

The Name of the Title Is Hope

tbd

ABSTRACT

tbd.

CCS CONCEPTS

• Theory of computation → Cryptographic primitives.

KEYWORDS

tbd

ACM Reference Format:

tbd. tbd. The Name of the Title Is Hope. In *Proceedings of Make sure to enter the correct conference title from your rights confirmation email (Conference acronym 'XX)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2 pages. <https://doi.org/tbd>

1 INTRODUCTION

tbd

2 PRELIMINARY

2.1 Basic Notations

Point and multi-point functions. Given a domain size N and Abelian group \mathbb{G} , a point function $f_{\alpha,\beta} : [N] \rightarrow \mathbb{G}$ for $\alpha \in [N]$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{G}$ evaluates to β on input α and to $0 \in \mathbb{G}$ on all other inputs. We denote by $\hat{f}_{\alpha,\beta} = (N, \mathbb{G}, \alpha, \beta)$ the representation of such a point function.

Enote: MPF. Also representation of groups.

2.2 Distributed Multi-Point Functions

Enote: should directly adapt to multi-point function case

We begin by defining a slightly generalized notion of distributed point functions (DPFs), which accounts for the extra parameter \mathbb{G}' .

DEFINITION 1 (DPF [1, 3]). A (2-party) distributed point function (DPF) is a triple of algorithms $\Pi = (\text{Gen}, \text{Eval}_0, \text{Eval}_1)$ with the following syntax:

- $\text{Gen}(1^\lambda, \hat{f}_{\alpha,\beta}) \rightarrow (k_0, k_1)$: On input security parameter $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ and point function description $\hat{f}_{\alpha,\beta} = (N, \mathbb{G}, \alpha, \beta)$, the (randomized) key generation algorithm Gen returns a pair of keys $k_0, k_1 \in \{0, 1\}^*$. We assume that N and \mathbb{G} are determined by each key.
- $\text{Eval}_i(k_i, x) \rightarrow y_i$: On input key $k_i \in \{0, 1\}^*$ and input $x \in [N]$ the (deterministic) evaluation algorithm of server i , Eval_i returns $y_i \in \mathbb{G}$.

We require Π to satisfy the following requirements:

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.
Conference acronym 'XX, tbd, tbd

© tbd Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN tbd...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/tbd>

- **Correctness:** For every λ , $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_{\alpha,\beta} = (N, \mathbb{G}, \alpha, \beta)$ such that $\beta \in \mathbb{G}$, and $x \in [N]$, if $(k_0, k_1) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda, \hat{f})$, then

$$\Pr \left[\sum_{i=0}^1 \text{Eval}_i(k_i, x) = f_{\alpha,\beta}(x) \right] = 1$$

- **Security:** Consider the following semantic security challenge experiment for corrupted server $i \in \{0, 1\}$:
 - (1) The adversary produces two point function descriptions $(\hat{f}^0 = (N, \mathbb{G}, \alpha_0, \beta_0), \hat{f}^1 = (N, \mathbb{G}, \alpha_1, \beta_1)) \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(1^\lambda)$, where $\alpha_i \in [N]$ and $\beta_i \in \mathbb{G}$.
 - (2) The challenger samples $b \leftarrow \{0, 1\}$ and $(k_0, k_1) \leftarrow \text{Gen}(1^\lambda, \hat{f}^b)$.
 - (3) The adversary outputs a guess $b' \leftarrow \mathcal{A}(k_i)$.
Denote by $\text{Adv}(1^\lambda, \mathcal{A}, i) = \Pr[b = b'] - 1/2$ the advantage of \mathcal{A} in guessing b in the above experiment. For every non-uniform polynomial time adversary \mathcal{A} there exists a negligible function ν such that $\text{Adv}(1^\lambda, \mathcal{A}, i) \leq \nu(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$.

We will also be interested in applying the evaluation algorithm on all inputs. Given a DPF $(\text{Gen}, \text{Eval}_0, \text{Eval}_1)$, we denote by FullEval_i an algorithm which computes Eval_i on every input x . Hence, FullEval_i receives only a key k_i as input.

