HEIGHTS ON WEIGHTED PROJECTIVE SPACES

T. SHASKA

Dedicated to my teacher and friend,
Professor Mehmet Likaj,
on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract. In this short note we extend the concept height on projective spaces to that of weighted height on weighted projective spaces. We show some of the basic properties of this height and show how it can be used to study hyperelliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$. Some examples are provided from the weighted moduli space of binary sextics and octavics.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{WP}_w^n(K)$ be the weighted projective space of weights $\mathfrak{w} = (w_0, \dots, w_n)$ over a field k of characteristic zero. Is there a way to measure the size of points in $\mathbb{WP}_w^n$ similar to the height on the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$? In this short paper we explore this question.

The motivation for considering the above question comes from the theory of hyperelliptic or superelliptic curves. The isomorphism classes of a genus $g \geq 2$ hyperelliptic curve $C: \ y^2 z^{2g} = f(x, z)$ correspond to the tuple of generators of the ring of invariants $S(2, 2g+2)$ of binary forms evaluated at the binary form $f(x, z)$. Such ring of invariants is a weighted projective space. Hence, determining a canonical minimal tuple for any point in $\mathbb{WP}_w^n(K)$ would give a one to one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of curves and such minimal tuples. We illustrate briefly with the genus 2 curves.

In [3] we created a database of isomorphism classes of genus 2 curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Every such isomorphism class was identified uniquely by a set of absolute invariants (i_1, i_2, i_3); see [3] for details. These invariants are defined in terms of the Igusa invariants J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}. Why not identify the curve with the tuple (J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}) instead of (i_1, i_2, i_3)? If we do so then we have determine how to pick the smaller size tuple for any point $p = [J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}]$ and how to do this in a canonical way. The goal of this paper is to address such issues for any weighted projective space.

In this paper we define a *normalization of points* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}_w^n(\mathbb{Q})$ which is the representing tuple of $\mathfrak{p}$ with smallest coefficients. We show that this normalization is unique up to multiplication by a primitive d-th root of unity, where $d = \gcd(w_0, \dots, w_n)$ and is unique when $\mathbb{WP}_w^n(\mathbb{Q})$ is well-formed. The height of a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}_{\mathfrak{w}}^n(\mathbb{Q})$ is the weighted absolute value of coordinates of $\mathfrak{p}$, when $\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized. We generalized such concept of height over any number field K.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14H10,14H45.

We also define the *absolutely normalized tuples* which is a normalization over the algebraic closure of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. This is a normalization by multiplying by scalars which are allowed to be in $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$. The height of an absolutely normalized tuple is called an *absolute height* for analogy with the terminology in [19]. In other words the *absolute height* of a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is the the weighted absolute value of coordinates of $\mathfrak{p}$, when $\mathfrak{p}$ is absolutely normalized. We generalized the concept of absolute height over any number field K.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief introduction to weighted projective spaces $\mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$. A standard reference here is [6]. We consider both *well-formed* and not *well-formed* weighted projective spaces. For any point $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in (\mathbb{Z})^{n+1} \setminus \{0\}$, we define the *weighted greatest common divisor* *wgcd*$(\mathbf{x})$ as the product of all primes $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, we have $p^i \mid x_i$. We will call a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ **normalized** if it has *wgcd*$(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$. We also generalize the normalization of points in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ over the algebraic closure $\bar{k}$.

In Section 3 we define the *weighted projective height* on $\mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ and show that this is well-defined. We prove a version of the Northcott's theorem for the weighted projective height and determine for what conditions on integers $w_o, \dots w_n$ the normalized tuple is unique. Analogously we extend the definitions and results over the algebraic closure. We show how to determine all twists of a given point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ of height $h \leq \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p})$.

When the set of weights is $\mathfrak{w} = (1, \dots, 1)$, then the weighted projective space is simply the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ and our weighted moduli height becomes the usual height on $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ as defined in [4].

