

## 1 Exercises 5.B

**Problem 1:** We wish to show that

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

So, we can multiply  $I - T$  to both sides to get

$$I = (I - T)(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})$$

Then, we can distribute and see we get

$$I = I - T + T - T^2 + T^2 + \dots - T^{n-1} + T^{n-1} + T^n$$

After cancelling out all the similar terms we are left with

$$I = I + T^n = I$$

Since,  $T^n = 0$ . So, to prove the statement we do the following operations

$$I = I + T^n = I$$

$$I = I - T + T - T^2 + T^2 + \dots - T^{n-1} + T^{n-1} + T^n$$

$$I = (I - T)(I + T + \dots + T^{n-1})$$

Then, we multiply both sides by  $(I - T)^{-1}$  to get

$$(I - T)^{-1} = I + T + \dots + T^{n-1}$$

as desired.

**Problem 2:** Assume for contradiction that  $\lambda \neq 2$  and  $\lambda \neq 3$  and  $\lambda \neq 4$ . Then,  $T - 2I$  and  $T - 3I$  and  $T - 4I$  must all be invertible. Given,

$$(T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I) = 0$$

for all  $v \in V$  such that  $v \neq 0$  we have that

$$(T - 2I)(T - 3I)(T - 4I)v = 0v = 0$$

Then, for one of the values  $T - 2I$  or  $T - 3I$  or  $T - 4I$  one of them maps  $v$  to 0. Since, they are all invertible their null spaces is just  $\{0\}$ , but then we have a contradiction since we had that  $v \neq 0$ . Therefore, it must be the case that  $\lambda$  is equal to 2, 3 or 4.

**Problem 3:** We proceed directly. Given

$$T^2 = I$$

We get that  $T^2 - I = 0$  so,

$$(T - I)(T + I) = 0$$

Since,  $\lambda \neq -1$  it must be that  $T + I$  is invertible. Thus, for all non zero  $v$  in  $V$  we have that  $(T + I)v$  is non zero. So it must be that for all  $w \in V$ , we have  $(T - I)v = 0$ . By definition  $T - I$  is equal to the 0 linear map, so from  $T - I = 0$  it follows that  $T = I$

**Problem 4:** From  $P^2 = P$  we have that  $P^2 - P = 0$  so it must be that

$$P(P - I) = 0$$

So for all  $v \in V$  we have that

$$P(P - I)v = 0v = 0$$

Thus

$$Pv = 0 \text{ or } Pv = v$$

To show that  $V = \text{null } P \oplus \text{range } P$  we first will show that  $\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$ . Suppose  $v \in \text{null } P \cap \text{range } P$ . Then,  $Pv = 0$  and  $Pv = v$  it follows directly then that  $v = 0$ , so

$$\text{null } P \cap \text{range } P = \{0\}$$

Since,  $P \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  we already have that  $\text{range } P \subset V$  and  $\text{null } P \subset V$ . Then for  $v \in \text{range } P$  and  $w \in \text{null } P$  clearly  $v + w \in V$  so we have that

$$V \supset \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$

For the other side, let  $v \in V$  we have that  $Pv = 0$  or  $Pv = v$ . So,  $v \in \text{range } P$  or  $v \in \text{null } P$  then it follows that clearly for all  $v \in V$  we have that  $v = v + 0$  or  $v = 0 + v$ . So,

$$V \subset \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$

Therefore,

$$V = \text{range } P \oplus \text{null } P$$

**Problem 8:** I'm just going to express  $T$  as a matrix in terms of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , I hope that's okay. So, the goal is that  $T^4 = -1$  well if we find  $T$  such that it represent an eighth clockwise turn, we are done. Since 4 turns of  $T$  would be equivalent to  $-1$ . We can construct  $T$  by simply seeing where the basis vectors would be mapped if they were turned by an eighth. So,  $(1, 0)$  would map to  $(\sqrt{2}/2, \sqrt{2}/2)$  and then  $(0, 1)$  would map to  $(\sqrt{2}/2, -\sqrt{2}/2)$ . That is basically the definition of  $T$ , but in matrix form it is

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} & -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Problem 9:** If  $p(T)v = 0$  by properties of polynomials we can then express  $p(T)$  as a polynomial where we factor out the zero. That is for some  $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F})$  and some constant  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ ,

$$p(T) = q(T) \cdot (T - \lambda)$$

Then we have that,  $(T - \lambda)v = 0$ , so then by rearranging we get that in fact  $Tv = \lambda v$ . So,  $\lambda$  must be an eigenvalue of  $T$ .

