Today.

Last time:

Shared (and sort of kept) secrets.

Today: Errors

Tolerate Loss: erasure codes.

Tolerate corruption!

Uniqueness Fact. At most one degree d polynomial contains d+1 points.

Proof:

Roots fact: Any degree *d* polynomial has at most *d* roots.

Assume two different polynomials Q(x) and P(x) hits d+1 points.

R(x) = Q(x) - P(x) has d + 1 roots and is degree d. Contradiction.

Must prove Roots fact.

In general..

Given points: (x_1, y_1) ; $(x_2, y_2) \cdots (x_k, y_k)$. Solve...

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} a_{k-1}x_{k}^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} & \equiv & y_{1} \pmod{p} \\ a_{k-1}x_{2}^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} & \equiv & y_{2} \pmod{p} \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_{k-1}x_{k}^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0} & \equiv & y_{k} \pmod{p} \end{array}$$

Will this always work?

As long as solution exists and it is unique! And...

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p contains d+1 pts.

Polynomial Division.

Divide $4x^2 - 3x + 2$ by (x - 3) modulo 5.

$$4x^2 - 3x + 2 \equiv (x - 3)(4x + 4) + 4 \pmod{5}$$

In general, divide P(x) by (x - a) gives Q(x) and remainder r.

That is, P(x) = (x - a)Q(x) + r

r is degree 0 polynomial..or a constant!

Uniqueness.

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p contains d+1 pts.

Existence: Lagrange interpolation. Uniqueness?

Uniqueness Fact. At most one degree d polynomial hits d+1 points.

Only d roots.

Lemma 1: P(x) has root a iff P(x)/(x-a) has remainder 0:

P(x) = (x - a)Q(x).

Proof: P(x) = (x - a)Q(x) + r.

Plugin a: P(a) = r = 0.

Lemma 2: P(x) has d roots; r_1, \ldots, r_d then $P(x) = (x - r_1)(x - r_2) \cdots (x - r_d)c(x)$.

Proof Sketch: By induction.

Base Case: degree 0. No roots.

Induction Step: $P(x) = (x - r_1)Q(x)$ by Lemma 1.

Q(x) has smaller degree ...

so by induction hypothesis...

we are done.

Thus, d + 1 roots implies degree is at least d + 1.

The contrapositive...

Roots fact: Any degree *d* polynomial has at most *d* roots.

Summary.

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly 1 polynomial of degree $\leq d$ with arithmetic modulo prime p contains d+1 pts.

Existence:

Lagrange Interpolation.

Uniqueness:

At most *d* roots for degree *d* polynomial.

Efficiency.

Need p > n to hand out n shares: $P(1) \dots P(n)$.

For *b*-bit secret, must choose a prime $p > 2^b$.

Theorem: There is always a prime between n and 2n.

Chebyshev said it, And I say it again,

There is always a prime

Between n and 2n.

Working over numbers within 1 bit of secret size.

Minimal!

With k shares, reconstruct polynomial, P(x).

With k-1 shares, any of p values possible for P(0)!

(Within 1 bit of) any b-bit string possible!

(Within 1 bit of) b-bits are missing: one P(i).

Within 1 of optimal number of bits.

Finite Fields

Proof works for reals, rationals, and complex numbers.

..but not for integers, since no multiplicative inverses.

Arithmetic modulo a prime *p* has multiplicative inverses..

..and has only a finite number of elements.

Good for computer science.

Arithmetic modulo a prime p is a **finite field** denoted by F_p or GF(p).

Intuitively, a field is a set with operations corresponding to addition, multiplication, and division.

Runtime.

Runtime: polynomial in k, n, and $\log p$.

- 1. Evaluate degree n-1 polynomial n+k times using $\log p$ -bit numbers. $O(kn \log^2 p)$.
- 2. Reconstruct secret by solving system of n equations using $\log p$ -bit arithmetic. $O(n^3 \log^2 p)$.
- 3. Matrix has special form so $O(n \log n \log^2 p)$ reconstruction.

Faster versions in practice are almost as efficient.

Secret Sharing

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly one polynomial degree $\leq d$ over GF(p), P(x), that hits d+1 points.

