

Algebraic Geometry 1

So Murata

2024/2025 Winter Semester - Uni Bonn

1 Topology

1.1 Connected Sets

Definition 1.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A subset A of X is said to be connected if for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $U \cup V \supset A$ then A is fully contained in one of U, V .

Definition 1.2. A connected component of a topological space is a maximal connected subset of a space.

Proposition 1.1. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_X), (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ be topological space and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function. Then for any connected subset A of X , $f(A)$ is connected in Y .

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} U, V \in \mathcal{T}_Y, U \cup V \supset f(A), U \cap V = \emptyset, \\ \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U), f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{T}_X, \\ f^{-1}(U) \cup f^{-1}(V) \supset A, \\ f^{-1}(U) \cap f^{-1}(V) = \emptyset, \\ \Rightarrow f^{-1}(U) \supset A \vee f^{-1}(V) \supset A, \\ \Rightarrow U \supset f(A) \vee V \supset f(A). \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.3. Let X be a topological space. A point $\eta \in X$ is called a generic point if

$$\overline{\{\eta\}} = X.$$

2 Category Theory

2.1 Categories

Definition 2.1. A category \mathcal{A} consists of

- a collection $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ of objects;
- for each $A, B \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, a collection $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ of morphisms from A to B ;

such that

- i). for each $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, the identity $1_A \in \mathcal{A}(A, A)$;
- ii). the composition $\mathcal{A}(B, C) \times \mathcal{A}(A, B) \ni (g, f) \mapsto g \circ f \in \mathcal{A}(A, C)$ is well-defined;

and they satisfy the following axioms

- I). Associativity : $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B), g \in \mathcal{A}(B, C), h \in \mathcal{A}(C, D), (h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$.
- II). Identity laws : $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$ then $f \circ 1_A = 1_B \circ f$.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{A} be a category. A terminal object $T \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ is an object such that for any $A \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$, $\mathcal{A}(A, T)$ is a single element set.

Definition 2.3. Given two categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} , we say \mathcal{A} is a full-subcategory of \mathcal{B} if

- i). $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$,
- ii). $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A}) = \text{ob}(\mathcal{B})$.

Notation 2.1. Here we give notations to some important categories.

- **(Sets)** : A category of sets equipped with set theoretic functions.
- **(Ab)** : A category of abelian groups with group homomorphisms.

Example 2.1. Given a partially ordered set (X, \leq) . This can be encoded to a category \mathcal{O} by

- i). $\text{ob}(\mathcal{O}) = X$,
- ii). For $x, y \in X, x \leq y \Rightarrow \mathcal{O}(x, y) = \{*\}$ otherwise the morphisms between x, y is an empty set.

Definition 2.4. A opposite/dual category of a category \mathcal{A} is \mathcal{A}^{op} such that

- i). $\text{ob}(\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}) = \text{ob}(\mathcal{A})$,
- ii). $\mathcal{A}^{\text{op}}(B, A) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)$.

Definition 2.5. Let \mathcal{A} be a category and $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{A}(M, N)$. A morphism $\varphi : K \rightarrow M$ is called an equalizer of (φ_1, φ_2) if for any morphism $\psi : P \rightarrow M$ such that $\varphi_1 \circ \psi = \varphi_2 \circ \psi$, there is a unique morphism $\tilde{\psi} : P \rightarrow K$ such that $\varphi \circ \tilde{\psi} = \psi$.

Proposition 2.1. *If an equalizer exists then it is unique up to unique isomorphism.*

Proof. Suppose $\varphi : K \rightarrow M, \psi : L \rightarrow M$ be equalizers of (φ_1, φ_2) . Then we have

$$\varphi \circ \tilde{\psi} = \psi, \quad \psi \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi$$

By the uniqueness, we have $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\psi} = 1_L, \tilde{\psi} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = 1_K$. \square

Definition 2.6. *Let \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} be categories. A functor $F : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a function such that for each $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, A')$, $F(f) : F(A) \rightarrow F(A')$. In other words, $f \mapsto F(f) : \mathcal{A}(A, A') \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(F(A), F(A'))$. Furthermore, F satisfies the following axioms.*

I). $F(f' \circ f) = F(f') \circ F(f)$ whenever $f : A \rightarrow A', f' : A' \rightarrow A''$ in \mathcal{A} ,

II). $F(1_A) = 1_{F(A)}$ whenever $A \in \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.7. *Let F, G be functors between two categories \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} . A natural transformation $\alpha : F \rightarrow G$ is a family $(\alpha_A : F(A) \rightarrow G(A))_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(A) & \xrightarrow{F(f)} & F(A') \\ \alpha_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{A'} \\ G(A) & \xrightarrow{G(f)} & G(A') \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram. Each α_A is called a component of α .

2.2 Direct Limits

Definition 2.8. *A partially ordered set (X, \leq) is directed if for any $x, y \in X$ there is $z \in X$ such that $x \leq z$ and $y \leq z$.*

Example 2.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A partially ordered set (\mathcal{T}, \leq) such that*

$$V \subseteq U \Rightarrow U \leq V$$

is directed. Since for any $U \in \mathcal{T}, U \leq \emptyset$. As a category this is $\mathbf{Ouv}_X^{\text{op}}$.

Example 2.3. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. For $x \in X$, define $O_x = \{U \in \mathcal{T} \mid x \in U\}$. If we define an order as in the previous example, we get (O_x, \leq) is directed. This follows from for any $U, V \in O_x, U, V \leq U \cap V$.*

Definition 2.9. *Let I be a directed partially ordered set and \mathcal{A} be a category. A directed system of objects of \mathcal{A} indexed by I is a collection of objects $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ and morphisms $(\rho_{ij})_{i \leq j}$ of \mathcal{A} such that*

i). $\rho_{ii} = \text{id}_{A_i}$,

ii). for $i, j, k \in I$, $i \leq j \leq k \Rightarrow \rho_{ik} = \rho_{jk} \circ \rho_{ij}$.

Remark 2.1. Categorically, the directed system of objects of \mathcal{A} indexed by I is a functor $\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, where \mathcal{O} is a category which encodes the ordered set I as a category by the same procedure as in Example ?? . Then a directed system is a functor $\mathcal{O}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 2.10. Given a directed system $((A_i)_{i \in I}, \{\rho_{ij}\}_{i \leq j})$ of objects in \mathcal{A} indexed by I . A direct limit of the system is an object $\varinjlim A_n \in \mathbf{ob}(\mathcal{A})$ satisfying the following universal property.

Given a collection of morphisms $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ such that

i). $f_i : A_i \rightarrow \varinjlim A_n \in \mathcal{A}$,

ii). for any $i \leq j$, $f_j \circ \rho_{ij} = f_i$.

For any $A \in \mathcal{A}$ where there is a collection of morphisms $(g_i)_{i \in I}$ satisfying the above condition, there is a unique map $\varphi : \varinjlim A_n \rightarrow A$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_i & \xrightarrow{\rho_{ij}} & A_j \\
 & \searrow f_i \quad \swarrow f_j & \\
 & \varinjlim A_n & \\
 & \vdots \exists! & \\
 & A &
 \end{array}$$

$\begin{array}{ccc} \nearrow g_i & & \nwarrow g_j \end{array}$

is a commutative diagram.

Proposition 2.2. \varinjlim is an exact functor.

Proposition 2.3. In the cases where $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Ab}), (\mathbf{Sets})$, there exist direct limits and for each category, such limit is constructed in the following ways.

i). $\varinjlim A_n = (\bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i) / N$ where $N = \{a_i - \rho_{ij}(a_i) \mid a_i, i \leq j\}$.

ii). $\varinjlim A_n = (\coprod_{i \in I} A_i) / \sim$ where $a_i \sim a_j$ if there is k such that $i \leq k \leq j$, and $\rho_{ik}(a_i) = \rho_{jk}(a_j)$.

