

Classical and Quantum Computation of dual basis

It is hard to imagine that by passing to a different basis, a quantum printer suddenly becomes a quantum scanner.

1. Recall that if X is a vector space of $\dim X = n < \infty$ and Y is a vector space $\dim Y = m < \infty$ then

for a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$, the matrix of A with respect to chosen coordinatizations is given by

$$(X, (e, \epsilon)) \xrightarrow[a = [a_i^j]_{m \times n}]{A} (Y, (d, \delta))$$

where ϵ is the dual basis to the basis e of X , δ is the dual basis to the basis d of Y and $a_i^j = \langle \delta^j | Ae_i \rangle$ is the entry at the intersection of the j -th row and the i -th column of the matrix. we have, for a given $x \in X$,

$$x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k x^k, \quad x^k = \langle \epsilon^k | x \rangle, \quad \dots(1)$$

$$y = Ax = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} d_j y^j = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} d_j \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \delta^j | Ae_i \rangle \langle \epsilon^i | x \rangle \quad \dots(2)$$

$$\text{where } y^j = \langle \delta^j | y \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \delta^j | Ae_i \rangle \langle \epsilon^i | x \rangle \quad \dots(3)$$

We may use the symbol $[A]_e^d = a = [a_i^j]_{m \times n}$ for this matrix.

2. Now suppose X has two coordinate systems (e, ϵ) and (e', ϵ') . Then we have $e'_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k b_i^k$ for uniquely given $b_i^k \in \mathbb{F}$ and also $e_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e'_k (b')_i^k$ for uniquely given $(b')_i^k \in \mathbb{F}$.

(i) Writing $Be_i = e'_i$ provides a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{B} X$. Since a linear transformation is determined its values on the basis vectors e_i . Then we have $e'_i = Be_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k \langle \epsilon^k | Be_i \rangle$ (look at (1) above in paragraph 1) so that $b_i^k = \langle \epsilon^k | Be_i \rangle$ (because in $e'_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k b_i^k$, the scalars b_i^k are uniquely given). Thus the matrix of

$$(X, (e, \epsilon)) \xrightarrow{B} (X, (e, \epsilon))$$

is $b = [b_i^k]_{n \times n}$. At the same time, $Be'_i = B \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k b_i^k \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (B e_k) b_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e'_k \langle (\epsilon')^k | Be'_i \rangle$ so that $b_i^k = \langle (\epsilon')^k | Be'_i \rangle$ also $b = [b_i^k]_{n \times n}$ is also the matrix of

$$(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow{B} (X, (e', \epsilon'))$$

And of course, since $Be_i = e'_i$, we have $b_i^k = \langle (\epsilon)^k | e'_i \rangle$ which says (look at (1) above in paragraph 1) that $b = [b_i^k]_{n \times n}$ is also the matrix of

$$(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$$

(ii) There are thus two ways of looking at the relation $e'_i = Be_i$

Passive There are two coordinate systems (Call them two observers); the source basis $e = \{e_i\}$ is changed into the target basis $e' = \{e'_i\}$ (the observer (e', ϵ') replaces the observer (e, ϵ)). The old coordinate system (= the observer) (e, ϵ) measures the coordinates of a vector x (a point in the space) as $\langle (\epsilon)^k | x \rangle = x^k$ and records it as $x = \sum_{k=0}^n |e_k\rangle \langle \epsilon^k | x \rangle$ while the new coordinate system (e', ϵ') records it as $x = \sum_{k=0}^n |e'_k\rangle \langle (\epsilon')^k | x \rangle$ measuring its coordinates as $\langle (\epsilon')^k | x \rangle$. This can be seen as $(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$ so that the vector x has not moved but the source basis e has been changed by the linear transformation B represented by the matrix $[b_i^k]_{n \times n}$ into the target basis e' and thus the matrix $[b_i^k]_{n \times n}$ can be called as the change of basis matrix; thus $b = [b_i^k]_{n \times n}$ works as follows:

