

$$p_Y(y; \theta) = \frac{-\theta^y}{y \log(1-\theta)}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} p_Y(y; \theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\theta^y}{y \log(1-\theta)} = \frac{1}{\log(1-\theta)} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{-\theta^y}{y} = \frac{\log(1-\theta)}{\log(1-\theta)} = 1$$

$$E[Y] = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{-y \theta^y}{y \log(1-\theta)} = \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\theta^y}{\log(1-\theta)} = \frac{-1}{\log(1-\theta)} \sum_1^{\infty} \theta^y = \frac{-\theta}{(1-\theta) \log(1-\theta)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} V(Y) &= E[Y^2] - E[Y]^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{-y \theta^y}{\log(1-\theta)} - E[Y]^2 = \frac{-\theta}{\log(1-\theta)} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} y \theta^{y-1} - E[Y]^2 = \\ &= \frac{-\theta}{\log(1-\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \theta^y - E[Y]^2 = \frac{-\theta}{\log(1-\theta)} \frac{d}{d\theta} \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} - E[Y]^2 = \frac{-\theta}{\log(1-\theta)} \frac{((1-\theta) + \theta)}{(1-\theta)^2} - E[Y]^2 = \\ &= \frac{-\theta}{\log(1-\theta)(1-\theta)^2} - \frac{\theta^2}{\log(1-\theta)^2(1-\theta)^2} = \frac{-\theta \log(1-\theta) - \theta^2}{\log(1-\theta)^2(1-\theta)^2} \end{aligned}$$

Distributions in the exponential family have the form:  $p_y(y|\theta) = g(y)h(\theta)e^{\eta(\theta)\tau(\theta)}$

Let  $g(y) = \frac{-1}{\log(1-\theta)}$ ,  $h(\theta) = \frac{1}{y}$ ,  $\eta(\theta) = \log(\theta)$ ,  $\tau(\theta) = y$

It is clear the log-series is a member of the exponential family.

Define  $T(y) = \sum_{n=1}^N \tau(y_n) = \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$  This is the sufficient statistic for our distribution.

We may also define:  $\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N y_n$  this is just the sufficient statistic divided by N.

$$L(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N p_Y(y_i | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{-\theta^{y_i}}{y_i \log(1-\theta)} \right)$$

$$\log(L(y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n | \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^N \log(p_Y(y_i | \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i \log(\theta) - \log(y_i) - \log(-\log(1-\theta)))$$

Maximum occurs where the derivative is zero:  $\sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{y_i}{\theta} - \frac{1}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)} \right) = 0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{y_i}{\theta} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)} \quad \text{thus:} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N y_i = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\theta}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{Y}_N = \frac{\theta}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)}$$

This can be stated as  $\frac{\theta}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)} - \bar{Y}_N = 0$

The condition that defines  $\hat{\theta} : \frac{\theta}{(\theta-1) \log(1-\theta)} - \bar{Y}_N$  has a derivative of:  $-\frac{\theta + \log(1-\theta)}{(\theta-1)^2 \log(1-\theta)^2}$

Since  $\theta + \log(1-\theta) < 0 \forall x \in (0,1)$ , and  $(\theta-1)^2 \geq 0$  as well as  $\log(1-\theta)^2 \geq 0$

It is clear that the derivative is strictly positive, and thus the condition is monotonic increasing.

Applying Newton's method for the zeros of a derivative:  $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \frac{f'(\theta_k)}{f''(\theta_k)}$

$$f'(\theta) = \frac{\bar{Y}_N}{\theta} - \frac{1}{(\theta-1)\log(1-\theta)} \quad f''(\theta) = \frac{-\bar{Y}_N}{\theta^2} + \frac{1+\log(1-\theta)}{(\theta-1)^2\log(1-\theta)^2}$$

so our sequence is:  $\theta_{k+1} = \theta_k - \frac{\frac{\bar{Y}_N}{\theta_k} - \frac{1}{(\theta_k-1)\log(1-\theta_k)}}{\frac{-\bar{Y}_N}{\theta_k^2} + \frac{1+\log(1-\theta_k)}{(\theta_k-1)^2\log(1-\theta_k)^2}}$

We may note that since  $f''(\theta) \neq 0 \quad \forall \theta \in (0,1)$ , This recursion will always yield a step  
Provided we have sufficiently good initialization, it will converge to the maximum likelihood estimator.

