## Mandatory Excercise 3

Computationally hard problems

September 21, 2015

Troels Thomsen 152165 Solution discussed with Rasmus Haarslev 152175

## 1 Excercise 3.1

## 1.1 **Proof** $RP_{1/\pi} = RP$

We consider it trivially true that an algorithm which can solve problems of class RP, can also solve problems of class  $RP_{1/\pi}$ . In order to prove the equality between these two classes, we must prove the inverse relationship.

To do this we consider a randomized algorithm A bounded by a polynomial p, which solves problems of class  $RP_{1/\pi}$ .

If we repeat the algorithm A x number of times on the same input, we will eventually reach a probability of  $\frac{1}{2}$  or greater, enabling algorithm A to solve problems in RP after x tries. This turns out to be  $x \geq 2$  times, since

$$\sum_{n=1}^{x} \frac{1}{\pi} \ge \frac{1}{2}$$

solves to  $x \ge \frac{\pi}{2}$ .

Thus if we run A twice we can solve problems of class RP, with A bounded by a polynomial of size 2p. But since O(2p) = O(p), A is actually still bounded by p, and thus A can also solve problems of class RP.

Thus if an algorithm which can solve problems of class  $RP_{1/\pi}$  can also solve problems of class RP within the same bounds, the problems in  $RP_{1/\pi}$  and RP must be of the same complexity rendering  $RP_{1/\pi} = RP$ .

## 1.2 **Proof** $RP \subseteq BPP$

In order to proof our assumption that  $RP \subseteq BPP$ , we consider a randomized algorithm A bounded by some polynomial p, which can solve problems of class RP.

Since A can solve problems of class RP, we can we repeat the algorithm A some number x > 1 times on the same problem, and find a true answer with a higher probability than  $\frac{1}{2}$ . We consider the new probability for finding the true answer to our problem to be  $\frac{1}{2} + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is some constant > 0. We consider it trivial that A can also find the true answer no to a problem with a probability of  $\frac{1}{5} + \epsilon$  or higher, since A is able to solve RP problems.

By repeating A we increase the running time from p to  $x \times p$ . Since  $O(x \times p) = O(p)$  we

notice that our algorithm A in this case is in fact still a p-bounded algorithm.

Since  $\epsilon$  is not bounded by the input, but rather by the number of times our algorithm is run, we can state that A repeated x times is in fact a randomized p-bounded algorithm able to solve problems of class BPP. If A can solve problems of both class RP and BPP then it must be true that  $RP \subseteq BPP$ .