P. DERANSART A. ED-DBALI **L. CERVONI**

Prolog: **The Standard**

REFERENCE MANUAL

Springer

Berlin Heidelberg New York Barcelona Budapest Hong Kong London Milan Paris Santa Clara Singapore Tokyo

Prolog: The Standard

P. Deransart A. Ed-Dbali L. Cervoni

Prolog: The Standard

Reference Manual

Foreword by C. Biro Preface by R.S. Scowen

Dr. Pierre Deransart INRIA Rocquencourt BP 105 F-78153 Le Chesnay Cedex Dr. Laurent Cervoni EDS International 60 Avenue du President Wilson Immeuble Ie Guillaumet F-92046 Paris la Defense

Dr. AbdelAli Ed-Dbali Universite d'Orleans Centre Universitaire de Bourges, UFR Sciences BP 4043, Rue Gaston Berger F-18028 Bourges Cedex

Cataloging-in-Publication Data applied for Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Deransart, Pierre: Prolog: the standard; reference manual/Pierre Deransart ; AbdelAIi Ed-Dbali ; Laurent Cervoni. Foreword by Ch. Biro. Pref. bv R. S. Scowen. - Berlin; Heidelberg; New York; Barcelona; Budapest; Hong Kong; London; Milan ; Paris; Santa Clara; Singapore; Tokyo: Springer. 1996 ISBN 3-540-59304-7 NE: Ed-Dbali, AbdelAli:; Cervoni, Laurent:

With 8 Figures and 6 Tables

CR Subject Classification (1991): D.1.6, D.3.0-3, A.2, K.1, F.4.2, 1.3.6,1.2.3, 1.2.5

ISBN-\3: 978-3-540-59304-1 e-ISBN-\3: 978-3-642-61411-8 DOl: 10.1007/978-3-642-61411-8

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer-Verlag. Violations are liable for prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

© Springer-Vedag Berlin Heidelberg 1996

The use of general descriptive names, trademarks, etc, in *this* publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Cover Design: Kunkel + Lopka Werbeagentur, Ilvesheim Typesetting: Camera ready by authors Printed on acid-free paper SPIN 10501650 *45/3142* - 5432 I 0

Foreword

From the viewpoint of an "industrial" this book is most welcome, as one of the most significant demonstrations of the maturity of Prolog.

Logic programming is a fascinating area in computer science, which held for years - and still does - the promise of freeing ourselves from programming based on the "Von Neumann" machine. In addition computer programming has long been for solid theoretical foundations. While conventional engineering, dealing mainly with "analogical complexity", developed over some hundred years a complete body of mathematical tools, no such toolset was available for "digital complexity". The only mathematical discipline which deals with digital complexity is logic and Prolog is certainly the operational tool which comes closest to the logical programming ideal.

So, why does Prolog, despite nearly twenty years of development, still appear to many today to be more of a research or academic tool, rather than an industrial programming language?

A few reasons may explain this:

- First, I think Prolog suffers from having been largely assimilated into and thus followed the fate of - Artificial Intelligence. Much hype in the late 1980 created overexpectations and failed to deliver, and the counterreaction threw both AI and Prolog into relative obscurity. In a way, maybe this is a new chance for the Prolog community: the ability to carry out real work and progress without the disturbance of limelights and the unrealistic claims of various gurus.
- Second, programming in Prolog is a new experience for computer professionals. To quote Kowalski, "algorithm $=$ logic $+$ control", the beauty of logic programming is of course the ability for a developer to focus on the problem, describing in a coherent, unified and declarative formalism the knowledge about the problemdomain and the problem itself. But all programmers who learned from the very begining to think primarily about control and about the "machine", making a u-turn is a cultural shock and may take a whole generation to change.
- Third, from an industrial developer's point of view, Prolog appeared as a "mixed blessing" in its early years. For the average DP manager, burdened by the maintenance of thousands of line of Cobol (spaghetti) code, eating up to 70% of his resources, the declarative nature of Prolog and some of its features like referential transparency appeared attractive as a promising tool for alleviating his biggest burden: maintenance. On the other hand, Prolog had a number of shortcomings, namely: difficulty in interoperating with other languages, the lack of explicit control directives, of modularity, and of development environments. This latter point was especially serious as the unconventional programming paradigm of Prolog requires completely new guides for design, programming, and debugging.

And finally, the dozens of dialects, from various universities and small companies (usually university spin-offs) left an impression of immaturity.

Pierre Deransart, AbdelAli Ed-Dbali and Laurent Cervoni's book appears as a major advance in giving credibility to Prolog through the first clear and readable account of the new standard, with many practical examples and comments. The authors - who were involved in the task-force for the definition of the standard Prolog - are a perfectly representative team of the academic and industrial world, thus bringing together the theoretical rigour and pedagogical clarity of the former and the pragmatism of the latter. The result is a book which should be on the bookshelf of students and of all those who are interested in the real-world applications of Prolog.

A standard is a significant step in improving the industrial strength of Prolog: I am convinced that this book is a major contribution to the transformation of programming from an art to an industrial, engineering discipline.

Nanterre, January 1996

Charles Biro Manager of Managing Consulting Services - Technology Advisory Services, EDS-France

VI

Preface

As I breast the tape on completing a lO-year marathon as convener and project editor for the Prolog standardization working group, Pierre Deransart rings me up, "Can you write a preface for our book?"

The late 1970s were a bleak period for Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Britain. A report by Professor Lighthill had suggested that the whole subject was flawed and had no promise. Such reports are always eagerly accepted by politicians, and AI research funding became almost impossible to obtain.

Then, in 1982, Japan announced its Fifth Generation Computer Project, based on Prolog, and British politicians discovered UK had a world expertise. They woke up: microprocessors and IT were important - Britain has to do something. The Alvey Project encouraging collaborative research was quickly set up. I worked at the National Physical Laboratory (NPL) which, being publicly funded, was ineligible to take part and receive yet more public money. Its current remit was neither to compete with industry producing products, nor to compete with universities in pure research. However, standardization was a public good, worthwhile, and therefore approved. NPL had experience in the standardization of programming languages, and I had been editor of the British Standard BS 6154 (a standard variant of Backus Naur Form). So British Standards Institution (BSI) agreed to set up a programme to define an international standard for Prolog with NPL providing the convener and secretariat. Prolog had spread all over the world, and a British standard would be a waste of time and unlikely to influence the progress of Prolog. France, in particular, had pioneered and had a strong interest in Prolog.

Work therefore started in Britain in late 1984 with membership open to anyone in any country, and a firm intention that work would continue internationally as soon as a New Work Item Proposal was approved. AFNOR (Association Française de Normalisation) formed a Prolog group in 1985, and cooperation proceeded smoothly. For reasons outside the control of BSI and AFNOR, an international working group, ISO/IEC JTCl/SC22 WGI7, was not formed until the end of 1987. Delays then resulted because many decisions taken by the standards groups in BSI and AFNOR were re-opened and re-discussed.

The standardization of most programming languages is usually initiated by experts in the language, but novices at standardization. At first, therefore, there was great optimism and enthusiasm - Prolog was a simple and logical language: several people had produced formal definitions of the language, and a standard could be prepared in two years. We would concentrate on standardizing existing practice. In fact, existing systems were found to have unsuspected but important differences, the formal definitions were incomplete, and some changes were necessary for general international acceptance.

One key issue was how to define the semantics of Prolog in the standard: in English (or French) it would be difficult to avoid ambiguity, unintentional incom-

pleteness, and to check for consistency and accuracy. Pierre Deransart claimed that he could define all the semantics in "stratified logic" which would be both formal and yet the basis of an executable interpreter. Further, the method was sufficiently powerful to cope with any feature that the standardizers might decide should be included in the standard language.

I remember my anxious 1986 query, "Are you sure this is so? The standardizers will not be constrained by difficulties of definition. And can you see the definition through to completion and publication?" (I was aware of the difficulties and delay that can arise when a project editor has to abandon work).

I also remember his reply, "Yes, I'm sure". And indeed he did see the work through to completion, and coped with all the changes.

The BSI/ AFNOR decision to rely on a formal semantics became a contentious issue when the standardization became fully international. Some countries claimed such a definition was difficult to understand, others believed it was unnecessary. In the end, it was decided to make the formal definition an "informative" annex of the standard, and to rely on an "informal" semantics in the body of the standard. However, any programming language standard has to be precise, and it is arguable whether the precise informal semantics is any simpler and easier to understand than the formal semantics.

I think many members of the standardization working group have failed to appreciate the beneficial side effects of the formal definition. Pierre Deransart and AbdelAli Ed-Dbali have needed to read each draft extremely carefully in order to keep the formal specification consistent with the changes. This meant they discovered many errors and inconsistencies in the drafts. And their tools, which permit them to obtain an executable version, have allowed them to check almost all the examples in the standard.

Standard Prolog is a version of Prolog which makes plain what experts had known but never expressed, for example, when unification is undefined. The standard also makes it plain that the semantics is based on the abstract (not the concrete) syntax. Standard Prolog programs are also simpler to debug because misprints in programs can be found simply, and invalid arguments are more likely to raise an exception than result in silent failure. A final benefit is that safe powerful optimization is possible.

All Prolog users and implementers will benefit from standard Prolog, and I am pleased Pierre and his colleagues have written the first book about it. Their executable specification of Standard **Prolog** (available by anonymous ftp) will enable readers to discover what Standard Prolog requires, without needing to read the standard itself.

January 1996

Roger Seowen ISO/IEC JTC1 SC22 WG17 (Prolog) convener and project editor

Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the many people who contributed to this book in different ways:

- Colleagues and students who participated in the design of the formal specification and its runnable counterpart. J.-L. BOUQUART, B. DUMANT, G. FERRAND, M. N'GOMO, S. RENAULT, G. RICHARD, M. TÉGUIA.
- Colleagues in the standardisation group, and particularly M. VAN CANEG-HEM, P. CHAN, E. FERMAUT, G. NARBONI, J.-F. PIQUE in the French AFNOR panel and C. BIERLE, E. BOERGER, D. BOWEN, M. CARLSSON, B. DEMOEN, K. DASSLER, A. DODD, J. HODGSON, L. JENSEN, C.D.S. Moss, K. NAKA-MURA, T. MANSFIELD, U. NEUMERKEL, N. NORTH, C. PICHLER, S. SZPAKOWICZ, G. THWAITES, A. VELLINO in the ISO working group and R. SCOWEN, its convener, by whom the editoral work was carried out up to the last day (27th February 1995). Some parts of this book (sources, sinks, flags and directives) are just adaptations of the text of the standard, excellently edited by R. SCOWEN.
- The publisher (Springer Verlag) and particularly H. WÖSSNER who was from the beginning very enthusiatic in publishing this book.
- INRIA who supported participation in this work and part of the editing of the standard, EDS who helped in improving the proofs of this book, the University of Orléans who supported the development of the standard and the executable formal specification, and finally the PMG members (the Prolog Management group), its President at that time A.-F. DOUIX and its dynamic manager A. ROTH, who were very encouraging.

Table of Contents

XII Table of Contents

XIV Table of Contents

List of Figures and Tables

Figures

Tables

1. **Introduction**

"Prolog, the Standard", is the first reference manual on the ISO international standard [2] on the programming language Prolog called in this book Standard Prolog.

The standardisation of Prolog is the result of ten years of international discussions which began in 1985, which ended in February 1995. In a paper entitled *The birth of Prolog* [4], Alain COLMERAUER and Philippe ROUSSEL suggest 1972 as the birth date of the language. Therefore it took more than ten years to feel the need for a standard: the explosion of many dialects based on the seminal ideas of the inventors imposed this idea progressively. It took another ten years to achieve the project.

This book is its result presented in a fully comprehensive form.

Prolog is used in industry, in many areas such as computer aided manufacturing (operations research, CAD, robotics, circuit design and realisation, planning), software engineering (test generation, prototyping and system programming, specification, windowing, multimedia and documentation), AI systems (expert systems, knowledge bases, man-machine interfaces, natural languages analysis), data bases, and application fields like transportation, telecommunications, and banking. There are certainly many other potential domains of use. The current trends seem to be use of Prolog mixed with procedural languages as C or *C++.*

Prolog is also the kernel language of many emerging logic programming languages which support constraints, functions, and concurrency.

Existing practice has shown that the use of Prolog increases software reliability, reduces production costs, and facilitates product maintenance.

This book contains a full description of Standard Prolog together with an executable specification. The latter is the updated version of the one which has been maintained by the authors during the whole process of standardisation. With this book, any application programmer or Prolog user will be able to get acquainted and start working with any standard conforming processor.

2 1. Introduction

The book is organised as follows:

The first three chapters present an introduction to basic concepts of the language and some auxiliary concepts which are needed to understand its semantics. The main features are: term structures, the unification, and the execution model which explains what nondeterminism (viewed as the multiplicity of solutions), backtracking, and control are.

The fourth chapter is devoted to the description of the primitives of the language, consisting of 112 built-in predicates in alphabetical order. Each built-in predicate is described in such a way that it is, as far as possible, self-explanatory by reading a single page. This has been obtained at the cost of some redundancy, but facilitates understanding.

The remaining chapters are devoted to particular aspects of Standard Prolog: arithmetic functors, environment with the file system (sources and sinks), flags and directives, syntax, and finally some explanations aimed at helping to write portable programs.

The printed part of the book is completed by a short bibliography and an annex, which contains a glossary with the definitions of additional concepts used in the book, elements on the lexical analysis, an introduction to the non-printed part (the ftp package), compliance rules, and finally a thematic index of the built-in predicates and a full index of the main words referring to their definition in the text.

The non-printed part is a package available on the ftp server of Springer-Verlag. The package contains among different files a runnable specification which may be used for two different purposes. One is to try the standard, the other is to test a standard conforming processor.

The runnable specification is a complete description of the syntax of Standard Prolog and all the built-in predicates; it is a simulation of the execution model and can be run on most existing processors and allows many more examples to be tested than one could imagine. However, it is not at all optimised and only very small pieces of code can be tested.

The package also contains the file of all the examples which have been discussed by the standardisers and more. So it is possible to use this file to verify whether the processor you are using conforms to the standard, using these examples as benchmarks.

Enjoy playing with it. There are some more documented files in the package that you will discover, to help you to get fully acquainted with Standard Prolog.

This book describes precisely and completely Standard Prolog in a simplified manner. It is even more complete than the standard itself, thanks to the runnable specification: it contains potentially an infinite number of examples! However some features are not completely described in this book: the arithmetic corresponds essentially to the already standardised Language Independent Arithmetic.

It should be noted that the ISO standard specifies very precisely what the syntax and the semantics of the language are. For this purpose it uses a number of concepts which are carefully defined in it with no intention to standardise them. Therefore, in order to simplify its presentation, some of the concepts have been modified or simply abandoned. The fact that these concepts are not used or defined here does not mean that the description contains gaps. It means only that we adopted a more informal presentation than the standard when we thought it was clear enough, or that some concepts, resulting from long discussions over years and international compromises about an incompletely designed language, could be removed in an attempt to re-define it with a thorough knowledge of it.

It is also important to observe that the work on the standardisation has not been completely achieved. A second part concerning a module system is in progress, but will still require some years for its completion. Therefore this book does not describe any module system.

One may be unhappy with the design decisions made by the standardisers, sometimes after arduous discussions. The fact remains that the standard represents an exceptional effort of reflection in an attempt to describe comprehensively all features of a Prolog language. Most of the previous attempts remain to a large extent informal. The users are supposed to learn by practising some system and little effort has been devoted attempting to explain "full" Prolog. The result was that all dialects were different, not just due to creative variations, but also because of the informal descriptions, the language being finally defined by "what had been implemented".

Standard **Prolog** is the first logical programming language to be standardised and the first Prolog dialect to be described with such a precision. One may hope that this will help in comprehending, teaching, and learning it, and help to widen its dissemination.

Standard **Prolog** is also an attempt to facilitate the writing of portable applications. This has of course some intrinsic limits. The choice made by the standardisers has been to limit the standard to a "kernel". This means that existing processors may contain many other primitives. Moreover some features depend on the environment in which processors are run. In order to clarify these aspects different notions are used in the description of Standard **Prolog** which allow its limits to be understood. A natural question thus arises: to what extent does my "standard conforming" processor conform to the standard? The answer depends on the category to which the unspecified features belong. There are four categories, which are common to any standard.

- Implementation defined feature is a feature which must be documented with a processor. Example: the maximal integer.

4 1. Introduction

- *- Implementation dependent feature* is a feature which exists but does not need to be documented, hence a programmer should not rely on their specific properties. For example, the ordering of variables.
- *- Undefined feature* is a feature which has no specification at all in the standard. Implementors are free to do "what they want". Portable programs should not rely on it.
- *- Specific feature* is a feature which is considered as an allowed extension of the language. Of course programs using such feature are not portable without adaptation, or may not be portable at all. For example, definite clause grammars.

Such notions give an idea of what will be found in a conforming to the standard processor. Requirements that such a processor should fulfil are recalled in the annex.

Finally, notice that this book is a good complement to user's and reference manuals accompanying a standard-conforming Prolog dialect which contains many more primitives and specific features. Some references are given in the bibliography for the interested readers, in particular [3, 7, 6] are tutorial introductions. However, to read this book, no specific knowledge in logic programming is assumed; only some familiarity with programming language description (syntax rules) or with elementary mathematics is required.

Paris, January 1996

Pierre Deransart, Research Director, INRIA-Rocquencourt AbdelAli Ed-Dbali, Associate Professor, University of Orleans Laurent Cervoni, EDS International Senior Consultant, Paris

2. Prolog Data Structures

Basic abstract data structures, sufficient to understand the execution model and the meaning of the built-in predicates, are introduced. The full syntax of these data structures is given in Chapter 9.

2.1 Terms

In **Standard Prolog** a unique data structure is used: terms.

