

Matrices and linear transformations

We work with a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ and remind ourselves that for $\varphi(x) \in \mathbb{F}$ is $\langle \varphi | x \rangle$.

Then $|Y\rangle\langle\varphi$ stands for the function $X \rightarrow Y$ which acts on $x \in X$ by returning $|y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle$ where we write

(i) $|x\rangle, |y\rangle$ etc. for vectors x, y in X and Y etc. calling them 'ket's and $\langle\varphi|, \langle\psi|$, etc. for forms φ, ψ in X' and Y' etc. calling them 'bra's so that $\langle\varphi|x\rangle$ is a *bar(c)ket* $\in \mathbb{F}$ and $|x\rangle\langle\varphi|$ is a ket-bra which is a linear transformation $X \rightarrow X$ returning $|x\rangle\langle\varphi|w\rangle \in X$ on input $|w\rangle \in X$.

This is Dirac notion and terminology for linear algebra.

1.1 If $X \xrightarrow{|y\rangle\langle\varphi|} Y$ is not zero then

(i) $Im(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|) = \langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$ ($\because v \in Im(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|)$ iff $v = |y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle$ for some $x \in X$ i.e. a scalar multiple of $|y\rangle$; note that since we have here $\langle\varphi| \neq 0$, we have $0 \neq \varphi_i = \langle\varphi|e_i\rangle$ for some basis vector e_i and then any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$ is $\langle\varphi|e_i\rangle$ for the choice $x = \frac{e_i\lambda}{\varphi_i}$ since then $\langle\varphi|x\rangle = \langle\varphi|\frac{e_i\lambda}{\varphi_i}\rangle = \frac{\langle\varphi|e_i\rangle}{\varphi_i}\lambda = \lambda$ so that $y\lambda = |y\rangle\langle\varphi|\frac{e_i\lambda}{\varphi_i}\rangle$ for each $y\lambda$ in $\langle\langle y \rangle\rangle$).

(ii) $ker(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|) = \langle\langle\varphi\rangle\rangle^0$ (recall $X'' = X$) ($x \in ker(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|)$ iff $|y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle = 0$ iff $\langle\varphi|x\rangle = 0$ since $|y\rangle \neq 0$. This means, since $0 = \langle\varphi|x\rangle = \langle x|\varphi\rangle$, that $x \in \langle\langle\varphi\rangle\rangle^0$)

(iii) Bilinearity: $|\lambda y + v\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle = |\lambda y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle + |v\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle = [\lambda|y\rangle\langle\varphi| + |v\rangle\langle\varphi|](x)$ at each $x \in X$ so that $|\lambda y + v\rangle\langle\varphi| = \lambda|y\rangle\langle\varphi| + |v\rangle\langle\varphi|$, and $|\varphi + \varsigma\mu\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle = |\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle + |\varphi + \varsigma\mu\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle = [|y\rangle\langle\varphi| + \mu|y\rangle\langle\varsigma|](x)$ at each x , so $|\varphi + \varsigma\mu\rangle\langle\varphi| = |y\rangle\langle\varphi| + |\varphi + \varsigma\mu\rangle\langle\varphi|$ for each $y, v \in Y, \varphi, \varsigma \in X', \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}$

(iv) For $W \xrightarrow{A} X \xrightarrow{|y\rangle\langle\varphi|} Y \xrightarrow{B} Z$ we have $B \circ (|y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle) = B|y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle$ at each $x \in X$ so that $B \circ |y\rangle\langle\varphi| = |B(y)\rangle\langle\varphi|$ ($X \rightarrow X$) and ($\because \langle\varphi|Aw\rangle = ((|y\rangle\langle\varphi|) \circ A)w$ at each $w \in X$ so that $(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|) \circ A = |y\rangle\langle A'\varphi'|$ ($W \rightarrow Y$))

(v) Since $\langle A'\psi|x\rangle = \langle\psi|Ax\rangle$ for $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$, we have $\langle(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|)' \psi|x\rangle = \langle\psi||y\rangle\langle\varphi|x\rangle$ at each $x \in X$ which means $(|y\rangle\langle\varphi|)'(\psi) = \langle\psi||y\rangle\langle\varphi|$
 $= |\psi y\rangle\langle\varphi|$
 $= (|\varphi\rangle\langle y|)(\psi) = |\varphi\rangle\langle y|\psi\rangle$

(we have $X \xrightarrow{|y><\varphi|} X \xrightarrow{\psi} \mathbb{F}$, then by (iv), $\psi \circ |y><\varphi| = |\psi(y)><\varphi|$ but $\psi(y) = <\psi|y> = <y|\psi>$ since $y \in Y = Y''$ and thus $\psi(y)(\varphi) \in X'$ is simply $(<y|\psi>\varphi)$ which we are writing as $|\varphi><y|\psi>$; note that $<y|\psi> = <\psi|y> \in \mathbb{F}$). at each $\psi_1 Y'$.

