

Audio-based Out of Band Channel key exchange

Applied Cryptography

November 1, 2015

Troels Thomsen 152165

Rasmus Haarslev 152175

Vilius Maskovas 107154

Justas Mikalajunas 107083

Contents

1	Abstract	1
2	Introduction (Justas)	2
3	Related work on audio authentication (Justas - 0.5p)	3
4	State of the art authentication (Vilius - 0.5p)	3
5	Proposed solution	5
5.1	Solution description (Troels 1p)	5
5.1.1	Goals (Troels)	6
5.1.2	Requirements (Troels)	6
5.1.3	Use case (Troels)	6
5.1.4	Sequence diagram (Troels)	6
5.2	Strengths (Rasmus 0.5p)	7
5.3	Weaknesses (Rasmus 0.5p)	7
5.4	Threat analysis (Rasmus 1p)	8
6	Comparison with state of the art (Vilius 0.2p)	9
6.1	Image scanning (Vilius 0.5p)	9
6.2	NFC (Vilius 0.5p)	9
6.3	Bluetooth 4.0 JW (Vilius 0.5p)	10
7	Conclusion	10
7.1	Future work	10
8	References	

1 Abstract

2 Introduction (Justas)

Secure authentication between previously unknown devices without a connection to the Internet is an open and computationally complex problem. Without pre-shared keys, a trusted third party, trusted certificate providers and the key-signing-chain more commonly used in authentication, it is very difficult to prevent man-in-the-middle attacks and identity spoofing.

Allowing two devices to authenticate each other without a trusted third party or any pre-shared keys opens up a place for dishonest agents in the communication. Any attacker listening in on the initial communication between the two parties can either eavesdrop on all of their communications, or if the attack is able to modify or intercept the transmissions - fake the identity of either party.

The initial approaches in solving the problem relied on using a physical contact in order to share secret, this was followed by offers to use location-limited channels such as Infrared. After that many different options came out for secret sharing – visual tags, light / laser channels, audio fingerprints. Very few solutions have reached the public market due to various reasons, therefore the field is still relatively open for new approaches.

In this paper, we want to take principle of audio fingerprinting in device pairing one step further as we believe it has not reached its capabilities. Audio fingerprinting was used in couple of the previously offered systems, however mostly to enable human to verify the devices. In this system we want to use audio as out-of-band (OOB) channel with inaudible (high frequency) audio to share a symmetric key which would be used as the main key between two authenticating parties. We will discuss how this can be achieved and analyze what strengths and weaknesses this approach introduces.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 3 provides gives an overview of how device pairing evolved over years. This is followed by section 4, where we discuss state of art authentication methods using Bluetooth technology. In Section 5 we describe our proposed approach with threat analysis including advantages and disadvantages of the approach. Later in section 6 we compare our solution with the most used device pairing technologies. Section 7 finalizes the paper with conclusion and description of future work.

3 Related work on audio authentication (Justas - 0.5p)

Secure pairing of two devices been a hot topic for the past decade and therefore there are numerous of documents as prior work. One of the early work by Stajano, et al. [6] brought device pairing to the stage and proposed to use physical contact for shared secret sharing. Physical contact such as a cable is not a feasible solution nowadays due to interfaces mismatch on devices and not being user friendly. Nonetheless, this paper was used as an inspiration for new papers in this field. An example of such is Balfanz, et al. [4] where usage of location-limited channels (LLC) was introduced with favor on infrared connection. Though, nowadays infrared is not popular on mobile devices as it used to be making this approach impractical. Yet this paper laid foundation for using Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol in device pairing. After Balfanz, et al. [4], the movement of device pairing split into two main parts – improving how Diffie-Hellman key exchange protocol is being implemented into real life systems– Ubisound [1], HADAPEP [5] and handful of variants which LLC to use infrared, sound [4], visible laser light [2], light together accompanied by sound [3].

All of the mentioned documents uses or prefer usage of heavy encryption algorithms, making the pairing slower than it is needed for common usage where data is not critical.

