



Chapter 2

Instructions: Language of the Computer

Instruction Set

- The repertoire of instructions of a computer
- Different computers have different instruction sets
 - But with many aspects in common
- Early computers had very simple instruction sets
 - Simplified implementation
- Many modern computers also have simple instruction sets

The MIPS Instruction Set

- Used as the example throughout the book
- Stanford MIPS commercialized by MIPS Technologies (www.mips.com)
- Large share of embedded core market
 - Applications in consumer electronics, network/storage equipment, cameras, printers, ...
- Typical of many modern ISAs
 - See MIPS Reference Data tear-out card, and Appendixes B and E

Arithmetic Operations

- Add and subtract, three operands
 - Two sources and one destination

add a, b, c # a gets b + c
- All arithmetic operations have this form
- *Design Principle 1: Simplicity favours regularity*
 - Regularity makes implementation simpler
 - Simplicity enables higher performance at lower cost



Arithmetic Example

- C code:

$f = (g + h) - (i + j);$

- Compiled MIPS code:

```
add t0, g, h    # temp t0 = g + h
add t1, i, j    # temp t1 = i + j
sub f, t0, t1   # f = t0 - t1
```

Register Operands

- Arithmetic instructions use register operands
- MIPS has a 32×32 -bit register file
 - Use for frequently accessed data
 - Numbered 0 to 31
 - 32-bit data called a “word”
- Assembler names
 - \$t0, \$t1, ..., \$t9 for temporary values
 - \$s0, \$s1, ..., \$s7 for saved variables
- *Design Principle 2: Smaller is faster*
 - c.f. main memory: millions of locations



Register Operand Example

- C code:

$f = (g + h) - (i + j);$

- f, \dots, j in $\$s0, \dots, \$s4$



- Compiled MIPS code:

add \$t0, \$s1, \$s2

add \$t1, \$s3, \$s4

sub \$s0, \$t0, \$t1

add t0, g, h



Memory Operands

- Main memory used for composite data
 - Arrays, structures, dynamic data
- To apply arithmetic operations
 - Load values from memory into registers
 - Store result from register to memory
- Memory is byte addressed
 - Each address identifies an 8-bit byte
- Words are aligned in memory
 - Address must be a multiple of 4
- MIPS is Big Endian
 - Most-significant byte at least address of a word
 - *c.f.* Little Endian: least-significant byte at least address
 - Access 0x2a4d77fe, what's difference between them?



Memory Operand Example 1

- C code:

```
g = h + A[8];
```

- g in \$s1, h in \$s2, base address of A in \$s3

- Compiled MIPS code:

- Index 8 requires offset of 32

- 4 bytes per word

```
lw    $t0, 32($s3)    # load word  
add   $s1, $s2, $t0
```

offset

base register

Memory Operand Example 2

- C code:

`A[12] = h + A[8];`

- `h` in `$s2`, base address of `A` in `$s3`

- Compiled MIPS code:

- Index 8 requires offset of 32

```
lw    $t0, 32($s3)    # load word
add   $t0, $s2, $t0
sw    $t0, 48($s3)    # store word
```

Registers vs. Memory

- Registers are faster to access than memory
- Operating on memory data requires loads and stores
 - More instructions to be executed
- Compiler must use registers for variables as much as possible
 - Only spill to memory for less frequently used variables
 - Register optimization is important!

Immediate Operands

- Constant data specified in an instruction
`addi $s3, $s3, 4`
- No subtract immediate instruction
 - Just use a negative constant
`addi $s2, $s1, -1`
- *Design Principle 3: Make the common case fast*
 - Small constants are common
 - Immediate operand avoids a load instruction

The Constant Zero

- MIPS register 0 (\$zero) is the constant 0
 - Cannot be overwritten
- Useful for common operations
 - E.g., move between registers
`add $t2, $s1, $zero`

Unsigned Binary Integers

- Given an n-bit number

$$x = x_{n-1}2^{n-1} + x_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + x_12^1 + x_02^0$$

- Range: 0 to $+2^n - 1$

- Example

- $0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 1011_2$
 $= 0 + \dots + 1 \times 2^3 + 0 \times 2^2 + 1 \times 2^1 + 1 \times 2^0$
 $= 0 + \dots + 8 + 0 + 2 + 1 = 11_{10}$

- Using 32 bits

- 0 to +4,294,967,295

2s-Complement Signed Integers

- Given an n-bit number

$$x = -x_{n-1}2^{n-1} + x_{n-2}2^{n-2} + \dots + x_12^1 + x_02^0$$

- Range: -2^{n-1} to $+2^{n-1} - 1$

- Example

- $1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1111\ 1100_2$
 $= -1 \times 2^{31} + 1 \times 2^{30} + \dots + 1 \times 2^2 + 0 \times 2^1 + 0 \times 2^0$
 $= -2,147,483,648 + 2,147,483,644 = -4_{10}$