Furthermore, these two direct limits match as sets.

Proposition 2.4. \varinjlim is (left) exact in (\mathbf{Ab}) . In other words, given a exact sequence of directed systems

$$0 \longrightarrow (M_i)_{i \in I} \longrightarrow (N_i)_{i \in I} \longrightarrow (P_i)_{i \in I} \longrightarrow 0$$

in which we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_i & \longrightarrow & N_i & \longrightarrow & P_i \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \rho_{ij}^M \downarrow & & \rho_{ij}^N \downarrow & & \rho_{ij}^P \downarrow \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & M_j & \longrightarrow & N_j & \longrightarrow & P_j \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

There exists a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim M_n \longrightarrow \varinjlim N_n \longrightarrow \varinjlim P_n \longrightarrow 0$$

3 Commutative Algebra

3.1 Local Rings

Definition 3.1. The total ring of fraction of a ring A is a localization of A by the set of all non-zero divisors. It is denoted as $Q(A)$.

Definition 3.2. A ring is said to be local if it has a unique maximal ideal.

Definition 3.3. A ring homomorphism $\phi : (A, \mathfrak{m}_A) \rightarrow (B, \mathfrak{m}_B)$ of two local rings is said to be local if

$$\mathfrak{m}_A = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_B).$$

Example 3.1. Let $i : \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} \rightarrow Q(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ be an inclusion map. Then it is a homomorphism of local rings. However, If p is prime then $Q(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$ is a field thus its maximal ideal is (0) . Obviously

$$i^{-1}((0)) = (0).$$

Therefore, i is not a local ring homomorphism.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\phi : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Recall that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq B$, we have $\mathfrak{p} = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal in A . Thus ϕ induces a homomorphism between $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ which is a local ring homomorphism.

Proof. If $a \in A$, $\phi(a) = 0$ then $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus $\phi(s) \neq 0$ for any $s \notin \mathfrak{p}$. Since $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}$ are unique maximal ideals of $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$, respectively. We derived the claim. \square

Lemma 3.1. Let k be an algebraically closed field and A be a k -algebra. A localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by a maximal(prime) ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset A$, we have the following isomorphism.

$$k \cong A_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}A_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

Proof. Follows from the algebraically closedness of k . \square

3.2 Maximal Spec

Definition 3.4. Let R be a commutative ring. We define the maximal spec of R as

$$\text{MaxSpec}(R) = \{\mathfrak{m} \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{m} \text{ is a maximal ideal}\}.$$

Lemma 3.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field. We have the following isomorphism

$$\text{MaxSpec } k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong k^n, \quad (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) \leftrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Proof. Surjectivity follows from the algebraically closedness of k . \square

3.3 Zariski Topology

Definition 3.5. Let k be an algebraically closed field. A subset X of k^n is called an affine algebraic set if there exists an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$X = V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \mid \forall f \in \mathfrak{a}, f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let k be an algebraically closed. The Zariski topology on k^n is a topology generated by affine algebraic sets as closed subsets.

Definition 3.7. Let X be the Zariski topology on k^n . A function $f : X \supseteq U \rightarrow k$ is said to be regular if for any $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U$, there exist a neighborhood $U_a \subseteq U$ and $f_1, f_2 \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in U_a \Rightarrow f(b_1, \dots, b_n) = \frac{f_1(b_1, \dots, b_n)}{f_2(b_1, \dots, b_n)}.$$

Remark 3.1. A regular function f on the Zariski topology on k^n is continuous as they are locally equivalent to quotients of polynomial functions.

Lemma 3.3. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ be an ideal. Then we have the following are homeomorphic.

$$\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a}) \cong V(\mathfrak{a}).$$

4 Classical Algebraic Geometry

4.1 Affine Variety

Definition 4.1. An affine algebraic set X is called an affine variety if there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$X = V(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Definition 4.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field and $X \subseteq k^n$. The ideal of X is

$$I(X) = \{f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \mid \forall (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in X, f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0\}.$$

Theorem 4.1. For any ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, we have

$$I(V(\mathfrak{a})) = \sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

Definition 4.3. Let $X \subseteq k^n$ where k is an algebraically closed field. The affine coordinate ring with respect to X is

$$A(X) = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I(X).$$

5 Sheaf Theory

5.1 Presheaves

Definition 5.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. We define the presheaf \mathcal{F} of a category \mathcal{A} on X such that

- $U \in \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{F}(U) \in \text{ob}(\mathcal{A}),$
- $U, V \in \mathcal{T}, V \subset U \Rightarrow$ there exists a map $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(V)$
such that
- i). For any $U \in \mathcal{T}, \rho_{UU} = 1_{\mathcal{F}(U)}.$
- ii). $U, V, W \in \mathcal{T}, W \subset V \subset U \rightarrow \rho_{UW} = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV}.$

Remark 5.1. In the case $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Sets}), (\mathbf{Ab}), \mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = \emptyset, \{1\},$ respectively.

Definition 5.2. An element of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ is called a local section of \mathcal{F} and $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}(U)$ is called the space of sections over U . In particular $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ is called the space of global sections of \mathcal{F} .

Definition 5.3. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and \mathcal{F} be a presheaf of a category \mathcal{A} on X . Suppose we have two open sets $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $V \subset U$. Then for any section $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, $s|_V = \rho_{UV}(s)$ is called the restriction of s to V .

Example 5.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. We have a presheaf of continuous functions $\mathcal{C}_X(U) = \mathcal{C}^0(U, \mathbb{R})$. This is indeed a presheaf with restriction maps $\rho_{UV} : \mathcal{C}_X(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X(V)$. (Explicitly, $\rho_{UV}(f) = f \circ i_V$ where i_V is an inclusion map.) We note that we can introduce operations $+, \cdot$ to endow some algebraic structures (groups, rings, ...) on \mathbb{R} .

Example 5.2. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and suppose we have presheaves

- $\mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable}\}.$

Then there is an inclusion relation $\mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}}(U) \subseteq \mathcal{C}_X(U)$ and this defines a presheaf.

Example 5.3. Let $(X, \mathcal{T}_X), (Y, \mathcal{T}_Y)$ be topological spaces. Define a presheaf on X by

$$U \in \mathcal{T}_X, \mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{C}^0(X, Y).$$

And like the previous example, we define $\rho_{UV}(f) = f|_V$ for $U, V \in \mathcal{T}_X, V \subset U$. the restriction of f to V .

But this is a presheaf only of a set.

Example 5.4. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and G be an abelian group. The constant presheaf \mathbb{G} is such that

$$U \in \mathcal{T}, \mathbb{G}(U) = G,$$

with $\rho_U V = \text{id}_G$ for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}, V \subset U$.

5.2 Presheaves as Categories

Definition 5.4. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space then (\mathbf{Ouv}_X) is the category such that its objects are the open sets of X and for any $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ we have

$$\mathbf{Ouv}_X(U, V) = \begin{cases} \emptyset & (V \not\subset U), \\ i_V & (V \subset U). \end{cases}$$

Definition 5.5. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and \mathcal{A} be a category. A presheaf of \mathcal{A} on X is a functor $F : \mathbf{Ouv}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Example 5.5. For \mathbf{Ouv}_X , we can define a presheaf of F to be

$$\text{ob}(\mathbf{Ouv}_X) \ni U \mapsto F(U) = \mathcal{C}^0(U, \mathbb{R}).$$

Example 5.6. Let A be a commutative ring with non-zero multiplicative identity and $X = \text{Spec}(A)$. Let us consider the Zariski topology (X, \mathcal{T}) . Let us consider a category \mathcal{O}_X such that

- $\text{ob}(\mathcal{O}_X) = \mathcal{T}$,
- $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$,

where $s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a function such that for any $\mathfrak{p} \in U$,

- i). $s(p) \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$,
- ii). there exists an open set $V \subset U$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \in V$ and for any $\mathfrak{q} \in V$, $s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{a}{b}$ for $b \notin \mathfrak{q}$.

