

Chapter 1.A

Problem 11: By the definition of multiplication of scalars and lists we know that λ must satisfy all the following equations.

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(2 - 3i) &= 12 - 5i \\ \lambda(5 + 4i) &= 7 + 22i \\ \lambda(-6 + 7i) &= -32 - 9i\end{aligned}$$

Solving for the first two equations gives us that

$$\lambda = 3 + 2i$$

But for the last equation we find that this value for λ does not work. Therefore no such λ exists that satisfies all three equations and therefore the larger equation.

Chapter 1.B

Problem 1: By the existence of an additive inverse for all v we have

$$-(-v) + (-v) = 0$$

adding v to both sides

$$-(-v) + (-v) + v = 0 + v$$

by associativity

$$\begin{aligned}-(-v) + ((-v) + v) &= v \\ -(-v) + 0 &= v\end{aligned}$$

$-(-v) = v$ as desired.

Problem 6: No, $\mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\} \cup \{-\infty\}$ is not a vector space, because it does not follow all the properties of a vector space. Specifically, consider associativity. It must be true that,

$$\begin{aligned}((-\infty) + (-\infty)) + \infty &= (-\infty) + ((-\infty) + \infty) \\ 0 &\neq (-\infty)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore associativity does not hold and it is not a vector space.

1 Chapter 1.C

Problem 1(c): No. Consider the following counter example. Let $a = (1, 1, 0)$. This is in the subspace since $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 0 = 0$ and let $b = (1, 0, 1)$. This is in the subspace since $1 \cdot 0 \cdot 1 = 0$. For the subspace to be closed under addition $a + b$ must be in the subspace, but $a + b = (1, 1, 1)$ which is not in the subspace since $1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 = 1$.

Problem 1(d): Yes. It is closed under vector addition and scalar multiplication. The additive identity can be shown from these two as noted in lecture.

Closure under addition:

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ such that they are in the subspace. For $x + y$ to be in the subspace, we need to show that $x_1 + y_1 = 5(x_3 + y_3)$. Which follows from the fact that $x_1 + y_1 = 5x_3 + 5y_3 = 5(x_3 + y_3)$ by factoring out the 5. Also note that $x_1 + y_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ so that part is definitely in the subspace.

Closure under multiplication:

Let $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ and $c \in \mathbb{F}$ it holds that $cx_1 = 5cx_3$ which is then just $x_1 = 5x_3$ therefore it is closed under scalar multiplication. Also, note that $cx_1 \in \mathbb{F}$ so that condition is met as well for all the elements.

Problem 3: Yes. The following is a subspace.

Closure under addition:

Let $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{(4,4)}$ such that they are differentiable and $f'(-1) = 3f(2)$ and $g(-1) = 3g(2)$. Firstly, the sum of differentiable functions is differentiable. Adding the two we get

$$f'(-1) + g'(-1) = 3f(2) + 3g(2)$$

We can factor out the 3 and then use the definition for function addition

$$(f' + g')(-1) = 3((f + g)(2))$$

which then by the definition of scalar multiplication is

$$(f' + g')(-1) = (3(f + g))(2)$$

as desired.

Closure under multiplication:

A scalar multiple of a differentiable function is still differentiable. Given

$$\lambda f'(-1) = 3\lambda f(2)$$

using the definition of scalar multiplication we have

$$(\lambda f')(-1) = (\lambda 3f)(2)$$

as desired.

Problem 5: No. The complex vector space is a vector space over \mathbb{C} so it is possible to multiply for example with a scalar i , in which case \mathbb{R}^2 is not closed under multiplication.

Problem 7: Let $U = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x^2 + y^2 = 1\}$. That is U the unit circle. We can always find an additive inverse, namely, $(-x, -y)$ for some $x, y \in U$. It is not a subspace because it does not have the additive identity.

Problem 8: From question 1 part c. Let $U = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : xy = 0\}$. Clearly, and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ times the $u \in U$ will still be in the subspace. Since, if $xy = 0 \implies cxy = 0$. It is not closed under addition as shown in Problem 1(c).

2 2.A