

SSOT Definition and Configuration Refactor Plan

Version: 2.1 (Referenced "Topic Naming Proposal" for examples)

Date: 2025-05-22

Overall Goal: To transition the entire Mushroom Automation System V2 to a validated Single Source of Truth (SSOT) centered around `system_definition.yaml`. This involves finalizing Pydantic schemas, migrating existing configurations, enhancing `build.py` for comprehensive validation and artifact generation (including `autogen_config.h` for microcontrollers and a `global_point_registry.json`), and ensuring system-wide consistency. This plan directly supports **Phase 1** of the "Mushroom Automation V2 - Master Project Plan & Roadmap".

Status Legend:

☐ `[]` To Do

- `[/]` In Progress
- `[X]` Done
- `[B]` Blocked

Phase 1 (of this plan): SSOT Core Definitions & Pydantic Schema Finalization

Goal: Establish the definitive structure for all configuration YAMLS and the Pydantic models that validate them, fully compliant with ADR-20.

- **Task 1.1: Finalize `system_definition.yaml` Structure and Content Rules (Ref: ADR-20 Sec.II.A, Master Plan Task 1.1)**

- ☐ `[]` Define `global_settings` structure within `system_definition.yaml` (e.g., `mqtt_topic_prefix`, default `mqtt_broker`, default `ntp_server`).
- ☐ `[]` Finalize structure for the `components:` list. For each component:
 - `id`: Unique string identifier (becomes `source_component_id`).
 - `name`: Human-readable name.
 - `component_type`: e.g., "microcontroller", "driver", "governor", "manual".
 - `config_file`: Path to its component-specific YAML configuration (optional for types like "manual").
 - `points_provided` (for microcontrollers) / `virtual_points_provided` (for drivers/governors/manual): List of UUIDs (from the master `points:` list) that this component sources/manages, as per ADR-20.
- ☐ `[]` Finalize structure for the master `points:` list. For each point, ensure all attributes from **ADR-20 Section II.A** are covered:
 - `uuid`, `name` (system-wide functional), `description`, `value_type`, `units`.
 - `data_source_layer` (required), `access`, `writable_by` (for



documentation/authorization as per ADR-20).

- `persist_to_db` (required, with a system default if appropriate).
- `validation_rules` , `initial_value` (logical).
- `readback_point_uuid` (for command/write points).
- **New ADR-20 Topic Fields:** `function_grouping` (required: "actuators", "sensors", "statuses", "commands").
- **Conditional ADR-20 Topic Fields (based on `function_grouping`):**
 - If "actuators": `topic_device_slug` .
 - If "sensors": `topic_originator_slug` (metric part from slugified `units`).
 - If "commands": `topic_directive_slug` (target component derived by `build.py`).
 - If "statuses": `topic_status_slug` .
- **Note:** For illustrative examples of how these fields are used to construct MQTT topics for different point types (actuators, sensors, commands, statuses), refer to the uploaded document: **Topic Naming Proposal + config file fields** Remember that ADR-20 specifies the value goes in the payload (ADR-10), not the topic string itself.

☐ [] Document conventions for choosing system-wide functional `name` s (Principle #6 in ADR-20).

- **Task 1.2: Finalize Pydantic Models in `core_ssot_models.py` for `system_definition.yaml`** (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.1.1 - 1.1.3)

☐ [] Implement/Refine Pydantic models: `SystemDefinition` , `MQTTBrokerConfig` (for global settings), `ComponentDefinition` (with variants `MicrocontrollerComponentDefinition` , `DriverComponentDefinition` , `GovernorComponentDefinition` , `ManualSourceComponentDefinition`), `PointDefinition` .

☐ [] Ensure `PointDefinition` model includes all attributes from Task 1.1 and **ADR-20 Section II.A**, including all new topic-related fields. Enforce `data_source_layer` , `persist_to_db` , and `function_grouping` as required.

