

Jonathan Tso  
CS 261  
HW 7

Q1.

$$lp: \left( \frac{40}{200} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1 \right) \cdot 100 = 0.05 \cdot 100 = 5 \text{ ns}$$

$$rp: \left( \frac{5}{200} \cdot 3 \right) \cdot 100 = 0.075 \cdot 100 = 7.5 \text{ ns}$$

$$mp: \left( \frac{60}{200} \cdot \frac{35}{100} \cdot 2 \right) \cdot 100 = 0.21 \cdot 100 = 21 \text{ ns}$$

$$(N) \cdot 100 \cdot 100 = 10000 \cdot 100 = 1000000 \text{ ns}$$

$$CPI: 1 + \frac{C_B}{C_i} \text{ where } C_i = \text{cycle instructions}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot C_B = 10000 \cdot C_B$$

$$C_B = lp + rp + mp = 0.335 \cdot 100 = 33.5 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$CPI = \frac{1000000}{335000} = 2.985 \approx 3$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

$$(0 + 10000) \cdot 33.5 = 335000 \text{ ns}$$

Q2.

main:

irmovq \$256, %rsp

F D E M W

irmovq \$2, %rdx

F D E M W

pushq %rdx

F D E M W #1 b/c %rdx needs to update

irmovq \$10, %rbx

F D E M W

popq %rax

F D E M W

#2 b/c you are updating rsp in push and need to get new rsp from memory stall by 1 to get to memory and then forward to next instruction

addq %rbx, %rax

F D E M W

#3

\$1

#4 b/c %rax needs to update

addq %rax, %rax

F D E M W

F D

jump does not complete b/c of condition codes

end

F D E M W

all proc;

ret (via proc)

F D E M W

F D ~~4~~ ~~5~~ ~~6~~ halt steps after decode

alt



### Q3. Optimized Version

```
void inner_product(vec_ptr u, vec_ptr v, data_t *dest) {
    long i;
    long length = vec_length(u);
    data_t * udata = get_vec_start(u);
    data_t * vdata = get_vec_start(v);
    data_t * sum = (data_t) 0;
    data_t * sum2 = (data_t) 0;
    data_t * sum3 = (data_t) 0;
    data_t * sum4 = (data_t) 0;
    data_t * sum5 = (data_t) 0;
    data_t * sum6 = (data_t) 0;
    long length2 = length - 5;
    for (i = 0; i < length2; i += 6) {
        sum = sum + udata[i] * vdata[i];
        sum2 = udata[i+1] * vdata[i+1];
        sum3 = udata[i+2] * vdata[i+2];
        sum4 = udata[i+3] * vdata[i+3];
        sum5 = udata[i+4] * vdata[i+4];
        sum6 = udata[i+5] * vdata[i+5];
    }
    for (; i < length; i++) {
        sum = sum + udata[i] * vdata[i];
    }
    *dest = sum + sum2 + sum3 + sum4 + sum5 + sum6;
}
```

For ideal non-hazards, we have it such that CPE will be approximately  $(5+i)/(i+1)$  where  $i$  is the number of elements after the first.

For non-ideal hazards, we may hit cycle delays as well as running through the second for loop, which can increase CPE.

Thus, for increasing  $i$ , we can get to the approximate

CPE of 1.06