Now we define a presheaf by the restrictions of maps such that

$$s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mapsto s|_V : V \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{q} \in V} A_{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

Definition 5.6. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and \mathcal{A} be a category. We define a set of presheaves of \mathcal{A} on X as

$$\text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \text{Fun}(\mathbf{Ouv}_X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A}).$$

Definition 5.7. A morphism of presheaves is a natural transformation $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ where $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) = \text{Fun}(\mathbf{Ouv}_X^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{A})$. (See Definition ??).

Such $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is

- i). injective if

Remark 5.2. $\text{PreSh}(X)$ can be regarded as a category with its objects presheaves and morphisms defined above.

Notation 5.1. In the case $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Ab})$ then we denote $\text{PreSh}(X) = \text{PreSh}_{\mathbf{Ab}}(X)$.

Example 5.7. Let X be a differential manifold (eg. $X \subset \mathbb{R}^n$). Let us define

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{diff}}(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable}\}.$$

Then the inclusions $\mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}}(U) \subset \mathcal{C}_X(U)$ defines the natural transformation.

Example 5.8. Let $X, Y = S^1$ be topological spaces and F be a presheaf such that for any open set $U \subset X$, $F(U) = \mathcal{C}^0(U, Y)$. Then we can introduce a natural transformation such that

$$\mathcal{C}_X(U) \ni f \mapsto \exp(2\pi f i).$$

5.3 Sheaves

Definition 5.8. A presheaf \mathcal{F} on (X, \mathcal{T}) is called a sheaf if the following holds. For any collection of open sets $(U_i)_{i \in I} \subset \mathcal{T}$, $U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i$, the map $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ which is defined as

$$\varphi(s) = (s|_{U_i})_{i \in I}.$$

is the equalizer of the following functions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i, j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$,

$$\varphi_1((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_i|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i, j \in I}, \quad \varphi_2((s_i)_{i \in I}) = (s_j|_{U_i \cap U_j})_{i, j \in I}.$$

Remark 5.3. In the case $I = \{1, 2\}$, we have $U = U_1 \cup U_2$, and for any $U' \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $U \subset U'$, we have for $\mathcal{F}(U') \ni s : U' \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $\psi(s) = (s|_{U_1}, s|_{U_2})$, as in \mathbf{Ouv}_X , morphisms are inclusions. Let $\tilde{\psi}(s) = s|_U$, then this satisfies the condition for the equalizer (ie. $\varphi \circ \tilde{\psi} = \psi$).

Remark 5.4. A presheaf \mathcal{O}_X with $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ is a sheaf.

Example 5.9. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space and G be a group. We define a constant presheaf $\mathbb{G}(U) = G$. In general, this is not a sheaf. Instead, we define a constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{G}}(U) = \mathcal{C}^0(U, G)$ where G is regarded as a topological space with the discrete topology. Then for any connected component of X is mapped to a single point set in G .

Definition 5.9. Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ be sheaves. A mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is called a morphism of sheaves if it is a morphism of presheaves.

Definition 5.10. A set of sheaves of \mathcal{A} on the topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) is denoted as $\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$.

Remark 5.5. As in the case of presheaves, $\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ can be regarded as a category with sheaf morphisms.

Remark 5.6. $\text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ is a full-subcategory of $\text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$.

Notation 5.2. In the case $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Ab})$, we denote $\text{Sh}_{(\mathbf{Ab})}(X) = \text{Sh}(X)$.

5.4 Stalks

Definition 5.11. Suppose we have a topological space (X, \mathcal{T}) and a category \mathcal{A} which admits direct limits. For a presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$, by inheriting the notations from Example ??, we define the stalk \mathcal{F}_x of \mathcal{F} at $x \in X$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \varinjlim_{U \in \mathcal{O}_x} \mathcal{F}(U) = \varinjlim_{x \in U, U \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{F}(U).$$

Example 5.10. Let us assume that $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Ab})$ in Definition ??. Then stalks and germs can be constructed explicitly in the following way.

$$\mathcal{F}_x = \{(s, U) \mid U \in \mathcal{O}_x, s \in \mathcal{F}(U)\} / \sim,$$

where \sim is an equivalent relation such that for $(s, U), (t, V)$,

$$(s, U) \sim (t, V) \text{ if there is } W \in \mathcal{O}_x \text{ such that } W \subseteq U \cap V, \rho_{UW}(s) = \rho_{VW}(t).$$

Definition 5.12. Inheriting the notations from Definition ??, suppose we have $(f_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_x)_{U \in \mathcal{O}_x}$ such that for f_U, f_V are compatible with ρ_{UV} . Then we define the germ of $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ to be $s_x = f_U(s)$. By the universal property of the direct limit, such s_x is unique up to images under isomorphisms.

Example 5.11. In the case of Remark ??, we have for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$, $x \in U$, and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$,

$$s_x = \{(t, V) \mid \text{There is } W \in \mathcal{O}_x \text{ such that } W \subseteq U \cap V, \rho_{UW}(s) = \rho_{VW}(t)\}.$$

Remark 5.7. In the above definition, if a category \mathcal{A} admits products, we get a map

$$(s \mapsto (s_x)_{x \in U}) : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x. \quad (5.1)$$

This is neither surjective nor injective in general.

Proposition 5.1. Suppose in the definition of stalks, \mathcal{F} is a sheaf. Then the map defined by Equation ?? is injective.

Proof. We prove the case when $\mathcal{A} = (\mathbf{Ab})$.

Suppose $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$ is such that $s_x = 0$ in \mathcal{F}_x for all $x \in U$. Since for any restriction maps are group homomorphisms. We have that there is $V_x \in \mathcal{O}_x$ such that

$$V_x \subseteq U, \quad \rho_{UV_x}(s) = 0.$$

Therefore $\{V_x\}_{x \in U}$ is an open covering of U . Since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, we derive that $s = 0$ in $\mathcal{F}(U)$. \square

Example 5.12. Given (X, \mathcal{T}) , a topological space and G , an abelian group. We will consider the constant presheaf \mathbb{G} and the constant sheaf $\underline{\mathbb{G}}$ on X . For any open set U and $x \in U$ we have

$$\mathbb{G}_x \cong \underline{\mathbb{G}}_x \cong G.$$

For any U, V open such that $V \subset U$ we have, $\rho_{UV} = \mathbf{id}_G$. Thus by the construction, for $x \in U, V$, $(s, U) \sim (t, V)$ then $x \in U \cap V$ and $\rho_{UU \cap V}(s) = s = t = \rho_{VU \cap V}(t)$. Therefore, we proved the claim.

Definition 5.13. Suppose $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of presheaves. Then we define

$$\varphi_x(s_x) = (\varphi(s))_U|_x.$$

This defines a morphism of presheaves.

Remark 5.8. Categorically, taking stalks is a functor for each $x \in X$. Suppose we have $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ and a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$,

Proposition 5.2. Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Sh}_{(\mathbf{Ab})}(X)$ Then for any morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\varphi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \varphi_x = 0$$

Proof. \Rightarrow is trivial by its construction. We will prove \Leftarrow .