- **Task 1.3: Finalize Pydantic Models in `component_configs.py` for all Component Types** (Ref: ADR-20 Sec.II.B, Master Plan Task 1.1.4 - 1.1.6)

☐ [] `MicrocontrollerConfig` :

- Implement/Refine the `MicrocontrollerConfig` Pydantic model as specified in **ADR-20, Section II.B.1**.
- Focus: `name` (local functional name), `point_kind` (must align with `function_grouping`), `pin` details, `initial_state` (hardware), `write_point_uuid_ref` , `readback_point_uuid_ref` , `data_point_uuid_ref` .
- **Crucially: Ensure NO `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields are present.**
- Include device-specific settings like `i2c` , `onewire` , `dht_sensors` , `digital_outputs` , `publish_frequency_ms` , etc.

☐ [] `DriverConfig` :



- Implement/Refine the `DriverConfig` Pydantic model as detailed in **ADR-20, Section II.B.2**.
- Focus: FSM structures (`initial_state` , `states` , `transitions`), `pwm_outputs` . Input/output point UUIDs are referenced within FSM definitions.
- **Minimize/remove explicit `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields; topics are derived by `build.py` .**

☐ [] `GovernorConfig` :

- Implement/Refine the `GovernorConfig` Pydantic model as detailed in **ADR-20, Section II.B.3**.
- Focus: `update_interval_seconds` , `controllers` (list of PID, BangBang, TimeSchedule configs). Input/output point UUIDs are referenced within controller definitions.
- **Minimize/remove explicit `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields; topics are derived by `build.py` .**

☐ [] Ensure local `name` fields in component configs follow derivation conventions (Principle #7 in ADR-20).

• **Task 1.4: Create/Update Sample YAML files (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.1.7)**

- ☐ [] Create comprehensive, valid sample YAML files for `system_definition.yaml` and each Component Type (`MicrocontrollerConfig` , `DriverConfig` , `GovernorConfig`) that exercise all Pydantic model features and demonstrate the intended configuration structure, consistent with **ADR-20** examples and requirements.

Phase 2 (of this plan): Configuration Data Migration to New SSOT YAMLs

Goal: Translate all existing configuration data into the new, validated SSOT YAML structure, compliant with ADR-20. (Corresponds to Master Plan Task 1.3)

• **Task 2.1: Analyze Old Configs & Define Migration Mapping Strategy (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.1)**

- ☐ [] Identify all old configuration sources (e.g., `microc_points.json` , `uuid_db.json` , driver `settings.json` , `transitions.json` , `states.json` , hardcoded values).
- ☐ [] Document old structures and data meanings.
- ☐ [] Finalize UUID migration strategy (generate new UUIDv4s, create `old_id -> new_uuid` mapping if needed for historical data correlation).
- ☐ [] Create a detailed mapping document: old fields/concepts -> new Pydantic schemas (`PointDefinition` , `MicrocontrollerConfig` , `DriverConfig` , etc.), ensuring alignment with **ADR-20** field definitions (e.g., for `data_source_layer` , `access` , `writable_by` , `units` , `function_grouping` , topic slugs).

• **Task 2.2: Implement Point Migration to `system_definition.yaml` (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.2)**

- ☐ [] Process old point data based on mapping from Task 2.1.
- ☐ [] For each point:



- Assign/migrate UUID.
- Define system-wide functional `name` according to ADR-20, Principle #6.
- Populate all attributes as per `PointDefinition` model (**ADR-20 Section II.A**), including new topic fields. Ensure `units` are standardized.
- Correctly link command and readback points using `readback_point_uuid`.
- ☐ `[]` Populate the `points:` list in the target `system_definition.yaml`.
- ☐ `[]` Validate frequently using `build.py` (Stage 1 validation).
- **Task 2.3: Implement Component Migration to `system_definition.yaml` (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.3)**
 - ☐ `[]` Identify all distinct component instances.
 - ☐ `[]` Assign unique `id` to each (e.g., "c1", "temp_driver_fruiting").
 - ☐ `[]` Specify `component_type`, human-readable `name`, and correct `config_file` path.
 - ☐ `[]` Populate `points_provided` / `virtual_points_provided` for each component using the new UUIDs from Task 2.2, adhering to ADR-20.
 - ☐ `[]` Populate the `components:` list in `system_definition.yaml`.
 - ☐ `[]` Validate frequently using `build.py` (Stage 1 validation).
- **Task 2.4: Migrate Microcontroller Hardware Configs to Component YAMLs (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.4, ADR-20 Sec.II.B.1)**
 - ☐ `[]` For each microcontroller instance defined in Task 2.3:
 - Create its specific YAML file (e.g., `control/config/microcontrollers/c1_config.yaml`).
 - Populate with `device_id` (matching component `id`), wifi, optional MQTT/NTP overrides, timing_constants.
 - Populate `hardware_points` list according to the `MicrocontrollerConfig` Pydantic model (**ADR-20, Section II.B.1**):
 - Use local `name` (derived: component `id` + functional part of master name).
 - Set `point_kind`.
 - Include `write_point_uuid_ref`, `readback_point_uuid_ref`, or `data_point_uuid_ref` linking to UUIDs from `system_definition.yaml`.
 - Define `pin`, `pin_mode`, hardware `initial_state` (e.g., LOW/HIGH).
 - **Ensure no `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields.**
 - ☐ `[]` Validate each file using `build.py` (Stage 2 validation).
- **Task 2.5: Migrate Driver FSM Configs to Component YAMLs (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.5, ADR-20 Sec.II.B.2)**
 - ☐ `[]` For each driver instance:
 - Translate old FSM logic into the new `DriverConfig` YAML format (`states`, `transitions`, `defining_conditions`, `actions` targeting UUIDs).



- Define input/output point UUID references within FSM structures as per **ADR-20, Section II.B.2.**
- Ensure minimal/no explicit `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields.
- ☐ ☐ Validate using `build.py` (Stage 2 validation).
- **Task 2.6: Migrate Governor Logic Configs to Component YAMLs (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.6, ADR-20 Sec.II.B.3)**
- ☐ ☐ For each governor instance:
 - Translate old logic parameters (PID constants, setpoint sources, output targets as UUIDs) into the new `GovernorConfig` YAML format.
 - Define input/output point UUID references as per **ADR-20, Section II.B.3.**
 - Ensure minimal/no explicit `mqtt_topic_suffix_*` fields.
- ☐ ☐ Validate using `build.py` (Stage 2 validation).

Phase 3 (of this plan): `build.py` Implementation & Enhancement

Goal: Ensure `build.py` can perform comprehensive validation and generate all necessary runtime artifacts from the SSOT, strictly following ADR-20. (Corresponds to Master Plan Task 1.2)

- **Task 3.1: Implement/Enhance `build.py` Stage 1: `system_definition.yaml` Structural Validation (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.2.1)**
 - ☒ *Status from Master Plan:* Implemented.
 - ☐ ☐ Verify `build.py` correctly loads and validates `system_definition.yaml` against Pydantic models from `core_ssot_models.py` (as updated in Task 1.2 of this plan).
- **Task 3.2: Implement/Enhance `build.py` Stage 2: Component-Specific YAML Validation (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.2.2)**
 - ☒ *Status from Master Plan:* Implemented.
 - ☐ ☐ Verify `build.py` iterates through components in `system_definition.yaml`, loads their `config_file`, and validates it against the correct Pydantic model from `component_configs.py` (as updated in Task 1.3 of this plan), using `COMPONENT_MODEL_MAP`.
- **Task 3.3: Implement/Enhance `build.py` Stage 3: Cross-Validation Logic (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.2.3, ADR-20)**
 - ☐ *Status from Master Plan:* Partially implemented.
 - ☐ ☐ Validate UUID uniqueness across all points in `system_definition.yaml`.
 - ☐ ☐ Validate that all UUIDs referenced in `points_provided`, `virtual_points_provided`, FSM actions, FSM conditions, controller configs (input/output points), `write_point_uuid_ref`, `readback_point_uuid_ref`, `data_point_uuid_ref`, and `readback_point_uuid` (on command points) exist in the master `points:` list.
 - ☐ ☐ Validate `writable_by` entries against existing component `id`s and `command_hierarchy` levels (as per ADR-20, this is for documentation/authorization).