Given a vector $x' = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e'_i (x')^i$ in terms of the target basis e' , the application of b rewrites x' in terms of the source basis e

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e'_i (x')^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e'_i\rangle \langle (\epsilon')^i | x' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |e_k\rangle \langle \epsilon^k | Id e'_i \rangle \langle (\epsilon')^i | x' \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |e_k\rangle \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} b_i^k (x')^i \right] \end{aligned}$$

Let us note carefully that the arrow in $(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$ points from the 'target basis' e' to the 'source basis' e . The 'source-target' vocabulary is with reference to the linear

transformation B (e_i gets transformed to $e'_i = Be_i$). Thus we have $B_e^e = B_{e'}^{e'} = P_{e'}^e = [Id]_{e'}^e$ in the notation of paragraph 1 page 1. The other interpretation is

Active There is only one coordinate system (= *observer*), say (e, ϵ) , for X . The $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_i x^i$ moves to a different vector $u = Bx = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (Be_i)x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e'_i x^i$ and the new vector u has components, with respect to (e, ϵ) ,

$$u^k = \langle \epsilon^k | u \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle \epsilon^k | Be_i \rangle x^i$$

$$\text{So that } u = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} e_k b_i^k x^i.$$

(while of course, the k -th component of x is $\langle \epsilon^k | x \rangle = x^k$)

3. Taking the passive interpretation, let us write the change of basis matrix b from $\{e_i\}$ to $\{e'_i = Be_i\}$ as $P_{e'}^e$ so that $P_{e'}^e(e'_i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k b_i^k$ (that is, e'_i has been written in terms of the $\{e_k\}$; see the summary at the end of page 2 above) where $b_i^k = \langle \epsilon^k | e_i \rangle$.

Example 4.1 Consider $\mathbb{R}^3 = X$ the three-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{R} . Take $x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix}$ in X

$$\text{then if } e = \left\{ e_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \text{ we have}$$

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{bmatrix} = e_0(7a - 3b + c) + e_1(-6a + 3b - c) + e_2(4a - 2b + c)$$

saying that each x is expressible uniquely as $\sum_{i=0}^2 e'_i (x')^i$ as well and e' is also a basis. Then because $e'_0 = 2e_0 - e_1 + e_2$, $e'_1 = -e_0 + e_1 + 0e_2$, $e'_2 = e_0 + 0e_1 + 2e_2$ the change of basis matrix which

$$\text{changes } e \text{ into } e' \text{ is } P_{e'}^e = b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \text{ (Obtained by writing components of } e'_0, e'_1, e'_2 \text{ with}$$

respect to e as columns)

$$\text{Now } P_{e'}^e \left(\sum_{i=0}^2 e'_i (x')^i \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2a + 2b - c \\ -8a + 5b - 2c \\ 3a - 2b + c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 7a + 3b + c \\ -6a + 3b - c \\ 4a - 2b + c \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=0}^2 e_i x^i$$

which confirms

$$(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow[P_{e'}^e = b = [b_i^j]]{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$$

in this case.

4. Consider now the matrix which changes e' into e . this will be the matrix b' given by

$$(X, (e, \epsilon)) \xrightarrow[P_{e'}^{e'} = b' = [(b')_i^j]_{n \times n}]{Id} (X, (e', \epsilon'))$$

where $(b')_i^j = \langle (e')^j | e_i \rangle$ where $e_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e'_k (b')_i^k$ and we may write $B'e'_i = e_i$ which provides a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{B'} X$ (\cdot $e' = \{e'_i\}$ is a basis and a linear transformation is determined by its values on the elements of a basis). Then $BB'e'_i = Be_i = e'_i$ and $B'Be_i = Id = B'B$. We of course write B^{-1} for B' .