The notion of weighted height and absolute weighted height is used in [2], to study the weighted moduli space of binary sextics and in [8] to study the weighted moduli space of binary octavics. Both cases lead to creating databases of genus 2 or genus 3 hyperelliptic curves with small absolute moduli height. For connections of weighted projective spaces and the algebraic curves or other topics on databases of hyperelliptic curves the reader can check [3] and [18]. We give some examples for genus 2 curves and genus 3 hyperelliptic curves, which were the main motivation behind this paper. It remains to be see if there are any explicit relations between the weighted moduli height, moduli height, and height as in [19].

The concept of weighted height in weighted projective spaces, surprisingly seems unexplored before. The only reference we could find was the unpublished report in [5] which defines the function *Size* similarly to our height with different motivations. Our goal in writing this short note was to simply provide a brief introduction to heights in weighted projective spaces. We assume the reader is familiar with the concept of height in projective spaces as in [4] and [10].

Notation The algebraic closure of a field K is denoted by $\bar{K}$. For an algebraically number field K we denote by $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers and by M_K the set of all absolute values in K.

A point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : x_1 : \dots : x_n]$ and the tuple of coordinates $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n)$. By a "curve" we always mean the isomorphism class of a smooth, irreducible curve.

2. Weight projective spaces

Let K be a field of characteristic zero and $(q_0, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n+1}$ a fixed tuple of positive integers called **weights**. Consider the action of $K^\star = K \setminus \{0\}$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(K)$ as follows

$$\lambda \star (x_0, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda^{q_0} x_0, \dots, \lambda^{q_n} x_n)$$

for $\lambda \in K^*$. The quotient of this action is called a **weighted projective space** and denoted by $\mathbb{WP}^n_{(q_0,\dots,q_n)}(K)$. It is the projective variety $Proj\,(K[x_0, ..., x_n])$ associated to the graded ring $K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ where the variable x_i has degree q_i for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

We denote greatest common divisor of $q_0, \dots, q_n$ by $\gcd(q_0, \dots, q_n)$. The space $\mathbb{WP}^n_w$ is called **well-formed** if

$$\gcd(q_0, \dots, \hat{q}_i, \dots, q_n) = 1, \quad \text{for each } \; i = 0, \dots, n.$$

While most of the papers on weighted projective spaces are on well-formed spaces, we do not assume that here. We will denote a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ by $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : x_1 : \cdots : x_n]$.

Let K be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. The group action $K^\star$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(K)$ induces a group action of $\mathcal{O}_K$ on $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(K)$. By $Orb(\mathfrak{p})$ we denote the $\mathcal{O}_K$-orbit in $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ which contains $\mathfrak{p}$.

From now on we continue the setup and the definitions for any number field K even though for our computational purposes we will always assume $K = \mathbb{Q}$.

For any point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(\mathcal{O}_K)$. For the tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$ we define the **weighted greatest common divisor** with respect to the absolute value $| \cdot |_v$, denoted by $wgcd_v(\mathbf{x})$,

$$wgcd_v(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{\substack{d^{q_i} | x_i \\ d \in \mathcal{O}_K}} |d|_v$$

as the product of all divisors $d \in \mathcal{O}_K$ such that for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, we have $d^i \mid x_i$.

The global **weighted greatest common divisor** of a tuple $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}(\mathcal{O}_K)$ is defined to be

$$wgcd(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{v \in M_K} wgcd_v(\mathbf{x}).$$

If $K = \mathbb{Q}$ then

$$wgcd(\mathbf{x}) := \prod_{\substack{p^{q_i} | x_i \\ p \in \mathbb{Z}}} |\, p \,|$$

for all primes p in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Definition 1. We will call a point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ a **normalized point** if the weighted greatest common divisor of its coordinates is 1.

Lemma 1. *Let $\mathfrak{w} = (q_0, \dots, q_n)$ be a set of weights and $d = \gcd(q_0, \dots, q_n)$. For any point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$, the point*

$$\mathfrak{q} = \frac{1}{wgcd(\mathfrak{p})} \star \mathfrak{p}$$

is normalized. Moreover, this normalization is unique up to a multiplication by a d-root of unity.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 = [\alpha_0 : \cdots : \alpha_n]$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = [\beta_0 : \cdots : \beta_n]$ two different normalizations of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then exists non-zero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in K$ such that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \lambda_1 \star \mathfrak{p}_1 = \lambda_2 \star \mathfrak{p}_2,$$

or in other words

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda_1^{q_0}\alpha_0, \dots, \lambda_1^{q_i}\alpha_i, \dots) = (\lambda_2^{q_0}\beta_0, \dots, \lambda_2^{q_i}\beta_i, \dots).$$

