Two qubits & entamplement Reminder from lost lecture:

16>= ×10>+ (5/12) - 1 qubit state 1/9) ond

If we have the qubits in states 1/9,0000

192> 10> pectively, then state of the entire

system (both qubits together) is:

14)=10,00 = 10,00 = 10,00 = 10,00 = 10,000 of the sound form of 2-qubit

5) = (100) + (100) + (100) + (100) = (2) = (100) + (100) + (100) + (100) = (2) = (2) (10) + (100) + (100) = (2) = (2) (10) + (100) = (2) (10

1447 = 147 × 1427 Reminder from merious lecture each other qubit states qubits may this means, that this is a pair of single This state 1 (100>+110>) so called tensor es they are result of tensor product of single qubit stakes First qubit: もとも existence! be "avove" of 1+> and 10> and the construct product states? 142>= 2,10> + B1/1/>
142>= 2,10> + B2/1/> superposition of two states, but in both 2nd qubit is in state los, so all the superposition is happening on the first qubit only 21. 22 d1. /32 B1. B2

m need Konu tan si Minu or se se sero either dads or paper 1 = 28/ vg) $Q = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{2}$ 002209 0 = 28/12 of dripsiph needs to 15 = 15 < 1 2 5 + < 0 1 2 = < 2 / 1 = 4 / 0 = < 2 / 1 = < 2 / 1 = < 2 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 = < 3 / 1 There are no single qubit states which tensor product would give Bell's state. $| \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} | \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = (\langle \nu \nu | + \langle 001 \rangle) | \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} = \langle \phi |$ Let's cousides the so called Bell state:

tensor product of single qubit states.

Not all 2-opubit states can be expressed a

(-p.9-)

The states which are not products of single "entangled

Multi-qubit steles Stats

70/14 0077 between the following 10> × 1+>

(otherwise all qubits would be ind computer, we need to use to create entangled states on grantum 2-qubit independent, 1000,...0> seks

evot does not always create entanglement:

finally:

CNOT = controlled not = CX

14 following circuit: 11 Now after applying CNOT has champed - (< vv) + (ov) - (vo) - (oo) - $|(10) - 11)|(10) - 11)| = |-> \otimes (-) = |$ 0 0000 first qubit つての十 7 2 7 7 1+->= \frac{1}{2} \(|0) + |1/> \) \\ \((10) - |1/> \) = 2 | 2 707 End opobit = 2 (100> -101> + 110> + 111>) stake of controll qubit sofe, we set: (1)

Now, let's exact

what

Now, this is important as:

(n1 = <-1H

(o1 = <+1H

if we apply thederward gate to such the apply the effect will changed of 11); the phose will be thouged.

12 do this:

<+1 state ni 2; tograt i; zunton 200 bus (-1 skots sist tidup tograt it +idup latures at stog 5 wilgot We can provide different interpretation of entangled) and one system (thou are southed qubit, the to qubits our even if (NOT should not allonge ! (n) of (0) mon lestely from 10) to (1)! 24; begrow our douged ; +1's to tidup terst them TOND quiev (for contain onoche form:) Deutsch obgonithm mon, this is exactly the 1 D H X - <01 TH A COI <v1 <-1

· without entanglement, we would have olvestical with enternalement in qubits independent qubits one qubit has to parameter: =(0)+B/1) so n qubits would have 2n panemeters => it's complexity would scale linearly with mimber of qubits parameters -> whits gives parameters -> which is by objected thing!!!

computer ? YES Do we need entanglement in quantum → -+> V+V