Elastic Metaspace (Upstream Sales Pitch)

Thomas Stüfe, SAP
March 2020

Follow us

www.sap.com/contactsap

THE BEST RUN SAP®

Agenda

- Motivation
- Basics
- Current implementation and its problems
- New implementation proposal

Motivation

- Save Memory
- Keep Metaspace coding maintainable

Basics

Metadata lifecycle

- Metadata are typically allocated when classes are loaded
- Accumulated metadata is freed after class loader has been collected
  – Bulk free scenario -> No need to track individual allocations -> arena style allocation
- Exceptions: premature deallocation possible but atypical

Metadata allocation / deallocation histogram (blocks/word size)



- from a wildfly startup

- allocation: only about 1% above 40 words

- deallocation: similar, but higher number of larger blocks

Why bother?

Why not a general purpose allocator?

We think we can do better:

- Arena style allocation is fast and memory efficient.
- We know the size distribution of typical allocations

- Case against malloc in particular:
  - CompressedClassSpace
  - Platform specific limitations (e.g. sbrk hits java heap)

Current implementation

Metaspace, very simplified, one slide

- At the lowest level we keep a growable series of memory regions, mmap‘d, committed on demand.

- Class loader allocates chunks from those regions and henceforth owns them.

- Metadata space is allocated from those chunks via pointer bump.

- When loader dies, its chunks are returned to a global freelist and may be reused for other class loaders.

Virtual Space



Sizes per class:

- ~1K Klass (500+ … 500K)
- ~6K non-class (~2K … xxK)

Current implementation (1)

(much simplified)



- Loader owns a chunk of memory.
- Allocates from it via pointer bump.
  - Remember: we do not need to track individual allocations for freeing.

Current implementation (2)

(much simplified)

- If chunk is used up, Loader aquires a new one from the metaspace allocator.
- Retired chunks are kept in list
- Leftover space is kept for later reuse

Current implementation (3)

(much simplified)



Chunks are carved from VirtualSpaceNode as they are allocated.

VirtualSpaceNode is committed on demand, never gets uncommitted.



Current implementation (4)

(much simplified)



When a loader dies, its chunks are marked as free…



Current implementation (5)

(very much simplified)







…and added to global freelists (ChunkManager), sorted by size. VirtualSpaceNode is potentially unmapped.

Classes



Per class loader



Global

..with CompressedClassPointers



Class metadata

“non-class” metadata

Per class loader



Class VSlist (note: really just one element).

Non-Class VSlist

Global



Class ChunkManager

Non-Class ChunkManager

Concern: „Micro Loaders“

- Some loaders / CLDs only ever load one class:
    - Anonymous classes
    - Reflection delegator glue

- Not optimal.
    - In class space, only one InstanceClass is allocated, but needs a whole chunk
    - In non-class space, ~10-20 allocations

Deallocations

- Premature release of metadata
    - 1 Class redefinitions, Profile Counters, partly loaded metadata on Class loading errors ..
    - 2 But also: remains of retired chunks (usually larger blocks)
- Typically very rare (~ 1:1000 dealloc:alloc). But may happen often in pathological cases
    - Instrumentation?
- Deallocated metadata are still owned by class loader
- We attempt to reuse them for follow up metadata allocation, to varying degrees of success
- Deallocation histogram: similar to allocation but higher number of larger chunks due to (2)

Deallocations: BlockFreeList

- Two parts
    - „SmallBlocks“ - a ordered vector of linked lists of free metadata, for small sizes (up to 13 blocks)
        - O(1) insert/retrieval
    - „BlockTreeDictionary“ - a BST for larger blocks
        - BinaryTreeDictionary
        - Unbalanced
- Note: blocks/tree nodes in place
- Whats not good:
    - Smallblocks does not search for larger blocks
    - Dictionary: too large blocks are retrieved and inserted back unnecessarily
    - We are afraid and don‘t use it
    - Complicated code

Chunk sizes

- Class space: 1K (“special”), 2K (“small”), 32K(“medium”)
- Non-class space: 1K, 4K, 64K
- And humongous chunks: larger than medium and variable sized

11 – metachunk coalescation

- The „chunk size choking problem“
- JDK-8198423
- Chunks can be merged and split now
  - 4x1K chunks -> 4K
  - 16x4K chunks -> 64K
- Basically the whole thing is now a weird buddy allocator
  - A bit inefficient due to the odd chunk geometry
  - But it solved the problem
  - But I was afraid to touch too much, so the whole patch is one gigantic band aid
  - Ugly and difficult to maintain :-(

Main waste areas

- Freelists can get huge.
  – We have seen used:free ratios of 1:3 and worse
  – =>Metaspace is not really elastic.

- Intra-chunk waste
  – At some point loader typically stops loading classes; remaining chunk space is wasted
  – Worse with micro loaders – if you have a lot

Huge freelists: Committed vs used space, after class unloading



Huge Freelists (`jcmd VM.metaspace` output)

```
jcmd 27265 VM.metaspace

27265:
…

Waste (percentages refer to total committed size 373,48 MB):
              Committed unused:    280,00 KB ( <1%)
        Waste in chunks in use:      2,45 KB ( <1%)
         Free in chunks in use:      6,34 MB (  2%)
     Overhead in chunks in use:    186,75 KB ( <1%)
                 In free chunks:    269,56 MB ( 72%)
Deallocated from chunks in use:    998,98 KB ( <1%) (1763 blocks)
                       -total-:    277,33 MB ( 74%)
```

Eat up!

- The intrachunk waste problem: every loader stops to load at some point. Remainder space in current chunk as well as deallocated blocks remain unused.