- Using 32 bits

- $-2,147,483,648$ to $+2,147,483,647$

2s-Complement Signed Integers

- Bit 31 is sign bit
 - 1 for negative numbers
 - 0 for non-negative numbers
- $-(-2^n - 1)$ can't be represented
- Non-negative numbers have the same unsigned and 2s-complement representation
- Some specific numbers
 - 0: 0000 0000 ... 0000
 - -1: 1111 1111 ... 1111
 - Most-negative: 1000 0000 ... 0000
 - Most-positive: 0111 1111 ... 1111

Signed Negation

- Complement and add 1
 - Complement means $1 \rightarrow 0, 0 \rightarrow 1$

$$x + \bar{x} = 1111 \dots 111_2 = -1$$

$$\bar{x} + 1 = -x$$

- Example: negate +2
 - $+2 = 0000 \ 0000 \ \dots \ 0010_2$
 - $-2 = 1111 \ 1111 \ \dots \ 1101_2 + 1$
 $= 1111 \ 1111 \ \dots \ 1110_2$

Sign Extension


- Representing a number using more bits
 - Preserve the numeric value
- In MIPS instruction set
 - `addi`: extend immediate value
 - `lb`, `lh`: extend loaded byte/halfword
 - `beq`, `bne`: extend the displacement
- Replicate the sign bit to the left
 - c.f. unsigned values: extend with 0s
- Examples: 8-bit to 16-bit
 - `+2`: 0000 0010 => 0000 0000 0000 0010
 - `-2`: 1111 1110 => 1111 1111 1111 1110

Representing Instructions

- Instructions are encoded in binary
 - Called machine code
- MIPS instructions
 - Encoded as 32-bit instruction words
 - Small number of formats encoding operation code (opcode), register numbers, ...
 - Regularity!
- Register numbers
 - \$t0 – \$t7 are reg's 8 – 15
 - \$t8 – \$t9 are reg's 24 – 25
 - \$s0 – \$s7 are reg's 16 – 23



MIPS R-format Instructions



■ Instruction fields

- op: operation code (opcode)
- rs: first source register number
- rt: second source register number
- rd: destination register number
- shamt: shift amount (00000 for now)
- funct: function code (extends opcode)

R-format Example

op	rs	rt	rd	shamt	funct
6 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	6 bits

add \$t0, \$s1, \$s2

special	\$s1	\$s2	\$t0	0	add
---------	------	------	------	---	-----

0	17	18	8	0	32
---	----	----	---	---	----

000000	10001	10010	01000	00000	100000
--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	--------

$00000010001100100100000000100000_2 = 02324020_{16}$

Hexadecimal

- Base 16
 - Compact representation of bit strings
 - 4 bits per hex digit

0	0000	4	0100	8	1000	c	1100
1	0001	5	0101	9	1001	d	1101
2	0010	6	0110	a	1010	e	1110
3	0011	7	0111	b	1011	f	1111


- Example: eca8 6420
 - 1110 1100 1010 1000 0110 0100 0010 0000

MIPS I-format Instructions




- Immediate arithmetic and load/store instructions
 - rt: destination (e.g., lw) or source (e.g. sw) register number
 - Constant: -2^{15} to $+2^{15} - 1$
 - Address: offset added to base address in rs
- *Design Principle 4: Good design demands good compromises*
 - Different formats complicate decoding, but allow 32-bit instructions uniformly
 - Keep formats as similar as possible

lw \$t0, 0(\$t1)



Stored Program Computers

The BIG Picture



- Instructions represented in binary, just like data
- Instructions and data stored in memory
- Programs can operate on programs
 - e.g., compilers, linkers, ...
- Binary compatibility allows compiled programs to work on different computers
 - Standardized ISAs

Logical Operations

- Instructions for bitwise manipulation

Operation	C	Java	MIPS
Shift left	<<	<<	sll
Shift right	>>	>>>	srl
Bitwise AND	&	&	and, andi
Bitwise OR			or, ori
Bitwise NOT	~	~	nor

- Useful for extracting and inserting groups of bits in a word

Shift Operations

op	rs	rt	rd	shamt	funct
6 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	5 bits	6 bits

- shamt: how many positions to shift
- Shift left logical
 - Shift left and fill with 0 bits
 - sll by i bits multiplies by 2^i
- Shift right logical
 - Shift right and fill with 0 bits
 - srl by i bits divides by 2^i (unsigned only)

AND Operations

- Useful to mask bits in a word
 - Select some bits, clear others to 0

and \$t0, \$t1, \$t2

\$t2	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 1101 1100 0000
\$t1	0000 0000 0000 0000 0011 1100 0000 0000
\$t0	0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 1100 0000 0000

OR Operations

- Useful to include bits in a word
 - Set some bits to 1, leave others unchanged
- or \$t0, \$t1, \$t2

\$t2 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 1101 1100 0000

\$t1 0000 0000 0000 0000 0011 1100 0000 0000

\$t0 0000 0000 0000 0000 0011 1101 1100 0000

NOT Operations

- Useful to invert bits in a word
 - Change 0 to 1, and 1 to 0
- MIPS has NOR 3-operand instruction
 - $a \text{ NOR } b == \text{NOT} (a \text{ OR } b)$

