Problem Set #5 (Due November 14, 2018)

1. (Constrained Least Squares and a Lagrange Multiplier Test) Let (Y, X, U) satisfy

$$Y = X'\beta + U ,$$

where Y and U take values in \mathbf{R} , $X=(1,X_1,\ldots,X_k)'$ takes values in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} , $\beta=(\beta_0,\ldots,\beta_k)'$. Suppose E[XU]=0, $E[XX']<\infty$, $\mathrm{Var}[XU]$ is non-singular, and there is no perfect colinearity in X. Suppose further that $R\beta=c$, where R is a $p\times(k+1)$ matrix such that the rows of R are linearly independent. Let $(Y_1,X_1),\ldots,(Y_n,X_n)$ be an i.i.d. sample from the distribution of (Y,X). Define the constrained least squares (CLS) estimator of β , $\tilde{\beta}_n$, as the solution to

$$\min_{b \in \mathbf{R}^{k+1}: Rb = c} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (Y_i - X_i'b)^2 .$$

(a) Consider the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}(\beta, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} (Y_i - X_i'b)^2 + \lambda'(Rb - c) .$$

(The $\frac{1}{2}$ out front just makes the algebra work out a bit more nicely.) Compute $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} \mathcal{L}(\beta, \lambda)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \mathcal{L}(\beta, \lambda)$. Let $\tilde{\beta}_n$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ be such that these two derivatives are equal to zero.

(b) Show that

$$\tilde{\lambda}_n = \left(R \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{1 \le i \le n} X_i X_i' \right)^{-1} R' \right)^{-1} \left(R \hat{\beta}_n - c \right) ,$$

where $\hat{\beta}_n$ is the OLS estimator of β .

- (c) Show that $\tilde{\lambda}_n \stackrel{P}{\to} 0$ when $R\beta = c$.
- (d) Derive the asymptotic distribution of $\sqrt{n}\tilde{\lambda}_n$ when $R\beta = c$.
- (e) Use the preceding exercises to suggest a test based on the distance of $\tilde{\lambda}_n$ to zero for $H_0: R\beta = c$ versus $H_1: R\beta \neq c$ at level α . Show that your test is consistent in level.
- (f) How does your test compare with the Wald test studied in class?

2. (Frisch Bounds) Let (Y, X, U) satisfy

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + U ,$$

where Y, X and U all take values in \mathbf{R} . Suppose E[XU] = E[U] = 0. Suppose X is unobserved, but $\hat{X} = X + V$ is observed, where E[V] = E[XV] = E[UV] = 0.

(a) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[\hat{X}] &= \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[V] \\ \operatorname{Var}[Y] &= \beta_1^2 \operatorname{Var}[X] + \operatorname{Var}[U] \\ \operatorname{Cov}[\hat{X}, Y] &= \beta_1 \operatorname{Var}[X] \; . \end{aligned}$$

(b) If $\beta_1 \geq 0$, show that

$$\frac{\operatorname{Cov}[\hat{X}, Y]}{\operatorname{Var}[\hat{X}]} \le \beta_1 \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}[Y]}{\operatorname{Cov}[\hat{X}, Y]} .$$

Interpret the upper and lower bounds in terms of coefficients from a regression.

(c) Derive an analogous result for the case when $\beta_1 \leq 0$.

(Generalizations of this result are developed in Klepper and Leamer (1984).)

- 3. Let X and Z be a k+1-dimensional random vectors. Suppose that the rank of E[ZX'] is k+1. Show that there is no perfect colinearity in Z.
- 4. (Solving for β using a Control Variable) Let (Y, X, U) be such that

$$Y = X'\beta + U ,$$

where Y and U take values in \mathbf{R} , $X = (1, X_1, \dots, X_k)'$ takes values in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} , $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k)'$. Suppose some components of X are endogenous, but there is an $\ell+1$ -dimensional vector of instruments Z. Suppose E[ZZ'] and E[ZX'] exist, that the rank of E[ZX'] is k+1, that E[ZU] = 0, and that there is no perfect colinearity in Z.

(a) Let V = X - BLP(X|Z) and define $V'\gamma = \text{BLP}(U|V)$. Rewrite the model above as

$$Y = X'\beta + V'\gamma + \tilde{U} ,$$

where $\tilde{U} = U - V'\gamma$. Show that in this model X and V are exogenous. (The variable V is called a *control variable*.)

- (b) Use the results on solving for sub-vectors in linear regression to derive an expression for β . Show that this expression is equal to the one derived in class.
- 5. (Solving for β using the "Reduced Form") Let (Y, X, U) be such that

$$Y = X'\beta + U$$
,

where Y and U take values in \mathbf{R} , $X = (1, X_1, \dots, X_k)'$ takes values in \mathbf{R}^{k+1} , $\beta = (\beta_0, \dots, \beta_k)'$. Suppose some components of X are endogenous, but there is an $\ell+1$ -dimensional vector of instruments Z. Suppose E[ZZ'] and E[ZX'] exist, that the rank of E[ZX'] is k+1, that E[ZU] = 0, and that there is no perfect colinearity in Z.

(a) Let $Z'\lambda = \text{BLP}(Y|Z)$ and $\Gamma'Z = \text{BLP}(X|Z)$. Write

$$Y = Z'\lambda + \epsilon$$

$$X = \Gamma' Z + \eta .$$

Show that $\lambda = \Gamma \beta$ and $\epsilon = \eta' \beta + U$. (These equations are sometimes called the *reduced form* equations for Y and X.)

- (b) Use the preceding results to derive an expression for β . Show that this expression is equal to the one derived in class.
- 6. Consider the following model of the determinants of wages

$$\log(Y) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + U ,$$

where

Y = hourly wage

 X_1 = years of education

 X_2 = years of work experience.

Suppose X_2 is exogenous, X_1 is endogenous, that Z_1 is an instrument for X_1 . Define $X=(1,X_1,X_2)'$ and $Z=(1,Z_1,X_2)'$. Suppose E[ZZ'], E[ZX'] and Var[XU] exist, that Var[ZU] is invertible, and that there is no perfect colinearity in Z. Assume further that instrument relevance and exogeneity both hold. Let

$$(Y_1, X_1, Z_1), \ldots, (Y_n, X_n, Z_n)$$

be an i.i.d. sample from the distribution of (Y, X, Z).

- (a) Can we assume that E[U]=0 without loss of generality? Explain briefly.
- (b) Define exogenous and endogenous. Explain why we might suspect that $E[UX_1] \neq 0$.
- (c) Define instrument relevance and instrument exogeneity.
- (d) Describe how you would estimate β .
- (e) Suppose you wished to test the null hypothesis that Z is not a relevant instrument versus the alternative that it is a relevant instrument at level α .
 - i. Formally state the null and alternative hypotheses.
 - ii. Describe how you would perform the test.
- (f) Suppose $Cov[X_1, X_2] \neq 0$. Can the researcher use X_2 as an instrument for X_1 ? Explain briefly.