Thus,

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n) = (r^{q_0}\beta_0, \dots, r^{q_i}\beta_i, \dots, r^{q_n}\beta_n).$$

for $r = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \in K$. Thus, $r^{q_i} = 1$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. Therefore, $r^d = 1$. This completes the proof. □

Thus we have the following:

Corollary 1. *If* $\gcd(q_0, \dots, q_n) = 1$, *then the normalization of any point* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ *is unique.*

Here is an example which illustrates the Lemma.

Example 1. *Let* $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3] \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$ *be a normalized point. Hence,*

$$wgcd(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1.$$

Since $d = \gcd(2, 4, 6, 10) = 2$, *then we can take* r *such that* $r^2 = 1$. *Hence,* $r = \pm 1$. *Therefore, the point*

$$(-1) \star \mathfrak{p} = [-x_0 : x_1 : -x_2 : -x_3]$$

is also be normalized.

Next we give two examples, which were the main motivation behind this note.

Example 2 (Weighted projective space of binary sextics)**.** *The ring of invariants of binary sextics is generated by the* ***basic arithmetic invariants****, or as they sometimes called,* ***Igusa invariants*** (J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}) *as defined in* [11]. *Two genus 2 curves* $\mathcal{X}$ *and* $\mathcal{X}'$ *are isomorphic if and only if there exists* $\lambda \in K^*$ *such that*

$$J_{2i}(\mathcal{X}) = \lambda^{2i}\, J_{2i}(\mathcal{X}'), \quad \textit{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 5.$$

We take the set of weights $\mathfrak{w} = (2, 4, 6, 10)$ *and considered the weighted projective space* $\mathbb{WP}_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$. *Thus, the invariants of a sextic define a point in a weighted projective space* $[J_2 : J_4 : J_6 : J_{10}] \in \mathbb{WP}_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ *and every genus 2 curve correspond to a point in* $\mathbb{WP}^3_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q}) \setminus \{J_{10} \neq 0\}$. *There is a bijection between*

$$\phi : \quad \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)} \setminus \{J_{10} \neq 0\} \to \mathcal{M}_2,$$

with ϕ *provided explicitly in* [14, Theorem 1].

Using the notion of a normalized point as above we have the following:

Corollary 2. *Normalized points in* $\mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$ *occur in pairs. In other words, for every normalized point* $\mathfrak{p} = [J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}]$, *there is another normalized point* $\mathfrak{p}' = [-J_2, J_4, -J_6, -J_{10}]$ *equivalent to* $\mathfrak{p}$. *Moreover,* $\mathfrak{p}$ *and* $\mathfrak{p}'$ *are isomorphic over the Gaussian integers.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a genus 2 curve with equation $y^2 = f(x)$ and $[J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10}]$ its corresponding invariants. The transformation $x \mapsto \sqrt{-1} \cdot x$ with give a curve $\mathcal{X}'$ with invariants $[-J_2 : J_4 : -J_6 : -J_{10}]$ and the same weighted moduli height.

If two weighted moduli points have the same minimal absolute height, then they differ up to a multiplication by a unit. Hence,

$$[J_2' : J_4' : J_6' : J_{10}'] = [d^2 \cdot J_2 : d^4 \cdot J_4 : d^6 \cdot J_6 : d^{10} \cdot J_{10}]$$

such that d^2 is a unit. Then, $d^2 = \pm 1$. Hence, $d = \sqrt{-1}$. $\square$

So unfortunately for any genus 2 curve we have two corresponding normalized points $[\pm J_2, J_4, \pm J_6, \pm J_{10}]$. In [2] this problem is solve by taking always the point $[|J_2|, J_4, \pm J_6, \pm J_{10}]$.

