

## 1 Exercises 3.F

**Problem 7:** Since,  $p$  is in the standard basis of  $\mathcal{P}_m(\mathbb{R})$  it has only one term to consider. Namely, a term  $x^j$  where  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Then, if  $p$  has a degree strictly greater than  $j$  it will have some  $x$  term for  $p^{(j)}$ . Thus, evaluated at 0, it will equal 0. If  $p$  has a degree strictly less than  $j$  then  $p^{(j)} = 0$  by the power rule from calculus. So, when  $p$  has degree exactly  $j$  we have that it will evaluate to some constant by the power rule. This constant will be exactly  $j!$ , again by the power rule. So, we normalize it by dividing it by  $j!$ , so  $\varphi(j) = 1$ . Then, we have a valid  $\varphi_j$  such that it only evaluates to 1 for one of the polynomials in the standard basis and 0 for all other. Thus, a valid dual basis.

**Problem 9:** Since,  $\psi \in V'$  it is equivalent to the following linear combination with scalars  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ . That is,

$$\psi = a_1\varphi_1 + \dots + a_n\varphi_n$$

Then for some  $v_j$  in the basis of  $V$  we have that

$$\psi(v_j) = a_j\varphi_j(v_j) = a_j$$

Since, all the other ones are 0, since it is evaluated at a basis vector. Then, we simply make direct substitutions for each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and get the desired result.

**Problem 11:** This is pretty simple. For the  $\Leftarrow$  direction. All  $d_j$  are some arbitrary constants in  $\mathbb{F}$ . So, we construct  $A_{j,k}$  such that each column is just a scalar multiple of each other. Since, we are multiplying the same  $(c_1, \dots, c_m)$  by arbitrary constants  $d_j$ . Then, clearly if all the vectors are scalar multiples of each other we must remove all of them except 1 to get a linearly independent list. Therefore, the dimension of the row space is 1 and thus rank is 1. For the  $\Rightarrow$  direction we argue that since  $A$  has rank 1, then the column space has dimension 1. So, it follows that the columns are constructed as follows for some vectors  $d_1, \dots, d_n$ . That is they are scalar multiples of each other.

**Problem 19:**  $\Rightarrow$ . If  $U = V$ , then the  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi(u) = 0 = \varphi(v)$  for all  $u \in U$  and all  $v \in V$  is just 0, since 0 is unique in a vector space.

$\Leftarrow$ . We know  $V$  is finite-dimensional, so

$$\dim U + \dim U^0 = \dim V$$

But, the annihilator has dimension 0 so  $\dim U = \dim V$  since,  $U$  is a subspace of  $V$  it implies that  $U = V$

**Problem 20:** Pick an arbitrary  $\varphi \in W^0$ . Since,  $U \subset W$  for all  $u \in U$ ,  $\varphi(u) = 0$ , so clearly  $\varphi \in U^0$ . We showed this for an arbitrary  $\varphi$  so  $W^0 \subset U^0$ .

**Problem 21:** Since  $V$  is finite dimensional we abuse the dimension of the annihilator formula.

$$\begin{aligned}\dim U + \dim U^0 &= \dim V \\ \dim W + \dim W^0 &= \dim V \\ \dim W + \dim W^0 &= \dim U + \dim U^0\end{aligned}$$

Since,  $W^0 \subset U^0$  we have that

$$\dim W^0 \leq \dim U^0$$

Then, to hold the equality it must be the case that

$$\dim W \geq \dim U$$

Therefore,

$$W \supset U$$

**Problem 22:** For some  $v \in V + U$  we have that  $v = u + w$  for  $u \in U$  and  $w \in W$ . Then for some  $\varphi \in (U + W)^0$ ,  $\varphi(v) = 0 = \varphi(u + w) = \varphi(u) + \varphi(w) = 0$ . Since,  $u, w \in U + W$  then clearly they must be zero as well. So,  $\varphi \in U^0 \cap W^0$ . Thus,  $U + W^0 \subset U^0 \cap W^0$ . We use the exact same argument in the reverse direction to get the other conclusion  $U + W^0 \supset U^0 \cap W^0$ . So, then it must be that  $U + W^0 = U^0 \cap W^0$

