

EXPERIMENT - 4

Student Name: Tanay Manish Nesari UID: 23BCS13761

Branch: BE-CSE Section/Group: KRG 1-B

Semester: 5th Date of Performance: 09/09/2025

Subject Name: ADBMS Subject Code: 23CSP-333

Aim: To understand and implement dependencies and normalization.

Objective:

Question 1: Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCD), functional dependencies are given below:

AB->C, C->D, D->A

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the set of prime and non-prime attributes and find highest normal form.

Question 2 : Relation R(ABCDE) having functional dependencies as: A->D, B->A, BC->D, AC->BE

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the set of prime and non prime attributes and find highest normal form.

Question 3. Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCDE), functional dependencies are given below:

B->A, A->C, BC->D, AC->BE

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the set of prime and non- prime attributes and find highest normal form.

Question 4. Consider a relation R having attributes as R(ABCDEF), functional dependencies are given below:

A->BCD, BC->DE, B->D, D->A

Identify the set of candidate keys possible in relation R. List all the set of prime and non- prime attributes and find highest normal form.

Discover. Learn. Empower.

Question 5. Designing a student database involves certain dependencies which are listed below:

- $X \rightarrow Y$
- WZ → X
- WZ → Y
- Y → W
- $Y \rightarrow X$
- $Y \rightarrow Z$

The task here is to remove all the redundant FDs for efficient working of the student database management system.

Question 6. Debix Pvt Ltd needs to maintain database having dependent attributes ABCDEF. These attributes are functionally dependent on each other for which functionally dependency set F given as:

{A -> BC, D -> E, BC -> D, A -> D} Consider a universal relation R1(A, B, C, D, E, F) with functional dependency set F, also all attributes are simple and take atomic values only. Find the highest normal form along with the candidate keys with prime and non- prime attribute.

Solution 1: Candidate Key Derivation:

Compute closures to find minimal

```
keys: (AB)+ ={A,B,C,D}

(BC)+ = {B, C, D, A}

(BD)+ = {B, D, A, C}

(A)+ = {A} \rightarrow A does not give B or C directly.

(C)+ = {C, D, A} (C \rightarrow D, D \rightarrow A) — missing B.

(D)+ = {D, A, C} (D \rightarrow A, A \text{ no new C except via } AB) — missing B.
```

Minimal sets whose closure is all attributes are AB, BC, BD.

Keys:

Candidate Keys = $\{AB, BC, BD\}$

Attributes:

Prime Attributes = {A, B, C, D} Non-Prime Attributes = {} (none)

Normalization:

BCNF:

- AB \rightarrow C: AB is a candidate key \rightarrow OK.
- C \rightarrow D: C is not a superkey \rightarrow violation.
- D \rightarrow A: D is not a superkey \rightarrow violation.
- \Rightarrow Not in BCNF.

3NF

- AB \rightarrow C: LHS is key \rightarrow OK.
- C \rightarrow D: D is prime (every attribute is prime) \rightarrow OK.
- D \rightarrow A: A is prime \rightarrow OK.

 \Rightarrow All FDs satisfy 3NF conditions.

Relation is in 3NF.

Highest Normal Form = 3NF

Solution 2: Candidate Key Derivation:

Compute closures to find minimal keys:

 $(A)+=\{A,D\}$ (from $A\rightarrow D$) — missing B, C, E.

 $(B)+=\{B, A, D\}$ $(B\rightarrow A, A\rightarrow D)$ — missing C, E.

(C)+ = $\{C\}$ — gives nothing else alone.

 $(AC)+=\{A, C, B, E, D\}$ $(AC\rightarrow BE gives B, E; B\rightarrow A already; A\rightarrow D)=$

ABCDE. (BC)+ = {B, C, A, D, E} (B \rightarrow A, AC \rightarrow BE or BC \rightarrow D then AC \rightarrow BE) = ABCDE.

 $(AB)+=\{A, B, D\}$ (from $B\rightarrow A, A\rightarrow D$) — missing C, E.

• Minimal sets whose closure is all attributes are AC and BC.

Keys:

Candidate Keys = $\{AC, BC\}$

Attributes:

Prime Attributes = {A, B, C} Non-Prime Attributes = {D, E}

Normalization:

BCNF:

- A \rightarrow D: A is not a key \rightarrow violation.
- B \rightarrow A: B is not a key \rightarrow violation.
- BC \rightarrow D: BC is a candidate key \rightarrow OK.
- AC \rightarrow BE: AC is a candidate key \rightarrow OK.
- \Rightarrow Not in BCNF.