Shamir's k out of n Scheme:

Secret $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

1. Choose $a_0 = s$, and random a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} .

2. Let $P(x) = a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + a_{k-2}x^{k-2} + \cdots + a_0$ with $a_0 = s$.

3. Share i is point $(i, P(i) \mod p)$.

Roubustness: Any *k* knows secret.

Knowing k pts, only one P(x), evaluate P(0).

Secrecy: Any k-1 knows nothing.

Knowing $\leq k - 1$ pts, any P(0) is possible.

Efficiency: ???

Finite Fields

Proof works for reals, rationals, and complex numbers.

..but not for integers, since no multiplicative inverses.

Arithmetic modulo a prime p has multiplicative inverses..

..and has only a finite number of elements.

Good for computer science.

Arithmetic modulo a prime m is a **finite field** denoted by F_m or GF(m).

Intuitively, a field is a set with operations corresponding to addition, multiplication, and division.

Secret Sharing

Modular Arithmetic Fact: Exactly one polynomial degree $\leq d$ over GF(p), P(x), that hits d+1 points.

Shamir's k out of n Scheme:

Secret $s \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

- 1. Choose $a_0 = s$, and randomly a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1} .
- 2. Let $P(x) = a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + a_{k-2}x^{k-2} + \cdots + a_0$ with $a_0 = s$.
- 3. Share i is point $(i, P(i) \mod p)$.

Roubustness: Any k knows secret.

Knowing k pts, only one P(x), evaluate P(0).

Secrecy: Any k-1 knows nothing.

Knowing $\leq k-1$ pts, any P(0) is possible.

Two points make a line: the value of one point allows any y-intercept.

3 kids hand out 3 points. Any two know the line.

A bit more counting.

What is the number of degree d polynomials over GF(m)?

- $ightharpoonup m^{d+1}$: d+1 coefficients from $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$.
- $ightharpoonup m^{d+1}$: d+1 points with y-values from $\{0,\ldots,m-1\}$

Infinite number for reals, rationals, complex numbers!

Minimality.

Need p > n to hand out n shares: $P(1) \dots P(n)$.

For *b*-bit secret, must choose a prime $p > 2^b$.

Theorem: There is always a prime between n and 2n.

Chebyshev said it,

And I say it again,

There is always a prime

Between n and 2n.

Working over numbers within 1 bit of secret size. Minimality.

With k shares, reconstruct polynomial, P(x).

With k-1 shares, any of p values possible for P(0)!

(Almost) any b-bit string possible!

(Almost) the same as what is missing: one P(i).

Secret Sharing.

n people, k is enough.

- (A) The modulus needs to be at least n+1.
- (B) The modulus needs to be at least k.
- (C) Use degree *k* polynomial, hand out *n* points.
- (D) Use degree *n* polynomial, hand out *k* points.
- (E) Use degree k-1 polynomial, hand out n points.
- (F) The modulus needs to be at least 2^s , where s is value of secret.
- (G) The modulus needs to be at least 2^s , where s is size of secret.


(A), (B), (E), (F)

Runtime.

Runtime: polynomial in k, n, and $\log p$.

- 1. Evaluate degree k-1 polynomial n times using $\log p$ -bit numbers
- 2. Reconstruct secret by solving system of *k* equations using log *p*-bit arithmetic.

Erasure Codes.



Solution Idea.

n packet message, channel that loses k packets.

Must send n+k packets!

Any *n* packets should allow reconstruction of *n* packet message.

Any *n* point values allow reconstruction of degree n-1 polynomial.

Alright!!!!!!

Use polynomials.

Information Theory.

Size: Can choose a prime between 2^{b-1} and 2^b . (Lose at most 1 bit per packet.)

But: packets need label for x value.

There are Galois Fields $GF(2^n)$ where one loses nothing.

- Can also run the Fast Fourier Transform.

In practice, O(n) operations with almost the same redundancy.

Comparison with Secret Sharing: information content.

Secret Sharing: each share is size of whole secret.

Coding: Each packet has size 1/n of the whole message.

