

HW1

Timothy Schwieg

Question 1

a.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cov}(U_1, U_2) &= \mathbb{E}[(U_1 - \mu_1)(U_2 - \mu_2)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[U_1 U_2 - \mu_1 U_2 - \mu_2 U_1 + \mu_1 \mu_2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[U_1] \mathbb{E}[U_2] - \mu_1 \mathbb{E}[U_2] - \mu_2 \mathbb{E}[U_1] + \mu_1 \mu_2 \\ &= \mu_1 \mu_2 - \mu_1 \mu_2 - \mu_2 \mu_1 + \mu_1 \mu_2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

b

No, while zero correlation is implied by independence, the reverse is not implied. Consider the example of The random variable X which is uniform on the interval $(-1,1)$, and Y which is given by X^2 . We can see that $\text{Cov}(X, Y) = \mathbb{E}[X(Y - \mu_Y)] = \mathbb{E}[XY] - \mu_Y \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[XY] = 0$ as they are symmetric. However, we can clearly see that X and Y are not independent, as Y is determined completely by X .

c

$$\begin{aligned} S'(\mu) &= \sum_{n=1}^N 2(Y_n - \mu)(-1) = 0 \\ \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n - N\mu &= 0 \\ \mu &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N Y_n \end{aligned}$$

Verifying that it is a minimum:

$$S''(\mu) = 2N > 0$$

Since this is positive, our value of μ is a minimum.

d

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[B] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{n=1}^N k_n Y_n\right] \\
\sum_{n=1}^N k_n \mathbb{E}[Y_n] &= \sum_{n=1}^N k_n \mu_n \\
\text{Var}(B) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{n=1}^N k_n Y_n\right) = \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N k_n^2 \text{Var}(Y_n) + 2 \sum_{i < j} k_i k_j \text{Cov}(Y_i, Y_j) = \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N k_n^2 \sigma^2 + \sum_{i < j} k_i k_j \sigma^2
\end{aligned}$$

Since B is a linear combination of jointly normal random variables, it is normally distributed, with mean and variance given above.

e

i

Note that since Y_n is normally distributed, and \bar{Y}_N is a linear combination at Y_n , thus \bar{Y}_N is normally distributed. By d, we see that its mean is μ and its variance is σ^2 . Thus

$$\frac{\bar{Y}_N - \mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0, 1)$$

ii

First we note that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n - \bar{Y}_N)^2}{\sigma^2} &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n - \mu + \mu - \bar{Y}_N)^2}{\sigma^2} \\
\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N ((Y_n - \mu) - (\bar{Y}_N - \mu))^2}{\sigma^2} &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N ((Y_n - \mu)^2 - 2(Y_n - \mu)(\bar{Y}_N - \mu) + (\bar{Y}_N - \mu)^2)}{\sigma^2} \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{Y_n - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 - N \frac{(\bar{Y}_N - \mu)^2}{\sigma}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{Y_n - \mu}{\sigma}$ is distributed $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, its square is distributed $\chi^2(1)$, and $\sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{Y_n - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \sim \chi^2(N)$. We can also note that $\left(\frac{\bar{Y}_N - \mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \sim N(0, \frac{1}{N})$. So multiplying it by \sqrt{N}

should ensure that it is distributed $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. Thus $N(\frac{\bar{Y}_N - \mu}{\sigma})^2 \sim \chi^2(1)$. The difference between two χ^2 is χ^2 itself so:

$$\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n - \bar{Y}_N)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(N-1)$$

iii

$$\frac{\sqrt{N}(\bar{Y}_N - \mu)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n - \bar{Y}_N)^2}{N-1}}} = \sqrt{N} \frac{(\bar{Y}_N - \mu)}{\sigma} \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(N-1)}{\sum_{n=1}^N (Y_n - \bar{Y}_N)^2}}$$

We may note that this can be written in the form of:

$$\frac{Z}{\sqrt{\frac{\chi^2(N-1)}{N-1}}}$$

since it is a normal distribution divided by the square root of an independent chi-squared divided by its degrees of freedom, this is a t-distribution with N-1 degrees of freedom.

f

i

This point estimator, \bar{Y}_N converges by the law of large numbers to $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \theta$.

ii

Since $Y \sim \text{Bernoulli}$, $\mathbb{E}[Y] = \theta$, $\text{Var}(Y) = \theta(1 - \theta)$ This tells us that $\mathbb{E}[\bar{Y}_N] = \theta$, $\text{Var}(\bar{Y}_N) = \frac{\theta(1-\theta)}{N}$. We may rewrite $Z_N = \frac{(\bar{Y}_N - \mathbb{E}[\bar{Y}_N])}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\bar{Y}_N)}}$ We may apply the DeMoivre-Laplace Central Limit theorem, which tells us that Z_N converges in distribution to $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. This is in contrast to \bar{Y}_N which converges to a point.