We first note that $\varphi = 0$ means that for any $U \in \mathcal{T}$, we have $\varphi_U \equiv 0$ as a group homomorphism. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. Then by the assumption and Proposition ??, we have proven the claim. \square

5.5 Sheafification

Definition 5.14. Let $\mathcal{F} \in \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$. The sheafification of \mathcal{F} is a presheaf \mathcal{F}^+ which is a set of all $(s_x)_{x \in U} \in \prod_{x \in U} \mathcal{F}_x$ such that for any $x \in U$ there is $x \in V_x \subset U$, such that there is $t \in \mathcal{F}(V_x)$ satisfying for any $y \in V_x$, $s_y = t_y$. We give them restrictions such that

$$\mathcal{F}^+(U) \ni (s_x)_{x \in U} \mapsto (s_x)_{x \in V} \in \mathcal{F}^+(V).$$

Proposition 5.3. Such \mathcal{F}^+ is indeed a sheaf.

Proof. later \square

Remark 5.9.

$$\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}^+ : \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$$

is a functor. Indeed given $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, a morphism of presheaves. We give

$$\varphi^+(U)((s_x)_{x \in U}) = (\varphi(s))_{x \in U}.$$

later

Proposition 5.4. A mapping $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+$ such that for each $U \in \mathcal{T}$,

$$\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^+(U), \quad \varphi(s) = (s_x)_{x \in U},$$

is a natural transformation thus a morphism of presheaves.

Proof. Later □

Proposition 5.5. *For any open set $U \in \mathcal{T}$ and a section $s \in \mathcal{F}^+(U)$, there is an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ which satisfies that there is a sequence $(s_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ and for each i , the following holds.*

$$\rho_{UU_i}(s) = s_i.$$

Proof. Later. □

Proposition 5.6. *For each $x \in X$, there exists an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{F}_x \cong (\mathcal{F}^+)_x,$$

as presheaves.

Proof. later □

Proposition 5.7. *Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological group and \mathcal{F} be a presheaf of a category \mathcal{A} on X . Suppose for a sheaf \mathcal{G} of a category \mathcal{A} on X , there exists a morphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Then there exists a unique morphism $\varphi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^+ \\ \varphi \downarrow & \nearrow \exists! \varphi^+ & \\ \mathcal{G} & & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$, then by Proposition ??, for any $s \in \mathcal{F}^+$, there exists an open covering $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ and $(s_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$ such that $\rho_{UU_i}(s) = s_i$ for any $i \in I$. We define

$$t_i = \varphi(s_i) \in \mathcal{G}(U_i),$$

for each $i \in I$. Using the definition of natural transformation we derive that

$$\rho_{UU_i \cap U_j}^{\mathcal{G}}(t_i) = \varphi_{U_i \cap U_j}^{\mathcal{F}}(\rho_{UU_i \cap U_j}(s)) = \rho_{UU_i \cap U_j}^{\mathcal{G}}(t_j).$$

Thus we can glue $(t_i)_{i \in I}$ to a section $t \in \mathcal{G}(U)$.

We now define $\varphi^+ : \mathcal{F}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. Given $(s_x)_{x \in U}$ which is the germ of s ,

$$\varphi_U^+((s_x)_{x \in U}) = t.$$

Such φ^+ is unique since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf. □

Corollary 5.1. *Let $i : \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X) \rightarrow \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)$ be a forgetful functor. Then we have*

$$\text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)(\mathcal{F}, i(\mathcal{G})) \cong \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}^+, \mathcal{G})$$

In other words, the sheafification is a left-adjoint functor of the inclusion map.

Proof. By Proposition ??, we define two maps Φ, Ψ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)(\mathcal{F}, i(\mathcal{G})) &\rightarrow \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}^+, \mathcal{G}), \\ \Phi(\varphi) &= \varphi^+, \\ \Psi : \text{Sh}_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{F}^+, \mathcal{G}) &\rightarrow \text{PreSh}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)(\mathcal{F}, i(\mathcal{G})), \\ \Psi(\varphi^+) &= \varphi.\end{aligned}$$

Then these two are inverses of each other. \square

Proposition 5.8. *Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and \mathcal{O}_X be the structure sheaf defined in Example ??. Then we have the following.*

- 1). For any $\mathfrak{p} = x \in X$, $(\mathcal{O}_X)_x \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.
- 2). For any $a \in A$, $\mathcal{O}_X(D(a)) \cong A_a$.

Proof. For a given $U \subset X$ open and $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$, there is $a, b \in A$ such that for $V \subset U$ open and $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, $s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

$$s(\mathfrak{q}) = \frac{a}{b} \in A_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

holds for any $\mathfrak{q} \in V$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O}_X(U) & \longrightarrow & A_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ \rho_{UV} \downarrow & \nearrow & \\ \mathcal{O}_X(V) & & \end{array}$$

\square

5.6 Morphisms in $\text{PreSh}_{(\mathbf{Ab})}(X)$

Definition 5.15. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a homomorphism of presheaves $\text{PreSh}_{(\mathbf{Ab})}(X)$. Then we define the following.*

- 1). $\text{Ker}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Ker } \varphi_U$,
- 2). $\text{Im}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Im } \varphi_U$,
- 3). $\text{Coker}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(U) = \text{Coker } \varphi_U$.

Proposition 5.9. *Such $\text{Ker}^{\text{pre}}, \text{Im}^{\text{pre}}, \text{Coker}^{\text{pre}}$ are presheaves.*

Proof. For the case of kernels. Let $U, V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $V \subset U$. We define $\rho_{UV} : \text{Ker}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(U) \rightarrow \text{Ker}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(V)$ to be such that

$$\rho_{UV}(s) = \rho^{\mathcal{F}}(s).$$

Such construction is justified as the diagram below is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{F}(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{F}(W) \\
\varphi_U \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_V & & \downarrow \varphi_W \\
\mathcal{G}(U) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{G}(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{G}}} & \mathcal{F}(W)
\end{array}$$

Furthermore,

$$\rho_U W(s) = \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}}(s) = \rho_{VW}^{\mathcal{F}} \circ \rho_{UV}^{\mathcal{F}}(s) = \rho_{VW} \circ \rho_{UV}(s).$$

Thus $\text{Ker}^{\text{pre}}(\varphi)(U)$ is a presheaf. \square

Corollary 5.2. *If $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a morphism of sheaves. Then Ker^{pre} is also a sheaf.*

Proof. Given $(s_i)_{i \in I} \in \prod_{i \in I} \text{Ker } \varphi_{U_i}$ such that

$$\rho(s_i)_{U_i U_i \cap U_j} = \rho(s_j)_{U_j U_i \cap U_j}$$

for any $i, j \in I$. Then since \mathcal{F} is a sheaf, we can glue $(s_i)_{i \in I}$ to $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$. For such s we have

$$\rho_{UU_i}^{\mathcal{G}}(\varphi_U(s)) = (\varphi_{U_i}(\rho_{UU_i}^{\mathcal{F}}(s))) = \varphi_{UU_i}(s_i) = 0.$$

Therefore, since \mathcal{G} is a sheaf, $\varphi_U(s) = 0$. \square

Remark 5.10. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i)$, $\varphi_1 : \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$, $\varphi_2 : \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_j) \rightarrow \prod_{i,j \in I} \mathcal{F}(U_i \cap U_j)$. Then \mathcal{F} is a sheaf if and only if*

$$\text{Ker}(\varphi_1 \circ \varphi - \varphi_2 \circ \varphi) = \mathcal{F}(U),$$

holds for any open set U .

Remark 5.11. $\text{Im}^{\text{pre}} \varphi, \text{Coker}^{\text{pre}} \varphi$ are not in general sheaves even tho $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is a homomorphism of sheaves.