The Scheme

Problem: Want to send a message with *n* packets.

Channel: Lossy channel: loses k packets.

Question: Can you send n+k packets and recover message?

A degree n-1 polynomial determined by any n points!

Erasure Coding Scheme: message = m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1} .

- 1. Choose prime $p \approx 2^b$ for packet size b.
- 2. $P(x) = m_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + m_0 \pmod{p}$.
- 3. Send P(1), ..., P(n+k).

Any n of the n+k packets gives polynomial ...and message!

Erasure Code: Example.

Send message of 1,4, and 4.

Make polynomial with P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 4.

How?

Lagrange Interpolation.

Linear System.

Work modulo 5.

$$P(x) = x^2 \pmod{5}$$


$$P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 9 = 4 \pmod{5}$$

Send $(0, P(0)) \dots (5, P(5))$.

6 points. Better work modulo 7 at least!

Why?
$$(0, P(0)) = (5, P(5)) \pmod{5}$$

Erasure Codes.



Example

Make polynomial with P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 4.

Modulo 7 to accommodate at least 6 packets.

Linear equations:

$$P(1) = a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

 $P(2) = 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$

Optimal.

$$P(3) = 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$

$$6a_1 + 3a_0 = 2 \pmod{7}, \ 5a_1 + 4a_0 = 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$a_1 = 2a_0$$
. $a_0 = 2 \pmod{7}$ $a_1 = 4 \pmod{7}$ $a_2 = 2 \pmod{7}$

$$P(x) = 2x^2 + 4x + 2$$

$$P(1) = 1$$
, $P(2) = 4$, and $P(3) = 4$

Send

Packets: (1,1),(2,4),(3,4),(4,7),(5,2),(6,0)

Notice that packets contain "x-values".

Bad reception!

Send: (1,1),(2,4),(3,4),(4,7),(5,2),(6,0)

Recieve: (1,1) (2,4), (6,0)

Reconstruct?

Format: (i, R(i)).

Lagrange or linear equations.

$$P(1) = a_2 + a_1 + a_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$$

$$P(2) = 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$$


$$P(6) = 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

Channeling Sahai ...

$$P(x) = 2x^2 + 4x + 2$$

Message? P(1) = 1, P(2) = 4, P(3) = 4.

Error Correction



Questions for Review

You want to encode a secret consisting of 1,4,4.

How big should modulus be?

Larger than 144 and prime!

Remember the secret, s = 144, must be one of the possible values.

You want to send a message consisting of packets 1,4,2,3,0

through a noisy channel that loses 3 packets.

How big should modulus be?

Larger than 8 and prime!

The other constraint: arithmetic system can represent 0,1,2,3,4.

Send *n* packets *b*-bit packets, with *k* errors.

Modulus should be larger than n+k and also larger than 2^b .

The Scheme.

Problem: Communicate n packets $m_1, ..., m_n$ on noisy channel that corrupts $\leq k$ packets.

Reed-Solomon Code:

- 1. Make a polynomial, P(x) of degree n-1, that encodes message.
 - $P(1) = m_1, ..., P(n) = m_n.$
 - ► Comment: could encode with packets as coefficients.
- 2. Send P(1), ..., P(n+2k).

After noisy channel: Recieve values $R(1), \dots, R(n+2k)$.

Properties:

- (1) P(i) = R(i) for at least n + k points i,
- (2) P(x) is unique degree n-1 polynomial that contains > n+k received points.

Polynomials.

- ..give Secret Sharing.
- ..give Erasure Codes.

Error Correction:

Noisy Channel: corrupts k packets. (rather than loss.)

Additional Challenge: Finding which packets are corrupt.

Properties: proof.

```
P(x): degree n-1 polynomial.
Send P(1), \dots, P(n+2k)
```

Receive $R(1), \dots, R(n+2k)$ At most k is where $P(i) \neq R(i)$.

Properties:

- (1) P(i) = R(i) for at least n + k points i,
- (2) P(x) is unique degree n-1 polynomial that contains $\geq n+k$ received points.

Proof:

- (1) Sure. Only k corruptions.
- (2) Degree n-1 polynomial Q(x) consistent with n+k points.

