Comparison UUnifast and DRS

Souvik Sarkar and Mario Günzel

November 27, 2022

Abstract:

This is a short comparison of the evaluation results obtained from UUnifast and from DRS.

UUniFast:

For UUniFast suspension time ['sslength'] is drawn uniformly from the interval between the minimum suspension length value and maximum suspension length value. We have the following three setups:

- Setup 1 Short Suspension [0.0(Ti Ci), 0.2(Ti Ci)]
- Setup 2 Moderate Suspension [0.2(Ti Ci), 0.4(Ti Ci)]
- Setup 3 Long Suspension [0.4(Ti Ci), 0.6(Ti Ci)]

DRS:

Unlike UUniFast, Dirichlet- Rescaling Algorithm are used for asymmetric constraints and works with separate upper bounds and lower bounds for each task. The three different setups for DRS used here:

- Setup 1 (minsus+ex=0.1*number of tasks per set, maxsus+ex=1.0)
- Setup 2 (minsus+ex=0.3*number of tasks per set, maxsus+ex=1.0)
- Setup 3 (minsus+ex=0.5*number of tasks per set, maxsus+ex=1.0)


Here, we are taking three different setups each with different execution + suspension time but same execution time.

Setup:

All the scheduling algorithms are bench marked using test sets which are created using UUniFast and DRS. The task sets generated using DRS or UUniFast had different parameters in place but few selected parameters were kept constant for all the scheduling algorithms whereas other parameters varied based on the task set. Parameters such as Execution time, Suspension time, Suspension length and other changed depending on the setup used but parameters like Tasks per set and Task sets per configuration remained constant at 10 and 100 respectively for all the setups used.


1 Suspension Oblivious

We are going to generate a suspension-oblivious schedule for the DRS and UU-niFast setups explained above




2 Suspension Jitter

We are going to generate a suspension-jitter schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




3 RSS

We are going to generate a RSS schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




4 UDLEDF

We are going to generate a UDLEDF schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




5 WLAEDF

We are going to generate a WLAEDF schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




6 RTEDF

We are going to generate a RTEDF schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




7 UNIFRAMEWORK

We are going to generate a Uniframework schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above




8 Suspension Block

We are going to generate a Suspension Block schedule for the DRS and UUni-Fast setups explained above




9 Idv Burst RM


We are going to generate a Idv Burst RM schedule for the DRS and UUniFast setups explained above



10 Comparing all tests at a glance


We are comparing the all the different schedulability tests for both DRS and UUnifast for each of the setups






11 SCEDF

We are comparing the all the different schedulability tests for both DRS and UUnifast for each of the setups



12 SCRM

We are comparing the all the different schedulability tests for both DRS and UUnifast for each of the setups



Evaluation:

So, the parameter we use to compare is **Acceptance Ratio** with respect to the execution time i.e the percentage of tasksets that got accepted for a particular execution time. We are generating 100 task sets per configuration with 10 tasks per set with a suspension values ranging between 0.0 - 0.6.