

State of Wisconsin \ DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Jim Doyle, Governor Matthew J. Frank, Secretary 2801 Progress Rd. Madison, Wisconsin 53716 Telephone 608-221-6324 FAX 608-221-6353 TTY Access via relay - 711

December 19, 2010

To whom it may concern:

This summer you requested information regarding an aquatic plant survey that staff from the Research Bureau of the Department of Natural Resources conducted on August 4, 2010 on Loon Lake in Shawano County, WI. The plant survey was conducted as part of a statewide Eurasian water milfoil monitoring project. This data will be used by the Department to understand the variation in milfoil growth among lakes across the state, how aquatic plant populations respond to management regimes, and how plant communities change over time. Loon is one of the lakes chosen for this project because they met certain physical criteria (size, region, presence of milfoil, timing of milfoil establishment, etc.) for this study.

Point-Intercept Sampling Method

Based on parameters specific to Loon, we mapped a 365-point sampling grid over the entire lake. Using GPS technology, we navigated by boat to each of the pre-determined grid points. Of the 365 total points, we sampled 202 navigable points that fell within the depth range of plant growth (called *littoral area*). At each of these points we used a two-sided rake sampler to sample approximately 2.5 feet along the bottom. After pulling the plants to the surface, the rake was assigned a fullness rating of 1-3 to estimate density of plant growth (see Figure 1). Each individual plant species on the rake as well as any dislodged by the rake and floating were given similar fullness ratings to estimate abundance. We also recorded visual sightings of species within six feet of the sample point, and depth and substrate (lake bottom) type at each point. Any additional species seen in the lake during a general boat survey were recorded separately from the point-intercept data. In addition, quantitative biomass samples were taken at 10% of the points that fell within the littoral area. Biomass data is currently being processed in our lab.

Species frequencies of occurrence reflect the percentage of times a species was found out of a larger population of points sampled. Littoral frequency of occurrence (given in Table 1) indicates how often a species was found considering only areas that are less than or equal to the maximum depth of plant growth. Voucher specimens have been sent to the UW-Stevens Point Herbarium, therefore all species identifications are subject to change pending verification.



Table 1: Species Present

% Frequency of Occurrence (Littoral): This estimation of frequency of occurrence is calculated by taking the total number of times a species is sampled divided by the total number of points at which depth was less than or equal to the maximum depth of plant growth. Thus, we consider only sites in the lake at which, given light requirements, the growth of plants is possible.

Common Name	Scientific Name	% Frequency of Occurrence (Littoral)
Nitella	Nitella spp.	38.61
Wild celery	Vallisneria americana	38.12
Common waterweed	Elodea canadensis	33.66
Bushy pondweed	Najas flexilis	24.75
Southern waternymph	Najas guadalupensis	23.27
Muskgrasses	Chara spp.	15.84
Small pondweed	Potamogeton pusillus	11.39
Variable pondweed	Potamogeton gramineus	10.40
Eurasian water milfoil*	Myriophyllum spicatum*	9.90
Clasping-leaf pondweed	Potamogeton richardsonii	8.42
Illinois pondweed	Potamogeton illinoensis	6.93
Creeping bladderwort	Utricularia gibba	6.44
Common bladderwort	Utricularia vulgaris	6.44
Water star-grass	Heteranthera dubia	5.94
Stiff pondweed	Potamogeton strictifolius	4.95
Dwarf water milfoil	Myriophyllum tenellum	3.96
Coontail	Ceratophyllum demersum	1.98
Northern water milfoil	Myriophyllum sibiricum	1.98
Spatterdock	Nuphar variegata	1.98
Watershield	Brasenia schreberi	0.99
Needle spikerush	Eleocharis acicularis	0.99
Forked duckweed	Lemna trisulca	0.99
Large purple bladderwort	Utricularia purpurea	0.99
Pipewort	Eriocaulon aquaticum	0.50
Brown-fruited rush	Juncus pelocarpus f. submersus	0.50
White water lily	Nymphaea odorata	0.50
Ribbon-leaf pondweed	Potamogeton epihydrus	0.50
White-stem pondweed	Potamogeton praelongus	0.50
Sago pondweed	Stuckenia pectinata	0.50
Small bladderwort	Utricularia minor	0.50
Flat-leaf bladderwort	Utricularia intermedia	Visual
Large-leaf pondweed	Potamogeton amplifolious	Boat Survey
Moss		4.95
Freshwater sponge		2.48

^{* =} species non-native and potentially invasive in WI

Survey Summary

Total Number of Points	365
Navigable Points within Depth Range of Plant Growth	202
Maximum Depth of Plant Growth (feet)	11.0
Number of Species in Lake (including general survey)	34

Fullness Rating	Coverage	Description
1		Only few plants on rake head.
2		Rake head is about half full; the rake is covered but the tines are still visible.
3		The rake is completely covered and tines are not visible.

Figure 1. Description of rake fullness ratings used during the point-intercept survey.




Figure 2. A map of the approximate location of Eurasian water milfoil.

Please note that while this study conforms to statewide protocol and standards for baseline data collection, it may not be suitable for management purposes. For information as to whether this survey meets requirements for management plans or permitting requirements, please contact your local DNR lake manager.

If you have any additional questions regarding the DNR Research Bureau's survey or study, please feel free to contact us.

Sincerely,

Michelle Nault Natural Resources Research Scientist Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (608) 221-6359 Michelle.Nault@Wisconsin.gov Alison Mikulyuk Natural Resources Research Scientist Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (608) 221-6324 Alison.Mikulyuk@Wisconsin.gov

Dr. Jennifer Hauxwell Section Chief, Fish and Habitat Research Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources (608) 221-6373 Jennifer.Hauxwell@Wisconsin.gov