Since  $f''(\theta) \neq 0 \quad \forall \theta \in (0,1)$ , We may apply the Implicit Function Theorem to  $f'(\hat{\theta}) = 0$   
so  $\exists l(\cdot) s/t \quad \hat{\theta} = l(\bar{Y}_N)$  which is continuous and differentiable in a neighborhood around  $\bar{Y}_N$

Applying Slutsky's theorem to this continuous function:  $plim \hat{\theta} = plim l(\bar{Y}_N) = l(plim \bar{Y}_N) = l(\mu^0) = \theta$

A consistent estimator of variance of  $\hat{\theta}$  using Fisher's Information is the negative inverse Hessian.

$$V(\hat{\theta}) \sim -[f''(\hat{\theta})]^{-1} = \frac{-1}{\frac{-\bar{Y}_N}{\theta^2} + \frac{1+\log(1-\theta)}{(\theta-1)^2\log(1-\theta)^2}}$$

Since  $\hat{\theta}$  is obtained by solving for the zero of the derivative of the log-likelihood function,

Take a Taylor expansion at  $\theta^0$ .  $0 = f'(\theta^0) + f''(\theta^0)(\hat{\theta} - \theta^0) + R_2$  disregarding the remainder term.

$$\hat{\theta} - \theta^0 = \frac{-f'(\theta^0)}{f''(\theta^0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^0) = \frac{-\sqrt{n}f'(\theta^0)}{f''(\theta^0)} = \frac{-\sqrt{n}}{f''(\theta^0)} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \log(\theta) - \log(y_i) - \log(-\log(1-\theta))$$

Since the sum times  $\sqrt{n}$  converges in distribution to  $N(0, -f''(\theta^0))$ ,  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^0) \rightarrow N(0, \frac{-1}{f''(\theta^0)})$

By assuming that the single measurement in the 9+ bucket has value 9.  $\bar{Y}_n = 1.479 \quad \hat{\theta} = .5217389$

We can compute the negative inverse hessian at this point as well:

$$\frac{-1}{\frac{-1.479}{.5217389^2} + \frac{1+\log(1-.5217389)}{(.5217389-1)^2\log(1-.5217389)^2}} = .3007821$$

Using the Wald test, it is known that:  $N \frac{(\hat{\theta} - \theta^0)^2}{V(\hat{\theta})} \sim \chi^2(1)$  as  $\frac{\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta} - \theta^0)}{-f''(\theta^0)} \rightarrow N(0,1)$ .

Considering the null hypothesis:  $H_0: \theta = .50$  against  $H_a: \theta \neq .50$

After assuming the null hypothesis we obtain a test statistic of:  $1000 \frac{(.0217389)^2}{0.300782} = 1.571174$

By testing this statistic we obtain a p-value of 0.2100366, and fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Using the Likelihood-Ratio test, as the Wald test is not-invariant to non-linear transformations

We may Consider the null hypothesis:  $H_0: \log(\theta) = -.70$  which is equivalent to:  $H_0: \theta = e^{-.70}$

Our test statistic is:  $2(f(\hat{\theta}) - f(\theta_0)) \sim \chi^2(1)$  and  $TS = 2.110686$ .

This leads to a p-value of: .146282 and we fail to reject our null hypothesis at a 90% confidence level.

By testing with a Wald Statistic, a p-value of 0.1469593 is obtained, and we still fail to reject the Null.

Applying Pearson's Chi-Squared Test:  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$

Where  $O_i$  is the number of observations in bin  $i$ , and  $E_i$  is the expected number in each bin.