`nor $t0, $t1, $zero` ←

Register 0: always
read as zero

\$t1 0000 0000 0000 0000 0011 1100 0000 0000

\$t0 1111 1111 1111 1111 1100 0011 1111 1111

Conditional Operations

- Branch to a labeled instruction if a condition is true
 - Otherwise, continue sequentially
- `beq rs, rt, L1`
 - if (`rs == rt`) branch to instruction labeled L1;
- `bne rs, rt, L1`
 - if (`rs != rt`) branch to instruction labeled L1;
- `j L1`
 - unconditional jump to instruction labeled L1



Compiling If Statements


- C code:

```
if (i==j) f = g+h;  
else f = g-h;
```

- f, g, h in \$s0, \$s1, \$s2

- Compiled MIPS code:

```
        bne $s3, $s4, Else  
        add $s0, $s1, $s2  
        j   Exit  
Else:   sub $s0, $s1, $s2  
Exit:   ...
```



Assembler calculates addresses

Compiling Loop Statements

- C code:

```
while (save[i] == k) i += 1;
```


- i in \$s3, k in \$s5, address of save in \$s6

- Compiled MIPS code:

```
Loop:  sll    $t1, $s3, 2
        add   $t1, $t1, $s6
        lw    $t0, 0($t1)
        bne   $t0, $s5, Exit
        addi  $s3, $s3, 1
        j     Loop
Exit:  ...
```


Basic Blocks

- A basic block is a sequence of instructions with
 - No embedded branches (except at end)
 - No branch targets (except at beginning)



- A compiler identifies basic blocks for optimization
- An advanced processor can accelerate execution of basic blocks

More Conditional Operations

- Set result to 1 if a condition is true
 - Otherwise, set to 0
- `slt rd, rs, rt`
 - if ($rs < rt$) $rd = 1$; else $rd = 0$;
- `slti rt, rs, constant`
 - if ($rs < \text{constant}$) $rt = 1$; else $rt = 0$;
- Use in combination with `beq`, `bne`

```
    slt $t0, $s1, $s2    # if ($s1 < $s2)
    bne $t0, $zero, L    #   branch to L
```

Branch Instruction Design

- Why not b1t, bge, etc?
- Hardware for $<$, \geq , ... slower than $=$, \neq
 - Combining with branch involves more work per instruction, requiring a slower clock
 - All instructions penalized!
- beq and bne are the common case
- This is a good design compromise


Signed vs. Unsigned

- Signed comparison: `slt`, `slti`
- Unsigned comparison: `sltu`, `sltui`
- Example
 - `$s0 = 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111 1111`
 - `$s1 = 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0000 0001`
 - `slt $t0, $s0, $s1 # signed`
 - $-1 < +1 \Rightarrow \$t0 = 1$
 - `sltu $t0, $s0, $s1 # unsigned`
 - $+4,294,967,295 > +1 \Rightarrow \$t0 = 0$

Procedure Calling

- Steps required
 1. Place parameters in registers
 2. Transfer control to procedure
 3. Acquire storage for procedure
 4. Perform procedure's operations
 5. Place result in register for caller
 6. Return to place of call

Register Usage



- \$a0 – \$a3: arguments (reg's 4 – 7)
- \$v0, \$v1: result values (reg's 2 and 3)
- \$t0 – \$t9: temporaries
 - Can be overwritten by callee **without saving**
- \$s0 – \$s7: saved
 - Must be saved/restored by callee
- \$gp: global pointer for static data (reg 28)
- \$sp: stack pointer (reg 29)
- \$fp: frame pointer (reg 30)
- \$ra: return address (reg 31)
- CPU state: register values
- Restore CPU state: restore register values

Responsible
for \$a0-3

caller

```
.  
funcA();  
.
```

```
funcA()  
{  
}  

```

callee

Responsible
for \$s series &
\$ra

Procedure Call Instructions

- Procedure call: jump and link
`jal ProcedureLabel`
 - Address of following instruction put in `$ra`
 - Jumps to target address
- Procedure return: jump register
`jr $ra`

下一個要執行指令的位置

 - Copies `$ra` to program counter
 - Can also be used for computed jumps
 - e.g., for case/switch statements

Leaf Procedure Example

- C code:

```
int leaf_example (int g, h, i, j)
{ int f;
  f = (g + h) - (i + j);
  return f;
}
```

- Arguments g, ..., j in \$a0, ..., \$a3
- f in \$s0 (hence, need to save \$s0 on stack)
- Result in \$v0

Example for Procedure Call

```
int leaf_example (int g, int h, int i, int j)
{
    int f;
    f = (g + h) - (i + j);
    return f;
}
```

\$a0 \$a1 \$a2 \$a3

int f; \$s0

f = (g + h) - (i + j);

return f;

Save register!!
(in callee)

\$t0
~
\$t9

\$s0
~
\$s7

leaf_example:

```
addi    $sp, $sp, -12
sw       $t1, 8($sp)
sw       $t0, 4($sp)
sw       $s0, 0($sp)
add      $t0, $a0, $a1
add      $t1, $a2, $a3
sub      $s0, $t0, $t1
```