Example 5.13. *Let $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$ and we assign the discrete topology to it. Let G be an abelian group. We define a sheaf $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \text{Sh}_{(\mathbf{Ab})}(X)$ by such that*

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{G}(U) = \begin{cases} G \times G & (U = X), \\ G & (|U| = 1), \\ 0 & (U = \emptyset). \end{cases}$$

Let us define a homomorphism of sheaves φ such that

$$\varphi_U = \begin{cases} \text{id}_{G \times G} & (U = X) \\ 0 & (U \neq X). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\mathrm{Coker}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi)(U) = \begin{cases} 0 & (U = X), \\ G & (U \neq X). \end{cases}$$

By ??, we observe that

$$\mathrm{Coker}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi)(X) = G \times G / \mathrm{id}_{G \times G}(G \times G) = \{0\}.$$

However,

later.

Definition 5.16. Given a morphism of sheaves $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$, we define the following.

- 1). $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) = \mathrm{Ker}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi)$,
- 2). $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi) = (\mathrm{Im}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi))^+$,
- 3). $\mathrm{Coker}(\varphi) = (\mathrm{Coker}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi))^+$.

Proposition 5.10 (Universal property of kernels). *Given a sheaf homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. For any sheaf homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, $\varphi \circ \alpha = 0$ if and only if there is a unique $\psi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker} \varphi$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{H} & & \\ & \exists! \psi \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha & \searrow \varphi_0=0 & \\ \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram.

Proof. We argue by each open set of the space.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \mathcal{H}(U) & & \\ & \exists! \psi_U \nearrow & \downarrow \alpha_U & \searrow (\varphi_0)_U=0 & \\ \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)(U) & \hookrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) \end{array}$$

This is a universal property of the kernel in abelian groups. Thus the statement immediately follows from it. \square

Proposition 5.11 (Universal property of Cokernels). *Given a sheaf homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$. For any sheaf homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $\alpha \circ \varphi = 0$ if and only if there is a unique $\psi : \mathrm{Coker} \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \mathrm{Coker}(\varphi) \\ & \searrow \varphi_0=0 & \downarrow \alpha & \nearrow \exists! \psi & \\ & & \mathcal{H} & & \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram.

Proof. We argue for each open set $U \subset X$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \mathcal{F}(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} & \mathcal{G}(U) & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}^{\mathbf{pre}}(\varphi)(U) & \longrightarrow & \text{Coker}(\varphi)(U) \\
 & \searrow (\varphi_0)_U = 0 & \downarrow \alpha_U & & \exists! \psi_U^{\mathbf{pre}} & \nearrow & \\
 & & \mathcal{H}(U) & & \exists! \psi_U & &
 \end{array}$$

By the universal property of Cokernels of abelian groups, there is a unique $\varphi^{\mathbf{pre}}$. By the universal property of the sheafification operator, we derive a unique ψ . \square

Proposition 5.12. *Let $x \in X$, then we have the following.*

- 1). $\text{Ker}(\varphi)_x = \text{Ker}(\varphi_x)$,
- 2). $\text{Im}(\varphi)_x = \text{Im}(\varphi_x)$,
- 3). $\text{Coker}(\varphi)_x = \text{Coker}(\varphi_x)$.

Proof. By Definition, ?? \square

Definition 5.17. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a sheaf morphism. φ is called*

- 1). *a monomorphism if any morphism of sheaves $\varphi_0 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, $\varphi \circ \varphi_0 = 0$ if and only if $\varphi_0 = 0$,*

Proposition 5.13. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves of (\mathbf{Ab}) . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- i). φ is a monomorphism.
- ii). $\text{Ker } \varphi = 0$.
- iii). *For any open set $U \subset X$, φ_U is injective.*
- iv). *For any $x \in X$, $\varphi_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$ is injective.*

Proof. Here, I put the procedure of the proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 i) & & iv) \\
 \downarrow & \swarrow & \updownarrow \\
 ii) & \longleftrightarrow & iii)
 \end{array}$$

$$i) \Rightarrow ii),$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Ker}(\varphi) & & \\
 \varphi_0 \downarrow & \searrow 0 & \\
 \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G}
 \end{array}$$

Where $\varphi_0(U)$ is an inclusion map of abelian groups.

$ii) \Leftrightarrow iii)$,

$\text{Ker } \varphi = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall U \in \mathcal{T}, \text{Ker } \varphi(U) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_U$ is injective.

$iii) \Rightarrow iv)$, Fix $x \in X$.

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\varphi_U} \mathcal{G}(U)$$

is an exact sequence as φ_U is injective for any $U \subset X$ open. Since \varinjlim is left-exact we obtain,

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} \mathcal{G}_x$$

is also an exact sequence. \square

Proposition 5.14. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism in $\text{Sh}(X)$. Then the following are equivalent.*

- 1). φ is an epimorphism (for any $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, such that $\varphi \circ \varphi_1 = \varphi \circ \varphi_2$ implies $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2$).
- 2). $\text{Coker } \varphi = 0$.
- 3). For any open set $U \subset X$,
- 4). For any $x \in X$, $\text{Coker } \varphi_x = 0$, (in other words, φ_x is a surjection).

Proof. Recall the definition of epimorphisms is such that $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is an epimorphism if for any morphism $\psi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$, we have,

$$\psi \circ \varphi = 0 \Rightarrow \psi = 0.$$

$i) \Rightarrow iv)$. Suppose φ is an epimorphism, then we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{F} & & & & 0 \\ & \searrow 0 & & \nearrow 0 & \\ \mathcal{G} & \xrightarrow{\pi} & \text{Coker}^{\text{pre}} \varphi & \xrightarrow{(-)^+} & \text{Coker } \varphi \\ & \searrow \psi & & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

By the assumption $\psi = 0$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_x = \{U \in \mathcal{T} \mid x \in U\}$. We consider an exact sequence,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi_U) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \mathcal{G}(U) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{Coker}(\varphi_U) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for each $U \in \mathcal{O}_x$. By Proposition ??,

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\varphi)_x \hookrightarrow \mathcal{F}_x \xrightarrow{\varphi_x} \mathcal{G}_x \xrightarrow{\pi_x} \text{Coker}(\varphi)_x \longrightarrow 0$$

is also exact. Thus we conclude

$$\text{Coker}^{pre}(\varphi)_x = \text{Coker}(\varphi_x).$$

And we conclude that φ_x is surjective by the exactness of the sequence.

iv) \Rightarrow ii). Assume For each $x \in X$, $\text{Coker}(\varphi_x) = 0$. By applying Proposition. ?? to $\text{id} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, we obtain

$$\mathcal{F} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, \mathcal{F}_x = 0.$$

Apply this to $\text{Coker } \varphi$, we derive that

$$\text{Coker } \varphi = 0.$$

iv) \Rightarrow i). Assume $\text{Coker}(\varphi_x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$. Consider a commutative diagram of sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & \mathcal{G} \\ & \searrow 0 & \downarrow \psi \\ & & \mathcal{G}_0 \end{array}$$

By assumption $\varphi_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$ is a surjection. Thus $\psi_x = 0$ for any $x \in X$ which is equivalent to $\psi = 0$.

ii) \Rightarrow i). Suppose $\text{Coker } \varphi = 0$ if and only if $\text{Coker}(\varphi)_x = \text{Coker}(\varphi_x) = 0$ for any $x \in X$.

iii) \Rightarrow iv). Assume $\varphi_U : \mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)$ is surjective for any $U \subset X$ open. By Proposition. ??, we conclude that

$$\varphi_x : \mathcal{F}_x \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_x$$

is also surjective. □

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a morphism of sheaves. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- 1). φ is an isomorphism.
- 2). For all $x \in X$, φ_x is an isomorphism.

Proof. □

6 Scheme Theory

6.1 Ringed Spaces

Definition 6.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. A ringed space is a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of rings on X .

Definition 6.2. A morphism of ringed spaces between $(X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a tuple $(f, f^\#)$ where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous map and $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*\mathcal{O}_X$ is a morphism of sheaves of rings.

