

# Week 5 Assignment: Prompt-Assisted Feature Engineering for Portfolio Optimization

MSc Banking and Finance – FinTech Course

Due Date: Tuesday, October 23, 2025

## Abstract

This assignment tests your ability to use AI prompts to discover meaningful features, implement Ridge & Lasso regularization, evaluate feature importance, and apply findings to real portfolio optimization. Total Points: 100. Submission: Jupyter Notebook + PDF Report + GitHub Repository.

## Assignment Overview

This assignment evaluates your ability to:

1. Use **AI prompts** to discover meaningful features
2. Implement **Ridge & Lasso** regularization
3. Evaluate **feature importance** and model performance
4. Apply findings to **real portfolio optimization**

**Core Task:** Create 3 new features using prompt engineering, implement them in Python, and prove they improve model performance.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Assignment Tasks</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Task 1: Prompt Engineering for Feature Discovery (25 points) . . . . .	2
1.2	Task 2: Implementation & Testing (35 points) . . . . .	3
1.3	Task 3: Feature Importance Analysis (20 points) . . . . .	5
1.4	Task 4: Portfolio Optimization & Business Insights (20 points) . . . . .	6
<b>2</b>	<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Grading Rubric . . . . .	7
2.2	Bonus Points (Optional) . . . . .	8
<b>3</b>	<b>Submission Requirements</b>	<b>8</b>
3.1	Deliverables: . . . . .	8
<b>4</b>	<b>Recommended AI Prompts for Inspiration:</b>	<b>8</b>

# 1 Assignment Tasks

## 1.1 Task 1: Prompt Engineering for Feature Discovery (25 points)

### Task 1.1: Generate Features Using AI Prompts (10 points)

Create **3 novel features** using AI assistance (ChatGPT, Claude, or Gemini). Your prompts should explore:

- Market microstructure (e.g., bid-ask patterns, volume dynamics)
- Behavioral finance signals (e.g., sentiment, herding indicators)
- Cross-asset relationships (e.g., correlations, spreads, ratios)
- Regime detection (e.g., bull/bear, high/low volatility)

#### Example Prompt Template:

*Given cryptocurrency data with the following available features:*

- *Return forecasts (ARIMA)*
- *Volatility forecasts (GARCH)*
- *Historical prices*
- *Trading volumes*

*Suggest 3 features that capture [SPECIFIC PATTERN] and explain:*

1. *The financial intuition behind each feature*
2. *The mathematical formula to calculate it*
3. *Why it might predict portfolio returns*

#### Deliverable 1.1:

- Document your **3 prompts** (exact text sent to AI)
- Show **AI responses** for each prompt

**Task 1.2: Feature Justification (15 points)**

For each of your 3 features, provide:

**1. Financial Intuition (5 points per feature)**

- What market behavior does this feature capture?
- Why should it predict portfolio returns?
- What's the economic theory behind it?

**2. Mathematical Specification (5 points per feature)**

- Write the formula clearly
- Define all variables
- Explain any transformations (logs, differences, ratios)

**3. Expected Impact (5 points per feature)**

- Will it improve Lasso or Ridge more? Why?
- What's your hypothesis about its importance?

**Example Format:****Feature 1: Bitcoin-Ethereum Volatility Ratio**

**Financial Intuition:** During market stress, BTC and ETH volatilities diverge. BTC (digital gold) becomes less volatile, while ETH (DeFi platform) experiences higher volatility. This ratio signals flight-to-quality dynamics.

**Formula:**

$$\text{vol\_ratio} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{BTC},t}}{\sigma_{\text{ETH},t}} \quad (1)$$

Where:

- $\sigma_{\text{BTC},t}$  = GARCH volatility forecast for BTC at time  $t$
- $\sigma_{\text{ETH},t}$  = GARCH volatility forecast for ETH at time  $t$

**Expected Impact:** Should improve Lasso performance by capturing regime changes. Hypothesis: Non-zero coefficient when  $\text{vol\_ratio} < 0.8$  (stress periods) or  $> 1.2$  (calm periods).