因為不需要管temp
只管save

```
add      $v0, $s0, $zero
lw       $s0, 0($sp)
lw       $t0, 4($sp)
lw       $t1, 8($sp)
addi     $sp, $sp, 12
jr       $ra
```

Leaf Procedure Example

- MIPS code:

leaf_example:			
addi	\$sp,	\$sp, -4	Save \$s0 on stack
sw	\$s0,	0(\$sp)	
add	\$t0,	\$a0, \$a1	Procedure body
add	\$t1,	\$a2, \$a3	
sub	\$s0,	\$t0, \$t1	
add	\$v0,	\$s0, \$zero	Result
lw	\$s0,	0(\$sp)	Restore \$s0
addi	\$sp,	\$sp, 4	
jr	\$ra		Return

Non-Leaf Procedures

- Procedures that call other procedures
- For nested call, caller needs to save on the stack:
 - Its return address
 - Any arguments and temporaries needed after the call
- Restore from the stack after the call

Non-Leaf Procedure Example

- C code:

```
int fact (int n)
{
    if (n < 1) return 1;
    else return n * fact(n - 1);
}
```

- Argument n in \$a0
- Result in \$v0

Nested Procedure: How to Keep Register Integrity

♦ Problem: registers are sequentially used by nested procedures.

```
Int fact (int n)
{
    $a0
    if (n < 1) return (1);
    else    return (n * fact (n-1));
}
```

Spilling register

- Caller
 - \$a0~\$a3
- Callee
 - \$ra
 - \$s0~\$s7

fact:

```

● addi $sp, $sp, -8
  sw   $ra, 4($sp)
  sw   $a0, 0($sp)
  slti $t0, $a0, 1
  beq  $t0, $zero, L1
  addi $v0, $zero, 1
  ● addi $sp, $sp, 8
    jr   $ra
```

1st
return

L1:

```

addi $a0, $a0, -1
jal  fact
lw   $a0, 0($sp)
lw   $ra, 4($sp)
● addi $sp, $sp, 8
  mul  $v0, $a0, $v0
  jr   $ra
```

subsequent
return


Non-Leaf Procedure Example

```
int fact (int n) {  
    if (n < 1) return 1;  
    else return n * fact(n - 1); }
```

■ MIPS code:

fact:		
addi	\$sp, \$sp, -8	# adjust stack for 2 items
sw	\$ra, 4(\$sp)	# save return address
sw	\$a0, 0(\$sp)	# save argument
slti	\$t0, \$a0, 1	# test for n < 1
beq	\$t0, \$zero, L1	
addi	\$v0, \$zero, 1	# if so, result is 1
addi	\$sp, \$sp, 8	# pop 2 items from stack
jr	\$ra	# and return
L1:	addi \$a0, \$a0, -1	# else decrement n
	jal fact	# recursive call
lw	\$a0, 0(\$sp)	# restore original n
lw	\$ra, 4(\$sp)	# and return address
addi	\$sp, \$sp, 8	# pop 2 items from stack
mul	\$v0, \$a0, \$v0	# multiply to get result
jr	\$ra	# and return

Local Data on the Stack




Does $\$sp$ remain unchanged?

```
function test() {  
    int a;  
    ..... //statements  
    int b,c;  
    ..... //statements  
    int ary[10];  
    .....//statements  
    { int a, b, c; ....  
    }  
}
```

- Local data allocated by callee
 - e.g., C automatic variables
- Procedure frame (activation record)
 - Used by some compilers to manage stack storage

Memory Layout

- Text: program code
- Static data: global variables
 - e.g., static variables in C, constant arrays and strings
 - \$gp initialized to address allowing \pm offsets into this segment
- Dynamic data: heap
 - E.g., malloc in C, new in Java
- Stack: automatic storage



Character Data

- Byte-encoded character sets
 - ASCII: 128 characters
 - 95 graphic, 33 control
 - Latin-1: 256 characters
 - ASCII, +96 more graphic characters
- Unicode: 32-bit character set
 - Used in Java, C++ wide characters, ...
 - Most of the world's alphabets, plus symbols
 - UTF-8 (Unicode Transformation Format), UTF-16: variable-length encodings



Byte/Halfword Operations

- Could use bitwise operations

- MIPS byte/halfword load/store

- String processing is a common case

lb rt, offset(rs) lh rt, offset(rs)

- Sign extend to 32 bits in rt

lbu rt, offset(rs) lhu rt, offset(rs)

- Zero extend to 32 bits in rt

sb rt, offset(rs) sh rt, offset(rs)

- Store just rightmost byte/halfword

lb \$t0, 2(\$s0)	0(\$s0) : 0x11	1(\$s0) : 0xFF	2(\$s0) : 0x02	3(\$s0) : 0x1A	\$t0 = ?
sb \$t0, 3(\$s0)	0(\$s0) :	1(\$s0) :	2(\$s0) :	3(\$s0) :	

String Copy Example

- C code (naïve):

- Null-terminated string

```
void strcpy (char x[], char y[])  
{ int i;  
  i = 0;  
  while ((x[i]=y[i])!='\0')  
    i += 1;  
}
```