Thus we conclude:

$(|><\varphi|)' = |\varphi><y|$ where $|y> \in Y$ on LHS has been written as $<y| \in Y''$ while $<\varphi| \in X'$ on LHS has been written as $|\varphi> \in X'$ as a ket since we have

$Y' \xrightarrow{(|y><\varphi|)' = |><y|} X'$ just as we have $Z \xrightarrow{|w><\alpha|} W$ for $|w> \in Y, <\alpha| \in Z'$

(vi) For $X \xrightarrow{|y><\varphi|} Y \xrightarrow{|z><\psi|} Z$

$(|Z><\psi|) \circ (|y><\varphi|) = <\psi|y>|z><\varphi|$ where $y \in Y, \psi \in Y', \varphi \in X'$ and $x \in Z$ (use $B \circ (|y><\varphi|) = |By><\varphi|$ established in (iv) with $B = |z><\psi|$, noting that $<\psi|y| \in \mathbb{F}$)

(vii) For $X = <<e_1, \dots, e_n>>$ so that $X' = <<e', \dots, e'>>$ (see ③ on page 10), we have

$\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i><e^i|e_j> = |e_j>$ which means $id_X = \sum_{i=1}^n |e_i><e^i|$ (because $\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i><e^i|$ is seen to be the function $e \rightarrow X$ given by $e_j \rightarrow e_j$) and $\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i><e_i|e^j> = |e^j>$

$(\because <e_i|e^j> = <e^j|e_i> =$

$$\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

)

so that $id'_X = \sum_{i=1}^n |e^i><e_i|$.

(viii) Suppose $X = <<e_1, \dots, e_n>>, Y = <<d_1, \dots, d_m>>$. Given $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$, we have $A(e_i) \in Y$ and can write $A(e_i) = \sum_{j=1}^m |d_j> a_i^j$ for scalar $a_i^j \in \mathbb{F}$ uniquely; $a_i^j = <d^j|Ae_i>$. Then

$A = A \circ id_X = A \circ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |e_i><e^i| \right)$ (see (vii) above) $= \sum_{i=1}^n |Ae_i><e^i| = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m |d_j> a_i^j <e^i|$ which means that the $m.n$ linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{|d_j><e^i|} Y$

which act on $x \in X$ to return the vector $|d_j><e^i|x> = |d_j>X^i$ form a basis for the space

$L(X, Y)$ since we just saw that any $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ can be written uniquely as a linear combination

$A = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j |d_j><e^i|$ in terms of these $|d_j><e^i|$. The $m.n$ scalars a_i^j are written as an

$m \times n$ matrix $a = [a_i^j]$ with i indexing the columns and j indexing the rows and this is called the

matrix associated with the linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ with reference to the given indexed bases

$e = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ of X and $d = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ of Y .

Just how do we write this matrix? To begin, recall that when we say $y \in Y$ is uniquely written as $\sum_{j=1}^m d_j y^j$, the scalars y^j are $\langle d^j \mid y \rangle \in \mathbb{F}$ where $\{d^1, \dots, d^m\}$ is the basis of Y' associated with the basis $d = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ defined by $\langle d^j \mid d_k \rangle :=$

$$\delta_{jk} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases}$$

. Here $A(e_i) \in Y$ and so there scalars $(A(e_i))^j$ are $\langle d^j \mid Ae_i \rangle$ which we have written as a_i^j ; they depend on both $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and $\{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ (and of course on $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$ and $\{d^1, \dots, d^m\}$).

The matrix $a = [a_i^j]$ is obtained by writing the m -deep columns $A(e_i)$ as the i -th column and thus

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1^1 & a_2^1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_n^1 \\ a_1^2 & a_2^2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_n^2 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ a_1^m & a_2^m & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_n^m \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } a_i^j \text{ at the intersection of the } j\text{-th row and the } i\text{-th column.}$$

(ix) The discussion in (viii) will be summarized by writing $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow[A]{a=[a_i^j]} (Y, \underline{a})$ and we keep writing $a_i^j = \langle d^j \mid Ae_i \rangle$ so that we never forget that the matrix representation is in terms of the indexed bases \underline{e} and \underline{a} (and of course their 'dual' or 'reciprocal' bases $\{e^1, \dots, e^n\}$, $\{d^1, \dots, d^m\}$); this is important because if the indexing is changed, the location of a_i^j is charged in the matrix and if either of the bases is changed, the entries will be different.