Q(x) agrees with R(i), n+k times.

P(x) agrees with R(i), n+k times.

Total points contained by both: 2n+2k. *P* Pigeons. Total points to choose from : n+2k. *H* Holes.

Points contained by both : 2n > 2n = 100 Holes.

 $\implies Q(i) = P(i)$ at *n* points.

 $\implies Q(x) = P(x).$

Example.

Message: 3,0,6.

Reed Solomon Code: $P(x) = x^2 + x + 1 \pmod{7}$ has

P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6 modulo 7.

Send: P(1) = 3, P(2) = 0, P(3) = 6, P(4) = 0, P(5) = 3.

(Aside: Message in plain text!)

Receive R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3.

P(i) = R(i) for n + k = 3 + 1 = 4 points.

In general..

$$P(x) = p_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots p_0$$
 and receive $R(1), \dots R(m = n + 2k)$.
 $p_{n-1} + \cdots p_0 \equiv R(1) \pmod{p}$

$$p_{n-1} + \cdots p_0 \equiv R(1) \pmod{p}$$

 $p_{n-1} 2^{n-1} + \cdots p_0 \equiv R(2) \pmod{p}$

$$p_{n-1}i^{n-1}+\cdots p_0 \equiv R(i) \pmod{p}$$

$$p_{n-1}(m)^{n-1} + \cdots p_0 \equiv R(m) \pmod{p}$$

Error!! Where???

Could be anywhere!!! ...so try everywhere.

Runtime: $\binom{n+2k}{k}$ possibilitities.

Something like $(n/k)^k$...Exponential in k!.

How do we find where the bad packets are efficiently?!?!?!

Slow solution.

Brute Force:

For each subset of n+k points

Fit degree n-1 polynomial, Q(x), to n of them. Check if consistent with n+k of the total points.

If yes, output Q(x).

- For subset of n + k pts where R(i) = P(i), method will reconstruct P(x)!
- For any subset of n+k pts,
 - 1. there is unique degree n-1 polynomial Q(x) that fits n of them
 - 2. and where Q(x) is consistent with n+k points $\implies P(x) = Q(x)$.

Reconstructs P(x) and only P(x)!!

Ditty...

Oh where, Oh where has my little dog gone?
Oh where, oh where can he be

With his ears cut short And his tail cut long Oh where, oh where can he be?

Oh where, Oh where have my packets gone.. wrong? Oh where, oh where do they not fit.

With the polynomial well put But the channel a bit wrong Where, oh where do we look?

Example.

Received R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3Find $P(x) = p_2x^2 + p_1x + p_0$ that contains n + k = 3 + 1 points. All equations..

```
\begin{array}{ccccc} \rho_2 + \rho_1 + \rho_0 & \equiv & 3 \pmod{7} \\ 4\rho_2 + 2\rho_1 + \rho_0 & \equiv & 1 \pmod{7} \\ 2\rho_2 + 3\rho_1 + \rho_0 & \equiv & 6 \pmod{7} \\ 2\rho_2 + 4\rho_1 + \rho_0 & \equiv & 0 \pmod{7} \\ 4\rho_2 + 5\rho_1 + \rho_0 & \equiv & 3 \pmod{7} \end{array}
```

Assume point 1 is wrong and solve...no consistent solution!
Assume point 2 is wrong and solve...consistent solution!

Where oh where can my bad packets be?

Idea: Multiply equation i by 0 if and only if $P(i) \neq R(i)$. Zero times anything is zero!!!!! My love is won. All equations satisfied!!!!!

But which equations should we multiply by 0? Where oh where...??

We will use a polynomial!!! That we don't know. But can find!

Errors at points e_1, \ldots, e_k . (In diagram above, $e_1 = 2$.)

Error locator polynomial: $E(x) = (x - e_1)(x - e_2)...(x - e_k).$

E(i) = 0 if and only if $e_i = i$ for some i

Multiply equations by $E(\cdot)$. (Above E(x) = (x-2).)

All equations satisfied!!

Example.