- Addresses of x, y in \$a0, \$a1
- i in \$s0

String Copy Example

- MIPS code:

strcpy:		
	addi \$sp, \$sp, -4	# adjust stack for 1 item
	sw \$s0, 0(\$sp)	# save \$s0
	add \$s0, \$zero, \$zero	# i = 0
L1:	add \$t1, \$s0, \$a1	# addr of y[i] in \$t1
	lbu \$t2, 0(\$t1)	# \$t2 = y[i]
	add \$t3, \$s0, \$a0	# addr of x[i] in \$t3
	sb \$t2, 0(\$t3)	# x[i] = y[i]
	beq \$t2, \$zero, L2	# exit loop if y[i] == 0
	addi \$s0, \$s0, 1	# i = i + 1
	j L1	# next iteration of loop
L2:	lw \$s0, 0(\$sp)	# restore saved \$s0
	addi \$sp, \$sp, 4	# pop 1 item from stack
	jr \$ra	# and return

32-bit Constants

- Most constants are small
 - 16-bit immediate is sufficient
- For the occasional 32-bit constant
 - Copies 16-bit constant to left 16 bits of rt
 - Clears right 16 bits of rt to 0

EX. Load a 32-bit constant 0X 003E0900 to \$s0

`lui $s0, 62`

0000 0000 0011 1110	0000 0000 0000 0000
---------------------	---------------------


`ori $s0, $s0, 2304`

0000 0000 0011 1110	0000 1001 0000 0000
---------------------	---------------------



Branch Addressing

- Branch instructions specify
 - Opcode, two registers, target address
- Most branch targets are near branch
 - Forward or backward




Adr.	Inst.
0(0000)	beq \$t0 \$t1 L1
4(0100)	lw ...
8(1000)	add ...
12(1100)	L1: ...

- PC-relative addressing
 - Target address = $PC + \text{offset} \times 4$
 - PC already incremented by 4 by this time

Jump Addressing

- Jump (j and jal) targets could be anywhere in text segment
 - Encode full address in instruction

word 最右邊是00可以捨棄



- (Pseudo)Direct jump addressing
 - Target address = $\underbrace{PC_{31..28}}_{4 \text{ bits}} : \underbrace{(\text{address} \times 4)}_{28 \text{ bits}}$

Target Addressing Example

- Loop code from earlier example
 - Assume Loop at location 80000

Loop: sll	\$t1, \$s3, 2	80000	0	0	19	9	2	0
add	\$t1, \$t1, \$s6	80004	0	9	22	9	0	32
lw	\$t0, 0(\$t1)	80008	35	9	8	0		
bne	\$t0, \$s5, Exit	80012	5	8	21	2		
addi	\$s3, \$s3, 1	80016	8	19	19	1		
j	Loop	80020	2	20000				
Exit: ...		80024						

Branching Far Away

- If branch target is too far to encode with 16-bit offset, assembler rewrites the code
- Example

```
beq $s0,$s1, L1
```

↓

```
bne $s0,$s1, L2
```

```
j L1
```

```
L2: ...
```

Addressing Mode Summary


1. Immediate addressing




2. Register addressing




3. Base addressing



4. PC-relative addressing



5. Pseudodirect addressing




MIPS Instruction Encoding

Op (31:26)								
28-26 31-29	0(000)	1(001)	2(010)	3(011)	4(100)	5(101)	6(110)	7(111)
0(000)	R-format	Bltz/gez	Jump	Jal	Beq	Bne	Blez	Bgtz
1(001)	Addi	Addiu	Slti	Sltiu	Andi	Ori	Xori	Lui
2(010)	TLB	FIPt						
3(011)								
4(100)	Lb	Lh	Lwl	Lw	Lbu	Lhu	lwr	
5(101)	Sb	Sh	Swl	Sw			Swr	
6(110)	Lwc0	Lwc1						
7(111)	Swc0	swc1						
Op (31:26)=010000 (TLB), rs(25:21)								
23-21 25-24	0(000)	1(001)	2(010)	3(011)	4(100)	5(101)	6(110)	7(111)
0(00)	mfc0		cfc0		mtc0		ctc0	
1(01)								
2(10)								
3(11)								
Op(31:26)=000000 (R-format), funct(5:0)								
2-0 5-3	0(000)	1(001)	2(010)	3(011)	4(100)	5(101)	6(110)	7(111)
0(000)	Sll		Srl	Sra	Sllv		Srlv	Srav
1(001)	Jump reg.	Jalr			Syscall	Break		
2(010)	Mfhi	Mthi	Mflo	Mtlo				
3(011)	Mult	Multu	Div	Divu				
4(100)	Add	Addu	Subtract	Aubu	And	Or	Xor	Nor
5(101)			Set l.t.					
6(110)								
7(111)								

Decoding Machine Code

- What's the assembly language statement :
00af8020 (hex)



