## Candidate Statement

## Timothy Schwieg

## My Motivation

Hello, my name is Timothy Schwieg and I am a student at the University of Central Florida. I am currently earning my masters degree in a Business analytics program, and this is not what I imagined I would be doing at this point in my life. I went to a competitive high school and I did fairly well. I was accepted to Georgia Tech with some aid. However, It turned out to not be enough, and I found myself unable to pay for my out of state tuition there. Frustrated and angry I enrolled at UCF because it was close and I knew I could get help even if I was applying as extremely late as I was.

For a while I was too angry at my situation to be a good student, and I earned a few B's in classes I really shouldn't have. Worse yet, I was forming all the bad habits that come with apathy and resentment. However I was doing well enough that this wasn't really affecting me, and it wasn't until I decided to continue into graduate school that I realized what I had become. Sure I had the occasional B, or a few A-, but it wasn't really crushing my GPA. I had fallen into a slump where I accepted mediocrity. Like all slumps, it takes a while for you to realize its magnitude, and a shock to take you out of it.

It took a while for that shock to come. It came during something that mattered: the GRE Exam. I didn't prepare nearly enough. My job at the time was tutoring students in Calculus so I thought I would be set without any preparations. After all, I had gotten a perfect math SAT the same way. The night before I downloaded a list of the three-hundred most common GRE words, tried to memorize them all. I did decently on the exam, but not nearly as well as I could have. My roommate, who took it at the same time and did the same as I did, told me: "at least we tried our best." Those words stuck with me, and I spent a week or so working them through, letting them eat away at me. He was half right, he had done the best he could. I had come short by a long while. I knew why I had. It was one thing to not be perfect, but I had the excuse that I hadn't tried; coming short of a goal when you had devoted yourself is admitting that you could never have succeeded.

There's a defense in saying you didn't try your hardest, but it is a hollow shield. I was so scared of failure that I didn't put myself out there, and while it didn't matter in the majority of things I was doing, it mattered here. I knew it would matter in graduate school and beyond. That's what was truly at the bottom of my discomfort: this nagging suspicion that I didn't know what I was capable of doing. To be honest, it seeped into everything I was doing. The fact of the matter was that I hadn't done everything possible, and I was scared that if I had I wouldn't have gotten what I wanted.

The next semester I wasn't sure how I wanted to approach school. I knew things needed to change, and I was certain I was going to get all A's. But I wasn't sure how I was going

to push myself so that I was getting more than just an undergraduate degree. I was lucky enough to have Dr. Harry Paarsch teaching Econometrics that semester. He did his whole routine to scare the poor business students, but at the core of it he was searching for the students willing to signal their ability to put their heads down and finish something they started. That push was exactly what I needed. He had assigned a term paper for the class, and I launched myself into it, working with a professor in the math department, and trying to build my own model of poker play. It didn't go very well in terms of predictive power, but that has never stopped any economist. I don't think the model I built was even close to something worth putting production. But it was never meant to be anything more than an exercise in creation.

Something changed working on that paper. I realized this was what I wanted to do. I knew I needed to know more so that I could begin applying serious models. That drove me to finally understand that graduate school was where I needed to go. It was more than just trying to avoid the real world. While I had been teaching, and I enjoyed it, it was the feeling of putting together research that really connected with me. I found the purpose I had been missing at UCF before, and it galvanized my desire. I knew I had to do whatever I could to put myself in a position to be a good researcher. It wouldn't be enough to do well at UCF, I needed to be more. I needed to put myself in a position to be successful.

After the semester had finished, I spoke with Dr. Paarsch about graduate school, and working on a paper to submit as a writing sample. He then did something no other professor at UCF had ever done. He didn't try to tell me I could go to mystical far-away places; he told me I would come short. He told me that I needed to take time learning how to be a serious student, how to push myself and keep myself disciplined. He was absolutely correct. So I enrolled in the economics/business analytics program and set myself up to fix these problems. I believe that in the last year I have succeeded in that. I have not only devoted myself to working hard, but also pushing myself to trying new problems.

The program I am in has lent itself to exploring these new problems, especially in computing. We have a lot of freedom in how deep we wish to explore them. Dr. Paarsch enjoys asking very open-ended questions such as: How much should you save for a house. This gives us a lot of choice on the complexity of the model we want to use, from a simple percent of income to a stochastic dynamic program. While most of my attempts at implementing models such as an LQ-control problem for savings weren't perfect, exploring the dynamics was an experience. In every open-ended problem I have explored and simulated the problem before trying to answer it. My goal was to have more than just an answer, it was to have an answer that was robust enough. I wanted to be able to apply it to more than just this instance.

