

Tarang Mustawa

Exercises 6.C

③ Firstly, since v_1, \dots, v_m is a basis of U and the Gram-Schmidt process for v_1, \dots, v_m only uses these vectors; e_1, \dots, e_m is a valid orthonormal basis for U . We then just wish to show that $U^\perp = \text{span}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$. Let $v \in V$, then $v = a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m + b_1 f_1 + \dots + b_n f_n$. So, $U^\perp = \{v : \langle v, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } u \in U\}$. Since, $u \in \text{span}(e_1, \dots, e_m)$ if $a_1, \dots, a_m \neq 0$ then there exist some $u \in U$ such that $\langle v, u \rangle \neq 0$. Since, $\langle v, u \rangle = \langle a_1 e_1 + \dots + a_m e_m + b_1 f_1 + \dots + b_n f_n, u \rangle$ and we can just let $u = e_m$ then the value is nonzero. Thus, U^\perp comprises only of vectors that we have a linear combination of f_1, \dots, f_n , so $U^\perp = \text{span}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$.

⑤ Let $v \in V$, then for any subspace U of V , there exists an U^\perp such that $V = U \oplus U^\perp$. So, $v = u + w$, where $u \in U$ and $w \in U^\perp$. Then $(P_U)v = w = (I - P_U)v = Iv - (P_U)v = v - u = w$.

So, $P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$.

⑥ For \Leftarrow direction since $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and all $w \in W$ it must be that $U \subset W^\perp$ or $W \subset U^\perp$. Since $P_U v \in W$ for all $v \in V$, then $P_U v \in U^\perp$, so clearly $P_U(P_U v) = 0$, thus $P_U P_U = 0$.
 \Rightarrow IF $P_U P_U = 0$, then for all $w \in W$ $(P_U P_U)w = P_U w = 0$, so $w \in U^\perp$, thus $W \subset U^\perp$. So it follows for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$, $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$.

⑦ The subspaces $U = \text{range } P$ is the subspace U such that $P = P_U$. Since, has all the properties of P_U from 6.55. Since P is a linear operator the range forms a subspace. Sorry I don't know what more to say it seems pretty straightforward.

⑧ We wish to show that $\|Pv\| \leq \|v\|$ implies that $\text{range } P$ is orthogonal to $\text{null } P$. Suppose $u \in \text{range } P$, then there exists a $v \in V$ such that $u = Pv$. So, $Pu = P^2 v = Pv = u$. Now let $v \in V$ such that $v = u + w$ where $u \in \text{range } P$ and $w \in \text{null } P$. So $Pv = Pu + Pw = u$. Given that $\|Pv\| \leq \|v\|$ we have $\|u\| = \|Pv\| \leq \|u + w\|$. Then from 6.A.6 as the hint suggests $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$, so we can use 6.C.7 that since all the vectors in the $\text{null } P$ are orthogonal to the vectors in $\text{range } P$ there exists a U such that $P_U = P$.

Exercises 7.A

② By definition of an eigenvalue $T - \lambda I = 0$. Then applying 7.6 we get $(T - \lambda I)^* = T^* - \bar{\lambda} I = 0$, so then by definition $\bar{\lambda}$ is an eigenvalue of T^* .

③ By the same argument as in lecture. Let $u \in U$ and $w \in U^\perp$. Then, $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$. Since U is T -invariant $\langle T^* w, u \rangle = 0$ and $\langle u, T^* w \rangle$. Since the orthogonality condition holds for all $w \in U^\perp$, U^\perp must be T^* -invariant.

④ These are all straightforward from 7.7 and rank-nullity theorem.

a) \Rightarrow IF T is injective, then $\text{null } T = \{0\}$ so $(\text{range } T^*)^\perp = \{0\}$, thus by dimensions of orthogonal complements $\text{range } T^* = V$, so T^* is surjective.

\Leftarrow IF T^* is surjective, then $\text{range } T^* = V = (\text{null } T)^\perp$ so $\text{null } T = \{0\}$, so T is injective.

b) \Rightarrow IF T is surjective, $\text{range } T = W = (\text{null } T^*)^\perp$ so $\text{null } T^* = \{0\}$, then T^* is injective.