4 State of the art authentication (Vilius - 0.5p)

Bluetooth version 4.0 includes Secure Simple Pairing (SSP). It defines how two Bluetooth devices are paired. The main idea of SSP is to improve security against eavesdropping and MITM attacks. It is hard to achieve, Bluetooth devices have different input and output capabilities and can be attacked in different ways. Therefore, SSP can be used in four different ways:

1. Numeric Comparison (NC) Both devices generates and displays 6-digit key. User compares generated numbers and if they match, he/she confirms successful pairing. Used when both devices has a display and at least one of them has a capability of entering yes/no command.
2. Just Works (JW) No user interaction and has no MITM protection. Used in devices without user interfaces.
3. Passkey Entry (PE) I: One device has a screen and another device has a numeric keypad. The device with the screen shows 6-digit key and then device with keypad

enters the key. II: Two devices has only numeric keypads. Both devices enter the same 6-digit key.

4. Out of Band (OoB) Generates 6-digit key and transfers it via OoB channel. This method is as secure as the OoB channel it uses.

All four ways are based on passing a short authenticated string, which is used to create a session between devices. SSP decides which method to use based on devices capabilities. The preferred method is OoB, then NC, PE and the least preferred is JW.

5 Proposed solution

5.1 Solution description (Troels 1p)

We propose a scheme which uses an out of band channel (OOB) in order to prevent attackers from intercepting or modifying the key exchange. An out of band channel is a different channel for transmitting data, besides the one regularly used by the devices or protocol. In our solution we are going to use inaudible (high frequency) noise for exchanging a symmetric key between the two authenticating devices.

We will base-100 encode the symmetric key, transmit it over an audio channel, decode it and start communicating over any standard band of communication since the scheme is agnostic to what band the symmetric key is used on.

This authentication scheme requires both devices to have a microphone and a speaker. The devices must be within close proximity of one another in order to receive the low volume transmission, which is how the protocol guarantees the secrecy of the symmetric key. If the attacker wants to listen in on the exchange and intercept the key exchange, the attacker must get physically very close to both devices.

The low proximity while exchanging the symmetric key is also the downside of the solution, since both parties who wish to be paired need to be right next to each other.

The solution is also limited in the sense that it requires both devices to have both a microphone and a speaker. One can easily imagine a scenario where this is not the case, such as trying to pair a smartphone with home Hi-fi equipment which probably does not have a microphone. In addition the speakers and microphone on each device must respectively be able to distinguish between 100 different transmitted sounds as well as be able to transmit 100 different sounds, in order for the base-100 encoding to work.

The solution is vulnerable to tampering or interference if an attacker transmits a lot of noise in the same frequency, it would be possible to ruin the key exchange if timed correctly. The key exchange could similarly be ruined if the physical location of the two devices happens to have a lot of ambient noise in the same frequency range. One possible solution to this problem is to choose a random communication frequency from a pre-defined set of frequencies. A sophisticated attacker might still be able to transmit in all of these frequencies in order to ruin the transmission, but switching frequencies would probably solve the problem of random ambient noise.

5.1.1 Goals (Troels)

5.1.2 Requirements (Troels)

5.1.3 Use case (Troels)

One main use case

5.1.4 Sequence diagram (Troels)

Diagram of how the protocol works

5.2 Strengths (Rasmus 0.5p)

The apparent advantage of using an OOB channel is the fact that it is quite a rare form of communication between mobile devices. This means that a potential intruder will either have to have a wide variety of attacks available, in order to quickly adapt to the sudden use of an obscure OOB channel, or have prior knowledge of the device pairing method being used during device pairing.

Even if the intruder are ready for this specific device pairing method, the fact that the method uses audio during the authentication, makes it extremely difficult for the intruder to temper with the messages being transmitted. This is because sound waves can't be stopped or interrupted, which means the intruder won't be able to change the message, ensuring that correct message will always be sent, thus ensuring authentic communication. Furthermore, we take advantage of the fact, that using low volume audio means that the pairing devices must be close to one another, which means that the intruder must get really close to the pairing, can be difficult.

In addition to the security advantages, utilizing audio for device pairing means that the method will be highly backwards compatible, as almost all, if not all mobile devices (phones) has some kind of microphone and speaker. This further ensures, that the method won't be prone to aging quite as fast as other device pairing methods might.