3NF: For each FD, check LHS is key or RHS attributes are prime:

- A \rightarrow D: A not a key and D is non-prime \rightarrow violation.
- B \rightarrow A: B not a key but A is prime \rightarrow OK.

 $BC \rightarrow D$: LHS is key \rightarrow OK.

- AC \rightarrow BE: LHS is key \rightarrow OK.
- \Rightarrow Not in 3NF (because of A \rightarrow D).

2NF: Check partial dependencies on part of any candidate key (non-prime depending on part of a key):

Candidate keys: AC and BC. Non-prime attributes are {D, E}.

 $A\to D$: A is a proper subset of the key AC and determines non-prime $D\to partial$ dependency \to violation.

 \Rightarrow Not in 2NF.

1NF: Attributes are atomic \rightarrow satisfies 1NF.

Highest Normal Form = 1NF

Solution 3: Candidate Key Derivation:

 $(A)+=\{A,C\}$ (from A \rightarrow C); from AC \rightarrow BE get B,E; with B and C, BC \rightarrow D gives D \rightarrow so $(A)+=\{A,B,C,D,E\}$.

 $(B)+=\{B,A\}$ (from $B\rightarrow A$); then $A\rightarrow C$ gives C; $AC\rightarrow BE$ gives E; $BC\rightarrow D$ gives $D\rightarrow$ so $(B)+=\{A,B,C,D,E\}$.

$$(C) + = \{C\}$$

$$(D)+=\{D\}$$

$$(E)+=\{E\}$$

Keys:

Candidate Keys = $\{A, B\}$

Attributes:

Prime Attributes = $\{A, B\}$ Non-Prime Attributes = $\{C, D, E\}$

Normalization:

BCNF:

- B \rightarrow A : B is a candidate key \rightarrow OK.
- A \rightarrow C : A is a candidate key \rightarrow OK.
- BC \rightarrow D : BC contains B (a key), so BC is a superkey \rightarrow OK.
- AC \rightarrow BE : AC contains A (a key), so AC is a superkey \rightarrow OK.
- \Rightarrow All FDs have superkey LHS \rightarrow Relation is in BCNF.
- 3NF:

Since BCNF holds, 3NF is also satisfied.

2NF:

Candidate keys are single attributes, so there are no partial dependencies on a part of a composite key \rightarrow satisfies 2NF.

1NF:

Attributes are atomic \rightarrow satisfies 1NF.

Highest Normal Form = BCNF

Solution 4: Candidate Key Derivation:

- Attribute F never appears on the RHS of any dependency, so it must be included in every candidate key.
- Compute closures (with F included):
 - (AF)+: A \rightarrow BCD gives {A, B, C, D}; with BC \rightarrow DE we add E \rightarrow {A, B, C, D, E}; including F \rightarrow (AF)+ = {A, B, C, D, E, F}.
 - (BF)+: $B \rightarrow D$, $D \rightarrow A$, then $A \rightarrow BCD$ gives {A, B, C, D}; with $BC \rightarrow DE$ we get $E \rightarrow \{A, B, C, D, E\}$; including $F \rightarrow (BF)+=\{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$.
 - (DF)+: D \rightarrow A, then A \rightarrow BCD gives {A, B, C, D}; with BC \rightarrow DE we get E \rightarrow {A, B, C, D, E}; including F \rightarrow (DF)+ = {A, B, C, D, E, F}.
 - (CF)+ = {C, F} (C alone doesn't generate others) not a key.
 - (EF)+ = $\{E, F\}$ not a key.
- Minimal keys are {AF}, {BF}, {DF}.

Keys:

Discover. Learn. Empower Candidate Keys = {AF, BF, DF}

Attributes:

Prime Attributes = {A, B, D, F} Non-Prime Attributes = {C, E}

Normalization:

BCNF:

- A \rightarrow BCD: A is not a superkey \rightarrow violation.
- BC→DE: BC is not a superkey → violation.
- B \rightarrow D: B is not a superkey \rightarrow violation.
- D \rightarrow A: D is not a superkey \rightarrow violation.
- \Rightarrow Not in BCNF.

3NF:

For each FD, either LHS is a key or RHS is prime:

- A \rightarrow BCD: A not a key, RHS contains non-prime C,E \rightarrow violation.
- BC \rightarrow DE: BC not a key, RHS contains non-prime E \rightarrow violation.
- $B \rightarrow D$: D is prime \rightarrow OK.
- D \rightarrow A: A is prime \rightarrow OK.
- \Rightarrow Not in 3NF.