**1.2 Task 2: Implementation & Testing (35 points)****Task 2.1: Feature Implementation (10 points)**

Implement your 3 features in Python. Code must:

- ✓ Be well-commented
- ✓ Handle edge cases (NaN, inf, division by zero)
- ✓ Use vectorized operations (no slow loops)
- ✓ Include unit tests (assert statements)

```

1 def calculate_feature_1(btc_vol, eth_vol):
2     """
3     Calculate BTC-ETH volatility ratio.
4
5     Parameters:
6     -----
7     btc_vol : array-like
8         BTC GARCH volatility forecasts
9     eth_vol : array-like
10        ETH GARCH volatility forecasts
11
12    Returns:
13    -----
14    vol_ratio : array-like
15        Ratio of BTC to ETH volatility
16    """
17    # Handle division by zero
18    eth_vol_safe = np.where(eth_vol == 0, 1e-10, eth_vol)
19    vol_ratio = btc_vol / eth_vol_safe
20
21    # Sanity check
22    assert np.all(np.isfinite(vol_ratio)), "Non-finite values detected"
23    assert np.all(vol_ratio > 0), "Negative ratios detected"
24
25    return vol_ratio
26
27 # Test your function
28 btc_test = np.array([0.04, 0.05, 0.03])
29 eth_test = np.array([0.05, 0.04, 0.05])
30 result = calculate_feature_1(btc_test, eth_test)
31 print(f"Test passed: {result}") # [0.8, 1.25, 0.6]

```

### Task 2.2: Baseline Performance (10 points)

First, establish baseline performance **without** your new features:

1. Train Ridge and Lasso on **original features only** (from Week 5 code)
2. Use 5-fold cross-validation
3. Record:  $R^2$ , MSE, number of features selected (Lasso)

```

1 # Baseline evaluation
2 baseline_ridge_r2 = ...
3 baseline_lasso_r2 = ...
4 baseline_lasso_n_features = ...

```

**Task 2.3: Enhanced Model Performance (15 points)**

Now add your 3 features and re-evaluate:

1. Combine original + your 3 new features
2. Re-train Ridge and Lasso with same CV setup
3. Record same metrics
4. **Prove improvement** using statistical tests

**Deliverable 2.3:** Comparison Table:

Metric	Baseline Ridge	Enhanced Ridge	Baseline Lasso	Enhanced Lasso
CV $R^2$	0.72	<b>0.78</b>	0.70	<b>0.81</b>
CV MSE	0.00045	<b>0.00038</b>	0.00048	<b>0.00035</b>
Features	20	23	8	<b>11</b>
Improvement	–	+8.3%	–	+15.7%

Table 1: Model Performance Comparison

**Statistical Significance Test:**

```

1 from scipy import stats
2
3 # Paired t-test on CV fold scores
4 baseline_scores = [0.70, 0.68, 0.72, 0.71, 0.69]
5 enhanced_scores = [0.79, 0.81, 0.82, 0.78, 0.85]
6
7 t_stat, p_value = stats.ttest_rel(enhanced_scores, baseline_scores)
8 print(f"t-statistic: {t_stat:.3f}, p-value: {p_value:.4f}")
9
10 if p_value < 0.05:
11     print("Success: Improvement is statistically significant!")

```

**1.3 Task 3: Feature Importance Analysis (20 points)****Task 3.1: Lasso Coefficient Analysis (10 points)**

1. **Rank features** by absolute Lasso coefficients
2. **Identify** which of your 3 features were selected (non-zero)
3. **Compare** to original features

**Deliverable 3.1:**

```

1 # Feature importance
2 feature_importance = pd.DataFrame({
3     'feature': feature_names,
4     'lasso_coef': np.abs(lasso_model.coef_),
5     'is_new': ['Yes' if f in new_features else 'No'
6               for f in feature_names]
7 }).sort_values('lasso_coef', ascending=False)
8
9 print(feature_importance.head(10))

```

**Visualization Required:**

```

1 # Bar plot of top 10 features
2 plt.figure(figsize=(10, 6))
3 top10 = feature_importance.head(10)
4 colors = ['green' if x == 'Yes' else 'blue' for x in top10['is_new']]
5 plt.barh(top10['feature'], top10['lasso_coef'], color=colors)
6 plt.xlabel('Absolute Lasso Coefficient')
7 plt.title('Top 10 Important Features (Green = New Features)')
8 plt.tight_layout()

```

**Task 3.2: Ablation Study (10 points)**

Test each new feature individually:

```

1 # Test Feature 1 alone
2 X_with_f1 = np.column_stack([X_baseline, feature_1])
3 score_f1 = cross_val_score(Lasso(), X_with_f1, y, cv=5).mean()
4
5 # Test Feature 2 alone
6 X_with_f2 = np.column_stack([X_baseline, feature_2])
7 score_f2 = cross_val_score(Lasso(), X_with_f2, y, cv=5).mean()
8
9 # Test Feature 3 alone
10 X_with_f3 = np.column_stack([X_baseline, feature_3])
11 score_f3 = cross_val_score(Lasso(), X_with_f3, y, cv=5).mean()
12
13 # Rank by individual contribution

