

₁ ALGEBRAIC NUMBER THEORY,
₂ A COMPUTATIONAL APPROACH

₃ William Stein

₄ May 31, 2019

Chapter 1

The Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem

1.1 Kummer Theory of Number Fields

Suppose K is a number field and fix a positive integer n . Let μ_n denote the n th roots of unity in \overline{K} as a group under multiplication. Consider the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \overline{K}^* \xrightarrow{n} \overline{K}^* \rightarrow 1,$$

where n denotes the map $a \mapsto a^n$.

The corresponding long exact sequence from Theorem ?? is

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n(K) \rightarrow K^* \xrightarrow{n} K^* \rightarrow H^1(K, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(K, \overline{K}^*) = 0,$$

where $\mu_n(K)$ is the n th roots of unity contained in K . The last equality follows from Theorem ??.

Assume now that the group μ_n is contained in K . Using Galois cohomology we obtain a relatively simple classification of all abelian extensions of K with cyclic Galois group of order dividing n . Moreover, since the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ on μ_n is trivial, by our hypothesis that $\mu_n \subset K$, Exercise ?? implies

$$H^1(K, \mu_n) = \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n).$$

Thus we obtain an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow K^* \xrightarrow{n} K^* \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n) \rightarrow 1,$$

or equivalently, an isomorphism

$$K^*/(K^*)^n \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), \mu_n).$$

By Galois theory, homomorphisms $\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K) \rightarrow \mu_n$ (up to automorphisms of μ_n) correspond to cyclic abelian extensions of K with Galois group a subgroup of the cyclic group μ_n . Unwinding the definitions, this says that every cyclic abelian extension of K of degree dividing n is of the form $K(a^{1/n})$ for some element $a \in K$.

One can prove via calculations that $K(a^{1/n})$ is unramified outside n and the primes that divide $\text{Norm}(a)$. Moreover, and this is a much bigger result, one can combine this with facts about class groups and unit groups to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1.1. *Suppose K is a number field with $\mu_n \subset K$, where n is a positive integer. Let L be the maximal extension of K such that*

- (i) $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is abelian,
- (ii) $n \cdot \text{Gal}(L/K) = 0$, and
- (iii) L is unramified outside a finite set S of primes.

Then L/K is of finite degree.

Sketch of Proof. Note that we may enlarge S as needed. To see why, choose a finite set $S' \supseteq S$ and let L' the maximal extension with respect to S' as in the statement of the theorem. Because L is unramified outside of S , it is certainly unramified outside of S' . By maximality of L' this implies $L \subseteq L'$. Therefore it's sufficient to show the larger extension L'/K is finite.

We first argue that we can enlarge S so that the ring

$$\mathcal{O}_{K,S} = \{a \in K^* : \text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a\mathcal{O}_K) \geq 0 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\} \cup \{0\}$$

is a principal ideal domain. One can show that for any S , the ring $\overline{K}K, S$ is a Dedekind domain. The condition $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a\mathcal{O}_K) \geq 0$ means that in the prime ideal factorization of the fractional ideal $a\mathcal{O}_K$, we have that \mathfrak{p} occurs to a nonnegative power. Thus we are allowing denominators at the primes in S . Since the class group of \mathcal{O}_K is finite, there are primes $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_r$ that generate the class group as a group (for example, take all primes with norm up to the Minkowski bound). Enlarge S to contain the primes \mathfrak{p}_i .

Note that we have used that the class group of \mathcal{O}_K is finite.

Next we want to show $\mathfrak{p}_i\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is the unit ideal. To see this, let m be the order of \mathfrak{p}_i in the class group of \mathcal{O}_K so that $\mathfrak{p}_i^m = (\alpha)$ for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$. Note the factorization of $\frac{1}{\alpha}\mathcal{O}_K$ is \mathfrak{p}_i^{-m} so by construction $\frac{1}{\alpha} \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Since $\alpha \in (\mathfrak{p}_i\mathcal{O}_{K,S})^m$ this shows $(\mathfrak{p}_i\mathcal{O}_{K,S})^m$ is the unit ideal. It follows from the

possible exercise?

56 unique factorization of ideals in the Dedekind domain $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ that $\mathfrak{p}_i \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is
57 the unit ideal.

58 Now we can show $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is a principal ideal domain. Let \mathfrak{P} be a prime
59 ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Since the \mathfrak{p}_i generate the class group of \mathcal{O}_K , the restriction of
60 \mathfrak{P} to \mathcal{O}_K is equivalent modulo a principal ideal to a product of the primes \mathfrak{p}_i .
61 Therefore \mathfrak{P} is equivalent modulo a principal ideal to a product of ideals of
62 the form $\mathfrak{p}_i \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$. Because we showed $\mathfrak{p}_i \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ was the unit ideal, this means
63 \mathfrak{P} is principal.