Example 3 (Weighted projective space of binary octavics)**.** *Every irreducible, smooth, hyperelliptic genus 3 curve has equation $y^2 z^6 = f(x, z)$, where $f(x, z)$ is a binary octavic with non-zero discriminant. The ring of invariants of binary octavics is generated by invariants $J_2, \dots, J_8$, which satisfy an algebraic equation as in* [17, Thm. 6]. *Two genus 3 hyperelliptic curves $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{X}'$ are isomorphic over a field k if and only if there exists some $\lambda \in k \setminus \{0\}$ such that*

$$J_i(\mathcal{X}) = \lambda^i J_i(\mathcal{X}'), \ \textit{for} \ \ i = 2, \dots, 7.$$

There is another invariant J_{14} given in terms of $J_2, \dots J_7$ which is the discriminant of the binary octavic.

Hence, there is a bijection between the hyperelliptic locus in the moduli space of genus 3 curves and the weighted projective space $\mathbb{WP}^5_{(2,3,4,5,6,7)}(K) \setminus \{J_{14} \neq 0\}$. Since $d = \gcd(2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7) = 1$ then we have:

Corollary 3. *For every genus 3 hyperelliptic curve $\mathcal{X}$, defined over a field k, the corresponding normalized point*

$$\mathfrak{p} = [J_2 : J_3 : J_4 : J_5 : J_6 : J_7] \in \mathbb{WP}^5_{(2,3,4,5,6,7)}(K)$$

is unique.

Example 4. *Consider the curve $y^2 = x^8 - 1$. The corresponding point in $\mathbb{WP}^5_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is*

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left[-2^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, 0, 2^{10} \cdot 7^4, 0, 2^{15} \cdot 7^6, 0, -2^{19} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^8\right]$$

Then,

$$wgcd(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence, the point $\mathfrak{p}$ normalized becomes

$$\frac{1}{2} \star \mathfrak{p} = \left[-2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, 0, 2^6 \cdot 7^4, 0, 2^9 \cdot 7^6, 0, -2^{11} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^8\right].$$

In [8] we use such normalized points to create a database of genus 3 hyperelliptic curves defined over $\mathbb{Q}$.

2.1. **Absolutely normalized points.** For any point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ we may assume that $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$ and define

$$\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p}) = \prod_{\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}},\, \lambda^{q_i} | x_i} |\lambda|$$

as the product of all $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, such that for all $i = 0, \dots, n$, $\lambda^i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda^i | x_i$. A point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is called **absolutely normalized** or **normalized over** $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ if $\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

Definition 2. A point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ is called **absolutely normalized** or **normalized over the algebraic closure** if $\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

Lemma 2. *For any point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ its normalization over the algebraic closure*

$$\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1}{\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p})} \star \mathfrak{p}$$

is unique up to a multiplication by a d-th root of unity.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \dots, x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathfrak{p}_1 = [\alpha_0 : \cdots : \alpha_n]$ and $\mathfrak{p}_2 = [\beta_0 : \cdots : \beta_n]$ two different normalizations of $\mathfrak{p}$ over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Then exists non-zero $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ such that

$$\mathfrak{p} = \lambda_1 \star \mathfrak{p}_1 = \lambda_2 \star \mathfrak{p}_2,$$

or in other words

$$(x_0, \dots, x_n) = (\lambda_1^{q_0} \alpha_0, \dots, \lambda_1^{q_i} \alpha_i, \dots) = (\lambda_2^{q_0} \beta_0, \dots, \lambda_2^{q_i} \beta_i, \dots).$$

Thus,

$$(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_i, \dots, \alpha_n) = (r^{q_0} \beta_0, \dots, r^{q_i} \beta_i, \dots, r^{q_n} \beta_n).$$

for $r = \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Thus, $r^{q_i} = 1$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n$. Therefore, $r^d = 1$. This completes the proof. □

Two points $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ are called **twists** of each other if they are equivalent in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ but $Orb_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p})$ is not the same as $Orb_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{q})$. Hence, we have the following.

Lemma 3. *Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ be normalized points in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}'$ are twists of each other if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\star$ such that $\lambda \star \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}'$.*

Next we see another example from genus 2 curves.