2.1.1 Definition

A term is defined recursively by applying a finite number of times the following syntax rules¹:

A *term* is defined as a *variable,* an *atom,* a *number* (an *integer* or a *float)* or a *compound term,* i.e. a functor whose name is an atom together with its arguments (a non-empty sequence of terms between parentheses).

The objects used to build terms belong to the following disjoint sets:

- The *variables* denoted by a sequence of letters, digits or underscore characters, beginning with an upper case letter or by the underscore character. X1, _y2, Var, Atom, A_variable are examples of variables. Variables are used to refer to any object.

¹ Simplified notations are used for syntactic rules: " $:=$ " holds for the defining symbol, "|" holds for alternative, $``\{...\}''"$ holds for contents repeated zero or more times.

6 2. Prolog Data Structures

- The *atoms* denoted by a sequence of letters, digits or underscore characters beginning with a lower case letter or a sequence of arbitrary characters insinglequotes.x1, atom, '1', 'This is a single atom', this_too are examples of atoms. The *null atom* is denoted ''. Atoms formed with any characters may be unquoted if there is no ambiguity (see Section 9.5.1 for details). The sequence of characters forming the atom between the delimiters is sometimes referred to as the *atom name.* Example 'aaa' and aaa denote the same atom whose atom name is aaa. Quotes may be necessary. For example, the atom ' 'whose atom name is a sequence of space characters cannot be unquoted².

Atoms are used in particular to denote names of predicates or functors.

- The *numbers* are, as usual, partitioned into *integers* (negative, null and positive integers) and *floating-point numbers,* or in short *floats* (see Section 6.1.2 for more details). They will be denoted as usual. 33, -33, 33.0, -0.33E+02 are examples of integers and floats.
- The *compound terms* are characterized by the name of their functor, called the *functor,* and the number of their arguments, called *arity.* The outermost functor of a term is called its *principal functor.* Here are some compound terms:

Atoms and numbers form the *constants* also called *atomic terms.* Atoms may be viewed as functors of arity 0. hahaha, foo, 'Bar', 1.2, -0.33E+02 are atomic terms.

A term is said to be *ground* if it has no variable in it. The (set of the) *variables of a term* is the set of all the variables occurring in a term. It is empty if the term is ground.

2.1.2 Order of the, terms: the relation *term_precedes*

In Standard Prolog the terms are totally ordered according to the following rules which define the binary relation *term_precedes.*

² Atoms may also be written with graphic characters (11.3) and escape characters (\). For example \mathbb{Q} =, \setminus are atoms. In a quoted atom the quote character (') and the escape character must be duplicated. So '''' is the atom consisting of a single quote and *'\\1'* is the atom *\I.* An atom may also be bracketed. For example $\$ may be written $(\$) or $'\$, the atom this_too may also be written (this_too) or 'this_too'.

- All variables precede all Hoating-point numbers, which precede all integers, which precede all atoms, which precede all compound terms.
- All variables are totally ordered but the order is implementation dependent and may vary during computations.
- All numbers (of the same type) are ordered according to the usual arithmetic.
- All atoms are ordered according to the characters forming their name and the character codes of the characters (an integer associated with each character). The null atom precedes all non-null atoms (hence z precedes z_a). An atom a1 precedes an atom a2 if the character code of the first character of a1 is less than the character code of the first character of a2. If these values are equal, the tail character sequences are compared.

Notice that the order induced by the character codes is implementation defined, except that the alphabetical order must be respected and the digits must be sequential. More details are provided in Section 9.1.3.

- Compound terms are ordered according to their arity; if they have same arity, by the name of their functor. If they have same arity and same functor name, they are ordered by considering successively the order of their arguments starting from the first.

Example: $g(X)$ precedes $f(X, Y)$, $f(Z, b)$ precedes $f(a, A)$, according to the ASCII table given in Annex 11.3, but is after $f(Z, a)$. $f(Z, Z)$ precedes $f(X, X, X)$ but its comparison with $f(X, X)$ depends on the processor (implementation dependent).

2.1.3 Operator notation

For simplicity, terms which use unary or binary functors may be written with a prefix, infix or postfix notation, with or without parentheses if there is no ambiguity. Such functors are called *operators.* The programmer may specify new operators by their *name, priority* and *class of associativity,* to avoid any ambiguity when using them without parenthesis. So non-bracketed su bexpressions are in decreasing priorities.

Some of the predefined operators are shown in Table 2.1. The complete set of predefined operators as an explanation about priority and class are provided in Section 9.2.

For example ($product(D)$: - call(D), call(D)) corresponds to the term ':-'($product(D)$, ','(call(D), call(D))) because the priority of $'$:-' is greater than the priority of ','. Similarly, $(1 * 2 + 3 * 4)$ is the term '+'('*'(1, 2), '*'(3, 4)) as the priority of '*' is less than the priority of $'$ +'.

A dot may be sometimes used to mark the end of a term. When input by a Prolog processor, a term is called a read-term and must have a dot as an end delimiter.

Table 2.1. Some operators

Priority	Class	<i>Operators</i>
1200	xfx	: -
1100	xfy	÷
1050	xfy	->
1000	xfy	٠
700	xfx	is \equiv
500	yfx	$\ddot{}$
400	yfx	÷
200	fy	

2.2 Some particular terms

2.2.1 Predicate indicator

A *predicate indicator* is used to denote predicates or functors. It is a ground term of the form *Name*/Arity where *Name* is an atom denoting the name of a predicate or a functor and Arity is a non-negative integer denoting the number of its arguments.

Examples: sumlist/2, '.'/2, '/'/2, animal/1, '1'/0.

It is necessary to indicate the arity together with the name of a predicate or a functor, since the same name may be used with different arities, denoting different objects. The *predicate indicator of a term* is the predicate indicator of its principal functor.

2.2.2 List and derived terms

The *list* constructors are the atom [] (empty list) and the functor '.'/2, where the first argument is a term and the second a list. A list of N elements is the term $(a1, (a2, (... (aN, [])) ...)$, but it may be written with a simpler notation: $[a1, a2, \ldots, aN]^3$.

One may also use a concatetation functor "[_|_]/2". By definition, writing $[a_1, \ldots, a_N]$ [b1, ..., bM]] is equivalent to writing $N > 0$ and $M \ge 0$, or [a1, ..., aN, b1, ..., bM].

[a1, ..., aN | t] is the term .(a1, .(a2, .(... .(aN, t) ...))). Terms formed with the list constructor ' . *'/2,* but whose "last" subterm is not the empty list (t different from the empty list), will be called *list-terms.* Lists and list-terms are disjoint sets of terms.

List-terms whose last subterm is a variable, like $[a_1, \ldots, a_N \mid X]$, are called *partial lists.* List-terms and therefore partial lists have at least one element⁴.

³ The classical dot notation is not predefined infix because of its many uses in the representation of different objects (list, term end mark, floats).

⁴ In the standard a slightly different notion of partial list is used in which a single variable is considered as a partial list. That is not the case here.

2.2.3 Clause, body and goal

Some terms represent clauses, bodies or goals. They are called *clause-terms, body-terms* or *goal-terms* and have a particular (abstract) syntax. In order to represent executable programs it is required in Standard Prolog that clauses and goals are *well-formed* . Well-formed clause-, body- and goalterms satisfy the following (abstract) syntax:

A *clause-term* is defined as a term, whose principal functor is $' : -'/2$ (it is thus called a *mle),* with a first argument, called the *head* and a second, called the *body,* or a term which is a predication (it is thus called a *fact).*

Predication \cdots \cdots Atom | Compound-term

A *predication* is defined as a term which is an atom or a compound term. It is also called a *callable term.*

Body (Body, Body) (Body; Body) (Body -> Body) Variable Pred ication

A *body* is defined as a term, whose principal functor is ' , '/2 (a *conjunction* of bodies), ';'/2 (a *disjunction* of bodies), '->'/2 (an *implication* of bodies), a variable or a predication whose principal functor is different from $'$, $'/2$, $'$; $'/2$ or $'$ -> $'/2$.

We will say that a body (of a clause or a goal) *contains* a given term, if this term occurs in the position of a predication using the rules defining a body.

For example, the clause

 $p(X)$:- ((cond(X) -> (then(X) , !)) ; else(X)) , cont(X). contains a cut because the predication ! occurs in such a position (second argument of a conjunction, itself second argument of an implication, etc). But the clause

 $p(X)$:- $((cond(X) \rightarrow call((q(X),))))$; $Y = !)$, $cont(Y)$. does not contain any cut (because the 'I' only occurs as an argument).

Finally, a *goal-term* is a defined as a *body-term:*

Goal Body

10 2. Prolog Data Structures

A well-formed clause cannot have a number or a variable as head, and has a well-formed body. A well-formed body cannot contain a number. Examples of clause-terms:

 $product(A)$:- A, A. is a well-formed clause.

try_me :- (write(yes), fail, 1) ; write(no). is not well-formed (because it contains the number 1).

(Well-formed) clauses and goals are aimed at describing programs (a sequence of clauses and directives), and well-formed goals at describing queries.

Example: if the first argument of the following predicate sumlist/2 is a list of numerical expressions, then, after a successful execution, its second argument is the sum of the values of the elements of the list.

 $sumlist([], 0)$. $sumlist([X,L],S)$:- sumlist(L, R), S is $R + X$.

With the goal: sumlist ($[1, 2+3, 4*5]$, R), the computed value of R is the integer 26.

Some programs may have a clear logical meaning, for example the premises of the well-known syllogism:

human('Socrate'). $mortal(X) :- human(X).$

Clauses may thus be understood as logical assertions (in first order logic, interpreting $' : -'/2$ as the implication) with their variables universally quantified.

3. Prolog Unification

Unification is a basic device. Executions of goals result in so called "answer substitutions" which are usually displayed. Therefore we introduce the notions of substitution and unifier, and finally we define the unification in Standard Prolog.

3.1 Substitutions

A *substitution* is a mapping from variables to terms.

It is usually assumed that a substitution is the identity mapping with the exception of a finite number of variables. For representing such substitutions the notation $\{ X1 \leftarrow t1, \ldots, Xn \leftarrow tn \}$ is used, to denote that all variables, with the exception of $X1$, ..., Xn, are mapped to themselves, and each variable Xi is mapped to a term ti different from Xi, for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. The set { Xl, ... , Xn } is called the *domain* of the substitution. The set { tl, ... , tn } is called the *range* of the substitution.

A substitution is *ground* if its range is ground (i.e. there is no variable in the terms of its range).

Examples:

- { X <- a, Y <- f(X, b), Z <- g(X, Y) }
- $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(a, b) \}$ is a ground substitution.

The *identity substitution* corresponds to the identity mapping. Therefore its (finite) representation is the empty set and it is called the *empty substitution .*

Substitutions denote *bindings.* Given a variable X and a term t , such that $\{ X \leftarrow t \}$ belongs to some substitution, the variable X is said to be *bound to* t by some substitution, whatever t may be. If t is different from a variable, one says that the variable X is *instantiated* (by some substitution).

A substitution σ is naturally extended to a function on terms by defining σ (**f**(t1, ..., tn)) to be **f**(σ (t1), ..., σ (tn)). In particular for any constant c, $\sigma(c)$ is defined to be c. Substitutions will be represented by Greek letters acting as postfix operators, hence the application of a substitution σ to a term t is denoted: $\tau \sigma$ (instead of $\sigma(\tau)$), and $\tau \sigma$ is called an *instance* of

12 3. Prolog Unification

t. A term s is an instance of the term t if there exists a substitution σ such that $s = t\sigma$.

Examples: the application of the previous substitution to the term:

 $f(Y, Z)$

gives the term:

 $f(f(X, b), g(X, Y))$ which is an instance of it.

f (a, b) is also an instance of the same term (applying, for example, the substitution $\{ Y \leftarrow a, Z \leftarrow b \}$.

As substitutions are mappings they can be composed. Let θ and σ be the substitutions represented, respectively, by $\{ X1 \leftarrow s1, \ldots, Xn \leftarrow sn \}$ and by $\{ Y1 \leftarrow t1, \ldots, Ym \leftarrow t m \}$. The representation of their composition can be obtained from the set { $X1 \leq -51\sigma$, ..., $Xn \leq -51\sigma$, Y1 \le t1, ..., Ym \le tm } by removing all bindings Xi \le si σ for which $Xi = \sin \sigma$ and all bindings $Yj \leftarrow tj$ such that $Yj \in \{X1, \ldots, Xn\}$. Example: composing the previous substitution

 $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(X, b), Z \leftarrow g(X, Y) \}$ with: $\{ X \leftarrow c, Y \leftarrow h(X), Z \leftarrow U, T \leftarrow i(Z) \}$, gives the substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(c, b), Z \leftarrow g(c, h(X)), T \leftarrow i(Z) \}$

The notion of instance extends to substitutions. A substitution σ is an instance of the substitution θ if there exists a substitution μ such that $\sigma = \theta \mu$.

Example: the substitution:

 $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(c, b), Z \leftarrow g(c, h(X)) \}$ is an instance of $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(X, b), Z \leftarrow g(X, Y) \}$ by the substitution $\{ X \leftarrow c, Y \leftarrow h(X) \}.$

A substitution is *idempotent* if successive applications to itself yield the same substitution (it is equivalent to saying that no variable of its domain occurs in the terms of its range).

Examples: a ground substitution is trivially idempotent; the following substitution is idempotent

 $\{ X \leftarrow a, Y \leftarrow f(T, b), Z \leftarrow g(a, U) \}.$

A term s is a *variant* of a term t if s is obtained from t by mapping different variables into different variables².

Example: the term $f(T, U, T)$ is a variant of the term $f(X, Y, X)$, which is also a variant of $f(Y, X, Y)$.

¹ "belongs to"

^{2 &}quot;To be a variant of" is an equivalence relation on terms.

A term s is a *renaming* of the term t *with regard to (w.* r. *t.)* a set of variables *V* if s is obtained from t by mapping different variables into different variables and no variable of s belongs to *V.*

Example: the term $f(T, U, T)$ is a renaming of the term $f(X, Y, X)$ w.r.t. the set $\{ X, Y, Z, W, P, Q \}$, but $f(Y, U, Y)$ is not (it is only a renaming).

3.2 Unifiers

3.2.1 Definitions

A substitution σ is a unifier of two terms if the instances of these terms by the substitution are identical. Formally, σ is a unifier of t1 and t2 if t1 σ and $t2\sigma$ are identical. It is also a *solution* of the equation $t1 = t2$, and, by analogy, it is called the unifier of the equation. The notion of unifier extends straightforwardly to several terms or equations³. Terms or equations are called *unifiable* if there exists a unifier for them. They are *not unifiable* otherwise.

A unifier is a *most general unifier (MGU)* of terms if any unifier of these terms is an instance of it. A most general unifier always exists for terms if they are unifiable. There are infinitely many equivalent unifiers through renaming.

There is only one most general idempotent unifier for terms, whose domain is limited to the variables of the terms, up to a renaming. It is sometimes called the *"unique" most general unifier.*

Examples: the following equation $f(X, Y) = f(g(Y), h(T))$ has infinitely many solutions:

 $\{ X \leftarrow g(h(T))$, $Y \leftarrow h(T) \}$,

{ $X \leftarrow g(h(a))$, $Y \leftarrow h(a)$, $T \leftarrow a$ },

 $\{ X \leftarrow g(h(g(U))), Y \leftarrow h(g(U)) , T \leftarrow g(U) \}, \ldots$

The first one is the most general, as all the others are instances of it. Considering the equation

 $f(X, a) = f(a, X)$, its solution is:

{ X <- a }. As it is a ground substitution, it is also an *MGU.* A more complex equation:

 $f(X, Y, Z) = f(g(Y, Y), g(Z, Z), U)$ has a most general solution: $\{ X \leftarrow g(g(U, U), g(U, U)), Y \leftarrow g(U, U), Z \leftarrow U \}.$

But the equation $X = f(X)$ has no solution (no unifier for X and $f(X)$).

³ All the terms or equation members become identical.

14 3. Prolog Unification

3.2.2 Computing a unifier

In Standard Prolog the unification algorithm is left undefined. However the meaning of a program is completely defined, in particular the unification is performed by a goal which is an equation (see the built-in predicate $i = \ell/2$, *unify).* Instead of giving a direct definition of the unifiers that standard conforming programs and processors will produce, some conditions that such programs and goals must satisfy have been drawn up, which are independent of the implementation techniques. In order to define these conditions, a unification algorithm is given here. It is introduced with the purpose of helping the definition of the conditions only, and it may be used to find a most general idempotent unifier of two terms, if any exists.

The algorithm is described below by four equation transformation rules and by two failure conditions. At every step the state of the computation is characterised by a set of equations. The initial set is a set of one or more equations. The step consists in application of a transformation rule to one of the equations or in checking that an equation satisfies a failure condition. The computation terminates if an equation in the current set of equations satisfies a failure condition or if none of the rules is applicable to any equation.

- The transformation rules
	- 1. *Splitting*: Replace an equation of the form $f(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = f(t_1,$..., tn), where $n \ge 0$ by the equations $s1 = t1$, ..., $sn = tn$.
	- *2. Identity removal:* Remove an equation of the form X = X, where X is a variable.
	- *3. Swapping:* Replace an equation of the form t = X, where X is a variable and t is not a variable, by the equation $X = t$.
	- *4. Variable elimination:* If there is an equation of the form X = u, where X is a variable, such that X does not appear in u *(negative occurs-check)* but X appears in some other equation then replace any other equation $s = t$ by the equation $s\{X \leq u\} = t\{X \leq u\}.$
- The failure tests: halt and report failure if the set includes an equation in one of the following forms:
	- 1. *Disagreement*: $f(s_1, \ldots, s_n) = g(t_1, \ldots, t_m)$ where $f/n \neq g/m$, $n,m\geq 0.$
	- 2. *Positive occurs-check*: $X = t$ where X is a variable and t is a non-variable term including X.

