

EEC133 Pre-Lab 1: Dipole Antennas and Noise

Tao Wang

October 23, 2024

Contents

Part 1: Dipole Antenna Design	1
Part 2: Basic Noise Calculations	5

Part 1: Dipole Antenna Design

- **Operating frequency:** 1.5 GHz
- **Length:** short dipole ($l \leq \frac{\lambda}{10}$)
- **Wire radius:** 0.5mm
- **Input impedance:** no requirement

Questions:

1. $l = 20$ mm

We can find the wavelength λ by $\lambda = \frac{c}{f} = \frac{3 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1}}{1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ Hz}} = 0.2 \text{ m}$.

The length of the dipole antenna is $l \leq \frac{\lambda}{10} = 20 \text{ mm}$.

Since the radiated power depends quadratically on l , the maximum l gives the maximum radiated power.

- 2.

$$R_{rad} = 20\pi^2 \left(\frac{l}{\lambda} \right)^2 = (20\pi^2) \left(\frac{0.02}{0.2} \right)^2 = 1.97 \Omega$$

$$D_0 = 1.5$$

$$F(\theta, \phi) = \sin^2(\theta)$$

$$\text{HPBW} = \theta_2 - \theta_1 = 0.785 - (-0.785) = 1.57$$

$$\text{Far Field Requirement : } kr \gg 1 \implies r \gg \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} = 0.03 \text{ m}$$

3. The normalized radiation intensity is radiation intensity of all direction normalized by the maximum radiation intensity.




Figure 1: Normalized Radiation Intensity of the Dipole Antenna

4. HFSS Simulation

(a) Dipole Antenna Model




Figure 2: Dipole Antenna Model

- (b) S-Parameter The S-Parameter frequency sweep plot shows that as the frequency increases, less of the input wave is reflected back.




Figure 3: S-Parameter Frequency Sweep

(c) 3D Directivity Pattern




Figure 4: 3D Directivity Pattern

(d) Input impedance

$$Z_{in} = 1.81 - j658.82$$

The real part of the z parameter is less than the radiation resistance calculated in (2). The reactance make sense because the dipole antenna is geometrically similar to a capacitor. As a result, it has high reactance at low frequency, and low reactance at high frequency.




Figure 5: $re(Z(1,1))$




Figure 6: $im(Z(1,1))$

(e) The Electric Field




Figure 7: \vec{E} Field

(f) The Magnetic Field




Figure 8: \vec{H} Field

(g) The antenna is not a good transmitter because R_{rad} is small, so it's hard to match its impedance and reduce reflected power.

Part 2: Basic Noise Calculations

1. Approximate Noise Level = -102 dBm

2.

$$P_n = \left(1.38 \times 10^{-23} \frac{\text{J}}{\text{K}}\right) (298.15 \text{K}) (1 \times 10^6 \text{Hz}) = 4.1 \times 10^{-15} \text{W}$$

$$\text{Expected Noise Level} = 10 \log \left(\frac{P_{in}}{10^{-3}} \right) = -113.9 \text{ dBm}$$

3.

$$P = (1 \text{ mW}) \left(10^{\frac{-102 \text{ dBm}}{10 \text{ dBm}}} \right) = 6.3 \times 10^{-14} \text{W}$$

4. In both cases, spikes could be observed in the 2.4 - 2.4 GHz range. However, the spikes are bigger when the antenna is attached. These spikes are from the bluetooth signals.
5. Yes, the electric field from the bluetooth wave induces current oscillations in the transmission line. The time-varying current carries AC power, which is plotted on the network analyzer. When the transmission line is opened at the end, the impedance is purely reactive, thus no power is dissipated. Therefore, no power is detected in the analyzer except those from the resistor's thermal noise. As a result, we only see a weak signal because it's only caused by the thermal noise.