Example 6.1. Let (X, \mathcal{T}) be a topological space. The sheaf of continuous functions \mathcal{C}_X is a ringed space and any continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ defines a morphism of ringed spaces.

Example 6.2. Let X is a differentiable manifold then the differentiable functions $\mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}}$ is a ringed space. A morphism of ringed spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$, for this case must satisfy the following condition.

Example 6.3. Let $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be open subset. A sheaf of holomorphic functions \mathcal{O}_X over X is a ringed space. And a morphism of such ringed spaces must be a holomorphic functions

Example 6.4. Given the Zariski topology on $X = k^n$ and the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow k \mid f \text{ is regular}\}$, (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a ringed space.

Definition 6.3. By Remark ??, the sheaf of regular functions \mathcal{O}_X is contained in the sheaf of continuous functions \mathcal{C}_X . Given two Zariski topologies X, Y , and a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow Y$, f is said to be regular if for any regular function $g : U \rightarrow k$ for an open set $U \subseteq Y$, $g \circ f : f^{-1}(U) \rightarrow k$ is also regular. In other words, f is said to be regular if it defines a morphism of ringed spaces between two ringed spaces of regular functions.

Definition 6.4. A locally ringed space is a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) such that for any $x \in X$, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a local ring.

Example 6.5. A sheaf of continuous functions on a topological space X is a locally ringed space. Indeed, for each $x \in X$ and the stalk $\mathcal{C}_{X,x}$, the ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_x = \{(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, U) \mid f(x) = 0\}$$

is a unique maximal ideal. In order to prove this, we recall that an ideal \mathfrak{m} is a unique maximal ideal if any element not in \mathfrak{m} is a unit.

For each $(f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, U) \in \mathcal{C}_{X,x}$, $f(x) \neq 0$ implies that there exists a neighborhood $V \subset U$ such that $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in V$. Thus $(f|_V : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, V)$ is invertible, therefore a unit.

Example 6.6. In similar manner, the following are also locally ringed spaces.

1. X is a differentiable manifold and $(X, \mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}})$.

2. $X \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open set, and (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a sheaf of holomorphic functions.
3. A sheaf of regular functions on $X = k^n$.

Definition 6.5. A morphism $(f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ between ringed spaces is a morphism of locally ringed space if $f^\#$ is local as a ring homomorphism.

Example 6.7. Let A be a commutative ring and consider the Zariski topology on $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and the structure sheaf (X, \mathcal{O}_X) . We have proven that

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Therefore, (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a locally ringed space and for any ring homomorphism $\phi : A \rightarrow B$, it induces a morphism of locally ringed spaces $(f, f^\#) : (\text{Spec}(B), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)}) \rightarrow (\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})$ such that

$$\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(B), f(\mathfrak{q}) = \phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q}) \in \text{Spec}(A).$$

This is indeed a morphism of locally ringed spaces.

Proposition 6.1. Let A, B be commutative rings. Then the map $\phi \mapsto (f, f^\#)$ is a bijection between

$$\text{Hom}(A, B) \leftrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{loc}}(\text{Spec}(B), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)}), (\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})$$

Proof. □

Definition 6.6. A category of ringed spaces is denoted by **(RingedSpaces)** with morphisms $(f, f^\#)$ morphisms of ringed spaces.

Definition 6.7. A category of ringed spaces is denoted by **(RingedSpaces)** with morphisms $(f, f^\#)$ morphisms of locally ringed spaces.

Remark 6.1. A composition of two morphisms locally ringed space is indeed a morphism of locally ringed spaces thus the above construction is justified.

Definition 6.8. Two locally ringed spaces (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) are isomorphic if there exists morphisms $(f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ and $(g, g^\#) : (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) \rightarrow (X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that f and g are inverses of each other. (ie. there exists a morphism of locally ringed spaces $(f, f^\#)$ where f is a homeomorphism).

Example 6.8. (A morphism of locally ringed spaces induced by homeomorphism but not an isomorphism of locally ringed spaces).

Let $X = \mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the sheaf of continuous functionals \mathcal{C}_X and the sheaf of smooth functionals $\mathcal{C}_X^{\text{diff}}$. Furthermore, we consider $f = \text{id}_X$ then $f^\#$ is an inclusion as smooth functions are continuous. However, $(f, f^\#)$ is not an isomorphism of locally ringed spaces.

Example 6.9. Let us consider $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and the sheaf of holomorphic functions \mathcal{O} on X and the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X . Then consider the morphism of locally ringed spaces $(f, f^\#)$ by the identity map. However, f is not continuous as the topology defined on the image is the Zariski topology.

Definition 6.9. Let $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ and $Y = \text{MaxSpec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be such that

$$f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = (x_1 - z_1, \dots, x_n - z_n).$$

This is a bijection. Furthermore, f is continuous because polynomials are continuous functions.

We define $f^\#$ to be

6.2 Schemes

Definition 6.10. An affine scheme is a locally ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) which is isomorphic to a structure sheaf $(\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})$ for some commutative ring A .

Example 6.10. We consider the Zariski topology on $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ and a sheaf \mathcal{O} such that

$$\mathcal{O}(D(\mathfrak{a})) = \mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{a}}.$$

is an affine scheme.

Example 6.11. Let k be a field. Then $\text{Spec}(k)$ is a single point set. And we consider the sheaf \mathcal{O} such that $\mathcal{O}(\text{Spec}(k)) = k$.

Definition 6.11. For a field A be a commutative ring and n a natural number, we define

$$\mathbb{A}_A^n = (\text{Spec}(A[x_1, \dots, x_n]), \mathcal{O}).$$

Example 6.12. Let A be a discrete valuation ring in other words $k[t]_{(t)}$.

Example 6.13. Let k be a field and $A = k[x]/(x^2)$. Then $\text{Spec}(A) = \{(x)\}$. Thus a single point set. However, this is not isomorphic to $(\text{Spec}(k), \mathcal{O})$ introduced in Example ??.

Definition 6.12. A scheme is a ringed space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) which is locally isomorphic to an affine scheme. In other words, for any $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that there exists a commutative ring A and $(U, \mathcal{O}|_U)$ is isomorphic to $(\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})$.

Definition 6.13. A category of affine schemes is (\mathbf{AffSch}) where

- i). $\mathbf{ob}(\mathbf{AffSch}) = \{(\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}) \mid A \text{ is a commutative ring and } \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)} \text{ is a structure sheaf}\}.$
- ii). $(\mathbf{AffSch})((\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}), (\text{Spec}(B), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)})) = \{ \text{morphisms of locally ringed spaces} \}.$

Definition 6.14. A category of schemes is **(Sch)** where

i). $\mathbf{ob}(\mathbf{Sch}) = \{(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \mid \text{Schemes}\}.$

ii). $(\mathbf{AffSch})((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) = \{ \text{morphisms of locally ringed spaces} \}.$

Remark 6.2. We have the inclusion relations

$$(\mathbf{AffSch}) \subset (\mathbf{Sch}) \subset (\mathbf{LocallyRingedSpaces})$$

which are all fullsubcategories however,

$$(\mathbf{LocallyRingedSpaces}) \subset (\mathbf{RingedSpaces})$$

is not a full subcategory

6.3 Connection with Classical Algebraic Geometry

Proposition 6.2. Let X be an affine variety. The regular functions $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U) = \{h : U \rightarrow k \mid h \text{ is a regular function.}\}.$$

defined on open subset U of X form a sheaf. Furthermore, it is a locally ringed space.

Proof.

□

Proposition 6.3. Let X be an affine variety and $Y = A(X)$ be a coordinate ring. Let us consider the sheaf of regular functions (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and an affine scheme (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) . There exists a natural morphism of locally ringed spaces $(f, f^\#) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$.