64 Next enlarge S so that all primes over $n\mathcal{O}_K$ are in S . Note that $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is
65 still a PID. Let

$$K(S, n) = \{a \in K^* / (K^*)^n : n \mid \text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \notin S\}.$$

66 Then a refinement of the arguments at the beginning of this section show
67 that L is generated by all n th roots of the elements of $K(S, n)$ (specifically,
68 their representatives in K). Thus it suffices to prove that $K(S, n)$ is finite.

69 If $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ then $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(a) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$. So there is a natural map

$$\phi : \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^* \rightarrow K(S, n)$$

70 sending a to its residue class in $K^* / (K^*)^n$. Suppose $a \in K^*$ is a represen-
71 tative of an element in $K(S, n)$. The ideal $a\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ has a factorization which
72 is a product of n th powers, so it is an n th power of an ideal. Since $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ is
73 a PID, there is $b \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}$ and $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ such that

$$a = b^n \cdot u.$$

74 Thus $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ maps to $[a] \in K(S, n)$. This shows ϕ is surjective.

75 Recall *Dirichlet's unit theorem* (Theorem ??), which asserts that the
76 group \mathcal{O}_K^* is a finitely generated abelian group of rank $r + s - 1$. More
77 generally, we now show that $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ is a finitely generated abelian group of
78 rank $r + s + \#S - 1$. Because we showed ϕ is surjective this would imply
79 $K(S, n)$ is finitely generated. Since $K(S, n)$ is also a torsion group it must
80 be finite which proves the theorem.

81 The fact that $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ has rank $r + s - 1 + \#S$ is sometimes referred to as
82 the *S-unit theorem* or the *Dirichlet S-unit theorem*. To prove this theorem,
83 let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_m$ be the primes in S and define a map $\phi : \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m$ by

$$\phi(u) = (\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_1}(u), \dots, \text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_m}(u)).$$

84 First we show that $\ker(\phi) = \mathcal{O}_K^*$. We have that $u \in \ker(\phi)$ if and only if
85 $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ and $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_i}(u) = 0$ for all i ; but the latter condition implies that

86 u is a unit at each prime in S . But $u \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ implies $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(u) = 0$ for all
 87 $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$, so it follows that $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(u) = 0$ for all primes \mathfrak{p} in \mathcal{O}_K and therefore
 88 $u \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$. Thus we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^* \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^* \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{Z}^m.$$

89 Next we show that the image of ϕ has finite index in \mathbb{Z}^m . Let h be the class
 90 number of \mathcal{O}_K . For each i there exists $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that $\mathfrak{p}_i^h = (\alpha_i)$. But
 91 $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ since $\text{Ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_i) = 0$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S$ (by unique factorization). Then

$$\phi(\alpha_i) = (0, \dots, 0, h, 0, \dots, 0).$$

92 It follows that $(h\mathbb{Z})^m \subset \mathfrak{I}(\phi)$, so the image of ϕ has finite index in \mathbb{Z}^m . It
 93 follows that $\mathcal{O}_{K,S}^*$ has rank equal to $r + s - 1 + \#S$. \square

94 **TODO delete this
comment?**

95 1.2 Proof of the Weak Mordell-Weil Theorem

96 Suppose E is an elliptic curve over a number field K , and fix a positive
 97 integer n . Just as with number fields, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E[n] \rightarrow E \xrightarrow{n} E \rightarrow 0.$$

98 Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E[n](K) \rightarrow E(K) \xrightarrow{n} E(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

99 Note the last term comes from replacing the codomain of $H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow$
 100 $H^1(K, E)$ by the kernel of $H^1(K, E) \xrightarrow{n} H^1(K, E)$. From this we obtain a
 101 short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E(K)/nE(K) \rightarrow H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(K, E)[n] \rightarrow 0. \quad (1.1)$$

102 Now assume, in analogy with Section 1.1, that $E[n] \subset E(K)$, i.e., all n -
 103 torsion points are defined over K . Then the Galois action on $E[n]$ is trivial
 104 **TODO non-canonical iso?** so by exercise ?? we have

$$H^1(K, E[n]) = \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), E[n]) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2),$$

105 and the sequence (1.1) induces an inclusion

$$E(K)/nE(K) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2). \quad (1.2)$$

106 Explicitly, this homomorphism sends a point P to the homomorphism
 107 defined as follows: Choose $Q \in E(\overline{K})$ such that $nQ = P$; then send each
 108 $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)$ to $\sigma(Q) - Q \in E[n]$.

109 **Exercise 1.2.1.** Consider the map $E(K) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K), E[n])$ defined
 110 above. First show this map is well defined, i.e., $\sigma(Q) - Q \in E[n]$ for every
 111 $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Then show it does not depend on the choice of P modulo
 112 $nE(K)$ so it indeed descends to a homomorphism on $E(K)/nE(K)$.