This algorithm is called the *Herbrand algorithm.* Given two terms it always terminates in success (the remaining set of equations defines an MGU^4 of the two terms) or in failure (there is no unifier). The two actions corresponding to a negative or positive occurs-check correspond to the so called *occurs-check tests.*

⁴ The Herbrand unification algorithm defines an idempotent MGU.

Examples: starting with one equation $f(X, Y) = f(g(Y), h(T))$, the *splitting* produces two equations:

 $X = g(Y), Y = h(T),$

the first equation does not correspond to any transformation, but a *variable elimination* may be performed with the second, leading to the equations:

 $X = g(h(T))$, $Y = h(T)$, which corresponds to the substitution:

 $\{ X \leftarrow g(h(T))$, $Y \leftarrow h(T) \}$, which is an idempotent *MGU*.

Notice that the equation: $X = f(X)$ corresponds to the positive occurs-check case and leads immediately to a failure.

3.3 The definition of the unification in Standard Prolog

3.3.1 A first definition

An easy first definition of the unification would be to require that the unifier of two terms is one of their *MGU's,* if there are unifiable, and failure otherwise. This definition corresponds to the built-in predicate unify_with_occurs_check/2.

But this definition imposes implementing the occurs-check tests in the unification algorithm. It is easy to observe that these tests which are performed very frequently influence the performances of the algorithm⁵. Therefore, for efficiency reasons, a definition which is independent of any algorithm has been designed, which is in particular compatible with processors in which the unification algorithm does not perform occurs-check tests.

3.3.2 The occurs-check problem

Now if one omits the occurs-check tests, we are faced with different problems:

1. the behaviour of the Herbrand unification algorithm may be unsound: it may succeed when it should fail:

Example: $\{ X = f(X), Y = a \}$ gives the (wrong) "solution"

 $\{ X \leftarrow f(X), Y \leftarrow a \}.$

- 2. the Herbrand unification algorithm may not terminate: starting with the set of equations: $\{ X = f(Y, X), Y = f(X, Y) \}$ the fourth transformation rule may be applied indefinitely.
- 3. the result of the Herbrand unification algorithm is no longer independent of the way the transformation rules are applied:

⁵ In some processors, which handle correctly the so called "rational terms", the occurs-check may be performed optionally at the end only once. However standard conforming processors may implement another unification algorithm.

16 3. Prolog Unification

starting with the set of equations: $\{ X = f(Y), Y = f(X), Z = a,$ $Z = b$ the fourth transformation rule may be applied indefinitely on the first two equations instead of firstly considering the last two equations which immediately lead to a disagreement.

These depicted situations correspond to what may happen with processors which do not implement the occurs-check tests during the execution of a goal.

A way to avoid these problems is to restrict oneself to equations in which the occurs-check tests are never needed and to programs which never require such tests. In order to do so, one must be able to recognise the situations in which an occurs-check test is needed and to recognise the programs for which it is needed or not, or the places where the tests are needed.

For example, with the set of equations $\{ X = f(Y), Y = f(Z), Z = a,$ $Z = b$ the Herbrand unification algorithm never needs to perform the occurs-check tests, whatever the way to proceed through the transformation steps may be.

Two concepts in Standard Prolog are aimed at capturing these ideas: *STO* and *NSTO.*

3.3.3 Subject to occurs-check and not subject to occurs-check

A set of equations (or two terms) is *subject to occurs-check (STO)* if there is a way to proceed through the steps of the Herbrand Algorithm such that the occurs-check happens.

A set of equations (or two terms) is *not subject to occurs-check (NSTO)* if there is no way to proceed through the steps of the Herbrand Algorithm such that the occurs-check happens.

For example, the set of equations

 ${ a = b, X = f(X) } is STO, but the set:$

 ${ a = b, X = f(Y), Y = f(Z) } is NSTO.$

STO and *NSTO* are decidable properties for a single unification.

A Prolog program (including goals) is *NSTO* if and only if all unifications, during the execution of its goals, are *NSTO.* It is *STO* otherwise.

The property *STO* (or *NSTO)* for a program is not decidable. Therefore these properties may be checked automatically only on subclasses of programs.

3.3.4 Normal unification in Standard Prolog

Unification of two terms is defined in Standard Prolog as:

- If two terms are *NSTO* and unifiable, then the result is one of their *MG Us6 .*
- If two terms are *NSTO* and the two terms are not unifiable, then the result is failure.
- If two terms are *STO* then the result is undefined.

This definition of unification applies both to the normal unification predicate '=' /2 *(unify)* and also when unification is invoked implicitly in **Stan**dard Prolog as for example in $y = \frac{1}{2} (univ)$.

So programs (with goals) are standard-conforming with respect to unification if one of the following conditions holds:

- 1. they are *NSTO* on a standard-conforming processor, or
- 2. they are *STO* but the programmer writes his program in such a way that all unifications are NSTO on a standard-conforming processor except in some places where occurs-check test is needed and the predicate unify_with_occurs_check/2 is explicitly used.

Although the NSTO condition is complex, writing NSTO programs does not seem too difficult: existing practice shows that most of the programs are "naturally" NSTO, except when the programmer wants to handle explicitly $cyclic$ terms⁷.

Unfortunately the NSTO property is required to preserve soundness of executions and portability, and in many cases it is not at all easy to verify this property or to always put the unify_with_occurs_check/2 predications in the right places.

There is no way to perform an automatic transformation because it would not preserve the behaviour of the program (the unification is modified). Therefore the programmer has to act by himself.

In Section *ID.1,* some guidelines are provided to help the programmers to identify the "risky" points where they should explicitly use the unification with occurs-check in order to preserve portability, and how to do it. The detection of the "risky" points may be performed automatically but the decision to introduce the predications must be controlled by the programmer⁸.

⁶ The standard does not specify any particular property of the *MGU* (but usually it is idempotent), nor how to display substitutions.

⁷ It is not possible to handle cyclic terms in **Standard** Prolog. It may be possible in some extension.

⁸ An automatic transformation would guarantee soundness and avoid loops during execution, but it may introduce unexpected failure (probably a mistake in the program but one which is extremely difficult to debug). A nice solution would be a processor which performs the transformation automatically, but raises a warning when a positive occurs-check has been detected during execution.

4. Prolog Execution Model

In this chapter, the execution model of Standard Prolog is described. It formalises its main characteristics: nondeterminism (multiplicity of the solutions), backtracking and control. Knowledge of this is needed in order to understand the meaning of many built-in predicates and the behaviour of a program.

A typical Prolog session consists of loading a program (in the form of a Prolog text) in order to include new user-defined procedures in the current database (this action is called *preparing for execution),* then of executing prepared goals.

It is not specified how Prolog texts and goals are prepared for execution, with the exception of some directives (see Chapter 7). If there are many prepared goals, the order in which they are processed is implementation defined.

Therefore the execution model describes only the execution of a single goal (called here the *initial goaQ* in the context of a given database and a given environment, characterised by sources and sinks, Prolog flags, operator table and character conversion table

It takes into account multiple solutions of a goal (i.e. re-execution, also called backtracking). It also describes the control which makes it possible to understand how different side-effects, like successive I/O actions, are combined. However the standard does not specify how the results of the execution of an initial goal are displayed (implementation defined), nor how the system interacts with the user, when several solutions are obtained (undefined).

The execution model is first presented for a subset of Standard Prolog called definite Prolog. Then the model is extended to handle all the procedures.

4.1 Database and environment

In Standard Prolog many built-in predicates are aimed at using or updating objects of the environment. Four kinds of objects must be considered: the database, the sources and sinks, the flags, and the operator and character

20 4. Prolog Execution Model

conversion tables. All are global objects in the execution model in which programs update them by *side-effects.*

4.1.1 The database

The *database*¹ is a set of procedures uniquely identified by their predicate indicator. It contains all the *user-defined procedures* and the system procedures called *built-in predicates.*

With each user-defined procedure is associated a sequence of clause-terms whose order corresponds to the order in which the clauses have been input (according to directives, Section 8.4). The final ordering of the clauses is implementation defined.

In a database all the clauses are well-formed (Section 2.2.3) and *transformed,* i.e. all variables X in the position of a predication in the body of the clauses have been replaced by $call(X)$ and all the facts also have the form of a rule whose body is true. Thus all the clauses in a database have the form of a rule².

For example, the clause (in a Prolog text):

 $product(A)$:- A, A. is stored in the database after preparation for execution as the term:

```
(product(A) :- call(A), call(A))The fact spider (tarantula) is stored in the database prepared for execution 
as the term:
```
(spider (tarantula) :- true)

Some built-in predicates may perform dynamic updates on procedures in the database (like asserta/1). In Standard Prolog, the so called "logical database update view" is adopted. It corresponds to the following principle: *the different alternatives which must be explored to execute completely a predication are not influenced by subsequent actions.* This principle also applies to re-executable built-in predicates. It is explained in more detail in Section 10.2.

In a database every procedure is either *dynamic* or *static.* A procedure is static by default and its clauses cannot be modified. A procedure may be declared "dynamic" using directives in a Prolog text (Section 8.4). All the built-in predicates are static.

Every procedure is also *public,* or *private* and cannot be inspected3 . All the built-in predicates are private. All the dynamic user-defined procedures

¹ We present here the notion called *complete database* in the standard.

² The standard does not force a processor to perform this transformation. Nevertheless everything happens as with such transformation.

³ It is undefined in the standard how a procedure should be declared public or private.

are public. Some static user-defined procedures may be public and can be inspected using the built-in predicate clause/2. Static user-defined procedures are private by default.

The partitioning of the procedures in a database is shown in Figure 4.1.

4.1.2 Sources and sinks

A program can output results to a sink or input Prolog data (called readterms) from a source.

source/sinks may be a file, the user's terminal, or other implementation defined possibility permitted by the processor.

Each of them is associated with a finite or potentially infinite sequence of bytes or characters.

Sources and sinks are denoted "logically" by streams. It is specified as an implementation dependent ground term, a *handle,* that gets instantiated on opening the stream or its alias (a name given by the user to the stream). More details are given in Section 7.1.

4.1.3 Flags

A flag is an atom which is associated with a value that is either implementation defined or modifiable by the user.

Flags are parameters, specifying for example how the execution model should react if a called procedure does not exist (flag unknown) or what the maximal integer value is (flag max_integer). More details are given in Sections 8.1 and 8.2.

22 4. Prolog Execution Model

4.1.4 Operator and character conversion tables

Two tables are also global parameters: the operator table and the character conversion table.

Operator notation can be used for inputting or outputting terms in a form which depends on the syntactic properties of its operators, defined in the operator table (see Sections 7.4 and 9.2).

The character conversion table associates with some characters of the Extended Character Set one-char atoms to which they may be converted by inputting terms (see Section 9.1.2 and read_term/3).

4.2 The execution model for definite Prolog

In definite Prolog there are only user-defined procedures in the form of *definite clauses,* using terms all but numbers, and no built-in predicate; namely clauses in which the body is a sequence (denoted by conjunctions) of predications. So all the clauses have the form:

 $h(t0)$:- $p1(t1)$, $p2(t2)$, ..., $pN(tN)$. or $h(t0)$:- true.

where the ti's are (possibly empty) sequences of terms.

Goals are definite bodies (i.e. a nonempty sequence of predications).

The execution model of definite Prolog is defined on the principle of a *general resolution algorithm4* whose input data are a single goal and a database.

4.2.1 The general resolution algorithm

The *general resolution* of a goal G with a database *P* is defined by the following algorithm:

- 1. Start with a *current goal* which is the initial definite goal G and a *current substitution* which is the empty substitution.
- 2. If G is true then stop *(success),* otherwise
- 3. Choose a predication A in G *(predication-choice)*
- 4. If A is true, delete it, and proceed to step (2), otherwise
- 5. If no freshly renamed clause in *P* has a head which unifies with A then stop *(failure),* otherwise
- 6. Choose in P a freshly renamed clause $H : -B$ whose head unifies with A by substitution σ which is the *MGU* of H and A *(clause-choice)*, and

⁴ In definite Prolog, this algorithm corresponds to a proof procedure (a particular case of unit *resolution),* aimed at finding instances of the initial goal which are logical consequences of the definite program. A success corresponds to a successful proof and the instance of the initial goal by the answer substitution is a (more general) theorem.
7. Replace in *G* the predication A by the body B, flatten and apply the substitution σ to obtain the new current goal, let the new current substitution be the current substitution composed with σ , and proceed to step (2).

A *freshly renamed clause* means a clause which is renamed w.r.t. all the variables which have occurred in all the previous resolution steps.

The steps (3), (6), and (7) are called *resolution step*. The substitution σ is called the *local substitution.*

In the case of success (step 2), the current substitution restricted to the variables of the initial goal is the *answer substitution.*

This algorithm defines in an undeterminate manner successful, failed and possibly infinite computations. It is "indeterminate" because the order in which the computations may be considered is not fixed. It depends on the predication-choice (step 3) and the clause-choice (step 6). It is important to observe that the different successes do not depend on these choices, as long as all the clauses are considered in step (6.). But it also specifies all possible failed and infinite computations, while there is no need for all of them to be considered. Therefore in order to have a still precise but more efficient model it is useful to fix them in a way which will preserve the multiplicity of the potential successes5 , hence what is called the *non-determinism* of the solutions.

4.2.2 The Prolog computation rule

In Standard Prolog the choices are fixed as follows:

- The predication-choice consists of choosing the first predication in the sequence *G* (step 3).
- The clause-choice consists of choosing the "unifiable" clauses according to their sequential order (step 6).

This is called the *standard computation rule6* or *Prolog computation rule.*

With this rule a new algorithm may be designed. It uses the notion of search-tree.

⁵ It retains the "completeness of the solutions" in the sense that any instance of the initial goal which is a logical consequence of the (definite) program *P* is an instance of the initial goal composed with the current substitution obtained by a successful computation.

⁶ In the logic programming literature, *computation* rule denotes the predication choice only.

24 4. Prolog Execution Model

4.2.3 The Prolog search-tree

The different computations defined by the general resolution algorithm may be represented by a tree called the *Prolog search-tree* that we define as follows.

- Each node is labelled by the *local substitution* and the *current goal.*
- The labels of the root are the initial goal to be executed and the empty substitution.
- There are two kinds of leaf-nodes:
	- Nodes whose goal label is true, called *success nodes.*
	- Nodes with a goal label different from true such that there is no renamed clause whose head is unifiable with the chosen predication, called *failure nodes.*
- A non-leaf node has as many children as there are clauses whose head (with a suitable renaming) is unifiable with the chosen predication (the first predication in the current goal). If B_1, \dots, B_n is the goal associated with the node, B_1 being the chosen predication, and $A : C_1, \ldots, C_m$ is a freshly renamed clause with B_1 and A unifiable, then the corresponding child is labelled with the local substitution which is the $MGU \sigma$ of B_1 and *A,* and the current goal which is the sequence of predications obtained after flattening, $\sigma(C_1, \ldots, C_m, B_2, \ldots, B_n)$.

The children are in the same order as the clauses in the database. A *leftto-right order* of the children will be assumed.

There are three kinds of branches: *success, failed, infinite.*

- A *success brunch* corresponds to a success node.
- A *failed brunch* corresponds to a failure node.
- An *infinite brunch* corresponds to a nonterminating computation following the Prolog computation rule.

If there is no infinite branch in a search-tree, it is a *finite search-tree.*

At every node a *current substitution* may be computed as the composition (see Section 3.1) of all the local substitutions along the path starting from the root up to that node (inclusive). To every success branch there corresponds an *answer substitution* which is the current substitution associated with the (success) leaf, restricted to the variables of the initial goal.

Notice that the notion of search-tree depends only on the predicationchoice. The clause-choice specifies the way it is visited. Given a search-tree, the execution of a goal in the context of a database, with the Prolog computation rule, may be represented by a depth-first left-to-right visit of the search-tree. This visit defines the visit order of the nodes of the search-tree, hence the order of execution of goals and subgoals. If the search-tree has infinite branches, there is no way to visit beyond the first one, which will be explored indefinitely. This explains why the execution does not terminate when the traversal visits an infinite branch. It also explains why not all solutions may be computed if there is an infinite branch with some success branches afterwards.

4.2.4 A Prolog search-tree example

Consider the following database and the goal p (U, V)

```
p(X, Y) := q(X), r(X, Y). q(a) := true. r(b, b1) := true.<br>
p(X, Y) := s(X).<br>
q(b) := true. r(c, c1) := true.
                                                                   r(c, c1): - true.
                                          q(c) :- true.
s(d) :- true.
```
Figure 4.2 shows the corresponding Prolog search-tree with the chosen predication underlined. Fresh renaming is denoted by new variables and local substitutions are represented before the node, beside the incoming arc.

The current substitution computed at the second success leaf is

 $\{ U \leftarrow c, V \leftarrow c1, X \leftarrow c, Y \leftarrow c1 \}$

The corresponding answer substitution is

{ U <- c, V <- cl }.

The tree walk produces the following answer substitutions, in this order:

26 4. Prolog Execution Model

 $\{ U \leftarrow b, V \leftarrow b1 \};$ $\{ U \leftarrow c, V \leftarrow c1 \};$ $\{U \leftarrow d\}$

4.2.5 The execution model of definite Prolog: search-tree visit and construction algorithm

Instead of describing the execution of a definite Prolog program by a non deterministic resolution algorithm and a Prolog search-tree representing all computations after fixing the choices, we synthetize both in a new algorithm describing the construction and visit of a search-tree simultaneously.

The algorithm describes the execution of a goal with a given database. It is defined in two parts: the "down walk" similar to the general resolution algorithm and the "backtracking" which corresponds to the choice of a not yet visited computation path. So, instead of simply "stopping", the algorithm will continue towards a backtracking step.