\Leftarrow IF T^* is injective, $\text{null } T^* = \{0\} = (\text{range } T)^\perp$ so $\text{range } T = W$, thus T is surjective.

⑤ All these follow from the rank-nullity theorem, various dimension theorems, and 7.7

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \operatorname{null} T^* &= \dim (\operatorname{range} T)^\perp \\ &= \dim W - \dim \operatorname{range} T \\ &= \dim W - \dim V + \dim \operatorname{null} T\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \operatorname{range} T^* &= \dim (\operatorname{null} T)^\perp \\ &= \dim V - \dim \operatorname{null} T \\ &= \dim V - \dim V + \dim \operatorname{range} T \\ &= \dim \operatorname{range} T\end{aligned}$$

⑥ Let $p = x^2$ and $q = x$. Observe $p, q \in \mathbb{P}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Then, $\langle Tp, q \rangle = 0$, since $T(x^2) = 0$.
And $\langle p, T^*q \rangle = \langle p, Tq \rangle = \langle p, q \rangle$ which is something not zero. So proof by counterexample.

b) This is not a contradiction since our inner product space is different and not the traditional Euclidean inner product associated with \mathbb{R}^n .

⑦ The self adjoint operators are not a subspace because they are not closed under scalar multiplication, specifically scalar multiplication by complex numbers. Suppose T is a self adjoint operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. Then, T must have a real eigenvalue. $Tv = \lambda v$, but $iTv = \lambda i v$ is not $Tv = \lambda v$, but $iTv = \lambda i v$ is not self adjoint since its eigenvalue is not real.

⑩ From lecture. Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ not be a normal operator, but since it can be expressed as $T = \frac{T+T^*}{2} + \frac{T-T^*}{2}$ where it is a sum of two normal operators, observe $\left(\frac{T+T^*}{2}\right)^* = \frac{T^*+T}{2} = \frac{T+T^*}{2}$, so clearly the set of normal operators is not closed under vector addition.

⑪ \Rightarrow if $P = P_0$, then let $v_1 \in V$ and $u_2 \in U$ and $w_2 \in U^\perp$ such that $v_1 = u_1 + w_1$ and $v_2 = u_2 + w_2$. Then, $\langle P v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle + \langle u_1, w_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$ since $w_2 \in U^\perp$. So $\langle u_1, w_2 \rangle = 0$. Similarly we find that $\langle v_1, P v_2 \rangle = \langle u_1, u_2 \rangle$. So $\langle P v_1, v_2 \rangle = \langle v_1, P v_2 \rangle$ thus P is self-adjoint.

\Leftarrow if P is self-adjoint, then we claim that the subspace U is $\operatorname{range} P$.

Let $u \in \operatorname{range} P$ and $w \in \operatorname{null} P$. Let u' be in V such that $Pu' = u$. Then $P^2u' = Pu$. But $P^2u' = Pu' = u = Pu$. Then by our hypothesis

$\langle Pu, w \rangle = \langle u, w \rangle = \langle u, Pu \rangle = \langle u, 0 \rangle = 0$. So we showed that for all $u \in \operatorname{range} P$ and $w \in \operatorname{null} P$ they are orthogonal, then by O.A.T it must be that $P = P_0$.

⑫ Let u and w be the eigenvectors associated with the eigenvalues $\lambda = 3$ and $\lambda = 4$.

Observe that $u' = \frac{u}{\|u\|}$ is also an eigenvector. Since $\frac{1}{\|u\|}Tu = \lambda \frac{u}{\|u\|}$. Same argument holds for $w' = \frac{w}{\|w\|}$. Since, u' and w' are orthogonal and have length 1, $v = u' + w'$ will have length $\|v\| = \sqrt{2}$, by Pythagorean theorem, (and inner algebra)

Then observe $Tv = Tu' + Tw' = 3u' + 4w'$. So, $\|Tv\| = \|Tu' + Tw'\| = \|3u' + 4w'\| = \sqrt{25} = 5$. Since, they are orthogonal vectors with length scaled by 3 and 4 respectively.

