

# Micro Theory 1 Problem Set 2

*Timothy Schwieg*

## Question 1

The three conditions that must be verified are:  $p^T x = y$ ,  $S$  is symmetric and  $S$  is negative semi-definite.

$$\begin{aligned} p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 &= y \\ \frac{2p_1 y}{2p_1 + p_2} + \frac{p_2 y}{2p_1 + p_2} &= y \frac{2p_1 + p_2}{2p_1 + p_2} = y \end{aligned}$$

Symmetry: Note first that:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial p_1} &= \frac{-4y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} & \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial p_2} &= \frac{-2y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} & \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial y} &= \frac{2}{2p_1 + p_2} \\ \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial p_1} &= \frac{-y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} & \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial p_2} &= \frac{-y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} & \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial y} &= \frac{1}{2p_1 + p_2} \end{aligned}$$

$$S_{1,2} = \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial p_2} + q_2 \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial y} = \frac{-2y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} + \frac{2y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} = 0$$

$$S_{2,1} = \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial p_1} + q_1 \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial y} = \frac{-y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} + \frac{2y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} = 0$$

Thus we can see that  $S$  is symmetric.

Negative Definite: First complete the Slutsky matrix.

$$S_{1,1} = \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial p_1} + q_1 \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial y} = \frac{-4y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} + \frac{4y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} = 0$$

$$S_{2,2} = \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial p_2} + q_2 \frac{\partial q_2}{\partial y} = \frac{-y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} + \frac{y}{(2p_1 + p_2)^2} = 0$$

Thus we can plainly see that:  $S = 0$  and  $x^T S x = 0 \leq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$

While we cannot construct the exact utility function of the consumer, we can

produce the indirect utility function that would produce these demand functions.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial e}{\partial p_1} &= \frac{2e}{2p_1 + p_2} & \frac{\partial e}{\partial p_2} &= \frac{e}{2p_1 + p_2} \\ \frac{\partial \log e}{\partial p_1} &= \frac{2}{2p_1 + p_2} & \frac{\partial \log e}{\partial p_2} &= \frac{1}{2p_1 + p_2}\end{aligned}$$

$$\log e = \log(2p_1 + p_2) + C(p_2, u)$$

$$\frac{\partial \log e}{\partial p_2} = \frac{1}{2p_1 + p_2} + C_{p_2}(p_2, u) = \frac{1}{2p_1 + p_2}$$

Clearly:  $C_{p_2}(p_2, u) = 0$  and  $C(p_2, u) = C_1(u)$

$$\log e = \log(2p_1 + p_2) + C_1(u)$$

$$e = C_2(u)(2p_1 + p_2)$$

$$e(p_1, p_2, u) = u(2p_1 + p_2)$$

Note that:  $U(x) = \max\{u \geq 0 | p^T x \geq e(p, u) \quad \forall p \gg 0\}$

$$U(x) = \max\{u \geq 0 | p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \geq u(2p_1 + p_2) \quad \forall p \gg 0\}$$

$$U(x) = \max\{u \geq 0 | p_1(x_1 - 2u) + p_2(x_2 - u) \geq 0 \quad \forall p \gg 0\}$$

$$p_1, p_2 \geq 0 \quad \forall p \gg 0 \quad \text{so: } (x_1 - 2u), (x_2 - u) \geq 0$$

$$u \leq \frac{x_1}{2}, u \leq x_2$$

$$U(x) = \max\left\{u \geq 0 | u \leq \frac{x_1}{2}, u \leq x_2\right\}$$

$$U(x) = \min\left(\frac{x_1}{2}, x_2\right)$$

## Question 2.

### Part a.

Let us begin by examining the prices of the different bundles. Let  $x_0 = (3, 1, 7)$ ,  $x_1 = (7, 3, 1)$ ,  $x_2 = (1, 7, 3)$  and  $p_0 = (2, 3, 3)$ ,  $p_1 = (3, 2, 3)$ ,  $p_2 = (3, 3, 2)$

$$p_0 x_0 = 30 \quad p_1 x_0 = 32 \quad p_2 x_0 = 26$$

$$p_0 x_1 = 26 \quad p_1 x_1 = 30 \quad p_2 x_1 = 32$$

$$p_0 x_2 = 32 \quad p_1 x_2 = 26 \quad p_2 x_2 = 30$$

$$x_0 \text{ Preferred} \quad x_1 \text{ Preferred} \quad x_2 \text{ Preferred}$$

Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference: if  $p_i x_j \leq p_i x_i \implies p_j x_i > p_j x_j$

This leaves three cases to check.

$$p_0 x_1 \leq p_0 x_0 \text{ and } p_1 x_0 > p_1 x_1 \quad \checkmark$$

$$p_2 x_0 \leq p_2 x_2 \text{ and } p_0 x_2 > p_0 x_0 \quad \checkmark$$

$$p_2 x_1 \leq p_2 x_2 \text{ and } p_1 x_2 > p_1 x_1 \quad \checkmark$$

So the Weak Axiom of Revealed Preference is satisfied by these choices.

### Part b.

Note:  $x_0$  is revealed preferred to  $x_1$  at price  $p_0$ , and  $x_1$  is revealed preferred to  $x_2$  at price  $p_1$  and  $x_2$  is revealed preferred to  $x_0$  at price  $p_2$ . This leads to the conclusion that  $x_0$  is preferred to  $x_1$  and  $x_1$  is preferred to  $x_2$  and  $x_2$  is preferred to  $x_0$ . This is a violation of the Strong Axiom of Revealed Preference, so this behavior is not consistent.

### Question 4.

Since  $f$  has constant returns to scale, it is HOD 1, and by Euler's theorem:  $f_1(x_1, x_2)x_1 + f_2(x_1, x_2)x_2 = f(x_1, x_2)$  so:  $f_1(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2) = -f_2(x_1, x_2)$

We may define Average product with respect to a good as:  $AP_{x_1} = \frac{f(x_1, x_2)}{x_1}$

If Average product with respect to  $x_1$  is increasing then:  $\frac{\partial AP_{x_1}}{\partial x_1} > 0$  and  $\frac{x_1 f_1(x_1, x_2) - f(x_1, x_2)}{x_1^2} > 0$

Thus:  $\frac{-f_2(x_1, x_2)x_2}{x_1^2} > 0$  and  $-f_2(x_1, x_2)x_2 > 0$  so:  $f_2(x_1, x_2) < 0$

We can see that marginal product of good 2 is negative.

### Question 5.

a.

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_1, x_2 \leq 0, 0 \leq x_3 \leq A(-x_1)^{\alpha_1}(-x_2)^{\alpha_2}, A, \alpha_i > 0\}$   
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \alpha_1 A x_1^{\alpha_1-1} x_2^{\alpha_2}$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \alpha_2 A x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2-1}$  MRTS =  $\frac{\alpha_1 x_2}{\alpha_2 x_1}$

b.

$Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_1, x_2 \leq 0, 0 \leq x_3 \leq \min\{-a_1 x_1, -a_2 x_2\}, a_1, a_2 > 0\}$   
 Since this function is not differentiable everywhere, its MRTS is not defined everywhere, and does not make sense, as perfect complements have no substitution.

c.

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_1, x_2 \leq 0, 0 \leq x_3 \leq -a_1 x_1 - a_2 x_2\}$   
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = a_1$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = a_2$  MRTS =  $\frac{a_1}{a_2}$

d.

Let  $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) | x_1, x_2 \leq 0, 0 \leq x_3 \leq (a_1(-x_1)^\rho + a_2(-x_2)^\rho)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}}\}$   
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} (a_1 x_1^\rho + a_2 x_2^\rho)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}-1} \rho a_1 x_1^{\rho-1}$ ,  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\epsilon}{\rho} (a_1 x_1^\rho + a_2 x_2^\rho)^{\frac{\epsilon}{\rho}-1} \rho a_2 x_2^{\rho-1}$  MRTS =  $\frac{a_1 x_1^{\rho-1}}{a_2 x_2^{\rho-1}}$

As  $\rho$  tends to 1, the constant elasticity of substitution function tends to:  $(a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2)^\epsilon$  for  $\epsilon = 1$  this is perfect substitutes, but in general it does not simplify to another known production function.