Example 5. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the genus two curve with equation $y^2 = x^6 - 1$ and J_2, J_4, J_6, and J_{10} its Igusa invariants. Then the isomorphism class of $\mathcal{X}$ is determined by the point $\mathfrak{p} = [240, 1620, 119880, 46656] \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$. Thus,*

$$\mathfrak{p} = [240, 1620, 119880, 46656] = [2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5;\ 2^2 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5;\ 2^3 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 37;\ 2^6 \cdot 3^6].$$

Therefore,

$$wgcd(240, 1620, 119880, 46656) = 1$$
$$\overline{wgcd}(240, 1620, 119880, 46656) = \sqrt{6}.$$

Hence, $\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized but not absolutely normalized. The point $\mathfrak{p}$ has twists,

$$\mathfrak{p}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \star \mathfrak{p}_1 = [120, 405, 14985, 1458] = [2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \ : \ 3^4 \cdot 5 \ : \ 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 37 \ : \ 2 \cdot 3^6],$$
$$\mathfrak{p}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \star \mathfrak{p}_1 = [80, 180, 4440, 192] = [2^4 \cdot 5 \ : \ 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5 \ : \ 2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37 \ : \ 2^6 \cdot 3],$$

and the absolutely normalized point of $\mathfrak{p}$ which is

$$\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \star \mathfrak{p}_1 = [40, 45, 555, 6] = [2^3 \cdot 5,\ 3^2 \cdot 5,\ 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37,\ 2 \cdot 3]$$

Notice that $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ *has only one twist*

$$\bar{\mathfrak{p}}' = [-2^3 \cdot 5,\ 3^2 \cdot 5,\ -3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37,\ -2 \cdot 3]$$

which is also normalized.

We can do better even with the genus 3 curve from Example 4.

Example 6. *The normalized moduli point in* $\mathbb{WP}^5_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ *the curve* $y^2 = x^8 - 1$ *is*

$$\frac{1}{2} \star \mathfrak{p} = \left[-2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7, 0, 2^6 \cdot 7^4, 0, 2^9 \cdot 7^6, 0, -2^{11} \cdot 5 \cdot 7^8\right].$$

Then,

$$\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\sqrt{14}}, \quad \text{for } \mathfrak{i}^2 = -1.$$

Then its absolutely normalized form is

$$\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \left[5, 0, 2^4 \cdot 7^2, 0, 2^6 \cdot 7^3, 0, -2^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^4\right].$$

□

In the next section we will introduce some measure of the magnitude of points in weighted moduli spaces $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ and show that the process of normalization and absolute normalization lead us to the representation of points in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ with smallest possible coordinates.

3. Heights on the weighted projective spaces

In this section we define a *height* or *magnitude* on the weighted projective spaces. Let K be an algebraic number field and $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = s$. With M_K we denote the set of all absolute values in K.

We define the **height** or **magnitude** of a point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(\mathcal{O}_K)$ as

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{wgcd(\mathfrak{p})} \prod_{v \in M_K} \max\left\{ |x_0|_v^{1/q_0}, \dots, |x_n|_v^{1/q_n} \right\}, \tag{1}$$

where M_K is the set of all norms in K. Let $\mathfrak{p}_0 = [y_0 : \cdots : y_n]$ be the normalization of $\mathfrak{p}$. Then obviously

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}_0) = \prod_{v \in M_K} \max\left\{ |y_0|_v^{1/q_0}, \dots, |y_n|_v^{1/q_n} \right\}.$$

If $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\mathfrak{p}$ then

$$\mathfrak{h}_\infty(\mathfrak{p}) = \max\left\{ |x_0|^{1/q_0}, \dots, |x_n|^{1/q_n} \right\}, \tag{2}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{wgcd(\mathfrak{p})}\, \mathfrak{h}_\infty(\mathfrak{p}).$$

We combine some of the properties of $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p})$ in the following:

Proposition 1. *Let* K *be a number field and* $\mathcal{O}_K$ *its ring of integers. Then the following are true:*

i) The function $\mathfrak{h} : \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is well-defined.*

ii) A normalized point $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ *is the point with smallest coordinates in its orbit* $Orb(\mathfrak{p})$*.*

iii) For any constant $c > 0$ *there are only finitely many points* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ *such that* $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq c$*.*

Proof. i) It is enough to show that two normalizations of the same point $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ have the same height. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ be such normalizations. Then from Lemma 1 we have $\mathfrak{p} = r \star \mathfrak{q}$, where $r^d = 1$. Thus,

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{h}(r \star \mathfrak{q}) = |r| \cdot \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{q}).$$

ii) This is obvious from the definition.

iii) Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$. It is enough to count only normalized points $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(\mathcal{O}_K)$ such that $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) \leq c$. For every coordinate x_i there are only finitely values in $\mathcal{O}_K$ such that $|x_i|_v^{1/q_i}| \leq c$. Hence, the result holds. $\square$

Part iii) of the above is the analogue of the Northcott's theorem in projective spaces.