5.3 Weaknesses (Rasmus 0.5p)

While our proposed solution has strong authentication, it also have disadvantages, that may prove to be difficult to address. While using audio ensures broad device support, it also relies on the device to be able to record and understand the transmitted messages, which might prove difficult for older devices, where the speaker or microphone might be of poor quality. This is very problematic, as our method won't be able to securely authenticate the devices if the audio can't be understood by the device.

The fact that we use low volume audio to ensure better security also comes with the downside of lessened convenience because the low volume decreases the distance within the devices are capable of pairing.

A potential intruder might have difficulties with tempering with the audio messages sent during authentication, but it is extremely easy to interfere with the pairing in such a way, that the authentication process simply can not be completed. This can be done by broadcasting noise in the same frequency as the authentication messages, which will render the pairing devices unable to understand any message being sent to them.

5.4 Threat analysis (Rasmus 1p)

- Man-in-middle attack
- Eavesdropping
- Interference (noise in same frequency)
- Denial of Service

6 Comparison with state of the art (Vilius 0.2p)

6.1 Image scanning (Vilius 0.5p)

Bluetooth SSP using barcode or any other image as Out of Band (OoB) channel is a common practice. The method is as secure as the OoB channel it uses and image scanning as an OoB is very secure. MIDM attacks are practically impossible. The attacker would have to be in very close proximity, few centimeter from the device that generated the image, for a fast interception of the key. Theoretically, if the attacker would have very high quality camera and could get a good angle, it would be possible to capture the image from a greater distance. However, the timeframe to do that is very small as well. The user will scan the code himself/herself quite fast, few second. To do that, he/she will place second device in front of the displayed image, that way shielding it from the attacker. Eavesdropping attacks faces the same problem as MIDM attacks. Image capture is very secure as an Out of Band channel. However, for this method to work both devices have to have comparably expensive hardware, a camera and a display screen, which is not common in many Bluetooth capable devices. Compared to our solution, we offer a method, which is as secure as image capture method if not even more secure and which could be used by many more Bluetooth devices without having to upgrade the hardware.

6.2 NFC (Vilius 0.5p)

Using NFC OoB channel for Bluetooth communication is not a common practice, though it is a secure method, secure enough for bank to use it. The NFC works in very close proximity, which gives additional security against MITM and eavesdropping attacks on top of the implemented communication protocols. The reason that it is not used widely for Bluetooth devices pairing is that it is quite new method. Therefore, older devices or the ones which go for a lower price don't have required hardware.

6.3 Bluetooth 4.0 JW (Vilius 0.5p)

JW method is the most commonly used Bluetooth pairing method. It is simple and has low hardware requirements. However, it has no protection against MITM attacks, where our solution provides protection against MITM and does not have high hardware requirements.

7 Conclusion

7.1 Future work

8 References

- [1] William R. Claycomb and Dongwan Shin. Secure device pairing using audio. *Proceedings - International Carnahan Conference on Security Technology*, 2009.
- [2] R. Mayrhofer. A human-verifiable authentication protocol using visible laser light. *Availability, Reliability and Security, 2007.*, 2007.
- [3] Ramnath Prasad and Nitesh Saxena. *Efficient device pairing using "human-comparable" synchronized audiovisual patterns*. Springer Verlag, 2008. p. 328-345.
- [4] Dirk Balfanz Smetters, Dirk Balfanz, D. K. Smetters, Paul Stewart, and H. Chi Wong. *Talking To Strangers: Authentication in Ad-Hoc Wireless Networks*. Ninth Annual Symposium on Network and Distributed System Security. 2002.
- [5] Claudio Soriente, Gene Tsudik, and Ersin Uzun. *HAPADEP: Human-assisted pure audio device pairing*. Springer Verlag, 2008. p. 385-400.
- [6] Frank Stajano and Ross Anderson. *The Resurrecting Duckling: Security Issues for Ad-hoc Wireless Networks*. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer-Verlag Berlin, 3rd edition, 1999. p. 172-182.