- How large a chunk do we give to a loader?
    - Too small: high fragmentation and contention on central parts
    - Too large: wasted space
    - Often guesswork and can be wrong.

- A function of chunk allocation history

- Micro loaders get small chunks

Reimplementation

Basic idea

- Uncommit chunks in freelists

- Delay committing chunks until they are actually used
  - Partly commit them piece wise (like a thread stack)
  - Removes the penalty of handing out large chunks to class loaders

Commit granules



Current chunk allocation scheme unsuited for uncommitting chunks

- Odd chunk geometry
  - Difficult to merge and split
  - High fragmentation
  - Complex code

- Chunk headers are a problem

Pow 2 based buddy allocator for chunks

- Power 2 based buddy allocation scheme
- Chunks sized from 1K … 4M in pow2 steps
- Dead simple to split and merge.
- Low external defragmentation -> Leads to larger free contiguous areas.
- Standard algorithm widely known

Pow 2 based buddy allocator for chunks

```
// Each chunk has a level; the level corresponds to its position in the tree
// and describes its size.
//
// The largest chunks are called root chunks, of 4MB in size, and have level 0.
// From there on it goes:
//
// size    level
// 4MB     0
// 2MB     1
// 1MB     2
// 512K    3
// 256K    4
// 128K    5
// 64K     6
// 32K     7
// 16K     8
// 8K      9
// 4K      10
// 2K      11
// 1K      12
```

Buddy allocator: Allocation



- Remove chunk from freelist
- Optionally split until desired size is reached
- Return result chunk; put splinter chunks back to freelist

Buddy allocator: Deallocation



- Mark chunk as free
- If buddy chunk is free and unsplit: remove from freelist and merge with chunk
  – Repeat until root chunk sized reached or until buddy is not free
- Return result chunk to free list

Root chunk areas

- The whole memory range is now segmented into “root chunk areas” of 4MB size, is aligned at 4MB and gets committed with 4MB grain
- VirtualSpaceNode now only allocates root chunks → much simplified code
- ChunkManager now has 13 free lists, one for each chunk level
- Allocation:
  - To ChunkManager: do we have a chunk of level x?
  - ChunkManager: looks in freelist(x) – yes → return
  - No→ look for larger chunk in freelists(x++) and, if found, split chunk. Add splinter chunks back to freelist.
  - If not found: ask VirtualSpaceNode for a new root chunk, and proceed as above

Granules and chunks – putting it together



- A larger chunk can span multiple granules (1:n)
- Multiple small chunks can cover a single granule (n:1)

Granules and chunks – putting it together



- Free chunks spanning 1+ granules can be uncommitted
- A chunk spanning >1 granules can be committed in parts, on demand

Larger chunks can be committed on demand



Chunk headers needed to go

Before



Now



New Deallocation handling („LeftOverManager“)

- Bin list (similar to SmallBlocks) + a newly written BST
  - New binlist covers more sizes (atm 32) and searches upward too
  - The new BST is similar to the old one but much reduced in code size and much simpler.
  - New BST knows its largest node size.
  - Note: we do not need the binaryTreeDictionary anymore :)

- We now split blocks where it makes sense.

- Possible further improvement: make it an RB tree

What else changed

- Got rid of humongous chunks :)
- Got rid of occupancy map
- Nice: Chunks can now often grow in-place
  - Saves overhead and reduces intrachunk waste
- Code is cleaner and more maintainable; better separation of concerns and testability.
- Lots of new gtests

More improvements possible

- Improve error analysis for overwriters in Metaspace
    - Buffer zones, canaries, disabling deallocation, guard pages
- Better memory footprint for micro loaders (at least the class space part)

Concern 1: keep number of virtual memory areas low

- (Linux):
  - Higher commit/uncommit fragmentation results in higher number of VMAs
  - Kernel keeps vma structures in list and rb tree
  - Too many of them may affect vma lookup
  - And we may hit process limits

- So: keep an eye on commit granularity

Concern 2: uncommit speed

- Matters for GCs which do not unload classes concurrently

- (Linux):
  - Page table has to be cleaned. How expensive this is depends on population of uncommitted area: how many pages had been committed before, and their size.
  - Hence indirectly on size of uncommit region
  - And also on number of vma in committed region, although in our case it should always be one.

- Currently I see no problems in practice, but a fallback plan would be to uncommit concurrently.
  - Not that complicated if we keep locking scheme

Result: Committed vs used, Stock JDK14



Result: Committed vs used, Patched JDK14



Result: committed Metaspace, Stock vs Patched VM



Result: RSS, Stock vs Patched VM



Modest decrease in consumption beyond class unloading

- Wildfly standalone after startup: 61m->54m, -7m, (11%)
- Eclipse CDT, hotspot project after C++ indexing: 138m->129m, -9m (12%)
- jruby helloworld.rb (invokedynamic, compile=FORCE): 41m->38m, -3m, (1.2%)

How do we go from here?

- Patch is stable. Needs more tests and smaller fixes but it works.
- Patch lives in jdk/sandbox repository, branch "stuefe-new-metaspace-branch“
  - http://hg.openjdk.java.net/jdk/sandbox/
- JEP exists in Draft state (“Elastic Metaspace”: https://openjdk.java.net/jeps/8221173)
- JDK15?
- A good candidate for backporting
  - Would make a lot of sense in 11/8
  - Large patch but Metaspace is quite isolated. Should not be too much of a hassle.

Thank you.

Contact information:

Thomas Stüfe
@tstuefe
thomas.stuefe@sap.com
stuefe.de