If $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow[A]{a=[a_i^j]} (Y, \underline{a}) \xrightarrow[B]{b=[b_j^k]} (Z, \underline{c})$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, $1 \leq k \leq p$, we have $(b \circ a)_i^k = \langle c^k \mid (B \circ A)e_i \rangle = \langle C^k \mid B(\sum_{j=1}^m a_i^j \mid d_j) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m \langle C^k B d_j \mid a_i^j \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^m b_j^k a_i^j$ so that the composition of the linear transformation $B \circ A$ corresponds exactly to the composition of their matrix representation in the given bases.

Further, since $Y' = \langle\langle d^1, \dots, d^m \rangle\rangle$ and $X' = \langle\langle e^1, \dots, e^n \rangle\rangle$, we have the matrix representation of A' given by $Y' \xrightarrow[A']{A'} X'$ where $(a')_j^i = \langle e_i \mid A'(d^j) \rangle = \langle A(e_i) \mid d^j \rangle = \langle d^j \mid A(e_i) \rangle = a_i^j$ (note that we use $Y'' = Y$, $X'' = X$, and the fact that $\langle \varphi \mid x \rangle = \langle x \mid \varphi \rangle$ for kets $\mid x \rangle$ and bras $\langle \varphi \mid$)

Also, $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow{id_X} (X, \underline{e})$ has $I_i^e = \langle e^l \mid id_X(e_i) \rangle = \langle e^l \mid e_i \rangle$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } l = i \\ 0 & \text{if } l \neq i \end{cases}$$

, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq l \leq n$; thus the identity operator with respect to the same basis has the representation given by the identity (square) matrix which has on-diagonal entries 1 and off-diagonal entries 0.

We shall now supply some examples to illustrate the discussion. We use the standard terminology: Choice of a basis \underline{e} for X is called a coordinatization of X , in the representation $x = \sum e_i x^i = \sum \langle e_i \mid x \rangle e_i$. the scalars x^i are called the coordinates or the components of the vector x with respect to the given coordinatization, and a linear transformation $X \xrightarrow{A} X$ will be frequently called a 'linear operator on X '.

2 Some illustrations.

2.1 Many authors do not wish to emphasize the need for indexing a basis, at least in the beginning.

There is a reason for it. Consider the basis $\left\{ e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$ for \mathbb{F}^2 ; the indexing would mean that $\{e_2, e_1\}$ is not a basis ($\{e_1, e_2\}$ but a different basis (for this reason, ordered basis is usually the term for what we are calling indexed basis). To describe the action of a linear operator $\mathbb{F}^2 \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{F}^2$ on basis vectors, one could say either (i) $Ae_1 = \alpha e_1$, $Ae_2 = \beta e_1 + \gamma e_2$ (in which ordering the basis is irrelevant), or (ii) A has matrix $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ 0 & \gamma \end{bmatrix}$ with respect to the ordered basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ (in which case ordering the basis is crucial, since one could easily but of course mistakenly, take this to mean $Ae_1 = \alpha e_1 + \beta e_2$, $Ae_2 = \gamma e_2$). The best thin to do seems to be: keep writing " $a_i^j = \langle d^j \mid Ae_i \rangle$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $a = [a_i^j]$ is an $m \times n$ matrix for $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow{A} (Y, \underline{d})$: $Ae_i = \sum \langle d_j \mid Ae_i \rangle d_j = \sum a_i^j d_j$ ". Linear algebra is better understood without matrices but the subject is so computational in application that de-emphasizing matrices is almost scandalous.

2.2 (i) Find the matrix of $\mathbb{F}^3 \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{F}^2$ given by $A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2x + 3y - z \\ 4x - y + 2z \end{pmatrix}$ relative to the basis

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{=b_1}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}_{=b_2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}_{=b_3} \right\} \text{ of } \mathbb{F}^3 \text{ and } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}_{=c_1}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}_{=c_2} \right\} \text{ of } \mathbb{F}^2.$$

Hint:

That the two sets given are indeed bases can be verified by checking them for linear independence.