Let *P* be the *current database.*

- 1. Start from the root as *current node,* labelled by the initial goal G, which is a sequence of predications, as *current goal,* and by the empty substitution as *local substitution,*
- 2. If the goal G of the current node is true then backtrack *(success),* otherwise
- 3. Let A be the first predication in G ,
- 4. If A is true, delete it, and proceed to step (2.) with the new current goal being the tail of the sequence G (if the tail is empty then replace it by true), otherwise
- 5. If no renamed clause in *P* has a head which unifies with A then backtrack *(J ailure),* otherwise
- 6. Add to the current node as many children as there are freshly renamed clauses $H : - B$ in P whose head is unifiable with A with the same order as the clauses in *P.*

The child nodes are labelled with a local substitution σ , which is the *MGU* of A and H $(H : - B$ being the corresponding freshly renamed clause), and the current goal G' which is the instance by σ of G in which A has been previously replaced by B and which has been flattened,

7. The current node becomes the first child and proceed to step (2).

The new current substitution is obtained by composing all the local substitutions along the path from the root up to the current node (inclusive).

If a node has more than one child, it is *non-deterministic.* Such a node for which A is *re-executable* is called a *choice point.* If a node has only one child after its first visit it is a *deterministic node.* A node is said to be *completely visited* after all the branches issuing from it have been completely developed.

This algorithm describes how to walk down until success or failure is reached. The continuation (backtrack), which consists of visiting again a node which has not yet been completely visited, is called *backtracking*⁷.

4.2.6 Backtracking

After constructing a success or failed branch, the possible nodes which may be visited are nodes with still non-visited children "on the right" of that branch (considering a left-to-right order of the children, this is illustrated in Figure 4.3). These nodes may be reached by seeking the first ancestor node with a not yet visited child.

Fig. $4.3.$ A Prolog search-tree and its non-visited children.

The new current node is the first child not yet visited and the execution continues at step (2).

If there are no more non-visited children, the execution of the initial goal is achieved. What happens then is implementation defined.

4.2.7 An analogy with the box trace model

Comparing this model with the usual box trace model helps show how nodes are visited.

A box (Figure 4.4) represents what happens during the execution of the chosen predication A.

^{7 &}quot;Backtracking" corresponds to the idea of re-execution of a goal. This is the reason for the name. It is, however, described here as a continuation of a tree walk.

28 4. Prolog Execution Model

Fig. 4.4. Box trace model.

The first visit at the current node where A has been chosen is denoted *call* and the last visit to this node, *fail. exit* corresponds to a successful execution of A alone.

The different visits *(redo)* correspond to different attempts to find new solutions for A after an execution of A alone has been successful and all attempts to execute the tail goal have been performed.

Figure 4.5 shows the arrows of the box for the predication A from the search-tree point of view. A is the chosen predication and *G* the tail of the current goal. σ is the current substitution after a success of A alone.

Fig. 4.5. Box trace model: a searchtree point of view.

The *"fail"* mark (last visit to the node *N)* must be distinguished from the "failure nodes" introduced previously. In fact many branches issued from *N* may be failed, whereas there is only one last visit.

4.3 The execution model for Standard Prolog

Up to now it has been assumed that the procedures were user-defined procedures. The model extends straightforwardly to built-in predicates: the searchtree is visited and constructed according to the Prolog search-tree visit and construction algorithm (Sections 4.2.5 and 4.2.6) as long as no built-in predicate is chosen.

This algorithm is thus adapted to describe the *execution of a goal* in the context of a given environment (database, sources and sinks, flags and tables).

Steps (5) and (6) of the search-tree visit and construction algorithm are modified as follows to take into account the built-in predicates and their side-effects.

Three cases have to be distinguished (Notice that A is different from true, since this case is already considered in steps (2) and (4)).

- A corresponds to a user-defined procedure which exists in the database $(4.3.1).$
- A does not correspond to any existing procedure (4.3.2).
- A corresponds to a built-in predicate defined in Chapter 5 or a system procedure (in an extension of Standard Prolog), (4.3.3).

4.3.1 The chosen predication corresponds to an existing user-defined procedure

The execution continues as indicated in steps (5) and (6) of the Prolog searchtree visit and construction algorithm.

4.3.2 The chosen predication does not correspond to any existing procedure

The action depends on the value of the flag unknown (Section 8.2). If its value is:

- error: an error is generated whose effect corresponds to the execution at the same node of the built-in predicate

throw (existence_error (procedure, PI))⁸,

where PI is the predicate indicator of the chosen predication A

⁸ The effect is defined in the description of the built-in predicate throw/1.

- 30 4. Prolog Execution Model
- warning: an implementation dependent warning is generated, and the current goal fails *(failure).*
- fail: the current goal fails *(failure).*

In both last cases the execution continues as indicated in backtracking (see 4.2.6).

4.3.3 The chosen predication is a built-in predicate

If the built-in predicate contains side-effects (modification of the search-tree, like asserta/1 or cut/o, I/O action like read_term/3, or table update like op/3, ...), the side-effects described with the built-in predicate are performed and the computation continues according to one of the (mutually exclusive) following cases. The built-in predicates which provoque a specific side-effect on the search-tree without involving the database or play a particular role in the execution model are called here *logic and control* built-in predicates⁹; they are: call/1, catch/3, ','/2, !/0, ';'/2 (Disjunction and If-then-else), $fail/0$, halt/0, halt/1, '->'/2, once/1, repeat/O, throw/1, true/O.

- The built-in predicate is a *logic and control* built-in predicate not in error (call/1 and throw/1 only may raise an exception). It has a specific effect on the search-tree, specified in its description (adding at most two nodes). In all cases the execution continues at step (2) with the new (first) child as the current node (see 4.2.5) or with backtracking (see $(4.2.6)^{10}$.
- The built-in predicate is *deterministic* and succeeds with local substitution σ . Thus a new unique child is added whose labels are the local substitution and the instance by σ of the tail of the current goal. If the tail of the current goal is empty, the goal label is just true (hence there is a success branch). The execution continues at step (2) with the new child as the current node (see 4.2.5, step (2)).
- The built-in predicate is *re-executable* and has several possible successes with possibly different local substitutions¹¹. Thus several children are created according to the order specified in the description of the built-in predicate. Each child is labelled with the corresponding local substitution as

⁹ Some of them are called "control constructs" in the standard because they contribute to the construction and modification of the search-tree, thus producing control actions.

¹⁰ fail/O and true/O only lead to backtracking, halt/O, halt/1 and throw/1 force a particular backtracking. The other logic and control built-in predicates create at least one child.

¹¹ This concerns: atom_concat/3, bagof/3, clause/2, current_char_conversion/2, current_op/3, current_predicate/2, current_prolog-11ag/2, retract/1, setof/3, stream_property/2, sub_atom/5.

specified in the description of the built-in predicate and a goal which is the instance by the local substitution of the tail of the current goal. If the tail of the current goal is empty, the goal label is just true (hence it will be a success branch). The execution continues at step (2) with the first child as the current node (see 4.2.5, step (2)).

- The built-in predicate *Jails (failure).* Thus the execution continues as indicated in backtracking (4.2.6).
- The built-in predicate generates an *error.* The execution is interrupted and an error is generated whose effect corresponds to the execution at the same node of built-in predicate throw/1 whose argument is error (error_term, *impLdej)* where *error_term* in described with the error cases in the built-in predicate description and *impl_def* is an **implementation defined** term. The side-effect of throw/1 is described with this built-in predicate. If several errors are generated by a built-in predicate, the error that is reported is implementation dependent.

4.4 Additional error situations

4.4.1 System error

There may be a *system error* at any stage of execution. The conditions in which there is a system error, and the action taken by a processor after a system error are implementation dependent. The corresponding errorterm is system_error (see, for example, the built-in predicate throw/1).

4.4.2 Resource error

There is a *resource error* at any stage of execution when the processor has insufficient resources to complete execution. The corresponding error-term is *resource_error(Resource)* where *Resource* is an implementation dependent atom (see, for example, the built-in predicate close/2).

4.5 The side-effects of cut

Finally we give some details of the built-in predicate "cut" (! /0) aimed at performing some control by pruning the search-tree.

"cut" always succeeds, but it has a drastic side-effect on the search-tree: it deletes some search-tree branches in order to force a predication to execute quickly without constructing and visiting all sub-search-trees.

32 4. Prolog Execution Model

For example if the first clause of the database (4.2.4) is replaced by $p(X, Y) := q(X), !, r(X, Y).$ the clauses for p/2 become:

 $p(X, Y) := q(X), !, r(X, Y).$ $p(X, Y)$:- $s(X)$.

Figure 4.6 shows that the search-tree corresponding to the goal $p(U, V)$, depicted in the Figure 4.2, now has only one failed branch.

Fig. 4.6. A search-tree example showing the effect of cut.

The effect of the "cut" is thus to erase all the hanging nodes between the current node and issued from the parent node of the goal which was containing it (see also the built-in predicate i/O). Doing so, all the nodes which have been made deterministic will be skipped when backtracking takes place.

Notice that many "embedded cuts" may increase the number of success branches. This may be understood by the use of the "cut" to specify negation by failure. The composition of two negations may increase the number of successes (see the built-in predicate $\+\/1$, not provable).

5. The **Built-in** Predicates

The built-in predicates are system procedures. They cannot be modified. All are static and private.

5.1 Presentation

The built-in predicates are presented in alphabetical order¹ with the following organisation:

0 predicate indicator : name The category to which it belongs²

An informal introduction by a single sentence.

 \triangleright How to use it: templates (see below)

One example of goal. (normally a single predication)

- I> Description: A predication used as a pattern
- The complete definition: cases of success, failure, or other behaviours with the exception of the error cases.

The execution is performed as described is the Section 4.3.3 of the execution model for the corresponding case.

¹ The order follows the name and arity. If the name is symbolic, another name is also used.

² The category corresponds to the usual classsification also used in the standard with minor changes, see Annex 11.5. All related built-in predicates are grouped in the same category.

34 5. The Built-in Predicates

 \triangleright **Error cases** A complete table with all the error cases.

With each error case is associated a not necessarily exclusive condition and an error-term. When a condition is satisfied, the execution is performed as described in Section 4.3.3 of the execution model ("the built-in predicate generates an *error").*

\triangleright Examples

A fev examples to help understanding.

An example is normally a predication executing the built-in predicate as a goal, together with a statement whether the goal succeeds, fails or whether there is an error. The statement also describes any side-effect.

The examples are written assuming that the predefined operator table has not been altered.

In the case of success, the local substitution is also given. The word "local" may be omitted. If only Succeeds is mentionned, this is shorthand for Succeeds vith empty local substitution.

In the case of several successes with different answer substitutions, the substitutions are separated by a semi-colon.

In the case of error, the error-term is given.

An example may also be a more complex goal term (i.e. a well-formed bodyterm different from a single predication) as a user may provide it to a processor. The result given is the one obtained by the execution model with this goal-term as initial goal.

With the examples an initial condition may be stated at the beginning (describing, for example, the initial state of the database). If there is such condition, it holds separately for every given goal.

5.2 Templates

A *temp/ate* is a piece of information provided as a traditional indication of the normal way of using a built-in predicate. Violation of this condition generates an error. It contains a *mode declaration* together with some *type information.* Here is a short description of the modes used and type information.

There may be several templates attached to one built-in predicate. In this case they are mutually exclusive.

5.2.1 Mode of an argument

The *mode of each argument* defines whether or not an argument shall be instantiated when the built-in predicate is executed.

The mode is one of the following atoms:

- $+$ the argument shall be instantiated (different from a variable),
- $?$ the argument shall be instantiated or a variable,
- \mathbf{C} the argument shall remain unaltered (it is a compound term)³,
- - the argument shall be a variable that will be instantiated (different from a variable) if the goal succeeds.

5.2.2 Type information

Type information4 is denoted by one of the following atoms:

 $atom - an atom,$ $atom_or_atom_list$ - an atom or a list of atoms, $atomic$ - an atom or a number, $body_\text{term}$ - a well-formed body-term, $byte - a byte$ (see the Glossary), $callable-term - an atom or a compound term,$ character $-$ a one-char atom $(9.1.1)$, character_code $-$ an integer which is a character code $(9.1.3)$, character_code_list $-$ a list of character codes (9.1.3), character_list $-$ a list of one-char atoms (9.1.1), $clause_term - a well-formed clause_term,$ $\texttt{close-options}$ $-$ a list of close-options (stream-options supported at stream closure), compound_term - a compound term, E_character $-$ an element of ECS (9.1.1), $evaluable$ - an arithmetic expression evaluable without error, $flag -$ an atom denoting a flag name, flag_value $-$ an atom denoting a possible value for a given flag, in_byte $-$ a byte or the integer -1 , in_character $-$ a one-char atom $(9.1.1)$ or the atom end_of_file, in.E.character $-$ an element of ECS (9.1.1) or the atom end_of_file, $in_{\text{character_code}} - an integer which is the character code of a character$ in ECS (9.1.1) or the integer -1 , $integer - an integer,$

³ When the argument is an atomic term, there is no difference between the modes + and 0 . The mode 0 is therefore only used when the argument can be a compound term.

⁴ Standard Prolog is a typeless language. This idea of "type" is used here for the sole purpose of describing templates. Most of the type information is the same as in the standard; some of it has been adapted.

36 5. The Built-in Predicates

```
io_mode - an I/O mode (7.3.1),
list - a list,
nonvar - an atom, a number or a compound term,
number - an integer or a floating-point number,open_options - a list of stream-options supported at stream creation (7.3.2),
operator_specifier - one of the atoms: xf, yf, xfx, xfy, yfx, fx, fy,
predictor - a predicate indicator - a predicate indicator,
predicate_indicator_pattern - a predicate indicator pattern (see the
    Glossary), 
read_options\_list - a list of valid read-options (see the Glossary and
    7.4.1), 
source_sink - a source/sink term (an implementation defined ground
    term), 
stream - a stream-term (an implementation dependent non atomic
    ground term), 
stream_or_alias - a stream-term or an alias (an atom),
stream_position - a stream position (an implementation dependent
    ground term), 
s \Box am_property - a valid stream property (see the Glossary and 7.3.4),
term - a term,write_options_list - a list of valid write-options (see the Glossary and
    7.4.2).
```
5.3 The built-in predicates

The remaining part of this chapter contains the description of all the built-in predicates.

1 abolish/! *Clause creation and destruction*

Removes the (dynamic) user defined procedure identified by its predicate indicator, leaving the database in the same state as if this procedure had never existed.

\triangleright How to use it: abolish (@predicate_indicator)

Example: abolish(legs/2).

I> Description: abolish(Pred)

- If Pred is a predicate indicator corresponding to a dynamic user-defined procedure in the database then removes all elements concerning this procedure and succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Pred is a predicate indicator and does not correspond to any existing procedure in the database then succeeds with empty local substitution.

I> Error cases

38 5. The Built-in Predicates

[> **Examples**

```
Assume the database initially contains the user-defined procedures: 
  legs/2, insect/l. product/l 
with the clauses (all dynamic): 
                          \cdotslegs(A, 6) :- insect(A).
                          legs(A, 4) :- animal(A).
                          legs(A, 8) : - spider(A).insect(bee) :- true.
                          insect(ant) :- true.
                         product(A) :- call(A), call(A).
                          \ldotsabolish(legs/2) . 
                      Succeeds. also removes legs/2. 
                               leaving the database with the clauses: 
                          \ldotsinsect(bee) :- true.
                          insect(ant) :- true.
                          product(A) :- call(A), call(A).
                          \ldotsinsect(X). abolish(insect/l). 
                      Succeeds twice. with substitutions: 
                      \{ X \leftarrow bee} and removes the procedure insect/1;
                      \{ X \leftarrow ant \}leaving the database with the clauses: 
                          \ddotscproduct(A) :- call(A), call(A).
                          \ddotscabolish(product(_)). type_error(predicate_indicator,product(_01))
abolish(abolish/l). permission_error(modify. 
                                          static_procedure. abolish/l)
```


Relates a term (second argument) and its *nth* argument.

 \triangleright How to use it: arg(+integer, +compound_term, ?term)

```
Example: arg(2, foo(a, b, c), X).
```
- \triangleright Description: $arg(N, Term, Arg)$
- If Term is a compound term, and N is an integer greater than zero and less than the arity of Term, and Arg and the Nth argument of Term are NSTO (3.3.3) then if Arg is unifiable with the N^{th} argument of Term by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- If Term is a compound term, and N is an integer greater than zero and less than the arity of Term, and Arg and the Nth argument of Term are STO then undefined.
- If N is equal to zero, or Term is a compound term and N is an integer greater than the arity of Term then fails.

I> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
arg(2, foo(a, b, c), X). 
arg(2, foo(a, f(X,b), c), f(a,Y)). 
Succeeds with substitution: 
arg(l, foo(a, b, c), b). 
arg(1, foo(X, b, c), u(X)).arg(a, foo(a, b, c), X).
                                      Succeeds with substitution: 
                                                               \{X \leftarrow b\}{ X <- a, Y <- b } 
                                      Fails. 
                                      Undefined. 
                                      type_error (integer , a)
```
 $3 (=:=)/2, (=)=)/2, (>)/2, (>)/2, (>)/2, (=<)/2$:
arithmetic compare $arithmetic compare$

Compares the values of the arguments according to the operations named as specified in Table 5.1 and defined in Section 6.2.2.

Table 5.1. The arithmetic comparison operators

Operator Name	
=:= $=$ \leftarrow	arithmetic equal arithmetic not equal
>	arithmetic greater than
$>=$	arithmetic greater than or equal
≺	arithmetic less than
ΞĆ	arithmetic less than or equal

\triangleright How to use it: Cevaluable *op* Cevaluable

Arithmetic comparison operators are predefined infix operators. Their priority is 700 and they are non-associative (xfx) .

Example: $X = 1+2$, $X + 6 = := X * 3$.

- \triangleright Description: Expression₁ op Expression₂
- $-$ If the *evaluations* (see Section 6.2) of Expression₁ and Expression₂ are errorless and the application of the right basic arithmetic operation corresponding to *op* to the obtained values is errorless (see 6.2.2 and 6.2.4) then
	- if the result of the application of the right basic arithmetic operation corresponding to *op* is true then succeeds with empty local substitution.
	- if the result of the application of the right basic arithmetic operation corresponding to *op* is false then fails.