R-format 常見

Synchronization

- Two processors sharing an area of memory
 - P1 writes, then P2 reads
 - Data race if P1 and P2 don't synchronize
 - Result depends on order of accesses
- Hardware support required
 - Atomic read/write memory operation
 - No other access to the location allowed between the read and write
- Could be a single instruction
 - E.g., atomic swap of register \leftrightarrow memory
 - Or an atomic pair of instructions




Synchronization in MIPS

- Load linked: `ll rt, offset(rs)`
- Store conditional: `sc rt, offset(rs)`
 - Succeeds if location not changed since the `ll`
 - Returns 1 in `rt`
 - Fails if location is changed
 - Returns 0 in `rt`
- Example: atomic swap (to test/set lock variable)

```
try: add $t0,$zero,$s4 ;copy exchange value
      ll $t1,0($s1)      ;load linked
      sc $t0,0($s1)      ;store conditional
      beq $t0,$zero,try  ;branch store fails
      add $s4,$zero,$t1 ;put load value in $s4
```

Translation and Startup



Assembler Pseudoinstructions

- Most assembler instructions represent machine instructions one-to-one
- Pseudoinstructions: figments of the assembler's imagination

`move $t0, $t1` \rightarrow `add $t0, $zero, $t1`

`blt $t0, $t1, L` \rightarrow `slt $at, $t0, $t1`
 `bne $at, $zero, L`

- `$at` (register 1): assembler temporary

Producing an Object Module

- Assembler (or compiler) translates program into machine instructions
- Provides information for building a complete program from the pieces
 - Header: described contents of object module
 - Text segment: translated instructions
 - Static data segment: data allocated for the life of the program
 - Relocation info: for contents that depend on absolute location of loaded program
 - Symbol table: global definitions and external refs
 - Debug info: for associating with source code

Linking Object Modules

- Why needs linker?
- Produces an executable image
 1. Merges segments
 2. Resolve labels (determine their addresses)
 3. Patch location-dependent and external refs
- Could leave location dependencies for fixing by a relocating loader
 - But with virtual memory, no need to do this
 - Program can be loaded into absolute location in virtual memory space

Loading a Program

- Load from image file on disk into memory
 1. Read header to determine segment sizes
 2. Create virtual address space
 3. Copy text and initialized data into memory
 - Or set page table entries so they can be faulted in
 4. Set up arguments on stack
 5. Initialize registers (including \$sp, \$fp, \$gp)
 6. Jump to startup routine
 - Copies arguments to \$a0, ... and calls main
 - When main returns, do exit syscall



Dynamic Linking

- Only link/load library procedure when it is called
 - Requires procedure code to be relocatable
 - Avoids image bloat caused by static linking of all (transitively) referenced libraries
 - Automatically picks up new library versions


Lazy Linkage

Indirection table


Stub: Loads routine ID,
Jump to linker/loader

Linker/loader code

Dynamically
mapped code



Starting Java Applications



C Sort Example

- Illustrates use of assembly instructions for a C bubble sort function
- Swap procedure (leaf)

```
void swap(int v[], int k)
{
    int temp;
    temp = v[k];
    v[k] = v[k+1];
    v[k+1] = temp;
}
```

- v in \$a0, k in \$a1, temp in \$t0



The Procedure Swap

swap: sll \$t1, \$a1, 2	# \$t1 = k * 4
add \$t1, \$a0, \$t1	# \$t1 = v+(k*4)
	# (address of v[k])
lw \$t0, 0(\$t1)	# \$t0 (temp) = v[k]
lw \$t2, 4(\$t1)	# \$t2 = v[k+1]
sw \$t2, 0(\$t1)	# v[k] = \$t2 (v[k+1])
sw \$t0, 4(\$t1)	# v[k+1] = \$t0 (temp)
jr \$ra	# return to calling routine

v in \$a0, k in \$a1, temp in \$t0

The Sort Procedure in C

- Non-leaf (calls swap)

```
void sort (int v[], int n)
{
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i += 1) {
        for (j = i - 1;
             j >= 0 && v[j] > v[j + 1];
             j -= 1) {
            swap(v, j);
        }
    }
}
```

- v in \$a0, n in \$a1, i in \$s0, j in \$s1

The Procedure Body

```
void sort (int v[], int n) {
    int i, j;
    for (i = 0; i < n; i += 1) {
        for (j = i - 1; j >= 0 &&
              v[j] > v[j + 1]; j -= 1)
            swap(v, j);
    }
}
```