For instance, we know that \mathbb{F}^3 has three elements in any basis and the system $\lambda_1 b_1 + \lambda_2 b_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ has no nontrivial solutions (verify) so that $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ are linearly independent and must form a

basis of \mathbb{F}^3 . Next, if $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^2$, solving $x \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} + y \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}$ supplies $x = -3a + 2b$,

$y = 2a - b$ so that in the given basis $\{c_1, c_2\}$ of \mathbb{F}^2 , we must have this vector as $\begin{pmatrix} -3a + 2b \\ 2a - b \end{pmatrix}$

and directly calculating therefore, we have $Ab_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} = -9c_1 + 7c_2$, $Ab_2 = c_1 + 2c_2$, $Ab_3 = 4c_1 + c_2$. The desired matrix will be obtained by these column vectors Ab_1, Ab_2, Ab_3 so that it

is $A = \begin{pmatrix} -9 & 1 & 4 \\ 7 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. The vector $\begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$ of \mathbb{F}^3 is calculated to be $\begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ -21 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$ in the basis

$\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ (verify that any $\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^3$ in the basis $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}$ will be $\begin{pmatrix} -a + 2b - c \\ 5a - 5b + 2c \\ -3a + 3b - 3c \end{pmatrix}$) and

calculating $A \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + 15 + 3 \\ 8 - 5 - 6 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 22 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$ by the supplied formula, writing this as

$\begin{pmatrix} -66 - 6 \\ 44 + 3 \end{pmatrix}$ is the basis $\{c_1, c_2\}$, we get $\begin{pmatrix} -72 \\ 47 \end{pmatrix}$ which is precisely $\begin{pmatrix} -9 & 1 & 4 \\ 7 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 11 \\ -21 \\ 12 \end{pmatrix}$

as it should be and displays the action of A as matrix action.

(ii) For $\mathbb{F}^3 \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{F}^2$ given by $A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 3x + 2y - 4z \\ x - 5y + 3z \end{pmatrix}$ with

$$B_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{=u_1}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{=u_2}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{=u_3} \right\} \text{ and } B_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}_{=v_1}, \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}_{=v_2} \right\} \text{ as bases, the}$$

representation of A is $\begin{pmatrix} -7 & -33 & -13 \\ 4 & 19 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$. Verify this and the action displayed on $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}$ to be

$$\begin{pmatrix} -13x - 20y + 26z \\ 8x + 11y - 15z \end{pmatrix} \text{ in the coordinatization } B_2 \text{ for } A \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} \text{ as given.}$$

2.3 Prove that if $X \xrightarrow{A} Y$ is a linear transformation, there exists a basis $\underline{\underline{e}}$ for X and a basis $\underline{\underline{d}}$ for Y for which A has the matrix representation. $a = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ where I_r is the identity matrix of order r and r is the rank of A ; take $\dim X = n < \infty$, $\dim Y = m < \infty$.

Hint:

we know that $r = \dim(A(X))$ hence $\dim(\ker A) = n - r$ ((ii) on page 8). Let $\{e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ be a basis for $\ker A$ then as a linearly independent subset of X , this can be extended to a basis $\underline{\underline{e}} = \{e_1, \dots, e_r, e_{r+1}, \dots, e_n\}$ of X . Write $d_j = A(e_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r$. Since $X \xrightarrow{A} A(X)$ is surjective, it must be an isomorphism $X \xrightarrow{A} A(X)$ ((d) on page 9) and thus these r vectors $d_j \in A(X)$ will form a basis for the r -dimensional space $A(X)$; then they are linearly independent in Y and we can extend it to a basis $\underline{\underline{d}} = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ of Y (read the HINT for (ii) on page 8 if you are uncertain about the process of getting $\underline{\underline{e}}$ and $\underline{\underline{d}}$). Then

$$d_1 = A(e_1) = 0.d_1 + 0.d_2 + \dots + 0.d_m$$

$$d_2 = A(e_2) = 0.d_1 + 1.d_2 + \dots + 0.d_m$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$d_r = A(e_r) = 0.d_1 + \dots + 1.d_r + 0.d_{r+1} \dots + 0.d_m$$

$$0 = A(e_{r+1}) = 0.d_1 + \dots + 0.d_m (\because e_{r+1} \in \ker A)$$

$$\dots \dots \dots$$

$$0 = A(e_n) = 0.d_1 + \dots + 0.d_m (\because e_n \in \ker A)$$

and therefore $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow[A]{A} (Y, \underline{d})$ must be $a = \begin{pmatrix} I_r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ as advertized.