```

**Deliverable 3.2:**

- Table showing each feature's **individual contribution**
- Identify which feature is **most valuable**
- Discuss **interactions** between features (if any)

**1.4 Task 4: Portfolio Optimization & Business Insights (20 points)****Task 4.1: Optimal Portfolio Weights (10 points)**

Use your enhanced Lasso model to:

1. Predict expected returns for each asset
2. Calculate optimal portfolio weights
3. Compare to **baseline** (equal-weighted) portfolio

```

1 # Your enhanced model predictions
2 expected_returns = {
3     'BTC': lasso_predict_btc,
4     'ETH': lasso_predict_eth,
5     'DOGE': lasso_predict_doge
6 }
7
8 # Optimal weights (simple softmax approach)

```

```

9 weights_optimized = calculate_softmax_weights(expected_returns)
10
11 # Baseline: equal weighted
12 weights_baseline = {'BTC': 0.33, 'ETH': 0.33, 'DOGE': 0.34}

```

### Task 4.2: Backtest & Performance (10 points)

Simulate portfolio performance over the test period:

1. Calculate daily portfolio returns for both strategies
2. Compute: Sharpe ratio, max drawdown, cumulative return
3. Visualize cumulative returns

#### Deliverable 4.2:

Metric	Baseline (Equal Weight)	Optimized (ML-Enhanced)
Annual Return	12.3%	<b>18.7%</b>
Annual Volatility	35.2%	<b>31.8%</b>
Sharpe Ratio	0.35	<b>0.59</b>
Max Drawdown	-28.1%	<b>-22.4%</b>

Table 2: Portfolio Performance Comparison

```

1 # Cumulative return plot
2 plt.figure(figsize=(12, 6))
3 plt.plot(dates, cumret_baseline, label='Baseline', alpha=0.7)
4 plt.plot(dates, cumret_optimized, label='ML-Enhanced', linewidth=2)
5 plt.xlabel('Date')
6 plt.ylabel('Cumulative Return')
7 plt.title('Portfolio Performance Comparison')
8 plt.legend()
9 plt.grid(True, alpha=0.3)

```

## 2 Evaluation Criteria

### 2.1 Grading Rubric

Component	Points	Criteria
Task 1: Prompt Engineering	25	Quality of prompts (5), Financial intuition (10), Math clarity (10)
Task 2: Implementation	35	Code quality (10), Baseline (10), Improvement proof (15)
Task 3: Analysis	20	Lasso importance (10), Ablation study (10)
Task 4: Portfolio Insights	20	Optimal weights (10), Backtest results (10)
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>100</b>	

Table 3: Grading Breakdown

## 2.2 Bonus Points (Optional)

- Implement **ElasticNet** and compare to Ridge/Lasso
- **Hypparameter-tunig** visualization

## 3 Submission Requirements

### 3.1 Deliverables:

- **Jupyter Notebook** (.ipynb)
- **PDF Report** (1-3 pages)
- **Code Repository** (GitHub)

## 4 Recommended AI Prompts for Inspiration:

### Prompt 1 – Technical Analysis:

*I have cryptocurrency data with ARIMA return forecasts and GARCH volatility forecasts. Suggest 3 technical indicators that could predict portfolio returns, focusing on momentum and mean-reversion signals. Provide formulas suitable for Python implementation.*

### Prompt 2 – Risk Metrics:

*Given forecasted volatility for BTC, ETH, and DOGE, what are 3 risk-based features that capture portfolio diversification benefits? Include correlation-based and tail-risk measures.*

### Prompt 3 – Market Regime:

*Design 3 features that identify different market regimes (bull/bear, high/low volatility, trending/ranging) using only return and volatility forecasts. Explain the threshold logic for each.*

## Remember

*“Feature engineering is the art of asking the right questions about your data.”*

The best features come from:

1. **Deep understanding** of the domain (behavioral finance, market microstructure)
2. **Creative thinking** (AI prompts help, but you must curate)
3. **Rigorous testing** (cross-validation never lies)
4. **Iteration** (first attempt rarely succeeds)