Software Solutions to Critical Sections

- Critical Sections and Locks
- Buggy Software Implementations
- Peterson's Algorithm
- Practicality Issues:
 - Busy Waiting
 - What about Out-of-Order Memory Operations?

Recall: Critical Sections & Locks

- Execution of critical section by threads must be mutually exclusive.
- · Need protocol to enforce mutual exclusion.
- Easy to do with locks.

inter-task invariant violated

```
Lock lck;
while (TRUE) {
    lck.lock();
    critical section;
    lck.unlock();
    remainder section;
}
```

Recall: Critical Sections & Locks

Lock lck;

- Execution of critical section by threads must be mutually exclusive.
- Need protocol to enforce mutual exclusion.

```
    Easy to do with locks.
```

Locks: Software Implementation 1

Locks: Software Implementation 1

```
turn == 0?
critical section;
-
turn = 1;
BYE!
```

```
- Thread 1
-
-
turn == 1?
turn == 1?
-
-
turn == 1?;
critical section;
turn = 0;
...
turn == 1?
turn == 1?
```

Locks: Software Implementation 2

Locks: Software Implementation 2 Thread 0 locked == false? locked = true; critical section; ?!

Locks: Software Implementation 3


```
Locks: Software Implementation 4
                                               (Peterson Algorithm)
  class Lock {
    private int
                 turn;
    private bool busy[2]; /* initialize to false
                                                           */
    public void lock() {
      busy[self] = true; /* 'self' is current thread
                                                           */
      int other = 1 - self ; /* 'other' is other thread
                                                           */
      turn = other:
      while(busy[other] && turn != self); /* busy loop
                                                           */
    public void unlock() {
      busy[self] = false; /* mark lock not-busy
                                                           * /
  };
                                    Works for 2 threads only!
```

Peterson Algorithm: Considerations

Pros:

- Provides Mutual Exclusion: at most 1 thread in CS.
- Provides Progress: Only threads wanting to enter CS participate in selection of who gets to enter next.
 Selection cannot be indefinitely postponed.
- Provides Bounded Waiting: A thread never has to wait for more than one turn to enter CS.

Cons:

- Works for 2 threads only.
 - · Alternatives: Filter algorithm, Baker's algorithm
- Busy waiting

Eliminating Busy Loop in Peterson's Algorithm

```
class Lock {
 private int
             turn;
 private bool busy[2]; /* initialize to false
                                                        */
 public void lock() {
   busy[self] = true; /* 'self' is current thread
   int other = 1 - self ; /* 'other' is other thread
                                                        */
   turn = other:
   while(busy[other] && turn != self); /* busy waiting
                                                        * /
 public void unlock() {
   busy[self] = false; /* mark lock not-busy
                                                        * /
};
```

Eliminating Busy Loop in Peterson's Algorithm

```
public void lock() {
  busy[self] = true;
  int other = 1 - self;
  turn = other;
  while(busy[other] && turn != self); /* busy waiting */
}
```

Eliminating Busy Loop in Peterson's Algorithm

```
public void lock() {
  busy[self] = true;
  int other = 1 - self ;
  turn = other;
  while(busy[other] && turn != self) {
    scheduler->yield();
  }
  No more busy waiting!
  When lock is busy, give up CPU and try again later.
```

Peterson Algorithm: Considerations

Pros:

- Provides Mutual Exclusion: at most 1 thread in CS.
- Provides Progress: Only threads wanting to enter CS participate in selection of who gets to enter next.
 Selection cannot be indefinitely postponed.
- Provides Bounded Waiting: A thread never has to wait for more than one turn to enter CS.

Cons:

- Works for 2 threads only.
 - · Alternatives: Filter algorithm, Baker's algorithm
- Busy waiting
- Does not work on most modern CPUs, due to out-of-memory operations.

Peterson's Algorithm and Memory Order

```
public void lock() {
  busy[self] = true;
  int other = 1 - self;
  turn = other;
  while(busy[other] && turn != self) {
    scheduler->yield();
  }
}
```

Peterson's Algorithm and Memory Order

```
public void lock() {

busy[self] = true;
int other = 1 - self;
turn = other;

while(busy[other] && turn != self) {

    scheduler->yield();
}
}
```

Peterson's Algorithm and Memory Order

```
public void lock() {

busy[self] = true;
int other = 1 - self;
turn = other;

while(busy[other] && turn != self) {

    scheduler->yield();
}
}
```

Peterson's Algorithm and Memory Order

```
public void lock() {

  busy[self] = true;
  int other = 1 - self;
  turn = other;

  __sync_synchronize();    /* memory fence */

  while(busy[other] && turn != self) {

    scheduler->yield();
  }
}
```

Software Solutions to Critical Sections

- Critical Sections and Locks
- Buggy Software Implementations
- Peterson's Algorithm
- Practicality Issues:
 - Busy Waiting
 - What about Out-of-Order Memory Operations?