Received R(1) = 3, R(2) = 1, R(3) = 6, R(4) = 0, R(5) = 3Find $P(x) = p_2 x^2 + p_1 x + p_0$ that contains n + k = 3 + 1 points. Plugin points...

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (1-2)(\rho_2+\rho_1+\rho_0) & \equiv & (3)(1-2) & (\bmod{7}) \\ (2-2)(4\rho_2+2\rho_1+\rho_0) & \equiv & (1)(2-2) & (\bmod{7}) \\ (3-2)(2\rho_2+3\rho_1+\rho_0) & \equiv & (6)(3-2) & (\bmod{7}) \\ (4-2)(2\rho_2+4\rho_1+\rho_0) & \equiv & (0)(4-2) & (\bmod{7}) \\ (5-2)(4\rho_2+5\rho_1+\rho_0) & \equiv & (3)(5-2) & (\bmod{7}) \end{array}$$

Error locator polynomial: (x-2).

Multiply equation i by (i-2). All equations satisfied!

But don't know error locator polynomial! Do know form: (x - e).

4 unknowns $(p_0, p_1, p_2 \text{ and } e)$, 5 nonlinear equations.

Solving for Q(x) and E(x)...and P(x)

For all points $1, \ldots, i, n+2k = m$,

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

Gives n+2k linear equations.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} a_{n+k-1}+\ldots a_0 & \equiv & R(1)(1+b_{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ a_{n+k-1}(2)^{n+k-1}+\ldots a_0 & \equiv & R(2)((2)^k+b_{k-1}(2)^{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \\ & \vdots \\ a_{n+k-1}(m)^{n+k-1}+\ldots a_0 & \equiv & R(m)((m)^k+b_{k-1}(m)^{k-1}\cdots b_0) \pmod{p} \end{array}$$

..and n+2k unknown coefficients of Q(x) and E(x)!

Solve for coefficients of Q(x) and E(x).

Find
$$P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)$$
.

..turn their heads each day,

$$E(1)(p_{n-1}+\cdots p_0) \equiv R(1)E(1) \pmod{p}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$E(i)(p_{n-1}i^{n-1}+\cdots p_0) \equiv R(i)E(i) \pmod{p}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$E(m)(p_{n-1}(n+2k)^{n-1}+\cdots p_0) \equiv R(m)E(m) \pmod{p}$$

...so satisfied, I'm on my way.

m = n + 2k satisfied equations, n + k unknowns. But nonlinear!

Let
$$Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + \cdots + a_0$$
.

Equations:

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$$
.

and linear in a_i and coefficients of E(x)!

Example.

Received
$$R(1) = 3$$
, $R(2) = 1$, $R(3) = 6$, $R(4) = 0$, $R(5) = 3$
 $Q(x) = E(x)P(x) = a_3x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_1x + a_0$
 $E(x) = x - b_0$
 $Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$.

$$\begin{array}{rclcrcl} a_3 + a_2 + a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(1-b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 4a_2 + 2a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 1(2-b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 2a_2 + 3a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 6(3-b_0) \pmod{7} \\ a_3 + 2a_2 + 4a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 0(4-b_0) \pmod{7} \\ 6a_3 + 4a_2 + 5a_1 + a_0 & \equiv & 3(5-b_0) \pmod{7} \end{array}$$

$$a_3 = 1$$
, $a_2 = 6$, $a_1 = 6$, $a_0 = 5$ and $b_0 = 2$.
 $Q(x) = x^3 + 6x^2 + 6x + 5$.
 $E(x) = x - 2$.

Finding Q(x) and E(x)?

 \triangleright E(x) has degree k ...

$$E(x) = x^k + b_{k-1}x^{k-1}\cdots b_0.$$

 \implies k (unknown) coefficients. Leading coefficient is 1.

ightharpoonup Q(x) = P(x)E(x) has degree n+k-1 ...

$$Q(x) = a_{n+k-1}x^{n+k-1} + a_{n+k-2}x^{n+k-2} + \cdots + a_0$$

 $\implies n+k$ (unknown) coefficients.

Number of unknown coefficients: n+2k.