	move \$s2, \$a0	# save \$a0 into \$s2	Move params
	move \$s3, \$a1	# save \$a1 into \$s3	
for1tst:	move \$s0, \$zero	# i = 0	Outer loop
	slt \$t0, \$s0, \$s3	# \$t0 = 0 if \$s0 ≥ \$s3 (i ≥ n)	
	beq \$t0, \$zero, exit1	# go to exit1 if \$s0 ≥ \$s3 (i ≥ n)	
	addi \$s1, \$s0, -1	# j = i - 1	
for2tst:	slti \$t0, \$s1, 0	# \$t0 = 1 if \$s1 < 0 (j < 0)	
	bne \$t0, \$zero, exit2	# go to exit2 if \$s1 < 0 (j < 0)	
	sll \$t1, \$s1, 2	# \$t1 = j * 4	Inner loop
	add \$t2, \$s2, \$t1	# \$t2 = v + (j * 4)	
	lw \$t3, 0(\$t2)	# \$t3 = v[j]	
	lw \$t4, 4(\$t2)	# \$t4 = v[j + 1]	
	slt \$t0, \$t4, \$t3	# \$t0 = 0 if \$t4 ≥ \$t3	
	beq \$t0, \$zero, exit2	# go to exit2 if \$t4 ≥ \$t3	
	move \$a0, \$s2	# 1st param of swap is v (old \$a0)	Pass params & call
	move \$a1, \$s1	# 2nd param of swap is j	
	jal swap	# call swap procedure	
	addi \$s1, \$s1, -1	# j -= 1	Inner loop
	j for2tst	# jump to test of inner loop	
exit2:	addi \$s0, \$s0, 1	# i += 1	Outer loop
	j for1tst	# jump to test of outer loop	







The Full Procedure




sort:	addi \$sp,\$sp, -20	# make room on stack for 5 registers
	sw \$ra, 16(\$sp)	# save \$ra on stack
	sw \$s3,12(\$sp)	# save \$s3 on stack
	sw \$s2, 8(\$sp)	# save \$s2 on stack
	sw \$s1, 4(\$sp)	# save \$s1 on stack
	sw \$s0, 0(\$sp)	# save \$s0 on stack
	...	# procedure body
	...	
	exit1: lw \$s0, 0(\$sp)	# restore \$s0 from stack
	lw \$s1, 4(\$sp)	# restore \$s1 from stack
	lw \$s2, 8(\$sp)	# restore \$s2 from stack
	lw \$s3,12(\$sp)	# restore \$s3 from stack
	lw \$ra,16(\$sp)	# restore \$ra from stack
	addi \$sp,\$sp, 20	# restore stack pointer
	jr \$ra	# return to calling routine

Effect of Compiler Optimization

Compiled with gcc for Pentium 4 under Linux



Effect of Language and Algorithm



Lessons Learnt

- Instruction count and CPI are not good performance indicators in isolation
- Compiler optimizations are sensitive to the algorithm
- Java/JIT compiled code is significantly faster than JVM interpreted
 - Comparable to optimized C in some cases
- Nothing can fix a dumb algorithm!

Arrays vs. Pointers

- Array indexing involves
 - Multiplying index by element size
 - Adding to array base address
- Pointers correspond directly to memory addresses
 - Can avoid indexing complexity

Example: Clearing and Array

```
clear1(int array[], int size) {  
    int i;  
    for (i = 0; i < size; i += 1)  
        array[i] = 0;  
}
```

```
        move $t0,$zero    # i = 0  
loop1: sll $t1,$t0,2      # $t1 = i * 4  
        add $t2,$a0,$t1  # $t2 =  
                        # &array[i]  
        sw $zero, 0($t2) # array[i] = 0  
        addi $t0,$t0,1   # i = i + 1  
        slt $t3,$t0,$a1  # $t3 =  
                        # (i < size)  
        bne $t3,$zero,loop1 # if (...)  
                        # goto loop1
```

```
clear2(int *array, int size) {  
    int *p;  
    for (p = &array[0]; p < &array[size];  
        p = p + 1)  
        *p = 0;  
}
```

```
        move $t0,$a0      # p = & array[0]  
        sll $t1,$a1,2      # $t1 = size * 4  
        add $t2,$a0,$t1  # $t2 =  
                        # &array[size]  
loop2: sw $zero,0($t0) # Memory[p] = 0  
        addi $t0,$t0,4    # p = p + 4  
        slt $t3,$t0,$t2  # $t3 =  
                        # (p < &array[size])  
        bne $t3,$zero,loop2 # if (...)  
                        # goto loop2
```


Comparison of Array vs. Ptr

- Multiply “strength reduced” to shift
- Array version requires shift to be inside loop
 - Part of index calculation for incremented i
 - c.f. incrementing pointer
- Compiler can achieve same effect as manual use of pointers
 - Induction variable elimination
 - Better to make program clearer and safer

ARM & MIPS Similarities


- ARM: the most popular embedded core
- Similar basic set of instructions to MIPS

	ARM	MIPS
Date announced	1985	1985
Instruction size	32 bits	32 bits
Address space	32-bit flat	32-bit flat
Data alignment	Aligned	Aligned
Data addressing modes	9	3
Registers	15 × 32-bit	31 × 32-bit
Input/output	Memory mapped	Memory mapped

Compare and Branch in ARM

- Uses condition codes for result of an arithmetic/logical instruction
 - Negative, zero, carry, overflow
 - Compare instructions to set condition codes without keeping the result
- Each instruction can be conditional
 - Top 4 bits of instruction word: condition value
 - Can avoid branches over single instructions