```
t> Error cases 
  See Section 6.2 for the exceptions raised by expressions evaluation. 
t> Examples 
X = 1 + 2, X + 6 = := X * 3.
                     Succeeds with substitution \{ X \leftarrow 1+2 \}' = := ' (1.0, 1). Succeeds.
 =:= (3*2, 7-1). Succeeds.
 0.333 =:= 1/3 . Succeeds or Fails. (Implementation defined)
               (Depends on the definition of the rounding function) 
 ' = :='(0, 1). Fails.
 1 = := N + (3/0). instantiation_error
                     evaluation_error(zero_divisor) 
             (It is implementation dependent which error is raised.) 
 0.3 == n.
       where n is an integer which cannot be converted into a float. 
                     evaluation_error(float_overflow) 
 X = 1+2, X+5 = \= \X*3. Succeeds with substitution { X <- 1+2 }
 \prime = \\\setminus = \setminus (0, 1). Succeeds.
 0.333 = \equiv 1/3. Succeeds or Fails. (Implementation defined)
               (Depends on the definition of the rounding function) 
 =\ = (1.0, 1). Fails.
 =\ = (3*2, 7-1). Fails.
 \prime = \setminus \setminus = \setminus (X, 5). instantiation_error
 1 = \sqrt{3/0}. instantiation_error or
                      evaluation_error(zero_divisor) 
             (It is implementation dependent which error is raised) 
 0.3 = \ = \ n.
       where n is an integer which cannot be converted into a float. 
                  evaluation_error(overflow) 
 X = 1+2, X+7 > X*3. Succeeds with substitution { X <- 1+2 }
 >(3*2, 6-1). Succeeds.
 >(1.0, 1). Fails.
```
 $'$ $(0, 1)$. Fails. $0.333 > 1/3$. Succeeds or Fails. (Implementation defined) (Depends on the definition of the rounding function) $'>'>'(X, 5)$. instantiation_error $N > (3/0)$. instantiation_error or evaluation_error(zero_divisor) (It is implementation dependent which error is raised.) $0.3 > n$. where n is an integer which cannot be converted into a float. evaluation_error (overflow) $X = 1+2$, $X+6 \geq X*3$. Succeeds with substitution { $X \leq 1+2$ } $>= (3*2, 7-1)$. Succeeds. $>= (1.0, 1).$ Succeeds. $'\Rightarrow' (0, 1).$ Fails. 0.333 >= 1/3 . Succeeds or Fails. (Implementation defined) (Depends on the definition of the rounding function) $'>='(X, 5)$. instantiation_error $N > = (3/0)$. instantiation_error or evaluation_error(zero_divisor) (It is implementation dependent which error is raised) 0.3 >= n. where n is an integer which cannot be converted into a float. evaluation_error(overflow) $X = 1+2$, $X+5 < X*3$. Succeeds with substitution { $X < -1+2$ } $'$ <'(0, 1). Succeeds. 0.333 < 1/3 . Succeeds or Fails. (Implementation defined) (Depends on the definition of the rounding function) $(1.0, 1).$ Fails. $'$ <' (3*2, 7-1). Fails. '<'(X, 5). instantiation_error 1 < N + (3/0). instantiation_error or evaluation_error(zero_divisor) (It is implementation dependent which error is raised.)

5.3 The built-in predicates 43

Adds a new clause as the first clause of a procedure.

\triangleright How to use it: asserta (Oclause_term)

Example: asserta($(\text{legs}(A, 4) : - \text{animal}(A)))$.

t> Description: asserta(Clause)

Let $'$: -' (Head, Body) be the term built as follows:

- if Clause is an atom or a compound term whose principal functor is different from ':-'/2 then Head is Clause and Body is true,
- if Clause is a compound term ':-'(t1, t2) where t1 is a callable term and t2 is a well-formed body-term then Head is tl and Body is t2 transformed (4.1.1),

the clause Head : - Body, freshly renamed, is added before all existing clauses of the procedure whose predicate is the functor of Head, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

\triangleright Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
                                        moose/1, legs/2, insect/1, elk/1
with the clauses: static: 
                                elk(X): - moose(X).
                      dynamic: 
legs(A, 6) 
                                              : - insect(A).
                                \text{legs}(\text{A}, 7): fail.
                                insect(bee) :- true.
                                \ddots
```
asserta(insect(ant)). The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has the two clauses for insect/l: Succeeds. $insert(ant)$:- true. $insect(bee)$:- true. $insect(X)$, asserta(insect(ant)), insect(Y). Succeeds twice with the substitutions: $asserta((legs(A, 4) :- animal(A))).$ Succeeds. The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has the three clauses for legs/2: $legs(_01, 4) :- animal(_01).$ $\text{legs}(\text{A}, 6)$ $legs(A, 7)$:- fail. $\texttt{asserta}((\texttt{foo}(X) : -X)).$ Succeeds. { X <- bee, Y <- ant }; { X <- bee, Y <- bee }. $: -$ insect(Λ). The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one clause for *foo/l:* $foo(.01)$:- $call(.01)$. $asserta((bar(X) :- X)), clause(bar(X), B).$ Succeeds with substitution: { $B \leftarrow \text{call}(X)$ } The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one clause for bar/l: $bar(.01)$:- call $(.01)$. $\texttt{asserta}((\texttt{bar}(X) : -X)), \texttt{ clause}(\texttt{bar}(X), \texttt{foo}(Y)).$ Fails. The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one clause for bar/l: $bar(.01)$:- $call(.01)$. $\texttt{asserta}(X)$. instantiation_error asserta(4). type_error(callable, 4) $asserta((foo : - (true; 4))).$ $\texttt{asserta}(\texttt{(atom() : - true)}).$ type_error(callable, (true; 4)) perrnission_error(modify, static_procedure, atom/l)

Adds a new clause as the last clause of a procedure.

\triangleright How to use it: assertz (Oclause_term)

Example: $assertz((legs(A, 4) :- animal(A))).$

[> Description: assertz (Clause)

Let $'$: -' (Head, Body) be the term built as follows:

- if Clause is an atom or a compound term whose principal functor is different from ':-'/2 then Head is Clause and Body is true,
- if Clause is a compound term ':-' (t1, t2) where t1 is a callable term and t2 is a well-formed body-term then Head is t1 and Body is t2 transformed (4.1.1),

the clause Head :- Body, freshly renamed, is added after all existing clauses of the procedure whose predicate is the functor of Head, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

[> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
                                      moose/1, legs/2, insect/1, elk/1 
with the clauses: static: 
                               elk(X): - moose(X).
                    dynamic: 
                              legs(A, 6): - insect(A).
                              legs(A, 7): fail.
                                           := true.
                               insect (bee) 
                               \cdots
```

```
assertz(insect(ant)). Succeeds.
     The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has the
     two clauses for insect/1:
                               insect(bee) :- true.
                              insect(ant) :- true.
insect(X), assertz(insect(ant)), insect(Y).
                         Succeeds twice with the substitutions:
assertz((legs(A, 4) :- animal(A))).Succeeds. 
     The database of the dynamic user-defined 
procedures now has the 
     three clauses for legs/2: 
                              legs(A, 6)\text{legs}(A, 7)legs(01, 4) :- animal(01).assertz((foo(X) : Y). Succeeds.
                                               { X <- bee, Y <- bee }; 
                                               \{ X \leftarrow \text{bee}, Y \leftarrow \text{ant } \}.:= insect(A).
                                          : fail.
     The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one 
     clause for foo/l: 
                              foo(.01) :- call(.01).
assertz((bar(X) :- X)), clause(bar(X),B).
                         Succeeds with substitution: { B \leftarrow \text{call}(X) }
     The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one 
     clause for bar/l: 
                              bar(.01) :- call(.01).
assertz((bar(X) :- X)), clause(bar(X), foo(Y)).
                         Fails. 
     The database of the dynamic user-defined procedures now has one
     clause for bar/l: 
                              bar(.01) :- call(.01).
assertz(X). instantiation_error 
assertz(4). type_error(callable, 4)
assertz((foo : - (true; 4))).\texttt{assertz}(\texttt{(atom() : - true)}).type_error (callable, (true; 4))
                         permission_error(modify, 
                                             static_procedure, atom/l)
```
6 aLend_oLstream/O *Stream selection and control*

Tests whether the current input stream has stream position end-of-stream or past-end-of-stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: at_end_of_stream

Example: at_end_of_stream.

▷ Description: at_end_of_stream

- $-$ if the current input stream has current stream-property end_of_stream(at) or end_of_stream(past) then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.
- I> Error cases None.

I> Examples

Assume the current input stream is completely scanned. at_end_of_stream. Succeeds. Assume the current input stream is the user_input. at_end_of_stream. Fails.

Stream selection and control

Tests whether a given open stream has stream position end-of-stream or past-end-of-stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: at_end_of_stream(@stream_or_alias)

Example: at_end_of_stream(mickey).

- \triangleright Description: at_end_of_stream(Stream_or_alias)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias associated with an open stream then if it has the property end_of_stream(at) or end_of_stream(past) then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.

!> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' which has been completely scanned.

8 atom/1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is an atom.

I> How to use it: atom(?term)

Example: $atom('Yeti')$.

I> Description: atom(Term)

- If Term is an atom then succeeds with empty local substitution.

- If Term is not an atom then fails.

I> Error cases None.

I> Examples

atom('Yety'). atom([]). $atom(f(\lambda))$. atom(10.01) . Succeeds. Succeeds. Fails. Fails.

```
9 atom_chars/2 Atomic term processing
```
Explodes an atom name into a list of one-char atoms and conversely.

 \triangleright How to use it: atom_chars (+atom, ?character_list) atom_chars (-atom, +character_list)

Example: $atom{\text{-}chars(X, [a, n, n, a])}$.

- \triangleright Description: atom_chars(Atom, List)
- If Atom is an atom then let L be the list of one-char atoms identical to the sequence of characters of the name of Atom, and if L and List are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local
- If Atom is a variable and List is a list of one-char atoms then let A be the atom whose name is the sequence of the one-char atoms in List, and succeeds with local substitution { $Atom \leftarrow A$ }.

\triangleright Error cases

substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Examples

Explodes an atom name into a list of the atom codes corresponding to the one-char atoms forming its name and conversely.

 \triangleright How to use it: atom_codes (+atom, ?character_code_list) atom_codes (-atom, +character_code_list)

Example: $atom_codes(X, [0'a, 0'n, 0'n, 0'd]).$

- t> Description: atom_codes(Atom, List)
- If Atom is an atom then let L be the list of atom codes whose corresponding one-char atoms form the name of Atom, and if L and List are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- If Atom is a variable and List is a list of atom codes then let A be the atom whose name is the sequence of the one-char atoms whose atom codes are in List, and succeeds with local substitution $\{$ Atom \leftarrow A $\}$.

t> Error cases

[> **Examples**

11 atom_concat/3 *Atomic term processing*

Atom concatenation and splitting.

 \triangleright How to use it: atom_concat(?atom, ?atom, +atom) atom_concat{+atom, +atom, -atom)

Example: atom_concat('hello', ' world', S3).

 \triangleright Description: atom_concat(Atom_1, Atom_2, Atom_12)

- $-$ If (1) Atom_12 is an atom and Atom_1 and Atom_2 are variables or atoms, or (2) Atom_12 is a variable and Atom_1 and Atom_2 are atoms then let S be the sorted list⁵ of all triples (A1, A2, A3) such that A3 is the atom formed with the characters forming Al followed by the characters forming A2, and (A1, A2, A3) and (Atom₋₁, Atom₋₂, Atom₋₁₂) are unifiable then
	- $-$ if *S* is empty then fails.
	- $-$ if *S* is not empty then if (Atom.1, Atom.2, Atom.12) and the first not already chosen element (Al, A2, A3) of *S* are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .

NOTE $-$ atom_concat/3 is re-executable as many times as there are elements in S . The order of the solutions follows the order of the elements in the sorted list *S.*

t> Error cases

 5 The ordering on the variables is implementation dependent but constant.

I> **Examples**

atom_concat('hello', ' world', S3). Succeeds with substitution $\{$ S3 \leftarrow 'hello world' } atom_concat(T, ' world', 'small world'). atom_concat(Ti, T2, 'hello'). with substitutions Succeeds with substitution $\{T \leftarrow 'small' \}$ Succeeds 6 times, { $T1$ <- '', $T2$ <- 'hello' }; { $T1$ \leftarrow h , $T2$ \leftarrow $\{$ ello' $\}$; { $T1$ \leftarrow $\left\{ \frac{1}{10} \right\}$, $T2$ \leftarrow $\left\{ \frac{1}{10} \right\}$; { $T1$ <- 'hel', $T2$ <- 'lo' }; { T1 <- 'hell', T2 <- 'o' }; { $T1$ <- 'hello', $T2$ <- '' }. atom_concat('hello', ' world', 'small world'). Fails. atom_concat (small, S2, S4). instantiation_error

12 atom_length/2 *Atomic term processing*

Relates an atom and the number of characters forming that atom.

c> How to use it: atom-1ength(+atom, ?integer)

Example: atom_length('enchanted evening', N).

- \triangleright Description: atom_length(Atom, Length)
- If Atom is an atom and Length is a variable or a non-negative integer, then let n be the number of characters forming Atom, and if Length and n are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Error cases

\triangleright Examples

13 atomic/1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is an atom or a number.

```
I> How to use it: atomic (?term)
```
Example: atomic (10.01) .

I> Description: atomic (Term)

- If Term is a constant (an atom or a number) then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Term is nqt a constant (it is a variable or a compound term) then fails.

I> **Error** cases None.

I> Examples

Assembles a list of the solutions of a goal for each different instantiation of the free variables in that goal. The elements of each list are in order of solution, but the order in which each list is found is undefined.

```
\triangleright How to use it: bagof(@term, +body_term, ?list)
```
Example: bagof(A, legs(A,N), B).

- \triangleright Description: bagof (Template, Bgoal, Blist)
- $-$ If the bagof-subgoal⁶ G of Bgoal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable,

let W be a witness of the free variables⁶ of (Template $\hat{ }$ Bgoal), and let S be the list, solution of findall ([W, Template], G, S),

- If S is the empty list, then fails.
- If S is a non-empty list, then let Lp be the list of pairs (L, ϕ) such that:

- assuming S' is a sublist of (not already used) elements of S, formed by one element of S (the choice is undefined), say [v, t], and all the other elements of S, $[v', t']$, such that w and w' are variants. The order of the elements in S' is the same as in S (solution order), and

- ϕ is a unifier (3.2) of all terms w's in S' and W (it always exists), and L is the list formed with the terms $t\phi$ such that the [w, t]'s are elements of S' , in the same order, and Blist ϕ and L are NSTO and unifiable.

- If Lp is the empty list, then fails .
- If Lp is a non-empty list, then succeeds with local substitution $\phi\sigma$ where σ is the unifier of Blist ϕ and L and (L, ϕ) is an element of Lp not yet used.

NOTES:

- 1- According to the definition of findall/3, the transformed bagof-subgoal is executed.
- 2- bagof/3 is re-executable as long as Lp is not empty, using not already chosen elements of the list Lp (undefined order).
- 3- The number of elements of the list Lp, as the behaviour of bagof/3, may be undefined if some tried pairs are STO.
- 4- If (Template ~ Bgoal) has no free variable and call(G) has at least one solution the predicate succeeds with one solution only.

⁶ See the Glossary.
- 5- The variables of Template and the non free variables of Bgoal remain uninstantiated after each success of bagof (Template, Bgoal, Blist).
- 6- In most applications, the free variables are bound to ground terms after each success of the bagof-subgoal. In this case there is no need to consider the substitution ϕ in the definition, which becomes simpler.

\triangleright Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
          legs/2, insect/1, animal/1, spider/1 
with the clauses: \begin{array}{rcl} \texttt{legs(A, 6)} & \texttt{:=} \texttt{insect(A)}.\\ \texttt{legs(A, 4)} & \texttt{:=} \texttt{animal(A)}.\\ \texttt{legs(A, 8)} & \texttt{:=} \texttt{spider(A)}. \end{array}: - animal (A).
                                                   : - spider(A).
                             insect(bee) :- true.
                             \text{insect}(\text{ant}) :- true.
                             annial(horse) :- true.
                             animal(cat) :- true.
                             animal(dog) :- true.
                             spider(tarantula) :- true.
bagof(A, legs(A,N), B). 
         Succeeds three times (undefined order) vith substitutions: 
                                         \{ N \leftarrow 4, B \leftarrow [horse, cat, dog] \};{N \leftarrow 8, B \leftarrow [tarantula] };
                                          \{ N \leq 6, B \leq [bee, ant] \}.
                        (the free variable set is {N}, A remains unbound) 
bagof(X, (X=Y ; X=Z ; Y=1), S).
         Succeeds tvice (undefined order) vith substitutions: 
                                         \{ S \leftarrow [Y, Z] \};
                                          {S \leftarrow [-01], Y \leftarrow 1}.(the free variable set is {V, Z}, X remains unbound) 
bagof(X, X = f(Y, Y), [f(Z, g(Z))]).
         Undefined. 
bagof(X, X \hat{ } (true; 4), L).
         type_error (callable , 1)
```
Executes a goal, restricting the scope of the cuts to this goal.

```
\triangleright How to use it: call (+body_term)
```
Example: $X = write('hello'), call(X)$.

- \triangleright Description: call(G)
- $-$ If G is a well-formed body-term different from a variable (2.2.3), then executes transformed G (4.1.1).

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3), if the current goal is $(call(G), Cont)^7$, a new child is created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal (G' ,Cont), where G' is transformed G.

