

## 1 Exercises 5.B

**Problem 1:** We wish to show that

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

So, we can multiply  $I - T$  to both sides to get

$$I = (I - T)(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})$$

Then, we can distribute and see we get

$$I = I - T + T - T^2 + T^2 + \dots - T^{n-1} + T^{n-1} + T^n$$

After cancelling out all the similar terms we are left with

$$I = I + T^n = I$$

Since,  $T^n = 0$ . So, to prove the statement we do the following operations

$$I = I + T^n = I$$

$$I = I - T + T - T^2 + T^2 + \dots - T^{n-1} + T^{n-1} + T^n$$

$$I = (I - T)(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})$$

Then, we multiply both sides by  $(I - T)^{-1}$  to get

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

as desired.

**Problem 2:** Assume for contradiction that  $\lambda \neq 2$  and  $\lambda \neq 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 4$ . Then,  $T - 2I$  and  $T - 3I$  and  $T - 4I$  must all be invertible. Given,

$$(T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I) = 0$$

for all  $v \in V$  such that  $v \neq 0$  we have that

$$(T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I)v = 0v = 0$$

Then, for one of the values  $T - 2I$  or  $T - 3I$  or  $T - 4I$  one of them maps  $v$  to 0. Since, they are all invertible their null spaces is just  $\{0\}$ , but then we have a contradiction since we had that  $v \neq 0$ . Therefore, it must be the case that  $\lambda$  is equal to 2, 3 or 4.

**Problem 3:** We proceed directly. Given

$$T^2 = I$$

We get that  $T^2 - I = 0$  so,

$$(T - I)(T + I) = 0$$

Since,  $\lambda \neq -1$  it must be that  $T + I$  is invertible. Thus, for all non zero  $v$  in  $V$  we have that  $(T + I)v$  is non zero. So it must be that for all  $w \in V$ , we have  $(T - I)v = 0$ . By definition  $T - I$  is equal to the 0 linear map, so from  $T - I = 0$  it follows that  $T = I$

**Problem 4:** From  $P^2 = P$  we have that  $P^2 - P = 0$  so it must be that

$$P(P - I) = 0$$

So for all  $v \in V$  we have that

$$P(P - I)v = 0v = 0$$

Thus

$$Pv = 0 \text{ or } Pv = v$$

To show that  $V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P$  we first will show that  $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $v \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$ . Then,  $Pv = 0$  and  $Pv = v$  it follows directly then that  $v = 0$ , so

$$\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$$

Since,  $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  we already have that  $\text{range } P \subset V$  and  $\text{null } P \subset V$ . Then for  $v \in \text{range } P$  and  $w \in \text{null } P$  clearly  $v + w \in V$  so we have that

$$V \supset \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$

For the other side, let  $v \in V$  we have that  $Pv = 0$  or  $Pv = v$ . So,  $v \in \text{range } P$  or  $v \in \text{null } P$  then it follows that clearly for all  $v \in V$  we have that  $v = v + 0$  or  $v = 0 + v$ . So,

$$V \subset \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$

Therefore,

$$V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$