

## 1 Prove or Disprove

For each of the following, either prove the statement, or disprove by finding a counterexample.

- (a)  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})$  if  $n$  is odd then  $n^2 + 4n$  is odd.
- (b)  $(\forall a, b \in \mathbb{R})$  if  $a + b \leq 15$  then  $a \leq 11$  or  $b \leq 4$ .
- (c)  $(\forall r \in \mathbb{R})$  if  $r^2$  is irrational, then  $r$  is irrational.
- (d)  $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+) 5n^3 > n!$ . (Note:  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  is the set of positive integers)

### Solution:

- (a) **Answer:** True.

**Proof:** We will use a direct proof. Assume  $n$  is odd. By the definition of odd numbers,  $n = 2k + 1$  for some natural number  $k$ . Substituting into the expression  $n^2 + 4n$ , we get  $(2k + 1)^2 + 4 \cdot (2k + 1)$ . Simplifying the expression yields  $4k^2 + 12k + 5$ . This can be rewritten as  $2 \cdot (2k^2 + 6k + 2) + 1$ . Since  $2k^2 + 6k + 2$  is a natural number, by the definition of odd numbers,  $n^2 + 4n$  is odd.

Alternatively, we could also factor the expression to get  $n(n + 4)$ . Since  $n$  is odd,  $n + 4$  is also odd. The product of 2 odd numbers is also an odd number. Hence  $n^2 + 4n$  is odd.

- (b) **Answer:** True.

**Proof:** We will use a proof by contraposition. Suppose that  $a > 11$  and  $b > 4$  (note that this is equivalent to  $\neg(a \leq 11 \vee b \leq 4)$ ). Since  $a > 11$  and  $b > 4$ ,  $a + b > 15$  (note that  $a + b > 15$  is equivalent to  $\neg(a + b \leq 15)$ ). Thus, if  $a + b \leq 15$ , then  $a \leq 11$  or  $b \leq 4$ .

- (c) **Answer:** True.

**Proof:** We will use a proof by contraposition. Assume that  $r$  is rational. Since  $r$  is rational, it can be written in the form  $\frac{a}{b}$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers with  $b \neq 0$ . Then  $r^2$  can be written as  $\frac{a^2}{b^2}$ . By the definition of rational numbers,  $r^2$  is a rational number, since both  $a^2$  and  $b^2$  are integers, with  $b \neq 0$ . By contraposition, if  $r^2$  is irrational, then  $r$  is irrational.

- (d) **Answer:** False.

**Proof:** We will show a counterexample. Let  $n = 7$ .  $5 \cdot 7^3 = 1715$ .  $7! = 5040$ . Since  $5n^3 < n!$ , the claim is false.

## 2 Fermat's Contradiction

Prove that  $2^{1/n}$  is not rational for any integer  $n \geq 3$ . (*Hint:* Use Fermat's Last Theorem. It states that there exists no positive integers  $a, b, c$  s.t.  $a^n + b^n = c^n$  for  $n \geq 3$ .)

### Solution:

If not, then there exists an integer  $n \geq 3$  such that  $2^{1/n} = \frac{p}{q}$  where  $p, q$  are positive integers. Thus,  $2q^n = p^n$ , and this implies

$$q^n + q^n = p^n,$$

which is a contradiction to the Fermat's Last Theorem.

## 3 Pigeonhole Principle

Prove the following statement: If you put  $n + 1$  balls into  $n$  bins, however you want, then at least one bin must contain at least two balls. This is known as the *pigeonhole principle*.

### Solution:

We will use a proof by contradiction. Suppose this is not the case. Then all the bins would contain at most one ball. Then the maximum number of balls we could have would be  $n$ , but this is a contradiction since we have  $n + 1$  balls.

## 4 Numbers of Friends

Prove that if there are  $n \geq 2$  people at a party, then at least 2 of them have the same number of friends at the party. Assume that friendships are always reciprocated: that is, if Alice is friends with Bob, then Bob is also friends with Alice.

(*Hint:* The Pigeonhole Principle states that if  $n$  items are placed in  $m$  containers, where  $n > m$ , at least one container must contain more than one item. You may use this without proof.)

### Solution:

We will prove this by contradiction. Suppose the contrary that everyone has a different number of friends at the party. Since the number of friends that each person can have ranges from 0 to  $n - 1$ , we conclude that for every  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}$ , there is exactly one person who has exactly  $i$  friends at the party. In particular, there is one person who has  $n - 1$  friends (i.e., friends with everyone), is friends with a person who has 0 friends (i.e., friends with no one). This is a contradiction since friendship is mutual.

Here, we used the pigeonhole principle because assuming for contradiction that everyone has a different number of friends gives rise to  $n$  possible containers. Each container denotes the number of friends that a person has, so the containers can be labelled  $0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ . The objects assigned to these containers are the people at the party. However, containers 0,  $n - 1$  or both must be empty since these two containers cannot be occupied at the same time. This means that we are assigning  $n$  people to at most  $n - 1$  containers, and by the pigeonhole principle, at least one of the  $n - 1$

containers has to have two or more objects i.e. at least two people have to have the same number of friends.