After calculating the test statistic we arrive at TS = 2.317605 with p-value: .9853933

So we fail to reject the Null Hypothesis that the data was not taken from a Log-Series distribution and conclude that the data is consistent with that distribution.

Code:

```
> LogData <- c( 710, 175, 74, 23, 10, 4, 2, 1, 1)
> bary <- 0
> numMeasures <- 0
> for( i in 1:9){
+   bary <- bary + LogData[i]*i
+   numMeasures <- numMeasures + LogData[i]
+ }
> bary <- bary / numMeasures
>
> #Populate the data from the frequency table. The C code is showing
> datapoints <- numeric( numMeasures)
> datapoints[1:710] <- 1
> datapoints[(710+1):(710+175)] <- 2
> datapoints[(710+175+1):(710+175+74)] <- 3
> datapoints[(710+175+74+1):(710+175+74+23)] <- 4
> datapoints[(710+175+74+23+1):(710+175+74+23+10)] <- 5
> datapoints[(710+175+74+23+10+1):(710+175+74+23+10+4)] <- 6
> datapoints[(710+175+74+23+10+4+1):(710+175+74+23+10+4+2)] <- 7
> datapoints[(710+175+74+23+10+4+2+1):(710+175+74+23+10+4+2+1+1)] <- 8
> datapoints[(710+175+74+23+10+4+2+1+1):(710+175+74+23+10+4+2+1+1+1)] <- 9
>
> #Now solve bary = theta / log( 1- theta )
> #Should make the epsilon a variable or something
> hatTheta <- .5
> fprime <- 1
> while( abs( fprime ) > .000002) {
+   fprime <- (bary / hatTheta) - (1 / ((hatTheta-1)*log( 1- hatTheta)))
+   fdubprime <- ( -bary / hatTheta^2 ) + 1 / ((1-hatTheta)^2 * log( 1 - hatTheta ) )
+   hatTheta <- hatTheta - fprime / fdubprime
+ }
> bary
[1] 1.479
> hatTheta
[1] 0.5217389
>
> vTheta <- -1 / fdubprime
> vTheta
[1] 0.3007821
>
> #Test the Wald Statistic:
>
> nullTheta <- .5
> 1000*(hatTheta - nullTheta)^2 / vTheta
[1] 1.571174
> 1- pchisq( 1000*(hatTheta - nullTheta)^2 / vTheta, 1 )
[1] 0.2100366
>
>
> #Since we're looking at a non-linear function we should use the liklihood ratio
test instead of the Wald Test
> nullTheta <- exp( -.7 )
>
> liklihoodThetaHat <- 0
> liklihoodNULL <- 0
```

```

> for( i in 1:1000 ){
+   liklihoodThetaHat <- liklihoodThetaHat + datapoints[i]*log( hatTheta) -
log( datapoints[i]) - log( - log( 1 - hatTheta ) )
+   liklihoodNULL <- liklihoodNULL + datapoints[i]*log( nullTheta) -
log( datapoints[i]) - log( - log( 1 - nullTheta ) )
+ }
>
> #Compare the wald Statistic against the Liklihood Ratio Statistic
> 1- pchisq( 1000*(hatTheta - nullTheta)^2 / vTheta, 1 )
[1] 0.1469593
> 1- pchisq(2*( liklihoodThetaHat - liklihoodNULL ),1)
[1] 0.1462737
>
> Probs <- numeric( 9 )
> Probs[9] <- 1
> for( i in 1:8) {
+   Probs[i] <- -hatTheta^i / ( i*log( 1- hatTheta))
+   Probs[9] <- Probs[9] - Probs[i]
+ }
> Expected <- Probs*1000
>
> Expected
[1] 707.348120 184.525526 64.182767 25.114986 10.482773 4.557726 2.038237
0.930499 0.819366
>
> ChiSum <- 0
> for( i in 1:9 ){
+   ChiSum <- ChiSum + ((LogData[i]-Expected[i])^2 / Expected[i])
+ }
>
> ChiSum
[1] 2.317605
> 1- pchisq(ChiSum,8)
[1] 0.9696944

```