• 2NF:

Candidate keys are $\{AF, BF, DF\}$. Non-prime attributes = $\{C, E\}$.

- A \rightarrow C: A is part of key AF and determines non-prime C \rightarrow partial dependency \rightarrow violation.
- \Rightarrow Not in 2NF.
- 1NF: Attributes are atomic → satisfied.

Highest Normal Form = 1NF

Solution 5: We are given the relation R(W, X, Y, Z) with functional dependencies. Our aim is to find and remove the redundant dependencies

Write the FDs again -

- 1. $X \rightarrow Y$
- 2. $WZ \rightarrow X$
- 3. $WZ \rightarrow Y$
- 4. $Y \rightarrow W$
- 5. $Y \rightarrow X$
- 6. $Y \rightarrow Z$

Check redundancy one by one -

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

Discheck Fled3): WApower.

From (2) WZ \rightarrow X and (1) X \rightarrow Y, we can derive WZ

 \rightarrow Y. So, FD (3) is redundant.

Check FD (5): Y → X

From (6) $Y \rightarrow Z$ and (4) $Y \rightarrow W$, we already have

(W,Z). Now, $(W,Z) \rightarrow X$ (from FD 2).

Hence, from Y we can derive W and Z, then $(WZ \rightarrow X)$, so $Y \rightarrow X$ is also redundant.

Final minimal cover

The essential dependencies are:

- 1. $X \rightarrow Y$
- 2. $WZ \rightarrow X$
- 3. $Y \rightarrow W$
- 4. $Y \rightarrow Z$

After removing redundant dependencies, the minimal set of functional dependencies is:

- $X \rightarrow Y$
- WZ \rightarrow X
- $Y \rightarrow W$
- $Y \rightarrow Z$

This is the minimal cover of the given FDs, and hence these will be used for efficient working of the student database management system.

Solution 6: Candidate Key Derivation:

 Attribute F never appears on the RHS of any dependency, so it must be included in every candidate key.

Compute closures (with F included):

• (AF)+:

$$A \rightarrow BC \rightarrow \{A,B,C\}$$

$$BC \rightarrow D \rightarrow \{A,B,C,D\}$$

$$D \rightarrow E \rightarrow \{A,B,C,D,E\}$$

Add
$$F \rightarrow (AF)+=\{A,B,C,D,E,F\}$$

(BF)+:

Start with $\{B,F\}$. No FD gives A. Missing A \rightarrow can't reach all attributes. \Rightarrow Not a key.

• (CF)+:

Start with $\{C,F\}$. No FD gives A. Missing A \rightarrow not a key.

- (DF)+
 - $D \rightarrow E \rightarrow \{D,E,F\}$. Still missing A,B,C \rightarrow not a key.
- (EF)+:

Start with $\{E,F\}$. No FD gives A. Missing $A,B,C,D \rightarrow$ not a key. Thus the only minimal key = $\{AF\}$.

Keys:

Candidate $Keys = \{AF\}$

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING

DAttributesarn. Empower.

- Prime Attributes = {A, F}
- Non-Prime Attributes = {B, C, D, E}

Normalization:

BCNF:

```
A \rightarrow BC : A \text{ not a superkey} \rightarrow \text{violation. } A \rightarrow D : A \text{ not a superkey} \rightarrow \text{violation. } BC \rightarrow D : BC \text{ not a superkey} \rightarrow \text{violation. } D \rightarrow E : D \text{ not a superkey} \rightarrow \text{violation.}
\Rightarrow \text{Not in BCNF}
```

3NF:

```
A \rightarrow BC: A not a key, RHS has non-prime (B,C) \rightarrow violation. A \rightarrow D: A not a key, D non-prime \rightarrow violation. BC \rightarrow D: BC not a key, D non-prime \rightarrow violation. D \rightarrow E: D not a key, E non-prime \rightarrow violation. \Rightarrow Not in 3NF
```

2NF:

Candidate key = $\{AF\}$.

 $A \rightarrow BC$: A is part of candidate key and determines non-prime attributes \rightarrow partial dependency \rightarrow violation.

 $A \rightarrow D$: Same partial dependency \rightarrow violation.

 \Rightarrow Not in 2NF

1NF:

All attributes are atomic \rightarrow satisfied.

Highest Normal Form = 1NF