**Problem 23:** Since,  $V$  is finite dimensional and  $U$  and  $W$  are subspaces we know

$$\dim V = \dim U + \dim W - \dim U \cap W$$

by adding extra  $\dim V$  on each sides and moving things around we get

$$\dim V - \dim U + \dim V - \dim W = \dim V - \dim U \cap W$$

We also know that  $\dim U^0 + \dim U = \dim V$  and  $\dim W^0 + \dim W = \dim V$  and  $\dim(U \cap W) + \dim(U \cap W)^0 = \dim V$ . Then making the right substitutions we get,

$$\dim U^0 + \dim W^0 = \dim(U \cap W)^0$$

To make it all work, we just have to show that one of the sides is a subspace of the other. Suppose we have a  $\varphi \in (U \cap W)^0$ , then for some  $\psi \in U^0 + W^0$  we know that  $\psi = \varphi_U + \varphi_W$  for  $\varphi_U \in U^0$  and  $\varphi_W \in W^0$ . Then, clearly  $\psi(v)$  for some  $v \in U \cap W$  it holds that  $\psi(v) = (\varphi_U + \varphi_W)(v) = \varphi_U(v) + \varphi_W(v)$  and since  $v$  is in  $U$  and  $W$  it must be that  $\varphi_U(v) = 0$  and  $\varphi_W(v) = 0$  so  $\psi(v) = 0$ . So, we showed that

$$(U \cap W)^0 \subset U^0 + W^0$$

Therefore,

$$(U \cap W)^0 = U^0 + W^0$$

**Problem 34:**

**Problem 35:** We define a linear map  $T : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  as follows. By our understanding of the standard dual basis of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})'$  for some  $\varphi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})'$  is of the form  $\varphi = a_1\varphi_1 + a_2\varphi_2 + \dots$ . So we define  $T(\varphi) = (a_1, a_2, \dots)$ . We know the following coefficients uniquely, so the mapping is to a unique value in  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$ , that is  $T$  is injective. Now we define the inverse  $T^{-1}$  as follows. For some  $(a_1, a_2, \dots) \in \mathbb{R}^\infty$  we map  $T^{-1}(a_1, a_2, \dots) = \varphi = a_1\varphi_1 + a_2\varphi_2 + \dots$ . Then, since each  $\varphi$  is uniquely defined by these polynomials we have shown that  $T^{-1}$  is injective. Since, both  $T$  and  $T^{-1}$  are injective this completes the proof that  $T$  is invertible, so the two vector spaces are isomorphic.

## 2 Exercises 4

**Problem 2:** No. Consider the following counterexample for a subspace where  $m = 2$ .  $x^2 + x$  and  $-x^2$  are in the subspace. But the vector addition of  $x^2 + x - x^2 = x$  is not in the subspace since it has degree 1.

**Problem 3:** No. Consider the following counterexample for a subspace where  $m = 2$ .  $x^2 + x$  and  $-x^2$  are in the subspace, since they both have degree 2 which is even. But the vector addition of  $x^2 + x - x^2 = x$  is not in the subspace since it has degree 1, which is odd.

**Problem 6:** For the  $\Leftarrow$  direction if  $p$  and  $p'$  don't have distinct zeros then it means that there exists no  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(z) = 0 = p'(z)$ . In other words, a point  $z$  such that it is zero and the slope at that point is zero. Then it must be that there is no point  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $p(z) = (a - z)^m$  for  $m > 1$  since by the chain rule  $p'(z)$  will have some form of the term  $m(a - z)^{m-1}$ . This, can only happen if  $m > 2$  and that means that it has repeated zeros. We use the exact same argument to go the other way thus showing the if and only if condition.

**Problem 7:** By 4.15 if a polynomial has a real coefficients and a  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  as a root, the roots come in pairs. Since, pairs are well pairs, and the polynomial has odd degree, so odd zeros there is a forever lonely zero left out. This, forever lonely zero must be a real number since if it were complex it would come in a pair.

**Problem 8:**  $Tp$  is well defined in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{R})$  since for every polynomial the term  $\frac{p-p(3)}{x-3}$  evaluates to a polynomial by our definition of polynomial division. And, when  $x = 3$  it evaluates to  $p'(3)$  which again is well defined for all polynomials.  $T$  is a linear map by closure under addition and scalar multiplication. Observe for  $T(p + q)$  we have

$$T(p + q) = \begin{cases} \frac{p+q-p(3)-q(3)}{x-3} & \text{if } x \neq 3 \\ p'(3) + q'(3) & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases}$$

Which is exactly equivalent to  $Tp + Tq$ . Then for scalar multiplication,

$$T\lambda p = \begin{cases} \lambda \frac{p-p(3)}{x-3} & \text{if } x \neq 3 \\ \lambda p'(3) & \text{if } x = 3 \end{cases}$$

By distributivity for the the top term, and by the power rule for the bottom term. Which are both equivalent to  $\lambda Tp$ .

**Problem 10:** Assume for contradiction that one of the coefficients is complex. Then there is some term in  $p$  as  $az^n$  for some  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $n \leq m$ . Then, a real number multiplied by a complex number is complex. This is a contradiction and therefore the polynomial must have all real coefficients.