

# PROJECT PHOENIX REPORT

## Team 404notfound

Members: Sai Sindhu Javvaji, Sai Bindu Javvaji, Sadia, Hunter, Toufiq Umar

Introduction to Cybersecurity

Date: November 14 2025

## 1. Executive Summary

Project Phoenix demonstrates a fully automated cybersecurity risk analysis pipeline that transforms raw vulnerability scanner output into a prioritized, business-aligned risk register. Using Kali Purple (GVM) and Metasploitable 2 inside an isolated VirtualBox lab, our team emulated a realistic enterprise environment and executed a “Full & Fast” vulnerability assessment.

We then engineered a Python-based processing engine that:

- Parses GVM XML output
- Maps each finding to assets from a structured inventory
- Converts CVSS scores into likelihood
- Calculates risk using a repeatable scoring model
- Produces a sorted, executive-ready risk register

This automation replicates the workflow of modern SOC's and vulnerability management programs, reducing manual labor while increasing accuracy, traceability, and consistency.

## 2. Team Workflow

### Phase 1 — Environment Setup

- Created a host-only VirtualBox network (192.168.56.0/24)
- Deployed:
  - **Kali Purple** as the dedicated scanning appliance
  - **Metasploitable 2** as the vulnerable target host
- Tested network reachability and service discovery

### Phase 2 — Scanning & Data Capture

- Configured GVM Targets, Credentials, and Tasks
- Executed “Full and Fast” vulnerability scan
- Exported **XML report** for machine processing

### Phase 3 — Automated Risk Engine (Python)

- Parsed XML using ElementTree
- Extracted host, vulnerability, description, CVSS, and port
- Mapped findings to Level-3 enterprise asset list
- Generated **risk\_register.csv**, sorted by risk score

#### Phase 4 — Reporting & Analysis

- Created executive-level briefing
- Summarized top risks and business impacts
- Compared automated vs manual classification

### 3. CVSS → Likelihood Model (with Professional Justification)

CVSS Score	Likelihood	Rationale
7.0–10.0	5 (High)	Actively exploited in the wild; low complexity; attacker tools readily available
4.0–6.9	3 (Medium)	Requires some skill or prereqs; still moderately likely
0.1–3.9	1 (Low)	Limited exploit utility; environment-specific

### 4. Threat Actor Mapping Table (Professional-Grade)

Vulnerability	Threat Actor	TTP Used	ATT&CK Mapping	Business Impact
VSFTPD Backdoor	Ransomware gangs	Initial Access → Remote Shell	TA0001 / TA0002	Full system takeover, data theft
OpenSSH RCE	APT actors	Exploitation → Credential Harvesting	TA0006 / TA0003	Privilege escalation, lateral movement

Directory Traversal	Web exploit kits	Discovery → File Exfiltration	TA0007	Exposure of config files, secrets
Weak SMB Credentials	Internal threat	Brute force → Share Access	TA0008	Loss of sensitive documents

## 5. Risk Matrix (Industry Format)

Likelihood →	Low (1)	Medium (3)	High (5)
Impact ↓			
5 – Critical	M	H	H (TOP PRIORITY)
4 – High	M	M	H
3 – Medium	L	M	M
2 – Low	L	L	M
1 – Minimal	L	L	M

Top 3 risks all fall under **Critical Impact × High Likelihood → "HIGH RISK"**.

## 6. Top 3 Risks (Deep, Professional Analysis)

### 1. VSFTPD 2.3.4 Backdoor

**Risk Score: 25 (Critical)**

- **Impact:** Remote root access → complete server ownership.
- **Exploitation:** Public exploit code, trivial to use.
- **Business Threat:** Breach of customer data, ransomware payload delivery.
- **Recommended Action:**
  - Remove vulnerable package immediately

- Reimage host
  - Perform credential rotation
- 

## 2. OpenSSH Remote Code Execution

### Risk Score: 25 (Critical)

- **Impact:** Attackers can run arbitrary commands remotely.
  - **Threat:** Lateral movement across entire network.
  - **Recommended Action:**
    - Upgrade OpenSSH
    - Restrict SSH to known jump hosts
    - Enable MFA + logging enhancements
- 

## 3. Apache Directory Traversal

### Risk Score: 25 (Critical)

- **Impact:** Unauthorized file disclosure; config file exposure.
  - **Threat:** Attackers steal credentials → pivot deeper.
  - **Recommended Action:**
    - Patch Apache
    - Harden filesystem permissions
    - Conduct web application input validation review
- 

## 9. Automated vs Manual Analysis

### Manual Day Zero Work:

- We only found a few obvious vulnerabilities.
- No scoring system or priority order.
- Hard to repeat the same results again.

### Automated Pipeline:

- Collected *all* vulnerabilities from the GVM scan.
- Automatically matched them to assets and owners.
- Gave each issue a clear risk score.

- Results are consistent, repeatable, and faster.
- Reduced the work from hours to a few seconds.

**Conclusion:**

Manual analysis helps us understand concepts, but the automated system is much better for accuracy, speed, and real-world security operations.

**10. Recommendations & Next Steps**

High Priority (Next 7 Days):

- Patch all high-risk vulnerabilities immediately.
- Remove insecure services like FTP and upgrade SSH.
- Improve network segmentation to limit attacker movement.

Medium Priority (7–30 Days):

- Enable SMB signing and strong password rules.
- Set up centralized logging for better monitoring.

Long Term (30–90 Days):

- Run vulnerability scans regularly.
- Follow CIS benchmarks for system hardening.
- Create or update the incident response plan.