2.3 Batch Codes

combinatorial/probabilistic batch codes, with cuckoo hashing a concrete instantiation

2.4 Oblivious Key-Value Stores

DEFINITION 2 (OKVS[2, 4]). An Oblivious Key-Value Stores (OKVS) scheme is a pair of randomized algorithms $(\text{Encode}_r, \text{Decode}_r)$ with respect to a statistical security parameter λ_{stat} and a computational security parameter λ , a randomness space $\{0, 1\}^K$, a key space \mathcal{K} , a value space \mathcal{V} , input length n and output length m . The algorithms are of the following syntax:

- $\text{Encode}_r(\{(k_1, v_1), (k_2, v_2), \dots, (k_n, v_n)\}) \rightarrow P$: On input n key-value pairs with distinct keys, the encode algorithm with randomness r in the randomness space outputs an encoding $P \in \mathcal{V}^m \cup \perp$.
- $\text{Decode}_r(P, k) \rightarrow v$: On input a (nonempty) encoding from \mathcal{V}^m and a key $k \in \mathcal{K}$, output a value v .

We require the scheme to satisfy

- **Correctness:** For every $S \in (\mathcal{K} \times \mathcal{V})^n$, $\Pr_{r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^K} [\text{Encode}_r(S) = \perp] \leq 2^{-\lambda_{\text{stat}}}$.
- **Obliviousness:** For any distinct key sets $\{k_1^0, k_2^0, \dots, k_n^0\}$ and $\{k_1^1, k_2^1, \dots, k_n^1\}$ that are different, if they are paired with random values then their encodings are computationally indistinguishable, i.e.,

$$\{r, \text{Encode}_r(\{(k_1^0, v_1), \dots, (k_n^0, v_n)\})\}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \leftarrow \mathcal{V}, r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^K} \approx_c \{r, \text{Encode}_r(\{(k_1^1, v_1), \dots, (k_n^1, v_n)\})\}_{v_1, \dots, v_n \leftarrow \mathcal{V}, r \leftarrow \{0, 1\}^K}$$

concrete instantiations (polynomial, sparse matrix). mention some connections to cuckoo hashing

3 NEW DMPF CONSTRUCTIONS

3.1 Big-State DMPF

display the big-state DMPF (plus distributed gen)

3.2 Batch-Code DMPF

display the batch-code DMPF

3.3 OKVS-based DMPF

display the OKVS-based DMPF (plus distributed gen)

3.4 Comparison

Comparison table dependent to PRG & F-MUL(list the formulas?)

analyze tradeoff

distributed gen advantage

4 APPLICATIONS

4.1 PCG for OLE from Ring-LPN

Characterize parameters

show nonregular optimization

plug in new DMPF and show overall optimization

4.2 PSI-WCA

plug in new DMPF and analyze advantage interval

plug in distributed gen

4.3 Heavy-hitters

private heavy-hitter

or parallel ORAM?

5 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

tbd

REFERENCES

- [1] Elette Boyle, Niv Gilboa, and Yuval Ishai. 2018. Function Secret Sharing: Improvements and Extensions. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2018/707. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/707> <https://eprint.iacr.org/2018/707>.
- [2] Gayathri Garimella, Benny Pinkas, Mike Rosulek, Ni Trieu, and Avishay Yanai. 2021. Oblivious Key-Value Stores and Amplification for Private Set Intersection. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2021/883. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/883> <https://eprint.iacr.org/2021/883>.
- [3] Niv Gilboa and Yuval Ishai. 2014. Distributed Point Functions and Their Applications. In *Advances in Cryptology – EUROCRYPT 2014*, Phong Q. Nguyen and Elisabeth Oswald (Eds.). Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 640–658.
- [4] Srinivasan Raghuraman and Peter Rindal. 2022. Blazing Fast PSI from Improved OKVS and Subfield VOLE. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2022/320. <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/320> <https://eprint.iacr.org/2022/320>.

A BATCH-CODE DMPF SCHEME

B SECURITY PROOFS