



QuillAudits

Audit Report March, 2024

For



cyberPerp

Table of Content

Scope of Audit 02

Number of Security Issues per Severity 02

Checked Vulnerabilities 03

Techniques and Methods 04

Issue Categories 05

Introduction 06

A. Cyberperp Contracts 07

Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing 07

Low Severity Issues 07

 A.1 Insufficient events 07

 A.2 Test Suite is failing on coverage runs 07

Informational Issues 08

 A.3 Lack of CIs on repository 08

Automated Tests 09

Closing Summary 09

Disclaimer 09

Scope of Audit

The scope of this audit was to analyze and document the CyberPerp codebase for quality, security, and correctness.

Number of Security Issues per Severity



	High	Medium	Low	Informational
Open Issues	0	0	0	0
Acknowledged Issues	0	0	0	1
Partially Resolved Issues	0	0	0	0
Resolved Issues	0	0	2	0

Checked Vulnerabilities

- ✓ Re-entrancy
- ✓ Timestamp Dependence
- ✓ Gas Limit and Loops
- ✓ DoS with Block Gas Limit
- ✓ Transaction-Ordering Dependence
- ✓ Use of tx.origin
- ✓ Exception disorder
- ✓ Gasless send
- ✓ Balance equality
- ✓ Byte array
- ✓ Transfer forwards all gas
- ✓ ERC20 API violation
- ✓ Malicious libraries
- ✓ Compiler version not fixed
- ✓ Redundant fallback function
- ✓ Send instead of transfer
- ✓ Style guide violation
- ✓ Unchecked external call
- ✓ Unchecked math
- ✓ Unsafe type inference
- ✓ Implicit visibility level



Techniques and Methods

Throughout the audit of smart contracts, care was taken to ensure:

- The overall quality of code.
- Use of best practices.
- Code documentation and comments match logic and expected behavior.
- Token distribution and calculations are as per the intended behavior mentioned in the whitepaper.
- Implementation of ERC-20 token standards.
- Efficient use of gas.
- Code is safe from re-entrancy and other vulnerabilities.

The following techniques, methods, and tools were used to review all the smart contracts.

Structural Analysis

In this step, we have analyzed the design patterns and structure of smart contracts. A thorough check was done to ensure the smart contract is structured in a way that will not result in future problems.

Static Analysis

A static Analysis of Smart Contracts was done to identify contract vulnerabilities. In this step, a series of automated tools are used to test the security of smart contracts.

Code Review / Manual Analysis

Manual Analysis or review of code was done to identify new vulnerabilities or verify the vulnerabilities found during the static analysis. Contracts were completely manually analyzed, their logic was checked and compared with the one described in the whitepaper. Besides, the results of the automated analysis were manually verified.

Gas Consumption

In this step, we have checked the behavior of smart contracts in production. Checks were done to know how much gas gets consumed and the possibilities of optimization of code to reduce gas consumption.

Tools and Platforms used for Audit

Remix IDE, Truffle, Truffle Team, Solhint, Mythril, Slither, Solidity statistic analysis, Theo.



Issue Categories

Every issue in this report has been assigned to a severity level. There are four levels of severity, and each of them has been explained below.

High Severity Issues

A high severity issue or vulnerability means that your smart contract can be exploited. Issues on this level are critical to the smart contract's performance or functionality, and we recommend these issues be fixed before moving to a live environment.

Medium Severity Issues

The issues marked as medium severity usually arise because of errors and deficiencies in the smart contract code. Issues on this level could potentially bring problems, and they should still be fixed.

Low Severity Issues

Low-level severity issues can cause minor impact and or are just warnings that can remain unfixed for now. It would be better to fix these issues at some point in the future.

Informational

These are four severity issues that indicate an improvement request, a general question, a cosmetic or documentation error, or a request for information. There is low-to-no impact.

Introduction

From 26th February 2024 to 13th March 2024, QuillAudits Team performed a security audit for **CyberPerp** smart contracts.

The code for the audit was taken from following the official link:
https://github.com/ttbbio/cyberperp_contracts/aeee3e17d0576659040006e31141fc9e97c34d01

Version Number	Date	Commit ID	Files
01	Mar 13	aeee3e17d0576659040006e31141fc9e97c34d01	contracts/*

A. CyberPerp Contracts

Issues Found – Code Review / Manual Testing

Low Severity Issues

A.1 Insufficient events

Description

Across the codebase, there are important functions that don't emit specific events.

- Governable.setGov
- CybMultiSig.setMinAuthorizations
- CybMultiSig.setSigner
- OrderBookV2.setPyth
- OrderBookV2.setHandler
- OrderBookV2.setPyth
- OrderBookV2.setPyth

Remediation

Implement and emit specialized events that might help in off-chain monitoring.

Status

Resolved

A.2 Test Suite is failing on coverage runs

Description

The test suite exhibits a failure of executing tests on coverage runs. Additionally, the absence of a coverage report implies a lack of information regarding test coverage.

Remediation

To rectify this issue, make the test suite compatible with coverage runs.

Status

Resolved



Informational Issues

A.3 Lack of CIs on repository

Description

The repository ought to incorporate Continuous Integrations (CIs), specifically for the execution of the test suite, generation of coverage reports, and enforcement of code linting.

Remediation

Implement a minimum set of pipelines within the integration framework, encompassing distinct stages for test suite execution, coverage report generation with a mandated threshold exceeding 95% for branch coverage, and code linting like ***solint***.

Status

Acknowledged



Automated Tests

Slither:

No major issues were found. Some false positive errors were reported by the tool. All the other issues have been categorized above according to their level of severity.

Closing Summary

Overall, in the initial audit, there are two severity issues and one informational Issue Found. No instances of Integer Overflow and Underflow vulnerabilities are found in the contract.

Disclaimer

QuillAudits Smart contract security audit provides services to help identify and mitigate potential security risks in CyberPerp smart contracts. However, it is important to understand that no security audit can guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. QuillAudits audit reports are based on the information provided to us at the time of the audit, and we cannot guarantee the accuracy or completeness of this information. Additionally, the security landscape is constantly evolving, and new security threats may emerge after the audit has been completed.

Therefore, it is recommended that multiple audits and bug bounty programs be conducted to ensure the ongoing security of CyberPerp smart contracts. One audit is not enough to guarantee complete protection against all possible security threats. It is important to implement proper risk management strategies and stay vigilant in monitoring your smart contracts for potential security risks.

QuillAudits cannot be held liable for any security breaches or losses that may occur subsequent to and despite using our audit services. It is the responsibility of CyberPerp to implement the recommendations provided in our audit reports and to take appropriate steps to mitigate potential security risks.



About QuillAudits

QuillAudits is a secure smart contracts audit platform designed by QuillHash Technologies. We are a team of dedicated blockchain security experts and smart contract auditors determined to ensure that Smart Contract-based Web3 projects can avail the latest and best security solutions to operate in a trustworthy and risk-free ecosystem.



1000+

Audits Completed



\$30B

Secured



1M+

Lines of Code Audited



Follow Our Journey



Audit Report March, 2024

For



cyberPerp



QuillAudits

📍 Canada, India, Singapore, UAE, UK

🌐 www.quillaudits.com

✉ audits@quillhash.com