 $NOTE$ - The effect of a cut occurring inside the goal G is limited to this goal; it has no effect outside of call/1. call/1 is said to be *opaque* (or *not transparent) to cut.*

I> **Error** cases


```
Assume the database contains the clauses: 
                                              a(1) :- true.
                                              a(2) :- true.
X = write('hello'), call(X). Succeeds with substitution:\{ X \leftarrow \text{write('hello')} \},
                                                after outputting 'hello'.
```
 $\frac{7}{7}$ If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

```
call(!) ; true. Succeeds twice with empty substitution. 
Z = !, call( (Z=!, a(X), Z) ).
call((Z=!, a(X), Z) ).call (fail) . 
call((write(3), X)).call((write(3), fail, 1)).
                                  Succeeds once with local substitution: 
                                     \{ X \leftarrow 1, Z \leftarrow \ \}Succeeds twice 
                                                  with local substitutions: 
                                     {X \leftarrow 1, Z \leftarrow \{ \};
                                     \{ X \leftarrow 2, Z \leftarrow ! \}.
                                  Fails. 
                                  Outputs '3', then 
                                  instantiation_error 
                                  type_error (callable , 
                                                       (\text{write}(3), \text{ fail}, 1))
```
16 catch/3 Exception handling: error catching *Logic and control*

Captures errors generated during the execution of a given goal (first argument) either explicitly in the program (see throw/1) or implicitly when an error is raised by the processor, and executes a recover goal (third argument after instantiation).

 \triangleright How to use it: catch (+body_term, ?term, ?term)

Example: catch(p, X, (write('warning: error raised when executing p'),nl)).

 \triangleright Description: catch(Goal, Catcher, Recovergoal)

The meaning must be considered with the built-in predicate throw/1.

- If Goal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable, the goal call (Goal) is executed. If an error occurs during its execution which is caught by Catcher, the resulting instance of Recovergoal is executed (see throw/i definition).

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3), if the current goal is (catch(Goal, Catcher, Recovergoal), Cont)⁸, it creates a new child whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal (call (Goal), Cont).

NOTE $-$ If a ball is thrown (see throw/1 definition) in the sub-seach-tree issued from that node, there are two possibilities: either it is thrown during the execution of call (Goal), and the ball can be caught by Catcher. Or it is thrown during the execution of Cont and the ball is not caught by Catcher.

\triangleright Error cases

Conditions	Error-term
o Goal is a variable oGoal is neither a variable nor a well- formed body-term	instantiation_error type_error(callable, Goal)

 8 If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
with the clauses: p : true.
                     p : = throw(b).
                                           r(X) :- throw(X).
                                                         p/O, q/O, r/l 
                     q := catch(p, B, write('hello?)), r(c).catch(p, X, (write('warning: error raised when executing p'),nl)).
catch(q, C, write(helloq)).Succeeds twice: 
                                  first time with empty substitution; 
                                  second time with substitution: 
                                                             \{X \leftarrow b\}after outputting: 
                               warning: error raised when executing p 
                                  Succeeds with substitution {C \leftarrow c}after outputting: helloq
```
17 char_code/2

Relates a character and its character code (an integer).

I> How to use it: char_code (+E_character, ?character_code) char_code (-E_character, +character_code)

Example: $char_code('1', Y)$.

- I> Description: char _code (Char, Code)
- If Char is a variable and Code is the character code of a character in ECS (9.1.3) whose name is A, then succeeds with local substitution {Char \leftarrow A}.
- If Char is a character in ECS whose character code is C then if Code and C are unifiable (3.2) by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

I> Error cases

 $Term \; input/output$

Updates the character conversion table used by inputting terms (see read_term/3) or during the preparation of Prolog texts.

 \triangleright How to use it: char_conversion (OE_character, OE_character)

Example: char_conversion(' k' , ',')

- I> Description: char _conversion (In_char, Out_char)
- $-$ If In_char and Out_char are characters in ECS (9.1) then updates the character conversion table by replacing the value associated with In_char in the table by Out_char and succeeds with empty substitution.

NOTES:

- 1- Originally each character in ECS is associated with itself. Exceptions to this rule are implementation defined.
- $2-$ When In_char and Out_char are the same, the effect of char_conversion/2 is to remove any conversion of a character In_char.
- 3- The character conversion table has exactly one entry per character.
- 4- The characters In_char and Out_char should be quoted in order to ensure that they have not been converted by a character-conversion directive when the Prolog text was prepared for execution.
- 5- char_conversion/2 affects only characters read by inputting read-terms. When it is necessary to convert characters read by character input/output built-in predicates (11.5), it will be necessary to program the conversion explicitly using current_char_conversion/2.

I> Error cases

66 5. The Built-in Predicates

I> Examples

```
char_conversion('k', ','). Succeeds with empty substitution,
                 after updating the character conversion table vith: 
                               , associated with the entry kchar_conversion('k', 'k')} Succeeds with empty substitution,
                 after updating the character conversion table vith: 
                               k associated with itself (identity).
                 ( & is not converted anymore)
```
 $NOTE - After the following goal:$

char_conversion('*k'*, ','), char_conversion(''', ''''), char_conversion $('a', a)$.

when the value associated with flag char_conversion is on, all occurrences of \boldsymbol{k} , ', and a as unquoted characters read by term input built-in predicates are converted to, ' and a respectively. For example, the three characters αk are converted to the characters a, a. However the characters 'a&a' represent an atom a&a because they are enclosed by the single quotes, and the characters $'$ ata' form an atom **'a,a'.**

19 clause/2 *Clause retrieval and information*

Selects clauses of the public user-defined procedures in the database.

 \triangleright How to use it: clause (+callable_term, ?callable_term)

Example: clause(reverse(X,Y),B).

\triangleright Description: clause (Head, Body)

- If Head is a callable term (an atom or a compound term) and Body is a variable or a callable term, let *S* be the sequence of all the terms (H, B) obtained by a sequential search in the database (procedures, followed by clauses) such that $H : -B$ is a freshly renamed copy of the clause of a public user-defined procedure and (Head, Body) and clause (H, B) are NSTO (3.3.3) and unifiable,

then

- if *S* is empty then fails.
- $-$ if *S* is not empty then if the first element not yet selected of *S* and (Head, Body) are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .

NOTES:

- 1- clause/2 is re-executable as many times as the size of *S.* The order of the solutions corresponds to the order of the elements in the sequence *S.*
- 2- Dynamic procedures and public static procedures are inspected.
- 3- The number of elements of the sequence *S* may be undefined if some tried pairs are STO.

I> Error cases

68 5. The Built-in Predicates

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
             reverse/2, append/3, legs/2, insect/1, elk/1, moose/1 
with the clauses: 
  static, public: elk(X) :- moose(X).
                   reverse([], [] ) :- true.
                   reverse([E|L], R) :- reverse(L, Q), append(Q, [E], R).
                   \texttt{legs}(\texttt{A}, 6)dynamic: 
                                    \therefore insect(A).
                   legs(A, 7)\therefore fail.
                   insect (ant) 
                                    :- true.
                   insect (bee) 
                                    :- true.
                   \ddotsclause(reverse(X, Y), B). Succeeds twice with substitutions:
                 {X \leftarrow [], Y \leftarrow [], B \leftarrow true };
                 \{ X \leftarrow [-01], -02 \}, Y \leftarrow -03,B \leftarrow (reverse(_02,_04), append(_04,[_01],_03)) }.
clause(elk(N), Body). Succeeds with substitution: 
                {N \leftarrow 01, Body \leftarrow moose(01)} or
                 { Body (- moose(N)} (implementation defined) 
clause(x, Body). Fails. 
clause(insect(X), (true ; 1)).Fails. 
clause(reverse(X, Y, Z), T). 
                          Fails. 
abolish(reverse/2), clause(reverse(X, Y), B). 
                          Fails. 
retract (elk/1), clause(elk(I), B). 
                          Fails. 
clause(legs(A, 6), insect(f(A))).Undefined. 
clause(X, B). instantiation_error 
clause(insect(X), 1). type_error(callable, 1)
clause(atomic(_), Body). permission_error(access,private_procedure, 
                                                             atomic/1)
```
20 close/l *Stream selection and control*

Closes a source/sink according to the default list of close-options (7.3.3).

```
\triangleright How to use it: close (@stream_or_alias)
```
Example: close (mickey) .

\triangleright Description: close (Stream_or_alias)

It behaves like: $close$ (Stream_or_alias, [])., or equivalently: close (Stream_or_alias, [force(false)]).

[> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Assume that the current output stream has alias 'mickey'.

close(mickey). closes the stream if there is no resource or system error condition and succeeds with empty substitution, after flushing any buffered information to that stream.

```
close(user_input). Succeeds.
```

```
close(\texttt{mouse}(X)). domain_error(stream_or_alias, mouse(X))
```
Closes a source/sink according to a list of close-options (7.3.3).

 \triangleright How to use it: close (@stream_or_alias, @close_options)

Example: close(mickey, [force(true)]).

 \triangleright Description: close (Stream.or_alias, Options)

 $-$ If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias associated with an open stream, and Options is a list of close-options (7.3.3),

then performs (1) and (2)

(1) if there is a close-option force(true) , then ignores any resource error condition or system error condition that may be satisfied (4.4),

(2) if the stream associated to Stream_or-alias is an output stream, sends to that stream any output which is currently buffered by the processor for the stream associated with Stream_or-alias, and

- if the stream associated to Stream_or_alias is the standard input or output stream then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- if the stream associated to Stream_or_alias is not the standard input or output stream, and is the current input (resp. output) stream then closes the current input (resp. output) stream, deletes any alias associated with that stream, the current input (resp. output) stream becomes the standard one, and succeeds with empty local substitution.
- $-$ if the stream associated to Stream_{-or-alias} is neither the standard input or output stream, nor the current input or output stream then closes the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, deletes any alias associated with that stream, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

NOTES:

- 1- If Options contains contradictory close-options, the rightmost stream-option is the one which applies.
- 2- force(false) is the default option.
- 3- force(true): data and results may be lost and the stream may be left in an inconsistent state.

t> **Error** cases

t> **Examples**

Assume that the current output stream has alias 'mickey', and 'mouse' does not correspond to any open stream.

close (mickey, [force(true)]). closes the stream and succeeds with empty substitution, after flushing any buffered information to that stream, independently of the existence of resource or system errors.

close(user_input). Succeeds.

 $close(mouse(X), []$.

 $domain_error(\text{stream_or_alias}, \text{mouse}(X))$

22 compound/1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is a compound term.

I> How to use it: compound (?term)

Example: $compound(f(X, Y))$.

- I> Description: compound(Term)
- If Term is a compound term then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Term is not a compound term (it is a variable, an atom or a number) then fails.

I> Error cases None.

 $Loqic$ and control

Sequential execution of two goals.

 \triangleright How to use it: (+body_term, +body_term)

','/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 1000 and it is right associative (xfy).

```
Example: (insect(X), fly(X)); (legs(X,6), fly(X)).
```
\triangleright Description: (G_1, G_2)

- If G_1 and G_2 are two well-formed transformed (2.2.3) goals then executes G_1 and executes G_2 in sequence each time G_1 is satisfied.

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3) if the current goal is $((G_1, G_2), Cont)^9$ a new child is created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal $(G_1, (G_2, Cont)).$

NOTE - The conjunction also satisfies the following obvious properties: $(goal, \texttt{true}) = (\texttt{true}, goal) = goal$ and $((goal_1,goal_2),goal_3) = (goal_1, (goal_2, goal_3)) = (goal_1, goal_2, goal_3)$ $(t$ rue, true) = true, $(t$ rue, fail) = fail, and $(t$ ail, true) = fail.

lt is always possible to "flatten" conjunctions of goals or to simplify goals according to these rules.

I> Error cases

There is no error because in Standard Prolog only well-formed transformed goals are executed.

```
Assume the database contains the 
user-defined procedures: 
with the clauses:
                    \log(A, 4) :- animal(A). insect(ant) :- true.
                      fly(bee) :- true.
                                            insect/1, fly/1, legs/2 
                   legs(A, 6) :- insect(A). insect(bee) :- true.(insect(X) ; legs(X,6)) , fly(X). Succeeds twice
                           with the same substitution: {X \leq - bee }
```

```
9 If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.
```
Term duplication: unifies the second argument with a freshly renamed copy of the first.

```
\triangleright How to use it: copy_term(?term, ?term)
```
Example: $copy-term(f(X, Y), Z)$.

- \triangleright Description: copy_term (Term₁, Term₂)
- $-$ If a freshly renamed copy of Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* (3.3.3) and unifiable by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .
- If a freshly renamed copy of Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* and not unifiable, then fails.
- If a freshly renamed copy of Term₁ and Term₂ are *STO*, then the result is undefined (success, failure, loop or error are standard conforming behaviours) .
- \triangleright Error cases None.
- \triangleright Examples

25 current_char _conversion/2 *Term input/output*

Finds the elements of the character conversion table used by term input, different from identity.

I> How to use it: current_char_conversion (?E_character, ?character)

Example: current_char_conversion(C, a).

\triangleright Description: current_char_conversion(In_char, Out_char)

- If In_char is a variable or a character in ECS (9.1) and In_char is a variable or a one-char atom then

let *S* be the set of all the terms (In, Out) of the character conversion table such that In and Out are different and (In, Out) is unifiable with (In_char, Out_char),

- If *S* is empty then fails.
- $-$ If *S* is not empty then succeeds with local substitution σ which is the unifier of (In_char, Out_char) and one of the elements of *S* not already chosen (the choice is implementation dependent).

 $NOTE$ - current_char_conversion/2 is re-executable as many times as the size of *s.* The order of the solutions is implementation dependent.

I> Error cases

76 5. The Built-in Predicates

```
Assume the character conversion table contains the pairs: 
   a,a 
   a, a
and 'UU' is not a character in ECS.<br>current_char_conversion(C, a).
                                       Succeeds twice with substitutions:
                                           { c \leftarrow a };
                                           {c \leftarrow a}.(The order of solutions is implementation dependent) 
current_char_conversion(a, a). Fails. 
current_char_conversion(c, 'UU'). type_error(character, 'UU')
```
26 current_input/1 Stream selection and control

Identifies the current input stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: current_input(?stream)

Example: current_input(S).

I> Description: current_input (Stream)

- If Stream is a variable or a stream-term then let *ST* be the current input stream-term,
	- If *ST* and Stream are unifiable (3.2) by unifier σ then succeeds with local substitution σ
	- If *ST* and Stream are not unifiable then fails.

NOTES:

- 1- Stream cannot be an atom, hence it cannot be an alias.
- 2- By default the current input stream is the standard input stream.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

Assume the current input stream is 'user_input'. current_input(S). Succeeds with substitution: ${S \leftarrow '$ \$stream'(132464) } (an implementation dependent non atomic ground term) current_input(user_input). domain_error (stream , user_input)

Finds the elements of the current operator table (the predefined operators are in Table 9.2.2 in Chapter 9).

 \triangleright How to use it: current_op(?integer, ?operator_specifier, ?atom)

Example: current_op(P, xfy, OP).

I> Description: currenLop (Priori ty, Op_specif ier, Operator)

- If Priority is a variable or an integer between 1 and 1200 inclusive, and Op_specifier is a variable or an operator specifier as in Table 9.1, and Operator is a variable or an atom,
	- then

let S be the set of all the terms $(P, Spec, Op)$ corresponding to operators defined in the current operator table which are unifiable with (Priority, Op_specifier, Operator),

- $-$ If S is empty then fails.
- $-$ If S is not empty then succeeds with local substitution σ which is the unifier of (Priority, Op_specifier, Operator) and one of the elements of S not already chosen (the choice is implementation dependent).

NOTE $-$ current_{-op}/3 is re-executable as many times as the size of *S*. The order of the solutions is implementation dependent.

I> Error cases

 \triangleright Examples

current_op(P, xfy, OP). If the default operator table has not been altered, then Succeeds 4 times vith substitutions: %
{ P <- 1100, OP <- ',' };
{ P <- 1050, OP <- ',->' };
{ P <- 1000, OP <- ',' };
{ P <- 200, OP <- ''' }. (The order of solutions is implementation dependent)

current_op(O, X, Y). domain_error(operator_priority, 0)

28 current_output/1 5tream selection and control

Identifies the current output stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: current_output(?stream)

Example: current_output (S).

- I> Description: current_output (Stream)
- If Stream is a variable or a stream-term then let *ST* be the current output stream-term,
	- If *ST* and Stream are unifiable (3.2) by unifier σ then succeeds with local substitution σ
	- If *ST* and Stream are not unifiable then fails.

NOTES:

- 1- Stream cannot be an alias.
- 2- By default the current output stream is the standard output stream.

I> Error cases


```
Assume the current output stream is user_output. 
current_output(S). Succeeds vith substitution: 
                                              { S <- stream-term } 
              (an implementation dependent non atomic ground term) 
current_input('user_output'). domain_error(stream, user_output)
```
Finds the predicate indicators of the user-defined procedures (dynamic or static) in the database.

\triangleright How to use it: current_predicate(?predicate_indicator_pattern)

Example: current_predicate (reverse/X).

t> Description: current-predicate(Term)

- If Term is a variable or a predicate indicator pattern, let *S* be the set of all the terms A/M such that

(1) the database contains a user-defined procedure (static or dynamic, not abolished) whose predicate indicator is A/M, and

(2) A/M and Term are unifiable (3.2).

then

- If *S* is empty then fails.
- If *S* is not empty then succeeds with local substitution σ which is the unifier of Term and one of the elements of *S* not already chosen (the choice is implementation dependent).

NOTES:

- 1- current_predicate/2 is re-executable as many times as the size of *S.* The order of the solutions is implementation dependent.
- 2- A user-defined procedure is still found even when all its clauses have been retracted.
- 3- It is undefined whether private procedures are found.