Then $(b')_i^j = \langle (e')^j | e_i \rangle = \langle (e')^j | B'e'_i \rangle$ presents b' as the matrix for B' as

$$(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow[b' = [(b')_i^k]_{n \times n}]{B'} (X, (e', \epsilon'))$$

$$\text{while } B'e_i = B' \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e'_k (b')_i^k \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (B'e'_k) (b')_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k (b')_i^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k \langle \epsilon^k | B'e_i \rangle$$

($\therefore \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k \langle \epsilon^k | B'e_i \rangle$ being the unique representation in terms of the basis e for $B'e_i$ like any

$$\text{vector } x = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k \langle \epsilon^k | x \rangle)$$

we get $(b')_i^k = \langle \epsilon^k | B'e_i \rangle$ which means b' is a matrix of

$$(X, (e, \epsilon)) \xrightarrow[b' = [(b')_i^k]_{n \times n}]{B'} (X, (e, \epsilon))$$

Example(continued) With the illustration above in 3, we have

$$P_{e'}^{e'} = (P_{e'}^e)^{-1} = b^{-1} = b' = [(b^{-1})_i^j] = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

which can be verified directly as above and we get

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 3 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 7a + 3b + c \\ -6a + 3b - c \\ 4a - 2b + c \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -2a + 2b - c \\ -8a + 5b - 2c \\ 3a - 2b + c \end{bmatrix} \text{ which confirms}$$

$$(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow[P_e^{e'} = b^{-1} = b' = [(b')_i^j]]{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$$

in this case.

5. The preceding discussion shows that a change of basis matrix is invertible. Now take an invertible matrix $a = [a_i^j]$, then $e_i = a^{-1}ae_i$ shows that each

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} e_k x^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^{-1}(ae_k) x^k \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (ae_k)(a^{-1})_i^k \right) x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (ae_k) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (a^{-1})_i^k x^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (ae_k) \lambda^k \quad (\text{say}) \end{aligned}$$

showing that each x is expressible as uniquely as a linear combination of the vectors $\{ae_k\}$ constitute a basis and a is the change of basis matrix from the source basis $\{e_i\}$ to the target basis $\{e'_i = ae_i\}$. (To understand why we wrote $a^{-1}(ae_i)$ as $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (ae_k)(a^{-1})_i^k$, note that when B' is a linear transformation, the formula is $B'(e'_i) = e_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (e'_k)(b')_i^k$, here the application of a^{-1} produces a linear transformation B' and we have $ae_i = e'_i$ so that the matrix of B' here is $b' = a^{-1}$ itself and we have $e_i = a^{-1}(ae_i) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (e'_k)(b')_i^k = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (ae_k)(a^{-1})_i^k$).

Thus a change of basis matrix is the same as an invertible matrix.

6. If $P_{e'}^e = b = [b_i^j]$ is the change of basis matrix changing e to e' the formula $e'_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (e_j)b_i^j$ says that we expand the vector e'_i , that is, the i -th vector of the target basis e' , in terms of the source basis $e = \{e_j\}$; the components in this expansion (namely, the scalars b_i^j) then supply the

$$i\text{-th column of the matrix } P_{e'}^e = b \text{ which is } b_i = \begin{bmatrix} b_i^0 \\ \vdots \\ b_i^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 0.1. Consider $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{X} = \mathbb{R}^2$; then $e = \left\{ e_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}, e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is a

$$\text{basis } \left(\cdot \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = e_0(-2a - \frac{3}{2}b) + e_1(a + \frac{1}{2}b) \text{ uniquely expressed} \right)$$

and $e' = \left\{ e_0' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, e_1' = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ is also a basis

$$\left(\cdot \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} = e_0'(-8a + 3b) + e_1'(3a - b) \text{ uniquely expressed} \right).$$