Example: finishing up.

$$P(x) = x^2 + x + 1$$

Message is $P(1) = 3$, $P(2) = 0$, $P(3) = 6$.
What is $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$? 1
Except at $x = 2$? Hole there?

Error Correction: Berlekamp-Welsh

Message: m_1, \ldots, m_n .

Sender:

- 1. Form degree n-1 polynomial P(x) where $P(i) = m_i$.
- 2. Send P(1), ..., P(n+2k).

Receiver:

- 1. Receive R(1), ..., R(n+2k).
- 2. Solve n+2k equations, Q(i)=E(i)R(i) to find Q(x)=E(x)P(x) and E(x).
- 3. Compute P(x) = Q(x)/E(x).
- **4**. Compute P(1), ..., P(n).

Unique solution for P(x)

Uniqueness: any solution Q'(x) and E'(x) have

$$\frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)} = P(x). \tag{1}$$

Proof:

We claim

$$Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x)$$
 on $n+2k$ values of x . (2)

Equation 2 implies 1:

Q'(x)E(x) and Q(x)E'(x) are degree n+2k-1 and agree on n+2k points

E(x) and E'(x) have at most k zeros each.

Can cross divide at *n* points.

$$\implies \frac{Q'(x)}{E'(x)} = \frac{Q(x)}{E(x)}$$
 equal on n points.

Both degree $\leq n-1 \implies$ Same polynomial!

Check your undersanding.

You have error locator polynomial!

Where oh where have my packets gone wrong?

Factor? Sure.

Check all values? Sure.

Efficiency? Sure. Only n+2k values. See where it is 0.

Last bit.

Fact: Q'(x)E(x) = Q(x)E'(x) on n+2k values of x.

Proof: Construction implies that

$$Q(i) = R(i)E(i)$$

$$Q'(i) = R(i)E'(i)$$

for $i \in \{1, ..., n+2k\}$.

If E(i) = 0, then Q(i) = 0. If E'(i) = 0, then Q'(i) = 0. $\implies Q(i)E'(i) = Q'(i)E(i)$ holds when E(i) or E'(i) are zero.

When E'(i) and E(i) are not zero

$$\frac{Q'(i)}{E'(i)} = \frac{Q(i)}{E(i)} = R(i).$$

Cross multiplying gives equality in fact for these points.

Points to polynomials, have to deal with zeros!

Example: dealing with $\frac{x-2}{x-2}$ at x=2.

Hmmm...

Is there one and only one P(x) from Berlekamp-Welsh procedure? **Existence:** there is a P(x) and E(x) that satisfy equations.

Yaay!!

Berlekamp-Welsh algorithm decodes correctly when *k* errors!

Poll

Say you sent a message of length 4, encoded as P(x) where one sends packets P(1),...P(8).

You recieve packets R(1),...R(8).

Packets 1 and 4 are corrupted.

- (A) $R(1) \neq P(1)$
- (B) The degree of P(x)E(x) = 3 + 2 = 5.
- (C) The degree of E(x) is 2.
- (D) The number of coefficients of P(x) is 4.
- (E) The number of coefficients of P(x)Q(x) is 6.
- (E) is false.
- (A) E(x) = (x-1)(x-4)
- (B) The number of coefficients in E(x) is 2.
- (C) The number of unknown coefficients in E(x) is 2.
- (D) E(x) = (x-1)(x-2)
- $(E) R(4) \neq P(4)$
- (F) The degree of R(x) is 5.
- (A), (C), (E). (F) doesn't type check!

Summary. Error Correction.

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* erasures.

How many packets? n+k

How to encode? With polynomial, P(x).

Of degree? n-1

Recover? Reconstruct P(x) with any n points!

Communicate *n* packets, with *k* errors.

How many packets? n+2k

Why?

k changes to make diff. messages overlap

How to encode? With polynomial, P(x). Of degree? n-1.

Recover?

Reconstruct error polynomial, E(X), and P(x)!

Nonlinear equations.

Reconstruct E(x) and Q(x) = E(x)P(x). Linear Equations.

Polynomial division! P(x) = Q(x)/E(x)!

Reed-Solomon codes. Welsh-Berlekamp Decoding. Perfection!

Cool.

Really Cool!