

# Midtvejsrapport Bachelorproject

## Regular Expression Matching In Genomic Data

Rasmus Haarslev - nkh877

Troels Thomsen - qvw203

Supervisors: Rasmus Fonseca

23. Februar 2015

Department of Computer Science

University of Copenhagen

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Problem definition</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Translation of scan-for-matches patterns into regular expressions</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Mismatches, Insertions and Deletions . . . . .	2
2.2	Backreferencing . . . . .	3
2.2.0.1	Mismatches . . . . .	3
2.2.0.2	Deletions . . . . .	3
2.2.0.3	Insertions . . . . .	3

# 1 Problem definition

We wish to determine the possibility of converting sequence analysis patterns used for scan-for-matches[?], into regular expressions[?] and test their efficiency against the KMC[?] engine.

Specifically we wish to solve the following problems:

- Is it possible to programatically convert patterns used by the scan-for-matches program into regular expressions for the KMC engine? If not all patterns used by scan-for-matches then which ones?
- Is it possible to achieve speeds matching or exceeding scan-for-matches with the generated regular expressions and the KMC engine?
- Can we find weak extensions to regular expressions, which would enable us to support more or all scan-for-matches patterns?

## 2 Translation of scan-for-matches patterns into regular expressions

### 2.1 Mismatches, Insertions and Deletions

The subpatterns can have the following form, which allows for mismatches, insertions and deletions in the subpattern.

$$x_1 \dots x_n[m, i, d] \quad \{x_1 \dots x_n \in A \mid m, i, d \in \mathbb{N}_0\} \quad (1)$$

This notation allows for 0 or the given number of mismatches, insertions or deletions, or all possible combinations in between. This means we for an example can have  $m$  mismatches and  $i$  insertions, but not necessarily  $d$  deletions.

We can combine the matching of mismatches and deletions to somewhat simplify our expressions. We can express one mismatch and one deletion in the following regular expression.

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x_1? \mid [\hat{x}_1]) x_2 \dots x_n) \mid (x_1? [\hat{x}_2] x_3 \dots x_n) \mid \dots \mid (x_1? \dots x_{n-1} [\hat{x}_n]) \mid \\ & ([\hat{x}_1] x_2? \dots x_n) \mid (x_1 (x_2? \mid [\hat{x}_2]) x_3 \dots x_n) \mid \dots \mid (x_1 x_2? \dots x_{n-1} [\hat{x}_n]) \mid \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & ([\hat{x}_1] x_2 \dots x_n?) \mid (x_1 [\hat{x}_2] x_3 \dots x_n?) \mid \dots \mid (x_1 \dots x_{n-1} (x_n? \mid [\hat{x}_n])) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

This quite large expression quickly grows into an even larger expression, if we have multiple mismatches or deletions. For two mismatches, we have the following.  $\hookrightarrow$  denotes the continuation of the current line.

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x_1 \mid [\hat{x}_1]) (((x_2 \mid [\hat{x}_2]) x_3 \dots x_n) \mid (x_2 (x_3 \mid [\hat{x}_3]) x_4 \dots x_n) \\ & \hookrightarrow \mid \dots \mid (x_2 \dots x_{n-1} (x_n \mid [\hat{x}_n])))) \mid \\ & (x_1 (x_2 \mid [\hat{x}_2]) (((x_3 \mid [\hat{x}_3]) x_4 \dots x_n) \mid (x_3 (x_4 \mid [\hat{x}_4]) x_5 \dots x_n) \\ & \hookrightarrow \mid \dots \mid (x_3 \dots x_{n-1} (x_n \mid [\hat{x}_n])))) \mid \\ & \quad \vdots \\ & (x_1 \dots x_{n-1} (x_n \mid [\hat{x}_n])) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

In the case of  $m, i, d = n$ , we have the following case.

$$.? (x_1? \mid [\hat{x}_1]) .? (x_2? \mid [\hat{x}_2]) \cdots .? (x_n? \mid [\hat{x}_n]) .? \quad (4)$$

## 2.2 Backreferencing

One way to simplify our expression, is to use backreferences. With backreferences we can express  $m$  mismatches,  $d$  deletions and  $i$  insertions in the following regular expressions.

### 2.2.0.1 Mismatches

$$((x_1?|\cdot) \mid (x_2?|\cdot) \mid \cdots \mid (x_n?|\cdot)) \quad (5)$$

### 2.2.0.2 Deletions

### 2.2.0.3 Insertions