**Problem 10:** Given  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$  we have that  $Tv = \lambda v$  for some eigenvector  $v$ . Thus, we know that  $T(Tv) = T\lambda v = \lambda Tv = \lambda^2 v$ . We then use this inductive argument to get that  $T^n v = \lambda^n v$ . Since,  $p(T)$  is of some form as  $p(T)v = a_m T^m v + \dots$  we can simply replace each term of  $T^m v$  with  $\lambda^m v$ , then we get it is equivalent that  $p(T)v = a_m \lambda^m v + \dots$  which is equivalent to expressing the polynomial for  $\lambda$  That is,  $p(\lambda)v = a_m \lambda v + \dots$  so we get

$$p(T)v = p(\lambda)v$$

**Problem 11:**  $\implies$  direction. Since we are working with  $\mathbb{C}$  we have the nice property that

$$p(T) = c(T - \lambda_1 I) \dots (T - \lambda_m I)$$

$\Leftarrow$  direction. We know that if  $\alpha = p(\lambda)$  for some eigenvalue  $\lambda$  of  $T$  then from Problem 10 we know that

$$p(T)v = p(\lambda)v$$

where  $v$  is the corresponding eigenvector. Then, we directly have that

$$p(T)v = \alpha v$$

Thus, by definition  $\alpha$  is an eigenvalue of  $p(T)$ .

## 2 Exercises 5.C

**Problem 1:** We use the fact that since  $T$  is diagonalizable then

$$V = E(\lambda_1, T) \oplus \dots \oplus E(\lambda_m, T)$$

So, now we just wish to show that null  $T$  and range  $T$  are some combination of these eigenspaces. Observe that null  $T$  is equivalent to when  $Tv = 0 = 0v$  that is  $\lambda = 0$ . So, if there is an eigenvalue equal to zero then null  $T = E(0, T)$ . Then, observe that  $V$  has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ , so it suffices to show that if there is a basis of eigenvectors for all  $v \in V$  we have that  $v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_mu_m$  where  $u_i$  is an eigenvector. Well since  $E(\lambda, T)$  is a subspace of  $V$  it holds that any scalar multiple of  $u_i$  is also in its corresponding eigenspace. Therefore, for all  $v \in V$  since we can express it as a sum of  $v = a_1u_1 + \dots + a_mu_m$  and each term is in a corresponding eigenspace then all the  $Tv \neq 0$  are expressed as that sum which is equivalent to the range of  $T$ . Thus,  $V = \text{null } T \oplus \text{range } T$ .

**Problem 3:** Well (b) and (c) are literally the definition of (a) so showing (b) and (c) are equivalent suffices to show (a) is equivalent. For (b)  $\implies$  (c). We have by nullity-rank that

$$\dim V = \text{nullity} + \text{rank}$$

We also have that since the null space and range are subspaces of  $V$

$$\dim(\text{null } T + \text{range } T) = \text{nullity} + \text{rank} - (\dim(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T))$$

But since we have that  $V = \text{null } T + \text{range } T$  We get that

$$\text{nullity} + \text{rank} = \text{nullity} + \text{rank} - \dim(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T)$$

So that implies that  $\dim(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T) = 0$  thus it must be that  $(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T) = \{0\}$ .

For (b)  $\Leftarrow$  (c). We have that

$$\dim(\text{null } T + \text{range } T) = \text{nullity} + \text{rank} - (\dim(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T))$$

Since,  $(\text{null } T \cap \text{range } T) = \{0\}$  by combining nullity-rank theorem we have

$$\dim(\text{null } T + \text{range } T) = \text{nullity} + \text{rank} = \dim V$$

Thus it must be in a finite dimensional space that

$$\text{null } T + \text{range } T = V$$

**Problem 5:** Given that  $T$  is diagonal we know that by subtracting  $\lambda I$  we still have a matrix that has elements only in the diagonal and zeros everywhere else. So it follows from definition that  $T - \lambda I$  is diagonal as well. After that we can directly apply Problem 1 to show  $\implies$  direction. For the  $\Leftarrow$  direction. Observe that in the null space  $Tv = \lambda v$  so basically  $T$  just scales the vectors by  $\lambda$ , so clearly it is that  $T$  is diagonalizable for those vectors. For the vectors in the range

**Problem 16:** (a) We proceed by induction on  $n$ . For the base case  $n = 1$  we have that

$$T(0, 1) = (1, 1) = (F_1, F_2)$$

Then assume the claim holds for some  $n = k$ . That is,

$$T^k(0, 1) = (F_k, F_{k+1})$$

Then we can just compose  $T$  again to get

$$T^{k+1}(0, 1) = T(F_k, F_{k+1}) = (F_{k+1}, F_k + F_{k+1}) = (F_{k+1}, F_{k+2})$$

So we showed the inductive step holds and thus the claim holds for all  $n$ .

(b) this homework is so fucking long and so fucking boring fuck this shit . I miss discrete math with its fun hw problems

## 3 Exercises 6.A

**Problem 11:** This is a direct application of Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. The statement holds for all positive  $a, b, c, d$  because we set it up with the terms  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}}$  and  $\sqrt{a}$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{b}}$  and  $\sqrt{b}$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{c}}$  and  $\sqrt{c}$  and  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}$  and  $\sqrt{d}$ . Then we have something of the form

$$|1 + 1 + 1 + 1|^2 = 16 \leq (a + b + c + d) \left( \frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{c} + \frac{1}{d} \right)$$

which we get from just squaring all the terms before to fit the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality form.