Then since $e_0' = -\frac{13}{2}e_0 + \frac{5}{2}e_1$ and $e_1' = -18e_0 + 7e_1$, we obtain the first column of $P_{e'}^e$ as $\begin{bmatrix} -13/2 \\ 5/2 \end{bmatrix}$ and the second column of $P_{e'}^e$ as $\begin{bmatrix} -18 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$ so that we have

$$P_{e'}^e = \begin{bmatrix} -13/2 & -18 \\ 5/2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also, $P_e^{e'}$ (the matrix which changes the basis e' to e , e' being the source basis and e being the target basis so that $P_e^{e'}$ is the matrix of $(X, (e, \epsilon)) \xrightarrow{Id} (X, (e', \epsilon'))$ and the linear transformation is $(X, (e', \epsilon')) \xrightarrow{B} (X, (e, \epsilon))$) is given by

$$P_e^{e'} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 & -36 \\ 5 & 13 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(One can get it using the relationship $P_e^{e'} = (P_{e'}^e)^{-1}$ since $\begin{bmatrix} -13/2 & -18 \\ 5/2 & 7 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -14 & -36 \\ 5 & 13 \end{bmatrix}$.

But it is instructive to proceed ab initio and calculate $e_1 = -14e_1' + 5e_2'$, $e_2 = -36e_1' + 13e_2'$ directly).

7. Now suppose (e'', ϵ'') is a third coordinatization of X and $P_{e'}^e = b$, $P_{e''}^{e'} = c$ so that $b = [b_i^j]_{n \times n}$,

$c = [c_j^k]_{n \times n}$ are supplied by $e'_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (e_j)b_i^j, e_j'' = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (e'_k)c_k^j$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} e'' &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} e_l b_k^l \right) c_j^k \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} e_l \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k^l c_j^k \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} e_l (bc)_j^l \end{aligned}$$

(recall that to get the entry $(bc)_j^l$ of the product matrix bc , you have to multiply the l -th row

$[b_0^l \dots b_{n-1}^l]$ of b to the j -th column $\begin{bmatrix} c^0 \\ \vdots \\ c_j^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}$ term by term and add up: that is, the formula

$$\text{for } bc \text{ is given by } (bc)_j^l = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k^l c_j^k$$

Thus we find that $P_{e''}^e = bc$ which should be seen in the context of the composition

$$\begin{aligned} (X, (e, \epsilon)) &\xrightarrow[P_{e''}^e = c]{Id} (X, (e'', \epsilon'')) \xrightarrow[P_{e'}^e = b]{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon)) = \\ (X, (e'', \epsilon'')) &\xrightarrow[P_{e''}^e = bc]{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon)) \end{aligned}$$

Notice that in the result $P_{e''}^e = P_{e'}^e = P_{e''}^{e'}$, e' gets erased when it occurs both as a subscript and a superscript.

8. Consider now a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{T} X$ we recall that

$$X \xrightarrow{Id} X = X \xrightarrow{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |e_k\rangle\langle\epsilon^k|} X \text{ (the 'decomposition of identity')}$$

$$\text{Then we have } X \xrightarrow{T} X = X \xrightarrow{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |e_k\rangle\langle\epsilon^k|} X \xrightarrow{T} X \xrightarrow{\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} |e_l\rangle\langle\epsilon^l|} X \text{ and hence}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\epsilon')^j | T e'_i \rangle &= \left\langle (\epsilon')^j \left| \left[\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} |e_l\rangle\langle\epsilon^l| T \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |e_k\rangle\langle\epsilon^k| \right) (e'_i) \right] \right. \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \langle (\epsilon')^j | e_l \rangle \langle \epsilon^l | T e_k \rangle \langle \epsilon^k | e'_i \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (b^{-1})_l^j \langle \epsilon^l | T e_k \rangle b_i^k \quad \dots(5) \end{aligned}$$

(we used $(b')_l^j = (b^{-1})_l^j = \langle (\epsilon')^j | e_l \rangle$ and $\langle \epsilon^k | e'_i \rangle = b_i^k$ where $b = P_{e'}^e$, $b^{-1} = P_e^{e'}$, b being the

matrix of $(X, (e'', \epsilon'')) \xrightarrow[P_{e''}^e = bc]{Id} (X, (e, \epsilon))$ which changes the source basis e' to the target

basis e ; these have been calculated earlier.)