$$\|3u' + 4w'\| = \sqrt{9(1) + 16(1)}$$

$$\textcircled{2} P_{U^\perp} = I - P_U$$

Let $v \in V$ st. $v = u + w$, $u \in U$ and $w \in U^\perp$

$$P_{U^\perp} v = w = (I - P_U)v = Iv - P_U v$$

$$w = v - u = w$$

$\textcircled{3} \Leftarrow$

if $\langle u, w \rangle = 0$ for all $u \in U$ and $w \in W$

$$U \subset W^\perp \text{ and } W \subset U^\perp$$

$$U^\perp = W \oplus X$$

$$V = U \oplus U^\perp$$

$$= U \oplus W \oplus X$$

$$P_W v \in W$$

$$P_W v \in U^\perp$$

$$\text{so } P_U(P_W v) = 0$$

$\textcircled{4}$ claim that $U = \text{range } P$ is a subspace of V such that $P = P_U$
Since it has all the properties of any vector in $\text{null } P$ for

$\textcircled{5}$ Let $u \in \text{range } P$

$$\langle P v, P v \rangle \leq \langle v, v \rangle, \quad v \in \text{null } P$$

$$0 \leq \langle v, v \rangle - \langle P v, P v \rangle$$

$$\|u\| \leq \|v\|$$

$$\begin{array}{l} u \in \text{range } P \\ v \in \text{null } P \end{array}$$

$$\text{Prop } \textcircled{2} \quad \begin{array}{l} u \in \text{range } P \\ w \in \text{null } P \end{array}$$

$$\exists v \in V \text{ st. } P v = u$$

$$P v = u$$

$$P v = P^2 v = P u$$

$$u = P u$$

$$\text{fr } v = u + w$$

$$P v =$$

$$\|u\| =$$

$$(T - \lambda I)^* = T^* - (\lambda I)^* = T^* - \bar{\lambda} I^*$$

$$T^* - \bar{\lambda} I = 0$$

$$\langle T v, w \rangle = \langle v, T^* w \rangle$$

$$u \in U^\perp$$

$$\langle T v, w \rangle = \langle v, T^* w \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle v', w \rangle =$$

$$0 = \langle v, w \rangle$$

$$0 = \langle T v, w \rangle = \langle v, T^* w \rangle = \langle v, w' \rangle$$

this holds for all w so

$$\textcircled{5} \dim \text{null } T^* = \dim (\text{range } T)^\perp$$

$$= \dim W - \dim \text{range } T$$

$$= \dim W - \dim V + \dim \text{null } T$$

$$\dim \text{range } T^* = \dim (\text{null } T)^\perp$$

$$= \dim V - \dim \text{null } T$$

$$= -\dim V + \dim \text{range } T$$

$$(T + S)v$$

$$(T^* + S^*)(T + S)v$$

$$(T^* T + S^* T + T^* S + S^* S)v$$

$$T$$

$$T = \frac{T + T^*}{2} + \frac{T - T^*}{2}$$

$$\frac{T + T^*}{2} = \frac{T^* + T}{2}$$

SCATCH WORK

$$\textcircled{1} \quad v = u + w$$

$$v = u + w$$

$$v' = u' + w'$$

$$(v, v')$$

$$(Pv, v') = (v, P^* v')$$

$$(u, v') \quad (v, u')$$

$$(u, u') + (u, w') \quad (u, u') + (u, w') = 0$$

$$P^2 =$$

$$u \in \text{range } P$$

$$Pv = u$$

$$w \in \text{null } P$$

$$Pv = P^2 v = Pu$$

$$w, u$$

$$Pu = u$$

$$u, v$$

$$Tv = \lambda v$$

$$\langle Pu, v \rangle =$$

$$\langle Pu, v \rangle = \langle u, 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle u, 0 \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle v, v \rangle = 2$$

$$\|v\| = \sqrt{2}$$

$$T(v_1 + v_2)$$

$$\lambda v_1 + v_2$$

$$Tv_1 + Tv_2$$

$$v' = \frac{v}{\|v\|}$$

$$\langle 3v_1 + v_2, 3v_1 + v_2 \rangle$$

$$\lambda^2 \langle v, v \rangle$$

$$\sqrt{9 + 16} = \sqrt{25}$$

$$\lambda^2 \langle$$