Proof. Notice that we have the following isomorphisms.

$$X \cong \text{MaxSpec}(A(X)), \quad k^n \cong \text{MaxSpec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]).$$

For any maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$,

$$I(X) \subseteq \mathfrak{m} = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n) \Leftrightarrow \forall f \in I(X), f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 0.$$

Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ to be the canonical map by $I(X)$, then the map $f : X \rightarrow Y, (\mathfrak{m}) = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{m})$ is an inclusion. Then f is continuous.

Let us define $f^\# : \mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$. For an open set $U \subseteq Y$, we have

$$(s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A(x)_{\mathfrak{p}}) \mapsto (s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{m} \in U \cap \text{MaxSpec } A(x)} A(x)_{\mathfrak{m}}).$$

By Lemma ?? and applying canonical maps $\pi_{\mathfrak{m}} : A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ locally, we get

$$s : U \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{m} \in U \cap \text{MaxSpec } A(x)} \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathfrak{m} \in U \cap \text{MaxSpec } A(x)} A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}/\mathfrak{m}A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}} = k.$$

Thus we obtained a map $s : U \rightarrow k$. Locally, we have

$$s = \frac{g_1 + I(X)}{g_2 + I(X)},$$

for $g_1 + I(X), g_2 + I(X) \in A(X)$. We conclude, locally

$$t = \frac{g_1}{g_2}.$$

We now claim that $(f, f^\#)$ is a local morphism of ringed spaces. By the correspondence of a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and a point (a_1, \dots, a_n) , we have the isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_{Y, \mathfrak{m}} = A(X)_{\mathfrak{m}}.$$

□

Remark 6.3. Since X is an algebraic variety, there is a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ such that

$$X = V(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Let us define $(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}) = (\text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]), \mathcal{O})$, where $I(X) = \mathfrak{a}$. Since k is field, $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is Noetherian, thus the primary decomposition exists for any ideal. Thus there is a bijection between

$$\text{Spec}(k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}) \leftrightarrow \text{Spec}(A(X)).$$

Example 6.14. Let K be any field and $A = k[x]/(x^2)$. A is called the ring of dual numbers. Observe that

$$(\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k}), (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A}),$$

both consist of single points. Let us define $(f, f^\#) : (\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } k}) \rightarrow (\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$. By the previous observation, the function $f : \text{Spec } A \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is unique map sending the unique point to the unique point.

6.4 Properties of Schemes

Theorem 6.1 (Topological properties of schemes).

Definition 6.15. A scheme is said to be locally Noetherian if there exists an open cover $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ such that for each $i \in I$,

$$U_i \cong \text{Spec}(A_i) \tag{6.1}$$

for some Noetherian ring A_i .

Lemma 6.1. Let A, B be rings and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $a \in A$ and $b = \varphi(a)$, then we have

$$\text{Spec}(A_a) = \text{Spec}(B_b)$$

as sets.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{q} \subset B$ be a prime ideal not containing b and $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be a contraction of \mathfrak{q} by φ . φ^{-1} is the inclusion from $\text{Spec}(B)$ to $\text{Spec}(A)$. Also we have

$$\text{Spec}(A_a) \cong D_A(a) \subset \text{Spec}(A)$$

for an arbitrary ring and an element. And by φ^{-1} we have

$$D_B(b) \subset D_A(a).$$

Thus we have an inclusion

$$\text{Spec}(B_b) \subset \text{Spec}(A_a).$$

□

Proposition 6.4. *Let A, B be rings and $\varphi : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $a \in A$ and $b = \varphi(a)$, then we have*

$$\text{Spec}(A_a) = \text{Spec}(B_b)$$

as affine schemes.

Proof. By Lemma ??, they are equal as sets. Since $\text{Spec}(B)$ is open in $\text{Spec}(A)$. Using the definition of structure sheaves, we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)}|_{\text{Spec}(B)} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B)}.$$

Therefore, by the lemma we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A_a)} = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(B_b)}.$$

□

Lemma 6.2. *A scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is locally Noetherian if and only if for any open affine set $U \subset X, U = \text{Spec}(A)$ for some Noetherian ring A .*

Proof. By definition, \Leftarrow is trivially true. We will prove \Rightarrow direction.

Let $X = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Spec}(A_i)$ be an open affine Noetherian covering of X and $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ be an open affine set. Let us define an open covering of U by

$$U_i = U \cap \text{Spec}(A_i), \quad U = \bigcup_{i \in I} U_i.$$

By Theorem ?? and the assumption on U , U is quasi-compact. By arranging I , there exists a large enough $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_i.$$

Since each $i = 1, \dots, n$, U_i is open in $\text{Spec}(A_i)$, thus there is $\{a_{ij}\}_{j=1, \dots, n_i} \subset A_i$ such that

$$U_i = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} \text{Spec}(A_{i,a_{ij}}).$$

Thus substituting this to (??), we get

$$U = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_i} \text{Spec}(A_{i,a_{ij}}).$$

Again by the quasi-compactness of U , we conclude finitely many $A_{i,a_{ij}}$ cover U .

Since A_i is Noetherian for each $i \in I$, this means that any localization of it is also Noetherian. Thus we $\{\text{Spec}(A_{i,a_{ij}})\}$ is an open Noetherian covering of U . By rearranging $\{a_{ij}\}$, we let $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ to be the elements which spectrums of their localizations cover U . We then show that

$$\mathfrak{a} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a})A_{a_i}).$$

where $\pi_i : A \rightarrow A_{a_i}$ is the canonical inclusion for each $i = 1, \dots, n$.

$\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a})A_{a_i})$ is trivial, thus we prove $\mathfrak{a} \supseteq \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a})A_{a_i})$. Let $b \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a})A_{a_i})$ be arbitrary. By definition, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there exists $b_i \in \mathfrak{a}$ and n_i such that

$$\pi_i(b) = \frac{b_i}{a_i^{n_i}}.$$

Using that \mathfrak{a} is an ideal, we derive that for big enough N , we have

$$\pi_i(b) = \frac{b_i}{a_i^N}.$$

Using the definition of localization, for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, there is m_i such that

$$(b_i - a_i^N b) a_i^{m_i} = 0.$$

Taking large enough M , for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, we derive

$$(b_i - a_i^N b) a_i^M = 0.$$

Thus for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we know

$$a_i^{N+M} b \in \mathfrak{a}. \tag{6.2}$$

Since $\{D_A(a_i)\}_{i=1, \dots, n}$ covers $\text{Spec } A$, we have

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n V(a_i) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow V((a_1, \dots, a_n)) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow (a_1, \dots, a_n) = (1).$$

Therefore, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have $(a_1^k, \dots, a_n^k) = (1)$. By Equation (??), we derive that for some $c_1, \dots, c_n \in A$,

$$b = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i a_i^k \in \mathfrak{a}.$$

Finally, we prove that A is Noetherian. Given an ascending chain of ideal

$$\mathfrak{a}_1 \subset \mathfrak{a}_2 \subset \dots.$$

We get an ascending chain of extended ideals

$$\pi(\mathfrak{a}_1)A_{a_i} \subset \pi(\mathfrak{a}_2)A_{a_i} \subset \dots,$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. Since each A_{a_i} is Noetherian, we conclude that there is large enough N such that

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{a}_N)A_{a_i} = \pi_i(\mathfrak{a}_{N+1})A_{a_i}$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. By Equation (??), we conclude that

$$\mathfrak{a}_N = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a}_N)A_{a_i}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \pi_i^{-1}(\pi_i(\mathfrak{a}_{N+1})A_{a_i}) = \mathfrak{a}_{N+1}.$$

□

Corollary 6.1. *An affine scheme $(\text{Spec } A, \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec } A})$ is a Noetherian scheme, then A is Noetherian.*

Remark 6.4. *A Sheaf is noetherian then its base space is Noetherian as topological space. The converse is not true.*

Definition 6.16. *A scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is said to be reduced if for any open subset $U \subseteq X$, $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ is a reduced ring.*

Proposition 6.5. *A scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced if and only if for any $x \in X$, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is a reduced ring.*

Proof.