Instruction Encoding



The Intel x86 ISA

- Evolution with backward compatibility
 - 8080 (1974): 8-bit microprocessor
 - Accumulator, plus 3 index-register pairs
 - 8086 (1978): 16-bit extension to 8080
 - Complex instruction set (CISC)
 - 8087 (1980): floating-point coprocessor
 - Adds FP instructions and register stack
 - 80286 (1982): 24-bit addresses, MMU
 - Segmented memory mapping and protection
 - 80386 (1985): 32-bit extension (now IA-32)
 - Additional addressing modes and operations
 - Paged memory mapping as well as segments



The Intel x86 ISA

- Further evolution...
 - i486 (1989): pipelined, on-chip caches and FPU
 - Compatible competitors: AMD, Cyrix, ...
 - Pentium (1993): superscalar, 64-bit datapath
 - Later versions added MMX (Multi-Media eXtension) instructions
 - The infamous FDIV bug
 - Pentium Pro (1995), Pentium II (1997)
 - New microarchitecture (see Colwell, *The Pentium Chronicles*)
 - Pentium III (1999)
 - Added SSE (Streaming SIMD Extensions) and associated registers
 - Pentium 4 (2001)
 - New microarchitecture
 - Added SSE2 instructions

The Intel x86 ISA

- And further...
 - AMD64 (2003): extended architecture to 64 bits
 - EM64T – Extended Memory 64 Technology (2004)
 - AMD64 adopted by Intel (with refinements)
 - Added SSE3 instructions
 - Intel Core (2006)
 - Added SSE4 instructions, virtual machine support
 - AMD64 (announced 2007): SSE5 instructions
 - Intel declined to follow, instead...
 - Advanced Vector Extension (announced 2008)
 - Longer SSE registers, more instructions
- If Intel didn't extend with compatibility, its competitors would!
 - Technical elegance ≠ market success

Basic x86 Registers

Name	31	0	Use
EAX			GPR 0
ECX			GPR 1
EDX			GPR 2
EBX			GPR 3
ESP			GPR 4
EBP			GPR 5
ESI			GPR 6
EDI			GPR 7
	CS		Code segment pointer
	SS		Stack segment pointer (top of stack)
	DS		Data segment pointer 0
	ES		Data segment pointer 1
	FS		Data segment pointer 2
	GS		Data segment pointer 3
EIP			Instruction pointer (PC)
EFLAGS			Condition codes

Basic x86 Addressing Modes


- Two operands per instruction

Source/dest operand	Second source operand
Register	Register
Register	Immediate
Register	Memory
Memory	Register
Memory	Immediate

- Memory addressing modes
 - Address in register
 - $\text{Address} = R_{\text{base}} + \text{displacement}$
 - $\text{Address} = R_{\text{base}} + 2^{\text{scale}} \times R_{\text{index}}$ (scale = 0, 1, 2, or 3)
 - $\text{Address} = R_{\text{base}} + 2^{\text{scale}} \times R_{\text{index}} + \text{displacement}$

x86 Instruction Encoding


a. JE EIP + displacement




b. CALL




c. MOV EBX, [EDI + 45]



d. PUSH ESI



e. ADD EAX, #6765



f. TEST EDX, #42



Variable length encoding

- Postfix bytes specify addressing mode
- Prefix bytes modify operation
 - Operand length, repetition, locking, ...

Implementing IA-32

- Complex instruction set makes implementation difficult
 - Hardware translates instructions to simpler microoperations
 - Simple instructions: 1–1
 - Complex instructions: 1–many
 - Microengine similar to RISC
 - Market share makes this economically viable
- Comparable performance to RISC
 - Compilers avoid complex instructions


Fallacies

- Powerful instruction \Rightarrow higher performance
 - Fewer instructions required
 - But complex instructions are hard to implement
 - May slow down all instructions, including simple ones
 - Compilers are good at making fast code from simple instructions
- Use assembly code for high performance
 - But modern compilers are better at dealing with modern processors
 - More lines of code \Rightarrow more errors and less productivity



Fallacies

- Backward compatibility \Rightarrow instruction set doesn't change
 - But they do accrete more instructions



x86 instruction set

Pitfalls

- Sequential words are not at sequential addresses
 - Increment by 4, not by 1!
- Keeping a pointer to an automatic variable after procedure returns
 - e.g., passing pointer back via an argument
 - Pointer becomes invalid when stack popped

```
Int *funcA()
{
    int localKing;
    ...
    return &localKing;
}
```

Concluding Remarks

- Design principles
 1. Simplicity favors regularity
 2. Smaller is faster
 3. Make the common case fast
 4. Good design demands good compromises
- Layers of software/hardware
 - Compiler, assembler, hardware
- MIPS: typical of RISC ISAs
 - c.f. x86

Concluding Remarks

- Measure MIPS instruction executions in benchmark programs
 - Consider making the common case fast
 - Consider compromises

Instruction class	MIPS examples	SPEC2006 Int	SPEC2006 FP
Arithmetic	add, sub, addi	16%	48%
Data transfer	lw, sw, lb, lbu, lh, lhu, sb, lui	35%	36%
Logical	and, or, nor, andi, ori, sll, srl	12%	4%
Cond. Branch	beq, bne, slt, slti, sltiu	34%	8%
Jump	j, jr, jal	2%	0%