I failed on some of them. Those problems were the ones I learned the most from. Breaking out of my comfort zone was more than just hard, but it has taught me exponentially more than keeping myself constrained. Maybe I broke a few more eggs than I made omelets, but I made more omelets than ever before. This is not to say its been easy, but it hasp become easier as I've continued to expose myself and take risks. More than anything else, it has woken up my desire to be the best. Attending the MAPSS program is the best way for me to become this. I want to continue to expose myself to new ideas and strategies, continue to push myself, and learn while preparing myself for a doctoral program. I know I'll fail, probably many times, but I also know I'm going to keep trying until I finally succeed.

A year ago I wasn't sure exactly what I wanted to do. However, the more time I've spent in economics, the more certain I've been that this is what I want to do with the rest of my life. I've prepared myself for the mathematical rigor I will face, and I am ready to take the plunge and prove to the world that I can be at the top, and I deserve to be there. I've come from UCF, but I won't let that define what I've become.

## Academic Interests and Strengths

I am primarily interested in Econometrics, specifically the structural approach. Much of my experience has been in auctions where a structural approach is very powerful. I believe that where the theory has been proven the structural approach is the best lens through which to interpret the data. Viewing a model through primitives that are then estimated is in my opinion, the best way to proceed. My main introduction to this material has been through The Structural Econometrics of Auctions by Paarsch. Throughout the first seven weeks in the Seminar in Economic Topics in my program we worked through many sections in the book, such as in what contexts can valuation distributions be identified.

One exception to the structural approach that I have found to be very interesting is many behavioral economic models. It can be difficult or impossible to fit some behavioral models. For example, I tried (and failed) to incorporate an auction model into a model of valuations (cumulative prospect theory) that required values be interpreted as relative to the price of the lottery. It did not go well, and a more reduced form approach was required. I am very interested in the approaches required to bring these two together, and see how the structure of the behavioral model might change the behavior suggested by the theory. I believe that the combination of these two will be where the best predictive power in economics will come.

During my undergraduate I focused on Probability and stochastic processes. The course I took titled *Topics in Applied Mathematics* was a course on financial mathematics, and the course Stochastic Processes continued on this trend. I have also completed some self-readings in analysis beyond the work I did for *Advanced Calculus*, completing material out of Rudin's *Principles of Mathematical Analysis*. I believe that this makes up for the fact that I only took a single semester of analysis officially at the university.

One area that I have worked in and found very interesting was numerical methods in dynamic programming. While I have not worked on very complicated dynamic programs, I worked on several assignments for Dr. Paarsch where I implemented dynamic programs numerically. I implemented both the Ramsey problem for a set consumption function and a variety of stochastic shocks affecting the production function in two manners: one in python using existing numpy libraries and vectorized code and in Julia where all the methods were implemented by myself. The techniques used were various forms of Gaussian quadrature for numerical integration, fitting a Chebyshev polynomial for the value function, and iteratively applying the contraction to find the equilibrium fixed point. Another model that I implemented was an explore-exploit model of a two-armed bandit that featured Bayesian updating to determine the optimal choice.

Much of the work I have done in this program has been focused on implementing commonly used techniques, such as frameworks for estimating and optimizing equations. Most of the focus of the work I have done has been in convex programming because of its ease of solving and global optimality from first-order conditions. I have worked also in integer programming and some more complicated nonlinear functions. However, the focus was not on those programs due to their inherit complexity and inability to solve at scale. I believe that I am quite qualified in numerical methods and computation, but would like to continue exploring their application to economic models.

During the course of this year, one aspect that has piqued my interest has been mechanism design. I believe that many of the strange behaviors that we observe today are the result of misaligned incentives and that mechanism design is the way we can control, or at least contextualize, these behaviors. My experience of it has been limited, primarily in auction theory and in the context of insurance models during information economics. I would certainly like to study it more and explore its results and limitations outside the scope of these well-understood models.

I think there is no question that to those who do not know me, I am a risk to accept to this program. To those who do, there is no question. While I may have taken a bit longer to get into gear, I am running on all cylinders now. I know where I'm going, and I am certain that the best way I can show this is success in this program. I understand it will not be easy, but I know that that won't stop me.