sequences of globally independent random variables, taking values in the Polish spaces E' and F' , respectively.
- ▶ We assume that for all $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= a(X_{n-1}, \xi_n) \\ Y_n &= A(X_n, Y_{n-1}, \eta_n). \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

We denote the initial distribution of X_0 by μ_0 .

Finite state space

- ▶ Assume, $A(x, y, \eta) = A(x, \eta)$, i.e. Y_n does not depend on Y_{n-1} .
- ▶ Denote

$$\begin{aligned}\pi_n(x) &:= \mathbb{P}(X_n = x | Y_1, \dots, Y_n), \\ P_X^n(x_{n-1}, x) &:= \mathbb{P}(X_n = x | X_{n-1}), \\ P_Y^n(x, y) &:= \mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | X_n = x).\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ By Bayes' formula we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}(X_n = x | Y_n = y, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n = x, Y_n = y | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})}{\mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})} \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X_n = x | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) \cdot \mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | X_n = x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})}{\mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})}.\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

- We have,

$$\mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | X_n = x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) = \mathbb{P}(Y_n = y | X_n = x) = P_Y^n(x, y)$$

- and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(X_n = x | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) &= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{P}(X_n = x | X_{n-1}) | Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}] \\ &= \sum_{x_{n-1} \in E} P_X^n(x_{n-1}, x) \pi_{n-1}(x) \\ &\propto \sum_{x_{n-1} \in E} P_X^n(x_{n-1}, x) \sigma_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all¹ $\sigma_{n-1}(x) \propto \pi_{n-1}(x)$.

- We obtain that the unnormalized conditional probabilities satisfy the recurrence relation

$$\sigma_n(x) = P_Y^n(x, Y_n) \cdot \sum_{x_{n-1} \in E} P_X^n(x_{n-1}, x) \sigma_{n-1}(x). \quad (3)$$

- The conditional distribution can be computed by

$$\pi_n(x) = \frac{\sigma_n(x)}{\sum_{x' \in E} \sigma_n(x')}.$$

(Equivalent to (2))

¹We write $f(x) \propto g(x)$ if there exists a constant C such that $f(x) = Cg(x)$.

Full generality

- ▶ Let \mathcal{G} be a sub- σ -algebra of \mathcal{F} and X a random variable with $E[|X|] < \infty$. Then any version Z of $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ satisfies

$$E[X\mathbf{1}_G] = E[Z\mathbf{1}_G], \quad \text{for all } G \in \mathcal{G}.$$

- ▶ Then, we can define the signed measure Q on (Ω, \mathcal{G}) by

$$Q(G) := E[X\mathbf{1}_G], \quad G \in \mathcal{G}.$$

- ▶ This measure is absolutely continuous with respect to P , as $P(G) = 0$ implies that $Q(G) = 0$, i.e. $P \ll Q$. By the Radon-Nikodym theorem there exists a \mathcal{G} -measurable function Z such that

$$Q(G) = \int_G Z(\omega) P(d\omega), \quad G \in \mathcal{G}.$$

- ▶ We write simply

$$ZdP = dQ$$

- ▶ Then Z is a version of the conditional expectation $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$. Indeed, for all $G \in \mathcal{G}$,

$$E[Z\mathbf{1}_G] = \int_G ZdP = Q(G) = E[X\mathbf{1}_G].$$

- ▶ If the σ -algebra \mathcal{G} is generated by a random variable, for example $\mathcal{G} = \sigma(Y)$, then $Z = Z(Y)$ is given by a measurable function of Y .

The following proposition gives us the right generalization of Bayes' formula for our purposes. By $P_X(A) := P(X \in A)$ we denote the distribution of X .

Proposition

Consider two random variables X and Y with state spaces E and F , respectively, and assume there exists a measure Q and a measurable function $\Lambda : E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for P_X -almost all $x \in E$

$$P(Y \in B | X = x) = \int_B \Lambda(x, y) Q(dy), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}(F). \quad (4)$$

Then, for any measurable and bounded function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$E[f(X) | Y] \propto \int f(x) \Lambda(x, Y) P_X(dx), \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

Proof.