⊳ Error cases

82 5. The Built-in Predicates

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
                                         reverse/2, reverse/3 and plus/3. 
current_predicate(reverse/X). Succeeds tvice vith substitutions: 
                                                      \{ X \leftarrow 2 \};current_predicate(X). 
                                                      \{ X \leftarrow 3 \}.(the order is implementation dependent) 
                                  Succeeds 3 times vith substitutions: 
                                                      {X \leftarrow plus/3};
                                                      \{ \ X \leftarrow \text{reverse}/2 \ };
                                                      \{ X \leftarrow \text{reverse}/3 \}.
                                 (the order is implementation dependent) 
current_predicate(reverse/l). Fails. 
current_predicate(4). type_error(predicate_indicator, 4)
```
30 current_prolog_flag/2 *Flag updates*

Finds the pairs < *flag, value>* where *flag* is a flag supported by the processor and *value* the value currently associated with it.

```
\triangleright How to use it: current_prolog_flag(?atom, ?term)
```
Example: current_prolog_flag(unknown, V).

\triangleright Description: current_prolog_flag(Flag, Value)

 $-$ If Flag is a variable or an atom, let *S* be the set of all the terms (F, V) such that

(1) F is a flag supported by the processor (including standard ones and extensions) and V is the value currently associated with it, (2) (F, V) and (Flag, Value) are unifiable (3.2).

- then
- If *S* is empty then fails.
- $-$ If *S* is not empty then succeeds with local substitution σ which is the unifier of (Flag, Value) and one of the elements of *S* not already chosen (the choice is implementation dependent).

NOTES:

- 1- current_prolog.:flag/2 is re-executable as many times as the size of *S.* The order of the solutions is implementation dependent.
- 2- If the flag bounded is false, there is no value for the flags min_integer and max_integer, hence current_prolog_flag(min_integer,N) or current_prolog_flag(max_integer, N) fails.

\triangleright Error cases

84 5. The Built-in Predicates

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the current value of the flag 'unknovn' is 'error', there is 
no flag 'flag' supported by the processor and the flag 'bounded' has 
value 'false'. 
current_prolog_flag(unknovn, V). Succeeds with substitution: 
                                                       \{ V \leftarrow error \}current_prolog_flag(unknovn, fail). Fails. 
current_prolog_flag(flag, error). Fails. 
current_prolog_flag(min_integer, H). Fails. 
current_prolog_flag(flag(unknovn). V). 
                                     type_error(atom, flag(unknown))
```


Prunes alternative solutions (cuts unexplored hanging branches in the search-tree).

 \triangleright How to use it: !.

Example: $insect(X)$, !.

\triangleright Description: !

- Succeeds with empty local substitution, after cutting some alternatives.

More precisely (see Sections 4.3.3 and 4.5) the effect of '!'/0 is to make deterministic all the nodes¹⁰ between the node (inclusive), where the predication, head of the clause whose body contains this cut, has been chosen, and the current node where this cut is executed.

If the current goal is $(!, G)$, a new child is thus created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal $G¹¹$.

- [> Error cases None.
- \triangleright Examples

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
vith the clauses: insect (bee) 
                      insect (ant) 
                                      :- true.
                                      :- true.
                                                         insect/1, animal/1 
                                                      animal(horse) :- true.
                                                     animal(cat) 
                                                     animal (dog) 
                                                                      :- true.
                                                                      :- true.
insect(X), ! . Succeeds once with substitution:
                                                                 { X <- bee } 
(insect(X)); animal(Y)), !. Succeeds once with substitution:
                                                                 { X <- bee } 
insect(X), !, animal(Y). Succeeds three times with substitutions
                    \{ X \leftarrow bee, Y \leftarrow horse \}; \{ X \leftarrow bee, Y \leftarrow cat \};
                                                  \{ X \leftarrow \text{bee}, Y \leftarrow \text{dog} \}.
```
¹⁰ This means that all the branches corresponding to not yet visited children of these nodes are deleted.

¹¹ If G is empty, then one assumes $G = true$.

 $Loqic$ and control

Alternative execution of two goals.

 \triangleright How to use it: (+body_term ; +body_term)

 $'$; $'/2$ is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 1100 and it is right associative (xfy).

```
Example: (insect(X), fly(X)); (legs(X,6), fly(X)).
```
\triangleright Description: $(G_1; G_2)$

- If G_1 and G_2 are two well-formed transformed (2.2.3) goals and the principal functor of G_1 is not '->'/2 then

executes G_1 and skips G_2 each time G_1 is satisfied, and executes G_2 when G_1 fails if this alternative has not been cut by the execution of G1.

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3) if the current goal is $((G_1; G_2), Cont)^{12}$ then two children are created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal $(G_1, Cont)$ for the first, $(G_2, Cont)$ for the second.

 $NOTE - The disjunction corresponds to a non-deterministic node. It may also be$ defined by the two meta clauses ("meta" because the variables Gl, G2 stands for subgoals):

 $'$;'(G1, G2) :- G1. γ ; '(G1, G2) :- G2. if the principal functor of G1 is not '->'/2.

I> Error cases

There is no error because in Standard Prolog only well-formed transformed goals are executed.

```
Assume the database contains the clauses: 
 legs(A, 6) :- insect(A). insect(bee) :- true. fly(bee) :- true.
 legs(A, 6) :- insect<br>legs (horse, 4) :- true.
(insect(X), fly(X)); (legs(X,6), fly(X)).insect(ant) :- true.
               Succeeds twice with the same substitution: {X \leftarrow bee }
```
 12 If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

33 **fail/O** *Logic and control*

Forced failure.

t> **How to use it:** fail

Example: $(X = 1 ; Y = 2)$, write (X) , fail.

t> **Description:** fail

- Fails.

More precisely fail can be viewed as a user defined predicate with no definition at all. Hence its execution defines a failed branch and the execution continues with backtracking (Section 4.2.6).

NOTE - In contrast to the execution of a predication which does not correspond to any existing user defined procedure¹³, no message is raised by executing fail/0.

```
t> Error cases 
   None.
```

```
(X = 1 ; X = 2), write(X), fail.
              Outputs on the current output stream 12 and fails. 
repeat, write(l), fail. 
              Outputs infinitely on the current output stream 111...
```
¹³ Its behaviour depends on the value of the flag unknown.

34 findall/3 *All solutions*

Collects all the solutions of a goal in a list (solution order) according to a given pattern.

 \triangleright How to use it: findall(@term, @body_term, ?list)

Example: findall $(X, \text{insect}(X), S)$

- \triangleright Description: findall (Term, Goal, Bag)
- If Goal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable,

let *S* be the sequence of the substitutions obtained by successive reexecution of call (Goal) and

let L be the list whose elements are freshly renamed copies of $\texttt{Term}\mu$ for all μ in *S* in the same order (if there is no element in *S*, *L* is the empty list). Then

- If Bag and *L* are NSTO (3.3.3) and unifiable by substitution σ , then succeeds with local substitution σ .
- If Bag and *L* are NSTO and not unifiable then fails.
- If Bag and *L* are STO then undefined.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

Assume the database contains the clauses: $legs(A, 6)$:- insect (A) . $legs(A, 4)$:- $animal(A)$. $legs(A, 8)$:- $spider(A)$. $insect(bee)$:- true. $insert(ant) :- true.$ findall(X, insect(X), S). Succeeds with substitution: { S <- [bee. ant] } findall(X, $(X=1; X=2)$, S). Succeeds with substitution: $\{ S \leftarrow [1, 2] \}$ findall(X, $(X = Y ; X = Y)$, S). Succeeds with substitution: ${S \leftarrow [-01, -02]}$ findall(X, fail, S). Succeeds with substitution: $\{ S \leftarrow [] \}$ findall(X, legs((X, Y, Y, Z)). Succeeds with substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow 6, Y \leftarrow 4, Z \leftarrow 8 \}$ $findall(X, insect(X), [ant, bee]).$ Fails. findall(X, X = f(Y,Y), $[f(X,g(X))])$. Undefined. findall(X, G, S). instantiation_error $findall(X, (true; 4), S).$ type_error(callable, (true; 4))

35 float/1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is a floating-point number.

 \triangleright How to use it: float (?term)

Example: float(10.01).

I> Description: float (Term)

- If Term is a floating-point number then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Term is not a floating-point number then fails.

I> Error cases None.

36 flush_output/o *Stream selection and control*

Flushes any buffered information to the current output stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: flush_output

Example: flush_output.

[~]Description: flush_output

- Succeeds with empty local substitution after flushing any buffered information to the current output stream.

NOTE - Behaves like: current_output(S), flush_output(S).

[~]Error cases None.

 \triangleright Examples

Assume that the current output stream has alias 'mickey'.

flush_output. Succeeds vith empty substitution, after flushing any buffered information to the stream 'mickey'.

37 flush_output/1 *Stream selection and control*

Flushes any buffered information to an output stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: flush_output (@stream_or_alias)

Example: flush_output (mickey) .

- \triangleright Description: flush_output(Stream_or_alias)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias associated to an open noninput stream, then sends to that stream any output which is currently buffered by the processor for the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

I> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Assume that the current output stream has alias 'mickey' and 'mouse' does not correspond to any open stream. flush_output(mickey). Succeeds vith empty substitution, after flushing any buffered information to that stream. flush_output(mouse). existence_error(stream, mouse)

38 functor /3 *Term creation and decomposition*

Relates a term (the first argument) with the name and the arity of its principal functor.

\triangleright How to use it: functor(-term, +atomic, +integer) functor(@nonvar, ?atomic, ?integer)

Example: functor(foo(aa,X), Y, Z).

I> Description: functor (Term , Name, Arity)

- If Term is a compound term $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$, $n > 0$ then if (f, n) is unifiable (3.2) with (Name, Arity) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- If Term is an atomic term c (an atom or a number) then if $(c, 0)$ is unifiable with (Name, Arity) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- $-$ If Term is a variable and Name is an atomic term c and Arity is the integer 0, then succeeds with local substitution { $Term \leftarrow c$ }.
- If Term is a variable and Name is an atom *f* and Arity is an integer $n,0 < n <$ *maxarity*, then succeeds with local substitution: { Term \leftarrow $f(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$, where X_1, \ldots, X_n are distinct implementation dependent fresh variables.

I> Error cases

94 5. The Built-in Predicates

o Term is a variable and Arity is an integer that is less than zero domain_error (not-Iess_than-zero, Arity)

I> **Examples**

 $functor(foo(aa,X), Y, Z).$ Succeeds with local substitution: { Y <- foo, Z <- 2 } functor(X, foo, 3). Succeeds with local substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow \text{foo}(X1, X2, X3) \}$ where Xl, X2, X3 are different implementation dependent fresh variables. functor(F , 1.5, 1). type_error(atom, 1.5)
```
39 geLbytejl Byte input/output
```
Reads from the current input stream a single byte (stream altered).

```
\triangleright How to use it: get_byte(?in_byte)
```
Example: get_byte (Byte) .

I> Description: get_byte(Byte)

- If the current input stream is neither a text stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Byte is a variable or an in-byte (a byte or the number -1), then it behaves like: current_input(S), get_byte(S, Byte).

I> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the current input stream has contents: 113,119,101,114, ...
get_byte(Byte). Succeeds with substitution: { Byte <- 113 } 
           and the current input stream is left as: 119,101,114, ...
get_byte(117). Fails. 
           and the current input stream is left as: 119,101,114, ...
get_byte('az').
                  type_error(in_byte, az)
```
Reads from a binary stream a single byte (stream altered).

 \triangleright How to use it: get_byte(@stream_or_alias, ?in_byte)

Example: get_byte(mickey, Byte).

- \triangleright Description: get_byte(Stream_or_alias, Byte)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a text stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Byte is a variable or an in-byte (a byte or the number -1), then
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action (error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_or _alias has stream property $eof_action(A)$.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then sets the stream position so that it is past-end-ofstream, if the number -1 and Byte are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let B be the next byte to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, advances the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias by one byte, if B and Byte are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

I> Error cases

o Stream_or _alias is an output stream o Stream_or _alias is associated with a text stream o Stream_or _alias has stream properties end_of_stream(past) and eof_action(error) permission_error(input, stream, Stream_or_alias) permission_error (input , text_stream, Stream_or_alias) permission_error (input , past_end_of_stream, Stream_or_alias)

5.3 The built-in predicates 97

t> **Examples**

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' whose contents are: 113,119,101,114, and 'mouse' is not an open stream. get_byte(mickey, Byte). Succeeds with substitution: { Byte <- 113 } and the stream is left as: $119, 101, 114, ...$ get_byte (mickey , 117). Fails, and the stream is left as: 119,101,114, get_byte (mouse , Byte). existence_error(stream, mouse)

41 geLchar /1 *Character input/output*

Reads from the current input stream a single character (stream altered).

```
\triangleright How to use it:
                           get_char(?in_E_character)
```
Example: get-char (Char) .

```
\triangleright Description: get_char(Char)
```
- If the current input stream is neither a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Char is a variable or a character in ECS (9.1) or the atom 'end_of_file', then it behaves like: current_input(S), get_char(S, Char).

\triangleright Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume the current input stream has contents: qverty ...
get_char(Char). Succeeds with substitution: { Char \leftarrow 'q' }
                  and the current input stream is left as: werty ...
get_char(·a·). Fails. 
                  and the current input stream is left as: werty ...
get_char('az'). type_error(in_character, 'az')
```
Reads from a text stream a single character (stream altered).

 \triangleright How to use it: get_char (@stream_or_alias, ?in.E_character)

Example: get_char(mickey, Char).

- \triangleright Description: get_char (Stream_or_alias, Char)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Char is a variable or a character in ECS (9.1) or the atom 'end_of_file', then
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action(error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_{-Or-alias} has stream property $eof_action(A)$.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then sets the stream position so that it is past-end-ofstream, if the atom end of file and Char are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let C be the next entity to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, advances the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias by one character, if C is a character in ECS and C and Char are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

[> Error cases

100 5. The Built-in Predicates

[> **Examples**

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' whose contents are: and there is an input stream with alias 'mouse' whose contents are: and 'donald' is not an open stream. qwerty ... 'qwerty' ... get_char(mickey, Char). Succeeds with substitution: { Char $\leftarrow 'q'$ }
and the stream is left as: werty ... and the stream is left as: get_char(mouse, Code). Succeeds with substitution: { Char <- '''' } (the atom containing just a single quote) and the stream is left as: qwerty' ... $get_char(mickey, 'a').$ Fails, and the stream is left as: werty ... get_char(donald, Char). existence_error(stream, donald)

 $Character$ *input/output*

Reads from the current input stream the character code of a single character (stream altered).

 \triangleright How to use it: get_code(?in_character_code)

Example: get_code (Code) .

[> Description: get_code(Code)

- If the current input stream is neither a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Code is a variable or an in-character code (a character code or the integer -1), then it behaves like:

current_input(S), get_code(S, Code).

[> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Assume the current input stream has contents: q werty ... get_code(Code). Succeeds with substitution: { Code <- 113 } (the value is implementation defined) and the current input stream is left as: werty ... get_code('a'). type_error(integer, a)

44 geLcode/2 *Character input/output*

Reads from a text stream the character code of a single character (stream altered).

 \triangleright How to use it: get_code(@stream.or_alias, ?in_character _code)

Example: get_code(mickey, Code).

[> Description: get_code (Stream.or _alias, Code)

- If Stream_or _alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Code is a variable or a in-character code (a character code or the integer -1), then
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action(error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_or_alias has stream property eof _act ion (A) .
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then sets the stream position so that it is past-end-ofstream, if the integer -1 and Code are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let C be the next entity to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, advances the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias by one character, if C is a one-char atom and the character code of C and Code are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

[> Error cases

5.3 The built-in predicates 103

\triangleright Examples

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' whose contents are: q werty ... and there is an input stream with alias 'mouse' whose contents are: and 'donald' is not an open stream. 'qwerty' ... get_code(mickey, Code). Succeeds with substitution: { Code <- 113 } (the value is implementation defined) and the stream is left as: werty ... get_code(mouse, Code). Succeeds with substitution: { Code <- 39 } (the value is implementation defined) and the stream is left as: qwerty' ... $get_code(mickey, 0'p)$. Fails, and the stream is left as: werty ... get_code (donald , Code). existence_error(stream, donald)

45 halt/O *Logic and control*

Stops the execution of the user top-level goal.

I> How to use it: halt

Example: halt.

I> Description: halt

- Exits from the execution of the (initial) top-level goal; the behaviour of the processor is thus implementation defined.

I> Error cases None.

\triangleright Examples

halt. Stops the execution of the initial top level goal. The behaviour of the processor is implementation defined.

 $catch((read(G), G), X, (write('SOS)'); halt)).$ If the execution of the goal G raises an exception, it viII be caught, and SOS! viII be output on the current output stream before the execution stops.

46 halt/1 *Logic and control*

Stops the execution of the user top-level goal, passing some information to the processor.

 \triangleright How to use it: halt (+integer)

Example: $halt(1)$.

 \triangleright Description: halt (Int)

- If lnt is an integer then exits from the execution of the (initial) top-level goal, passing the value lnt as a message to the processor whose behaviour is thus implementation defined.

 $NOTE$ - This built-in predicate never succeeds or fails.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

halt (1). Stops the execution of the initial top level goal. The behaviour of the processor is implementation defined, according to the value 1 passed to the processor.

halt(a). type_error(integer, a)

Assume rescue/2 integers in the range of the implementation defined argument values of halt/l. is a relation between caught error-terms and

 $catch((read(G), G), X, (write('SOS)'); rescue(X, Y), halt(Y))).$ If the execution of the goal G raises an exception, it will be caught, and SOS! will be output on the current output stream before some implementation defined action is performed according to the value Y passed to the processor.