□

Definition 6.17. *A scheme is integral if every section of it is an integral domain.*

Proposition 6.6. *A scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced then for any $x \in X$, the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is an integral domain.*

Proof.

□

Remark 6.5. *The converse is not true.*

Let k be a field and R be a k -algebra.

Remark 6.6. By the definition of reduced rings, it is obvious that integral schemes are reduced.

Example 6.15. An affine scheme on a field k is integral.

Example 6.16. Let k be a field. $(\operatorname{Spec} k[x]/(x^2), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} k[x]/(x^2)})$ is neither integral nor reduced.

Example 6.17. Let k be a field. $(\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]/(x, y), \mathcal{O}_{\operatorname{Spec} k[x, y]/(x, y)})$ is reduced but not integral.

Lemma 6.3. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a scheme and fix $s \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$ for some open set U . For each $x \in U$, define \mathfrak{m}_x to be a unique maximal ideal in the stalk. Then the set

$$F = \{x \in U \mid s \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}$$

is a closed subset of X .

Proof. First, let us assume that $U = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ for some ring A . We will prove that T^c is open \square

Lemma 6.4. A scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is integral if and only if it is a reduced scheme on an irreducible topological space.

Proof. Since integral schemes are reduced. We first prove that X is not irreducible then (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is not integral.

Since X is not irreducible, there is non-empty disjoint open subsets U_1, U_2 of X . Then

$$\mathcal{O}_X(U_1 \cup U_2) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(U_1) \times \mathcal{O}_X(U_2).$$

Therefore, this is not an integral domain.

Suppose X is irreducible and (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is reduced. Given an arbitrary open set $U \subset X$, and $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{O}_X(U)$, we will show that

$$s_1 s_2 = 0 \Rightarrow s_1 = 0 \vee s_2 = 0.$$

By Lemma ??,

$$X_1 = \{x \in U \mid s_1 \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}, \quad X_2 = \{x \in U \mid s_2 \in \mathfrak{m}_x\},$$

are closed subsets.

By the sheaf property, we have $s_1 s_2 = 0$ implies for all $x \in U$,

$$(s_1 s_2)_x = s_{1,x} s_{2,x} = 0.$$

Since each \mathfrak{m}_x is prime and $s_{1,x} s_{2,x} = 0 \in \mathfrak{m}_x$, $s_{1,x} \in \mathfrak{m}_x$ or $s_{2,x} \in \mathfrak{m}_x$. Therefore, this show that

$$U = X_1 \cup X_2.$$

Since X is irreducible, so is U . Without the loss of generality, we assume $U = X_1$. Let $\text{Spec}(A) \subset U$ be an open affine set. Let us define

$$t = s_1|_{\text{Spec}(A)} \in A.$$

for all $x = \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(A) \subset U$, we have

$$t_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x \in \mathcal{O}_{X,x}.$$

In other words,

$$\frac{t}{1} \in \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Therefore, $t \in \mathfrak{p}$ for any prime ideal of A , thus t is a nilpotent. Furthermore, X is reduced, thus $t = 0$.

Thus any section $s_1|_{\text{Spec}(A)} = 0$ for all $\text{Spec}(A)$. By the sheaf property, we conclude $s_1 = 0$. \square

Corollary 6.2. *If X is integral, then there exists a unique generic point $\eta \in X$.*

Proof. For any $\text{Spec}(A) \subseteq X$, A is an integral domain. Let $\eta = (0) \in \text{Spec}(A)$. Then η is a generic point of $\text{Spec}(A)$. By the irreducibility of X , we have η is a generic point of X .

For the uniqueness, assume ζ, η be generic points of X . Let us pick an open affine set $\text{Spec}(A)$ containing η . By closedness of $X \setminus \text{Spec}(A)$ and that ζ is also a generic point, we conclude that $\zeta \in \text{Spec}(A)$.

Without the loss of generality, we assume that $\eta = (0) \subset A$. Since $\eta \neq \zeta$, $\zeta = \mathfrak{p} \subset A$ for some prime ideal. However

$$\eta \in V(\mathfrak{p}) \Leftrightarrow \mathfrak{p} = (0) \Rightarrow \eta = \zeta.$$

\square

6.5 Open and Closed Subschemes

Definition 6.18. *Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme and $U \subseteq X$ be open. Then*

$$(U, \mathcal{O}_X|_U)$$

is called an open subscheme of X .

Remark 6.7. *If $\text{Spec}(B) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A)$ is an open subscheme then*

$$\text{Spec}(B) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Spec}(A_{a_i}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \text{Spec}(B_{b_i}),$$

with morphisms $A \ni a_i \mapsto b_i \in B$.

Definition 6.19 (1st definition of closed subschemes). *Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a scheme and M be a set of morphisms of schemes such that for each $(i, i^\#) \in M$,*

1. *$i : Z \rightarrow X$, is a homeomorphism of Z and some closed subset $i(Z)$ of X .*
2. *$i^\# : \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow i_* \mathcal{O}_Z$ is surjective. In other words, for any $z \in Z$, $\mathcal{O}_{X, i(z)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z, z}$ is a surjection.*

We now define an equivalence relation \sim such that $(i, i^\#) : Y \rightarrow X \sim (j, j^\#) : Z \rightarrow X$ if Y and Z are homeomorphic and the following diagram is commutative.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) & \xrightarrow{(i, i^\#)} & (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow (j, j^\#) & \\ (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) & & \end{array}$$

Definition 6.20 (Second definition of closed subschemes). *A closed subscheme of a scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) consists of a closed subset $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ and a sheaf \mathcal{O}_Z such that there is a sheaf of ideals (Z, \mathcal{I}_Z) which is a subsheaf of \mathcal{O}_X such that*

$$\mathcal{O}_X|_{\mathcal{I}_Z} \cong i_* \mathcal{O}_Z.$$

Lemma 6.5. *Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ for a commutative ring and consider a scheme (X, \mathcal{O}_X) . Consider a closed subscheme (Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) of (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and an ideal*

$$\mathfrak{a}_Z = \text{Ker}(A \rightarrow Z).$$

Then we have the inclusions of set,

$$Z \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a}_Z) \subseteq \text{Spec}(A).$$

Lemma 6.6. *The second claim of the preceding lemma.*

Lemma 6.7. *Let A be a ring then there exists a natural bijection between*

$$\{\mathfrak{a} \subseteq A \mid \mathfrak{a} \text{ is an ideal of } A\} \leftrightarrow \{Z \subseteq \text{Spec}(A) \mid Z \text{ is a closed subscheme}\}.$$

Proof.

□

6.6 Fiber Products

Definition 6.21. *Let X, S be schemes. X is called a S -scheme if there exists a morphism of schemes $\varphi : X \rightarrow S$.*

Definition 6.22. *Let S be a scheme. The category of S -schemes is (\mathbf{Sch}/S) where*

1. $\mathbf{ob}(\mathbf{Sch}/S) = \{\varphi : X \rightarrow S \mid \varphi \text{ is a morphism of schemes}\}.$
2. $(\mathbf{Sch}/S)(\varphi : X \rightarrow S, \psi : Y \rightarrow S) = \{f : X \rightarrow Y \mid f \text{ is a morphism of schemes such that } \varphi = f \circ \psi\}.$

Remark 6.8. *Clearly we have*

$$(\mathbf{Sch}/\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})) = (\mathbf{Sch}).$$

For a field k we have,