Exercise.



Examples

- ▶ Assume that X and Y have common density $f(x, y)$.
- ▶ Then $f_X(x) = \int_F f(x, y)dy$, $f_Y(y) = \int_E f(x, y)dx$.
- ▶ Let $f(y|x)$ denote the conditional distribution of y given x and we have

$$P(Y \in B|X = x) = \int_B f(y|x)dy.$$

- ▶ Hence,

$$E[g(X)|Y] \propto \int g(x)f(y|x)P_X(dx)$$

- ▶ On the other side,

$$\begin{aligned} E[g(X)] &= \int_E \int_F g(x)f(x, y)dx dy \\ &= E[E[g(X)|Y]] = C \int_E \int_F g(x)f(y|x)f_X(x)dx f_Y(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

and we recover that $f(x|y) \propto f(x, y)$.

- ▶ Since $\int_f f(x|y)dx = 1$,

$$1 = C \int_E f(x, y)dx = C f_Y(y)$$

and so

$$f(x|y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}.$$

- ▶ Assume that X has countable state space E and Y has a density.
- ▶ In this case we have that

$$P(X = x, Y \in B) = \int_B f(x, y) dy.$$

- ▶ Regarding assumption (4) we obtain that for $P(X = x) > 0$,

$$P(Y \in B | X = x) = \int_B \frac{f(x, y)}{P(X = x)} dy.$$

- ▶ Applying the proposition gives that

$$P[X = x | Y] \propto \frac{f(x, y)}{P(X = x)} \propto f(x, y).$$

- ▶ The conditional distribution of x given Y then computes to

$$P(X = x | Y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{\sum_{x' \in X} f(x', y)} = \frac{f(x, y)}{f(y)}.$$

- ▶ Similarly, in the case where Y has discrete state space and X has a density we obtain that

$$f(x | y) = \frac{f(x, y)}{P(Y = y)}.$$

Define for all $A \in \mathcal{B}(E)$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}(F)$

$$P_{X,Y}^n(A, B, X_{n-1}, Y_{n-1}) = P(X_n \in A, Y_n \in B | X_{n-1}, Y_{n-1})$$

$$P_Y^n(B, X_n, Y_{n-1}) = P(Y_n \in B | X_n, Y_{n-1})$$

$$P_X^n(A, X_{n-1}) = P(X_n \in A | X_{n-1}),$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_n = \sigma(Y_1, \dots, Y_n).$$

Assumption

Assume that for each n there exist a regular conditional distribution Q_n w.r.t. the σ -algebra \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} , a $\mathcal{Y}_n \vee \sigma(X_n)$ -measurable function Λ_n , and a $\mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \vee \sigma(X_n)$ -measurable function C^n such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in B | X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1})(\omega) \\ &= \int_B C^n(Y_1(\omega), \dots, Y_{n-1}(\omega), y) \Lambda^n(X_n(\omega), Y_1(\omega), \dots, Y_{n-1}(\omega), y) dQ^n(\omega, dy) \end{aligned}$$

for almost all $\omega \in \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \vee \sigma(X_n)$.

Theorem

Suppose Assumption 2 holds. Then there exists a sequence $(\sigma_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of random measures such that

- (i) $\sigma_0(A) \propto \mu_0(A)$,
- (ii) for each $n > 0$, σ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\sigma_n(dx) = \Lambda^n(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) \int_E P_X^n(dx | x') \sigma_{n-1}(dx'),$$

- (iii) and $\pi_n(A) = \sigma_n(A) / \sigma_n(\Omega)$.