Remark 1. *If the set of weights $\mathfrak{w} = (1, \dots 1)$ then $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ is simply the projective space $\mathbb{P}^n(K)$ and the height $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p})$ correspond to the height of a projective point as defined in* [19].

Let's see an example how to compute the height of a point.

Example 7. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = (2^2, 2 \cdot 3^4, 2^6 \cdot 3, 2^{10} \cdot 5^{10}) \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$. Notice that $\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized, which implies that*

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = \max \left\{ 2, 2^{1/4} \cdot 3, 3^{1/6}, 2 \cdot 5 \right\} = 10$$

However, the point $\mathfrak{q} = (2^2, 2^4 \cdot 3^4, 2^6 \cdot 3, 2^{10} \cdot 5^{10}) \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$ can be normalized to $(1, 3^4, 3, 5^{10})$ which has height

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{q}) = \max \left\{ 1, 3, 3^{1/6}, 5 \right\} = 5.$$

3.1. **Absolute heights n $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$.** In this section we consider the concept of the height over the algebraic closure $\bar{K}$ of K. As in the previous sections, we first define such height over $\mathbb{Q}$ and then generalize over any number field K.

We define the **weighted absolute height** or the **weighted absolute magnitude** of $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\mathbb{Q})$ as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p})} \max \left\{ |x_0|^{1/q_0}, \dots, |x_n|^{1/q_n} \right\} \tag{3}$$

Let's see an example which compares the height of a point with the absolute height.

Example 8. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = [0 : 2 : 0 : 0] \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then $\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized and therefore $\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = 2$. However, it absolute normalization is*

$$\mathfrak{q} = \frac{1}{2^{1/4}} \star \mathfrak{p} = [0 : 0 : 1 : 0]$$

Hence, $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{p}) = 1$.

Remark 2. *As a consequence of the above results it is possible to "sort" the points in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(\bar{K})$ according to the absolute height and even determine all the twists for each point when the weighted projective space is not well-formed. This is used in* [2] *to create a database of genus 2 curves and similarly in* [8] *for genus 3 hyperelliptic curves.*

We define the **weighted absolute height** or the **weighted absolute magnitude** of $\mathfrak{p} = [x_0 : \cdots : x_n] \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ as

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_K(\mathfrak{p}) = \frac{1}{\overline{wgcd}(\mathfrak{p})} \prod_{v \in M_K} \max\left\{ |x_0|^{1/q_0}, \dots, |x_n|^{1/q_n} \right\} \tag{4}$$

Remark 3. *The concept of weighted absolute height correspond to that of absolute height in* [19]*. In* [19] *a curve with minimum absolute height has an equation with the smallest possible coefficients. In this paper, the absolute height says that there is a representative tuple of* $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$ *with smallest magnitude of coordinates.*

Then we have the following:

Proposition 2. *Let K be a number field and $\mathcal{O}_K$ its ring of integers. Then the following are true:*

i) The absolute height function $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}_K : \mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K) \to \mathbb{R}$ *is well-defined.*

ii) $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{p})$ *is the minimum of heights of all twists of* $\mathfrak{p}$.

iii) For any constant $c > 0$ there are only finitely many points $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{WP}^n_w(K)$ *such that* $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{p}) \le c$.

Proof. Part ii) and iii) are obvious. We prove part i). We have to show that two different normalizations over the algebraic closure have the same absolute height. Let $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{q}$ be such normalizations. Then from Lemma 2 we have $\mathfrak{p} = r \star \mathfrak{q}$, where $r^d = 1$. Thus,

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{p}) = \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(r \star \mathfrak{q}) = |r| \cdot \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{q}).$$

This completes the proof.