2.4 What is $\dim(L(X, Y))$ if $\dim X = n < \infty$, $\dim Y = m < \infty$?

Hint:

Fix a basis $\underline{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ for X and a basis $\underline{d} = \{d_1, \dots, d_m\}$ for Y . Then the corresponding $(X, \underline{e}) \xrightarrow[A]{A} (Y, \underline{d})$, $A \leftrightarrow a$, is bijective. Since the vector space of all $m \times n$ matrices (over \mathbb{F}) is of dimension $m.n$ (with basis $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & . & . & \cdots \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & . \\ 0 & . & \cdots \end{pmatrix}$ etc; prove this), $\dim(L(X, Y)) = m.n$.

|| But it is far better to simply appeal to the fact that $\{d_j \otimes e_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m\}$ forms a basis for $L(X, Y)$ (see page 15)

2.5 If $X \times X \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{F}$ is such that $B(\lambda x + u, w) = \lambda B(x, w) + B(u, w)$ and $B(x, u + w\lambda) = B(x, u) + B(x, w)\lambda$, we say it is a bilinear form on X (compare 1.3 page 2). Show that if B and C are bilinear forms on X , $(B + C)(x, u) := B(x, u) + C(x, u)$ makes the collection of all bilinear forms on X a vector space (with the obvious scalar multiplication). Call this $\underline{\underline{Bilin}}(X)$.

(i) If $\underline{e}' = \{e'_1, \dots, e'_n\}$ is a basis of X' show that $\beta_i^j(x, u) := \beta'_j(x)\beta'_i(u)$ forms a basis of $\underline{\underline{Bilin}}(X)$.

Hint:

If $B \in \underline{\underline{Bilin}}(X)$ and $b_i^j := B(e_j, e_i) \in \mathbb{F}$ where $\underline{e} = \{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is the corresponding basis for $X = X''$, we get $(\sum_{i,j} b_i^j \beta_i^j)(e_s, e_t) = \sum_{i,j} b_i^j \beta_i^j(e_s, e_t) = \sum b_i^j e'_j(e_s) e'_i(e_t) = \sum b_i^j \delta_{js} \delta_{it} = b_t^s = B(e_s, e_t)$; since $\{(e_s, e_t) \mid 1 \leq s \leq n, 1 \leq t \leq n\}$ forms a basis of $X \times X$, we get all of B from this; thus the β_i^j span $\underline{\underline{Bilin}} X$ (verify of course that β_i^j are bilinear). If $B = \sum b_i^j \beta_i^j = 0$, we have $B(e_s, e_t) = b_t^s = 0$ for each s, t which means this set is linearly independent and is thus a basis for $\underline{\underline{Bilin}}(X)$. In particular $\dim(\underline{\underline{Bilin}}(X)) = (\dim X)^2$.

(ii) Entering $b_i^j = B(e_j, e_i)$ into n^2 matrix at the intersection of the j -th row and i -th column will get us a matrix b which is called the matrix of the bilinear form B with respect to the basis \underline{e} of X .

For example, the bilinear form on \mathbb{F}^2 given by $B\left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{pmatrix}\right) := 2x_1y_1 - 3x_1y_2 + x_2y_2$,

with respect to the basis $\left\{e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\right\}$ has the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ (Verify this).

(iii) Given a Bilinear form $X \times X \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{F}$ on X , the function $X \xrightarrow{Q} \mathbb{F}$ supplied by $Q(x) := B(x, x)$ is called the quadratic form associated to B .

Show that the correspondence established by (ii) above, $B \leftrightarrow b$ is bijective in the sense that $B(x, u) = x^t b u$ (x^t is the transpose of the column vector x) and that any n^2 -matrix b will raise a bilinear form $B(x, u) := x^t b u$. Further, show that $Q \leftrightarrow q$ establishes a similar correspondence between quadratic forms Q and symmetric n^2 -matrices q via $Q(x, x) := x^t q x$.

(iv) Show that if B raises the quadratic form Q , we can get the bilinear form B from

$$2B(u, v) = B(u, u) + B(u, v) + B(v, u) + B(v, v) - B(u, u) - B(v, v)$$

$$= B(u + v, u + v) - B(u, u) - B(v, v)$$

$$= Q(u + v) - Q(u) - Q(v)$$

that is, $B(u, v)$ can be defined from the quadratic form Q via $B(u, v) := \frac{1}{2}[Q(u + v) - Q(u) - Q(v)]$

(provided of course that $2 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{F} i.e. $\mathbb{F} \neq \mathbb{Z}_2$)

Note:

Frequently, to save space, $\begin{pmatrix} x^1 \\ x^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ will be written $[x^1, \dots, x^n]^t$ or (x^1, \dots, x^n) .

I am faithfully following linear algebra and Group Representation (*Volume I*) by Ronald Shaw (*Academic Press 1982*). This handout covers the selection from the first (25) pages from the first chapter. There is a copy in our central library but it is perhaps easier to work through the handout compared to the some what terse presentation of the book.