Essentially, the transition from σ_{n-1} to σ_n contains the following two steps:

- ▶ **Prediction:** the first step is to predict the movement from any x_{n-1} to values x' by applying the transition kernel of the Markov chain P_X and averaging over all possible x_{n-1} with the conditional distribution from the previous step:

$$\sigma_{n-1}(dx) \rightarrow p_n(dx) = \int_E P_X^n(dx|x')\sigma_{n-1}(dx'),$$

- ▶ **Correction:** the second step takes the additional new information into account by an appropriate change of measure:

$$p_n(dx) \rightarrow \sigma_n(dx) = \Lambda^n(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)p_n(dx).$$

The correction step only takes the simple Bayes' formula in (2) to this more general level.

- We proceed by induction. The step $n = 1$ is obtained directly with the initial distribution of X_0 , μ_0 together with $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ as $Y_0 = 0$. Moreover,

$$\pi_0 = \mu_0 = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0(\Omega)}$$

for any $\sigma_0 \propto \mu_0$.

- Assume the claim holds for $n - 1$.
- Under Assumption 2 we may define a regular conditional distribution of X_n and Y_n w.r.t. \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} by

$$R^n(\omega, A, B) := \int \mathbb{1}_A(x_n) P_X^n(dx_n | X_{n-1}(\omega)) \int \mathbb{1}_B(y_n) Q^n(\omega, dy_n),$$

$\omega \in \Omega$.

- We have that

$$E^{R^n}[e^{i\langle u, X_n \rangle + i\langle v, Y_n \rangle} | X_{n-1} \vee \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}] = \int e^{i\langle u, x \rangle} P_X^n(dx | X_{n-1}(\omega)) \int e^{i\langle v, y \rangle} Q^n(\omega, dy_n)$$

such that X_n and Y_n are independent under R^n , conditionally on $\sigma(X_{n-1}) \vee \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}$.

- Denote $\tilde{\Lambda} = C\Lambda$.

- By Assumption 2

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(X_n \in A, Y_n \in B | X_{n-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}) \\
 &= E \left[\mathbb{1}_A(X_n) P(Y_n \in B | X_n, X_{n-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}) | X_{n-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \right] \\
 &= E \left[\int_A \int_B \tilde{\Lambda}^n(X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, y) Q^n(\omega, dy) | X_{n-1}, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} \right] \\
 &= \int_E \int_A \int_B \tilde{\Lambda}^n(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, y) Q^n(\omega, dy) P_X(dx | X_{n-1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

- such that

$$P_{X,Y}^n(dx, dy) = \tilde{\Lambda}^n(x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, y) Q^n(dy) P_X(dx | X_{n-1}).$$

- We therefore may apply the generalized Bayes' formula and obtain that

$$\pi_n(A) = E^{R^n} [\mathbb{1}_A(X_n) \tilde{\Lambda}^n(X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n) | \mathcal{Y}_n].$$

- The next step is to compute the right hand side.

- ▶ As X_n and Y_n are conditionally independent under R^n ,

$$\begin{aligned} E^{R^n} [\mathbb{1}_A(X_n) \tilde{\Lambda}^n(X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, Y_n) | \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}, Y_n = y] = \\ E^{R^n} [\mathbb{1}_A(X_n) \tilde{\Lambda}^n(X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}, y) | \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Hence, we need to compute the conditional distribution of X_n given \mathcal{Y}_{n-1} .
- ▶ Note that our setup implies that the conditional distribution of X_n satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} P(X_n \in A | \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}) &= E[E[\mathbb{1}_A(X_n) | X_{n-1}] | \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}] \\ &= \int_E \int_A P_X(dx | x') \pi_{n-1}(\omega, dx'). \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ By induction, $\pi_{n-1} \propto \sigma_{n-1}$ such that we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_n(A) &\propto \int_A \tilde{\Lambda}^n(Y_n, x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) P_X(dx | x') \sigma_{n-1}(dx') \\ &\propto \int_A \Lambda^n(Y_n, x, Y_1, \dots, Y_{n-1}) P_X(dx | x') \sigma_{n-1}(dx') = \sigma_n(A) \end{aligned}$$

and the claim follows.