□

Let's revisit again our example from genus 2 curves.

Example 9. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the genus two curve with equation $y^2 = x^6 - 1$ and moduli point* $\mathfrak{p} = [240, 1620, 119880, 46656] \in \mathbb{WP}^3_{(2,4,6,10)}(\mathbb{Q})$*. We showed that $\mathfrak{p}$ is normalized and therefore has height*

$$\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{p}) = 4\sqrt{15}.$$

Its absolute normalization is

$$\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = [40, 45, 555, 6] = [2^3 \cdot 5,\ 3^2 \cdot 5,\ 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 37,\ 2 \cdot 3]$$

Hence, the absolute height is

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}(\mathfrak{p}) = 2\sqrt{10}$$

4. Concluding remarks

The normalized and absolutely normalized points provide a very effective way for bookkeeping of points in $\mathbb{WP}^n_{\mathfrak{w}}(K)$. This is nicely illustrated for genus 2 and genus 3 hyperelliptic curves. For genus 2 curves, using results from [14], we are able to construct an equation of the curve and determine all its invariants. It is unclear, however, if a "minimal" tuple of invariants leads in general to a minimal equation of the curve.

References

[1] Mauro Beltrametti and Lorenzo Robbiano, *Introduction to the theory of weighted projective spaces*, Exposition. Math. **4** (1986), no. 2, 111–162. MR879909

[2] L. Beshaj and S. Guest, *Some remarks of the weighted moduli space of binary sextics*, in progress (2018).

[3] L. Beshaj, R. Hidalgo, A. Malmendier, S. Kruk, S. Quispe, and T. Shaska, *Rational points on the moduli space of genus two*, Contemporary Math. **72** (2018), 87–120.

[4] Enrico Bombieri and Walter Gubler, *Heights in Diophantine geometry*, New Mathematical Monographs, vol. 4, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006. MR2216774

[5] An-Wen Deng, *Rational points on weighted projective spaces* (1998), available at `math/9812082`.

[6] Igor Dolgachev, *Weighted projective varieties*, Group actions and vector fields (Vancouver, B.C., 1981), 1982, pp. 34–71. MR704986

[7] G. Frey and T. Shaska, *Isogenies of abelian varieties*, in progress (2018).

[8] S. Guest, T. Shaska, and M. Polak, *On the weighted projective space of binary octavics*, 2018. in progress.

[9] Ruben Hidalgo and Tony Shaska, *On the field of moduli of superelliptic curves*, Contemporary Math. (2017), available at `1606.03160`.

[10] Marc Hindry and Joseph H. Silverman, *Diophantine geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 201, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000. An introduction. MR1745599

[11] Jun-Ichi Igusa, *Arithmetic variety of moduli for genus two*, Ann. of Math. (2) **72** (1960), 612–649. MR0114819

[12] ______, *On the ring of modular forms of degree two over* $\mathbf{Z}$, Amer. J. Math. **101** (1979), no. 1, 149–183. MR527830

[13] A. Malmendier and T. Shaska, *The Satake sextic in F-theory.*, J. Geom. Phys. **120** (2017), 290–305.

[14] Andreas Malmendier and Tony Shaska, *A universal genus-two curve from Siegel modular forms*, SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl. **13** (2017), 089, 17 pages. MR3731039

[15] Jean-François Mestre, *Construction de courbes de genre* 2 *à partir de leurs modules*, Effective methods in algebraic geometry (Castiglioncello, 1990), 1991, pp. 313–334. MR1106431

[16] E. Previato, T. Shaska, and G. S. Wijesiri, *Thetanulls of cyclic curves of small genus*, Albanian J. Math. **1** (2007), no. 4, 253–270. MR2367218

[17] T. Shaska, *Some remarks on the hyperelliptic moduli of genus 3*, Comm. Algebra **42** (2014), no. 9, 4110–4130. MR3200084

[18] ______, *From hyperelliptic to superelliptic curves*, 2018. (in progress).

[19] T. Shaska and L. Beshaj, *Heights on algebraic curves*, Advances on superelliptic curves and their applications, 2015, pp. 137–175. MR3525576

plain

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309

E-mail address: `shaska@oakland.edu`