113 Because $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$, given a point $P \in E(K)$, we obtain a ho-
 114 momorphism $\varphi : \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2$, whose kernel defines an abelian
 115 extension L of K that has exponent n . The amazing fact is that L can
 116 be ramified only at the primes of bad reduction for E and the primes that
 117 divide n . Thus we can apply theorem 1.1.1 to see that there are only finitely
 118 many such L .

TODO non-canonical
iso?

119 **Theorem 1.2.2.** Let $P \in E(K)$ and L be the field obtained by adjoining
 120 the coordinates of all points $Q \in E(\bar{K})$ such that $nQ = P$. Then L/K is
 121 unramified outside the set of primes dividing n and primes of bad reduction
 122 for E .

123 *Sketch of Proof.* This sketch closely follows [Sil92, Prop. VIII.1.5b].

124 Fix a prime \mathfrak{p} of K such that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid n$ and E has good reduction at \mathfrak{p} . Let \mathfrak{q}
 125 be a prime of L lying over \mathfrak{p} . Note that \mathfrak{q} is again a prime of good reduction
 126 for E since we may use the same Weierstrass equation for E as an elliptic
 127 curve over L .

128 First one proves that for any extension K'/K and any prime \mathfrak{p}' of K'
 129 such that $\mathfrak{p}' \nmid n$ and \mathfrak{p}' is a prime of good reduction for E/K' , the natural
 130 reduction map $\pi : E(K')[n] \rightarrow \tilde{E}(\mathcal{O}_{K'}/\mathfrak{p}')$ is injective. The argument that π
 131 is injective uses *formal groups*, whose development is outside the scope of
 132 this course.¹

133 Next, fix some $Q \in E[n]$ such that $nQ = P$. From Exercise 1.2.1 we
 134 have that $\sigma(Q) - Q \in E[n]$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{K}/K)$. Let $I_{\mathfrak{q}} \subset \text{Gal}(L/K)$ be
 135 the inertia group for $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}$. The action of $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is trivial on $\tilde{E}(\mathcal{O}_L/\mathfrak{q})$ so for each
 136 $\sigma \in I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ we have

$$\pi(\sigma(Q) - Q) = \sigma(\pi(Q)) - \pi(Q) = \pi(Q) - \pi(Q) = 0.$$

137 Since π is injective, it follows that $\sigma(Q) = Q$ for $\sigma \in I_{\mathfrak{q}}$, i.e., that Q is fixed
 138 under $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$. Repeating this argument for each Q implies $I_{\mathfrak{q}}$ is trivial and hence
 139 $\mathfrak{q}/\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified. \square

140 **Theorem 1.2.3** (Weak Mordell-Weil). Let E be an elliptic curve over a
 141 number field K , and let n be any positive integer. Then $E(K)/nE(K)$ is
 142 finitely generated.

¹For a proof using formal groups see [Sil92, Prop. VII.3.1b].

143 *Proof.* First suppose all elements of $E[n]$ have coordinates in K . Then the
 144 homomorphism (1.2) provides an injection of $E(K)/nE(K)$ into

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{K}/K), (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2).$$

145 By Theorem 1.2.2, the image consists of homomorphisms whose kernels cut
 146 out an abelian extension of K unramified outside n and primes of bad re-
 147 duction for E . Since this is a finite set of primes, Theorem 1.1.1 implies
 148 that the homomorphisms all factor through a finite quotient $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$ of
 149 $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)$. Thus there can be only finitely many such homomorphisms,
 150 so the image of $E(K)/nE(K)$ is finite. Thus $E(K)/nE(K)$ itself is finite,
 151 which proves the theorem in this case.

152 Next suppose E is an elliptic curve over a number field, but do *not* make
 153 the hypothesis that the elements of $E[n]$ have coordinates in K . Since the
 154 group $E[n](\mathbb{C})$ is finite and its elements are defined over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, the extension L
 155 of K got by adjoining to K all coordinates of elements of $E[n](\mathbb{C})$ is a finite
 156 extension. It is also Galois, as we saw when constructing Galois represen-
 157 tations attached to elliptic curves. By Proposition ??, we have an exact
 158 sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(L/K, E[n](L)) \rightarrow H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(L, E[n]).$$

159 The kernel of the restriction map $H^1(K, E[n]) \rightarrow H^1(L, E[n])$ is finite,
 160 since it is isomorphic to the finite cohomology group $H^1(L/K, E[n](L))$.
 161 By the argument of the previous paragraph, the image of $E(K)/nE(K)$ in
 162 $H^1(L, E[n])$ under

$$E(K)/nE(K) \hookrightarrow H^1(K, E[n]) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{res}} H^1(L, E[n])$$

163 is finite, since it is contained in the image of $E(L)/nE(L)$. Thus $E(K)/nE(K)$
 164 is finite, since we just proved the kernel of res is finite. \square

¹⁶⁵ Bibliography

- ¹⁶⁶ [Sil92] J. H. Silverman, *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, Springer-Verlag,
¹⁶⁷ New York, 1992, Corrected reprint of the 1986 original.