Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations Exercise Sheet 1 Solutions

So Murata

2024/2025 Winter Semester - Uni Bonn

Exercise 1

Suppose the inequality does not hold. Then for each n, there is v_n such that

$$\|v_n\|_{L^p(\Omega)} > n\|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Normalizing v_n, we obtain a sequence $(v_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\|Du\|_{L^p(\Omega)} < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $(v_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, there is a subsequence $(v_{k_j})_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to some u. Then its derivative is 0 by the assumption. Then $u = 0$ but $\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = 1$. Thus a contradiction.

Exercise 4

(i)

Suppose if we have a weak solution, by integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_U fv &= -\int_\Omega \Delta uv dx, \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} v dx, \\
&= \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta uv dx.
\end{aligned}$$

for any $v \in H^1(\Omega)$. We define a bilinear form $B(u,v) : H^1(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$B(u,v) = \int_\Omega \nabla u \cdot \nabla v dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \beta uv dx.$$

By trace theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|B(u,v)| &\leq \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|Dv\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\beta|\|u\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)}\|v\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)},\\ &\leq \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|Dv\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\beta|C^2\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)},\end{aligned}$$

for some $C > 0$. By the definition, we have

$$\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2 = \|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Thus we conclude

$$|B(u,v)| \leq (|\beta|C^2 + 1)\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)}\|v\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$$

, and this satisfies the first condition for Lax-Milgram theorem.

In the case $\beta \leq 0$, we will prove that B satisfies the second condition as well. In order to do so, we will derive a contradiction by assuming it does not satisfy the condition. If that is the case the it is equivalent to say that for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is $u_n \in H^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$B(u_n, u_n) < \frac{1}{n}\|u_n\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

We can normalize u_n and conclude that

$$B(u_n, u_n) < \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since $\partial\Omega$ is a unit circle around the center, it is Lipschitz. As the sequence is bounded, it contains a subsequence $(u_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ that converges to u in $L^2(\Omega)$ thus weak derivative $(Du_{n_k})_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly.

Now we derive a contradiction by

$$B(u_{n_k}, u_{n_k}) = \|Du_{n_k}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \beta\int_{\partial\Omega}(Tu_{n_k})^2 \to 0.$$

This implies

$$\|Du\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = 0$$

Therefore, u is a constant. On the other hands, we have that

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction as $\|u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = 1$. We conclude that B satisfies the conditions for Lax-Milgram theorem, therefore has a unique solution.

(ii)

Let (r, θ) be the polar coordinate, then we have

$$\Delta u = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2}.$$

Suppose u is in the form $u(r, \theta) = R(r)A(\theta)$. Then we have

$$\Delta u = R''(r) + \frac{1}{r}R'(r) + \frac{1}{r^2}A''(\theta) = 0.$$

Therefore, transforming the equation, we derive,

$$rR''(r) + R'(r) = -A''(\theta).$$

Each side has a different variable. Thus this is equal to a constant λ. First obviously

$$A(\theta) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda\theta^2 + a_1\theta + a_2,$$

for some constants, a_1, a_2. And for R we observe that

$$rR''(r) + R'(r) = (rR'(r))'.$$

Therefore, we derive

$$R(r) = \lambda r + b_1 \ln r + b_2,$$

for some constants b_1, b_2.

We let $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 = 0$, then we have

$$R'(r) = \lambda, A'(\theta) = \lambda\theta.$$

Since $v(r, \theta) = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta)$. The boundary condition is

$$\lambda r\cos\theta + \lambda r\theta\sin\theta = \lambda r(\cos\theta + \theta\sin\theta).$$