What does this equation (5) say? It refers to two coordinatizations, (e, ϵ) and (e', ϵ') of X and two matrices representing the linear transformation T :

$$t_k^l := \langle \epsilon^l \mid T e_k \rangle \text{ for } [T]_e^e,$$

$$s_i^j := \langle (\epsilon')^j \mid T e'_i \rangle \text{ for } [T]_{e'}^{e'}.$$

$$(\text{Compare with } A_e^d = a = [a_i^j]; \ a_i^j = \langle \delta^j \mid A e_i \rangle \text{ in } (X, (e, \epsilon)) \longrightarrow A(Y, (d, \delta)))$$

There are two interpretations of (5)

(i) **Passive** There is one operator $X \xrightarrow{T} X$ and two coordinate systems (= *observers*), (e, ϵ) and (e', ϵ') , for X . Given $x \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e'_i\rangle (x')^i, \\ \text{we have } Tx &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |T e'_i\rangle (x')^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e'_j\rangle s_i^j (x')^i \\ &\quad \left(\because T e'_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e'_j \langle \epsilon'^j \mid T e'_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e'_j s_i^j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e'_j\rangle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i^j (x')^i \right), \end{aligned}$$

so the components of Tx with reference to the coordinate system (e', ϵ') are $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i^j (x')^i$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$;

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e'_j\rangle (Tx)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e'_j\rangle \langle \epsilon'^j \mid Tx \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e'_j\rangle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i^j (x')^i \right) \quad \text{where } s_i^j = \langle \epsilon'^j \mid T e'_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

For the same vector $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e_i\rangle x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e_i\rangle \langle \epsilon^i | x \rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |Te_i\rangle x^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle t_i^j x^i \\ &\quad \left(\because Te_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e_j \langle \epsilon^j | Te_i \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle t_i^j \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i^j x^i \right) \end{aligned}$$

so the components of the same vector Tx with reference to (e, ϵ) are $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i^j x^i$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$;

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle (Tx)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle \langle \epsilon^j | Tx \rangle \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} t_i^j x^i \right) \quad \text{where } t_i^j = \langle \epsilon^j | Te_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus no two vectors moves; the two coordinate systems(= *observers*) measure their coordinates.

(ii) **Active** There is only one coordinate system but there are two operators $X \xrightarrow{T, S} X$, $S := B^{-1}TB$, where B is an invertible operator on X which of course changes e_i to $Be_i = e'_i$ say.

The matrix $[S]_e^e$ has entries

$$S_i^j = \langle \epsilon^j | Se_i \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (b^{-1})_l^j t_k^l b_i^k$$

Each vector $x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e_i\rangle x^i$ moves under the action of S to

$$\begin{aligned} Sx &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |Se_i\rangle x^i \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} |e_j\rangle (Sx)^j \quad \text{where } (Sx)^j = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i^j x^i \\ (s_i^j &= \langle \epsilon^j | Se_i \rangle = \langle (\epsilon')^j | Te'_i \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (b^{-1})_l^j t_k^l b_i^k). \end{aligned}$$

that is, $S = B^{-1}TB$, and the $(j \times i)$ -entry of the matrix of S is $s_i^j = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (b^{-1})_l^j t_k^l b_i^k$, all matrices being calculated with respect to the same coordinate-system (e, ϵ) .

(iii) The 'Active interpretation' prompts a definition:

Two $n \times n$ matrices S and T are called similar iff there exists an invertible $n \times n$ matrix B such that $S = B^{-1}TB$.

This is clearly an equivalence relation on $Mat_n(\mathbb{F})$

Reflexivity: $T = (Id_n)^{-1}T(Id_n)$

Symmetry: $S = B^{-1}TB$ iff $T = (B^{-1})^{-1}SB^{-1}$

Transitivity: $S = B^{-1}TB$, $R = A^{-1}SA$ ensure $R = (BA)^{-1}T(BA)$. Now taking T to be Id and noting $Id = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |e_i\rangle\langle|$, we find that the j -th component of a vector $x \in X$ with respect to $e' = (e', \epsilon)$ to be