- ☐ [] Validate `controls_microcontroller` / `controls_drivers` component ID references and types.
 - ☐ [] Validate consistency between `PointDefinition.data_source_layer` and the `type` of the component providing the point.
 - ☐ [] Check for orphaned points (defined in master list but not provided by any component) and multiply-provided points.
 - ☐ [] Implement checks for consistency between logical `initial_value` (from `system_definition.yaml`) and hardware `initial_state` (from `MicrocontrollerConfig`) for actuators.
 - ☐ [] Implement other internal consistency checks (e.g., state names in driver FSM transitions).
- **Task 3.4: Implement `build.py` Logic for `autogen_config.h` Generation for Microcontrollers (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.2.5, ADR-20 Sec.II.C)**
 - ☐ [] For each microcontroller component:
 - Merge global (`system_definition.yaml`) and local (`MicrocontrollerConfig`) settings for WiFi, MQTT, NTP.
 - Iterate through `hardware_points` in `MicrocontrollerConfig` .
 - Use the local `name` to generate C++ macro prefixes.
 - Look up master point details from `system_definition.yaml` using `uuid_ref` fields.
 - **Construct full MQTT topics strictly according to ADR-20, Section II.C**, using `GLOBAL_MQTT_PREFIX` , `source_component_id` (of publishing component, which is the uC for its own data/readbacks, or the controlling component for commands it subscribes to), and the explicit topic fields from `PointDefinition` (`function_grouping` , `topic_device_slug` , `topic_originator_slug` , slugified `units` , `topic_directive_slug` , derived target for commands).
 - Generate all `#define` macros as specified in **ADR-20, Section II.C ("Contents of `autogen_config.h`")** and its examples.
 - ☐ [] Ensure output matches the target `autogen_config.h` structure.
 - **Task 3.5: Implement `build.py` Logic for `global_point_registry.json` Generation (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.2.4, ADR-20 Sec.II.C)**
 - ☐ [] Create a JSON object keyed by point `uuid` .
 - ☐ [] For each point from `system_definition.yaml` 's master `points:` list:
 - Determine its `source_component_id` by checking `points_provided` / `virtual_points_provided` in `system_definition.yaml` 's `components` section.
 - Include all master attributes from `PointDefinition` (name, description, value_type, units, ADR-20 topic fields, etc.).
 - Add the **fully derived MQTT topic(s)** as constructed by `build.py` per **ADR-20, Section II.C**.



- Add implementation details from component-specific YAML where relevant (e.g., `pin` for a microcontroller point).

☐ `[]` Output the `global_point_registry.json` file.

- **Task 3.6: Implement `build.py` Logic for Other Runtime Artifact Generation (Optional)**

☐ `[]` Consider generation of Telegraf input snippets based on `global_point_registry.json`.

☐ `[]` Consider generation of ENV files for Dockerized Python services.

Phase 4 (of this plan): Final Validation, System Integration & Documentation

Goal: Ensure the entire SSOT system (configs and `build.py` outputs) is functional, integrated, and well-documented before proceeding to runtime refactoring.

- **Task 4.1: Full Migrated Config Validation with `build.py` (Ref: Master Plan Task 1.3.7)**

☐ `[]` Run `build.py` against the complete set of migrated YAML configuration files.

☐ `[]` Resolve all validation errors (structural, cross-referential).

☐ `[]` Manually review generated artifacts (`autogen_config.h` files, `global_point_registry.json`) for logical correctness and completeness against ADR-20.

- **Task 4.2: Integrate `build.py` into Development Workflow**

☐ `[]` Ensure `build.py` is run automatically (e.g., pre-commit hook, CI pipeline) after any changes to YAML configuration files.

☐ `[]` Failed validation should block commits/merges.

- **Task 4.3: Update/Create System Documentation for SSOT (Corresponds to parts of Master Plan Task 5.5)**

☐ `[]` Finalize **ADR-20: Naming, UUIDs, SSOT, and Information Locus for System Points**.

☐ `[]` Document the structure of `system_definition.yaml` and all component-specific YAML configuration files, referencing ADR-20.

☐ `[]` Document all Pydantic models in `core_ssot_models.py` and `component_configs.py`.

☐ `[]` Document the usage and stages of `build.py`.

☐ `[]` Document the structure and purpose of `global_point_registry.json` and `autogen_config.h`.

☐ `[]` Document the configuration migration process and any mappings used.

☐ `[]` Update `V2 Architecture and Overview.pdf` to reflect the finalized SSOT data flow.

