

Important notions

- ▲ Predicates that describe properties of objects
 - ▲ Odd(3) means 3 is an odd number; Odd is a predicate, 3 is an object
 - ▲ Equal(5,6) means 5 and 6 are equal; Equal is a predicate, 5,6
- ▲ Predicates take arguments and become propositions
- ▲ The connectives for propositional logic apply the same way
 - ▲ Odd (3) \wedge Prime (3), which means 3 is odd and 3 is prime, true.
 - ▲ Even (4) \rightarrow Prime (4), which means 4 is even then 4 is prime, false.
- ▲ Quantifiers make reasoning on multiple objects
- ▲ The objects for the quantified statements are chosen from a Domain

Quantifiers allow us to reason about multiple objects

- ▲ Existential quantifier, denoted by \exists
 - ▲ $\exists x$ "some formula"
 - ▲ Means for some x , the statement "some formula" is true.
 - ▲ Example: $\exists x$ Odd(x) it means some numbers are odd
 - ▲ $\exists x$ Prime(x) it means there exists at least one number that is prime
 - ▲ NOTE, it is **enough** to find one element to make the formula true

Quantifiers allow us to reason about multiple objects

- Universal quantifier, denoted by \forall
 - $\forall x$ "some formula"
 - Means for **ALL x** , the statement "some formula" is true
 - Example: $\forall x$ (Odd(x) \vee Even(x)) – it means all numbers are either odd or even
 - $\forall x$ ($x < x+1$) – it means all numbers increase when you add 1
 - NOTE, it is **NOT enough** to find some elements that make the formula true

Universal Quantifier

- “All Ps are Qs” translates as $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$
- A counter-example proves that a universally quantified statement is false
- Example: $\forall x (\text{Prime}(x) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x))$, which means all prime numbers are odd
- Let x be 2. But 2 is prime and not odd, so the statement is false
- $\forall x (\text{Multiple4}(x) \rightarrow \text{Multiple2}(x))$, which means all multiples of 4 are multiples of 2. True.
- “No Ps are Qs” Translates as $\forall x (P(x) \rightarrow \neg Q(x))$
- If we find one P that is Q then we prove that the statement above is false
- Example: $\forall x (\text{Prime}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{Square}(x))$, which means no prime number is square number. True.
- $\forall x (\text{Prime}(x) \rightarrow \neg \text{Even}(x))$, which means no prime number is even. False.

Existential Quantifier

- “Some Ps are Qs” translates as $\exists x (P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
- Existentially quantified statements are true if an evidence example exists
- Example: $\exists x (\text{Prime}(x) \wedge \text{Even}(x))$ – some prime numbers are even, true
- Example: $\exists x (\text{Professor}(x) \wedge \text{Under2}(x))$ – some professors are under 2. Not true!
- “Some Ps are not Qs” translates as $\exists x (P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x))$
- Example: $\exists x (\text{Prime}(x) \wedge \neg \text{Even}(x))$ – some prime numbers are not even. True

Quantifiers to connectives

- $\exists x, P(x)$ and domain is $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ means

$$P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \cdots \vee P(x_n)$$

- $\forall x, P(x)$ and domain is $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ means

$$P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \cdots \wedge P(x_n)$$

De Morgan's Law

- $\exists x, P(x)$ and domain is $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ means

$$P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \dots \vee P(x_n)$$

$$\bullet \neg \exists x, P(x) \equiv \neg((P(x_1) \vee P(x_2) \vee \dots \vee P(x_n))$$

$$\equiv \neg(P(x_1) \wedge \neg(P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge \neg(P(x_n)$$

$$\equiv \forall x, \neg P(x)$$

De Morgan's Law

- $\forall x, P(x)$ and domain is $D = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ means

$$P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n)$$

$$\bullet \neg \forall x, P(x) \equiv \neg((P(x_1) \wedge P(x_2) \wedge \dots \wedge P(x_n))$$

$$\equiv \neg(P(x_1) \vee \neg(P(x_2) \vee \dots \vee \neg(P(x_n)$$

$$\equiv \exists x, \neg P(x)$$

Negate the following

$$\bullet \forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$$

$$\bullet \neg \forall x(p(x) \rightarrow q(x)) \equiv \exists x \neg(p(x) \rightarrow q(x))$$

$$\equiv \exists x \neg(\neg p(x) \vee q(x))$$

$$\equiv \exists x (\neg \neg p(x) \wedge \neg q(x))$$

De Morgan's Law

$$\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

