

# MUSA 74 Homework 3

Tarang Srivastava

## a. Homework 1.67

Proof. Proceed by induction on  $n$ . For the base case consider when  $n = 1$ . That is,  $p|a_1$ . The base case is trivially true, and the statement holds. Assume the statement holds for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . That is, if  $p|a_i \dots a_k$ , then there is some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $p|a_i$ . In the inductive step, we need to show the statement holds for  $k + 1$ . That is, if  $p|a_i \dots a_{k+1}$ , then there is some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k + 1\}$  such that  $p|a_i$ . We will prove the inductive step by cases. The first case is when  $i$  is in some set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . From our inductive hypothesis we know there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  such that  $p|a_i$ . The second case is when  $i$  is not in some set  $\{1, \dots, k\}$ . Therefore, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $p \nmid a_i$ . Which is equivalent to the  $\gcd(p, a_i) = 1$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , but since  $p|a_i \dots a_{k+1}$ , and the  $\gcd$  for  $p$  and  $a_i \dots a_{k+1}$  is  $p$ . There must exist an  $a_i$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, k + 1\}$  such that  $\gcd$  for  $p$  and  $a_i$  is  $p$  and therefore  $p|a_i$ . Thus, having shown the inductive step the statement holds for all  $n$ .

## b. Homework 1.68

Proof. Since we are concerned about a Cartesian product an arbitrary  $n$  times we can proceed by induction on  $n$ . For the base case of  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$  is trivially countable. Assume that for some Cartesian product  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  times, the product is countable. We will show that the Cartesian product for  $k + 1$  times is also countable. Let  $g : X_1 \times X_2 \times \dots \times X_k \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$  from the inductive hypothesis. Then we can define a bijective function  $f$  such that for  $f(2k) = g(2k)$  and  $f(2k + 1) = n \in X$ . This definition of  $f$  creates a bijection for the  $k + 1$  case and therefore by induction the statement holds for all  $n$ .

## c. Homework 1.69

Proof. Proceed by induction on  $n$ . For the base case consider when  $n = 1$ . The statement holds since,

$$1^2 = \frac{1(2)(3)}{6} = 1$$

For the inductive step assume the statement holds for some  $k$ . That is,

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + k^2 = \frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6}$$

We will show the statement holds for  $k + 1$ . That is,

$$1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + (k+1)^2 = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}$$

From our inductive hypothesis we can substitute in

$$\frac{k(k+1)(2k+1)}{6} + (k+1)^2 = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)(2k+3)}{6}$$

Which with some algebra is equivalent.