```
47 (->)/2: if-then Logic and control
```
Prolog implication.

 \triangleright How to use it: +body_term -> +body_term

 \cdot -> \cdot /2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 1050 and it is right associative (xfy).

Example: $(X = 0 \rightarrow write('null'))$.

- c> Description: Cond -> Then
- If Cond and Then are two well-formed transformed (2.2.3) goals and $'$ ->'(Cond, Then) is defined out of the context of a disjunction (i.e. it is not the first argument of $'$; '/2) then
	- if Cond succeeds then cuts the choice points issued from Cond only and executes Then.
	- $-$ if Cond fails then fails 14 .

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3), if the current goal is $((Cond \rightarrow Then)$, $Cont)^{15}$, it adds a new child whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal $((call(Cond),!, Then), Cont).$

NOTES:

- 1- Cond is not transparent to cut.
- 2- Its behaviour is equivalent to the meta-clause ("meta" because the variables COND, THEN stands for subgoals) : $'$ ->'(COND, THEN) :- COND, !, THEN.

\triangleright Error cases

There is no error because in Standard Prolog only well-formed transformed goals are executed.

¹⁴ Therefore if-then/2 cannot be interpreted as a logical implication (otherwise it should succeed when the first argument fails); (Cond \rightarrow Then; true) could be used instead.

¹⁵ If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

t> **Examples**

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
                                                       legs/2, insect/1 
with the clauses: legs(A, 6) :- insect(A).
                     legs(horse, 4) :- true.<br>insect(bee) :- true.
                                  :- true.<br>:- true.
                     insect(int)X = 0 \rightarrow write('null'). Succeeds with substitution:
                                  { X (- O} after outputting: null 
legs(A,6) -> write(insect(A)). Succeeds once with substitution
                          { A (- bee} after outputting: insect(bee) 
X \geq 0 \Rightarrow write('positive'). Fails.
fail -> (true; true). Fails.
```


 $Logic and control$

Prolog alternative implication.

 \triangleright How to use it: (+body_term -> +body_term ; +body_term)

 $'$; '/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 1100 and it is right associative (xfy) .

->/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 1050 and it is right associative (xfy).

```
Example: (X = 0 \rightarrow write('null'); write('non-null')).
```
- \triangleright Description: (Cond -> Then; Else)
- $-$ If Cond, Then and Else are well-formed transformed (4.1.1) goals then
	- if Cond succeeds then cuts the choice points issued from Cond only and executes Then, ignoring Else.
	- if Cond fails then executes Else.

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3) if the current goal is $(Cond \rightarrow Then;$ Else), Cont)¹⁶ then two children are created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal (Call (Cond), !, Then, Cont) for the first and (Else, Cont) for the second.

NOTES:

- 1- Cond is not transparent to cut.
- 2- Its behaviour is equivalent to the meta-clause ("meta" because the variables COND, THEN, ELSE stands for subgoals) :
- $((COND \rightarrow THEN); ELSE) :- (Call(COND),!, THEN); ELSE.$ 3- If-then-else satisfies the property: (Cond \rightarrow fail; true) = \+(Cond)

[> Error cases

There is no error because in Standard Prolog only well-formed transformed goals are executed.

 16 If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

I> **Examples**

```
(X = 0 \rightarrow write('null'); write('positive')).Succeeds with substitution<br>{X \leftarrow 0} after outputting
                                                         after outputting: null
(X = 1, (X = 0 \rightarrow write('null'); write('positive'))).Succeeds with substitution 
                                       { X \leftarrow 1 } after outputting: positive
(((!, X=1, fail) \rightarrow true; fail); X=2).fail -) true ; true. 
((!, \t x=1, \t fail) \rightarrow true; \t fail).Succeeds with substitution 
                                       { X \leftarrow 2 }Succeeds. 
                                           Fails.
```
49 integer /1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is an integer.

 \triangleright How to use it: integer (?term)

Example: integer(10).

t> Description: integer (Term)

- If Term is an integer then succeeds with empty local substitution.

- If Term is not an integer then fails.

\triangleright Error cases None.

\triangleright Examples

Unifies the first argument and the value of the second argument.

\triangleright How to use it: is(?nonvar, @evaluable)

is/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $X = \text{atan}(1.0)$, Y is $\cos(X)*2 + \sin(X)*2$.

- \triangleright Description: Result is Expression
- If the evaluation of Expression is errorless (see Section 6.2) and produces the value *V* then

if Result and *V* are unifiable (3.2) by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Error cases

See Section 6.2 the exceptions raised by expression evaluation.

\triangleright Examples

```
X = \text{atan}(1.0), Y is \cos(X)**2 + \sin(X)**2.
                    Succeeds with substitution (approximate value) 
                                       \{ X \leftarrow \text{atan}(1.0), Y \leftarrow 1.000 \}X = 1+2, Y is X*3. Succeeds with substitution { X <- 1+2, Y <- 9 }
Result is 3+11.0. Succeeds with substitution { Result \leftarrow 14.0 }
is(foo, 77). Fails. 
1.0 is 1. Fails. 
X is (N+1)+(3/0). instantiation_error or
                    evaluation_error(zero_divisor) 
                (it is implementation dependent which one is raised)
```
51 nl/O *Character input/output*

Outputs to the current output text stream the implementation dependent new-line character.

 \triangleright How to use it: nl

Example: n1, put_char(t).

c> Description: nl

- If the current output stream is not a binary stream, then outputs the implementation dependent new-line character to the current output stream, changes the stream position on the current output stream, and

succeeds with empty local substitution.

\triangleright Error cases

$52/1$ *Character input/output*

Outputs to a text stream the implementation dependent new-line character.

```
\triangleright How to use it: nl (@stream_or_alias)
```
Example: nl(mickey), put_char (mickey, t).

- \triangleright Description: nl(Stream_or_alias)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input stream nor a binary stream, then

outputs the implementation dependent new-line character to the stream associated with stream-term or alias Stream_or_alias,

changes the stream position on the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, succeeds with empty local substitution.

[> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

```
Assume there is an output stream with alias 'mickey' 
                                     whose contents are: >... qwer
nl(mickey) , put_char(mickey, t). Succeeds with empty substitution, 
                 and the current output stream is left as: >... qwer
                                                           >t
```
53 nonvar/l *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is not a variable.

 \triangleright How to use it: nonvar(?term)

Example: nonvar('ok').

I> Description: nonvar(Term)

- If Term is not a variable then succeeds with empty local substitution.

- If Term is a variable then fails.

I> Error cases None.

I> Examples


```
54 \; (\rangle +)/1 : not provable Logic and control
```
Negation by failure: if the goal succeeds then fails else succeeds.

c> **How to use it:** \setminus + (@body_term)

 $(\+)/1$ is a predefined infix operator (its priority is 900 and it is right associative fy).

Example: $X = 3$, $\{(X = 1 ; X = 2)\}.$

c> **Description:** \+(Goal)

- If Goal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable and succeeds **then** fails.
- If Goal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable and fails **then** succeeds with empty local substitution.

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3), if the current goal is $(\+$ (Goal), $Cont)^{17}$, two new children are created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal (call (Goal), !, fail) for the first and Cont for the second.

c> **Error** cases

 $\setminus + (!)$; X = 1. Succeeds with substitution { X <- 1 }

 \setminus + ((X = 1 ; X = 2)), X = 3. Fails.

¹⁷ If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

```
X = 1, \sqrt{((X - 1 ; X = 2))}. Fails.
\setminus\left\{\text{(fail, 1)}\right\}. type_error(callable, (fail, 1))
Assume the folloving definition of the "barber paradox": 
           shave (barber, X) :- \+ shave(X, X).
shave (barber, 'Donald'). Succeeds.
shave (barber, barber). Loops.
The folloving program tests vhether the arguments are unifiable: 
           test_Prolog_unifiable(X, Y) :- \+ \+ X = Y.
test\_Prolog\_unifiable(f(a, X), f(X, a)). Succeeds.
test\_Prolog\_unifiable(f(a, X), f(X, b)). Fails.
                                 Undefined. 
test\_Prolog\_unifiable(X, f(X)).
The folloving tva programs shov that adding cuts in a program may 
increase the number of successes: 
     p1 :- \qquad q1. <br>p2 :- \qquad q2.
     q1 :- fail. q2 : - !, fail.
     q1 :- true. q2 :- true.
p1. Fails.
```
p2. Succeeds.

55 number/1 *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is a number.

 \triangleright How to use it: number (?term)

Example: number(iO.Oi).

t> Description: number (Term)

- If Term is a number then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Term is not a number (an atom, a compound term or a variable) then fails.

t> Error cases None.

\triangleright Examples

56 number_chars/2 *Atomic term processing*

Relates a number and the list of the one-char atoms forming its writable representation.

\triangleright How to use it: number_chars (+number, ?character_list) number_chars (-number, +character_list)

Example: number_chars(X, ['3', '.', '3', 'E', +, '0', '1']).

- \triangleright Description: number_chars (Number, List)
- If List is a list of one-char atoms parsable as a number of value N then if Number and N are unifiable (3.2) by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- $-$ If Number is a number and List is not a list then let L be the list of one-char atoms whose names correspond to the sequence of characters which would be output by writing Number by write_canonical(Number) (as described in 7.4.3 in the items 2 and 3 (with option quoted(true)), the result is implementation dependent), and

if List and L are unifiable by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Error cases

I> **Examples**

number_chars(X, ['3', '.', '3', 'E', +, '0', '1']). Succeeds with substitution: { $X \leftarrow 33.0$ } number_chars(33.0, ['3', '.', '3', 'E', +, '0', '1']). $number_{\text{chars}}(33.0, Y)$. Succeeds. Succeeds with substitution: { $Y \leftarrow ['3', '.'', '3', 'E', +, '0', '1']$ } (the list is implementation dependent) $X = 33.0$, number_chars(X, C), number_chars(Y, C), X == Y. Succeeds. number_chars $(A, [\n\prime\$ n', ' ', '3']). Succeeds with substitution: $\{ A \leftarrow 3 \}$ number_chars $(4.2, ['4', '2' + X]).$ $number_chars(A, [0', '$, , a]). Fails or Succeeds with some substitutions: { $X \leftarrow [\cdot, ', ', 0', 'E', '--', '1']$ } or **{X<- ['0', '.J, '0', 'e', '-', '2']}** or ... (The result is implementation dependent) Succeeds with substitution: $\{ A \leftarrow 97 \}$ (The result is implementation defined) number_chars(3.33, ['3', '.', '3', 'E', +, '0']). Fails. number_chars (4.2, ['a', '2', '.', '0', 'e', '-', '1']). syntax_error (imp_dep_atom)

57 number_codes/2 *A tomic term processing*

Relates a number and the list of character codes whose characters form its writable representation.

 \triangleright How to use it: number_codes (+number, ?character_code_list) number_codes(-number, +character_code-1ist)

Example: number_codes $(33, [0'3, 0'3])$.

I> Description: number _codes (Number, List)

- If Number is a variable and List is a list of character codes corresponding to a character sequence of one-char atoms parsable as a number of value N then

if Number and N are unifiable (3.2) by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

- If Number is a number and List is a variable or a list of character codes corresponding to a character sequence of one-char atoms parsable as a number then let L a list of character codes corresponding to the sequence of characters which would be output by writing Number by write_canonical (Number) (as described in $7.4.3$ in the items 2 and 3 (with option quoted(true)), the result is implementation dependent), and if List and L are unifiable by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

I> Error cases

[> **Examples**

number_codes(33, [0'3, 0'3]). Succeeds. number_codes(X, [0'3, 0'3]). Succeeds with substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow 33 \}$ number_codes(33, Y). Succeeds with substitution: { Y <- [0'3, 0'3] } number_codes(33.0, Y). Succeeds with substitution: { Y <- [0'3, 0'., 0'3, O'E, 0'+, 0'0, 0'1] } (the list is implementation dependent) $X = 3.3e+01$, number_codes(X, C), number_codes(Y, C), X == Y. Succeeds with substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow 3.3, Y \leftarrow 3.3, C \leftarrow [0'3, 0', 0'3] \}$ (the list is implementation dependent) number_codes(3.3, [0'3, 0'., 0'3, 0'E, 0'+, 0'0]). number_codes(4.2, ['a', '2', ''', '0', 'e', '-', '1']). Succeeds or Fails. (implementation dependent) domain_error(character_code_list, **['a't '2' t** '.', **'0', 'e', '-' J '1'])**

58 once/1 *Logic and control*

Executes a goal once only, whatever may be the number of solutions.

 \triangleright How to use it: once (+body_term)

Example: $once((X = 1 ; X = 2))$.

- \triangleright Description: once(Goal)
- If Goal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable then executes Goal only once (until the first success, if any).

More precisely (see Section 4.3.3), if the current goal is (once (Goal) , $Cont)^{18}$, a new child is created whose labels are the empty substitution and the goal (Goal, !, Cont).

 $NOTE$ - once(Goal) behaves like call(Goal,!).

[> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

 $\frac{18}{18}$ If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

```
59 op/3 Term input/output
```
Updates the operator table used by inputting and outputting terms. The table specifies which atoms will be regarded as operators when a sequence of tokens is parsed or written by the I/O built-in predicates, or when a prolog text is prepared for execution.

```
\triangleright How to use it: op(@integer, @operator_specifier,
                        0atom_or _atom~ist)
```
Example: $op(30, xfy, ++)$.

```
I> Description: op(Priority, Op_specifier, Operator)
```
- If Priority is an integer between 0 and 1200 inclusive, and Operator is an atom or a list of atoms such that no atom is ',', and Op-specifier is an operator specifier such that inclusion of the operators of Operator in the current operator table would not breach its validity $(9.2.4)$,

then updates the operator table as follows:

for every atom Op in Operator,

- $-$ if Op is currently an operator with the same operator class (prefix, infix or postfix)¹⁹ as Op_specifier then if Priority = 0 then Op is removed, so that Op is no longer an operator of that class, else (Priority > 0) the priority of the operator Op with specifier Op_specifier is updated to Priority,
- if Op is not currently an operator with the same operator class then if Priority is different from 0 then Op is made an operator with specifier Op_specifier and priority Priority,

and succeeds with empty local substitution.

NOTES:

- 1- In the event of an error being detected in an Operator list argument, it is undefined which, if any, of the atoms in the list is made an operator.
- 2- A Priority of zero can be used to remove an operator from the operator table.
- 3- It does not matter if the same atom appears more than once in an Operator list; this is not an error and the duplicates simply have no effect.
- 4- In general, except for ',', any operator can be removed from the operator table and its priority or specifier can be changed.
- 5- op/3 never fails.

 19 See Table 9.1.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

```
Assuming the predefined operator table is unaltered. 
op(30, xfy, ++). Succeeds, making ++ a right associative 
                                    infix operator vith priority 30. 
op(0, yfx, ++). Succeeds, making ++ no longer an infix operator.
op(30, xfy. ++), op(40, xfx, ++). 
                   Succeeds, making ++ a non-associative 
                                    infix operator vith priority 40. 
op(1201. xfy. ++). domain_error(operator_priority. 1201) 
op(30, IFY. ++). instantiation_error 
op(30. xfy. ++). op(50. yf. ++). 
                   permission_error(create. operator, ++) 
(there cannot be an infix and a postfix operator vith the same name)
```
60 open/3 *Stream selection and control*

Opens a source/sink which is a text stream with the default options.

 \triangleright How to use it: open(@source_sink, @io_mode, -stream)

Example: open('/user/peter/data', read, D).

- I> Description: open (Source-Bink, Mode, Stream)
- $-$ If Source-sink is a source/sink-term and corresponds to a source/sink which may be opened, and Mode is an I/O mode atom $(7.3.1)$, and Stream is a variable,

then opens the source/sink Source_sink for input or output as indicated by Mode, and succeeds with local substitution: { Stream + stream*term* } (where *stream-term* is an implementation dependent non atomic ground term).

NOTES:

- 1- open(Source_sink, Mode, Stream) behaves like: open(Source_sink, Mode, Stream, []).
- 2- A permission error when Mode is write or append means that Source_sink does not specify a sink that can be created, for example, a specified disk or directory does not exist. If Mode is read then it is also possible that the file specification is valid but the file does not exist.
- 3- The effect of opening more than once a source/sink is undefined.

I> Error cases

126 5. The Built-in Predicates

o Stream is not a variable type_error (variable , Stream)

I> Examples

Assuming '/user/peter/data' is the path name of an existing file. open('/user/peter/data', read, D). opens the file '/user/peter/data' as text stream for input, then Succeeds with substitution: { D <- '\$stream'(132464) }

(an implementation dependent non atomic ground term)

61 open/4 *Stream selection and control*

Opens a source/sink.

 \triangleright How to use it: open(@source_sink, @io_mode, -stream, **@open_options)**

Example: open('/user/peter/data', read, D, [type(binary)]).

I> Description: open(Source-sink, Mode, Stream, Options)

- If Source-sink is a source/sink term (an implementation defined ground term) and corresponds to a source/sink which may be opened, Mode is an I/O mode atom $(7.3.1)$,

Stream is a variable, .

Options is a list of stream-options supported at stream creation (7.3.2) with no variable as element, such that, if one of its elements is alias (A), A is not already associated with an open stream, or if one of its elements is reposition(true), it is possible to reposition this stream²⁰.

then opens the source/sink Source-sink for input or output as indicated by Mode and Options, and succeeds with local substitution: { Stream \leftarrow *stream-term* } (where *stream-term* is an implementation dependent non atomic ground term).

NOTES:

- 1- A permission error when Mode is write or append means that Source_sink does not specify a sink that can be created, for example, a specified disk or directory does not exist. If Mode is read then it is also possible that the file specification is valid but the file does not exist.
- 2- The effect of opening more than once a source/sink is undefined.
- 3- If Options contains contradictory stream-options, the rightmost stream-option is the one which applies.

I> Error cases

²⁰ A stream may have several aliases.

128 5. The Built-in Predicates

t> **Examples**

Assuming '/user/peter/data' is the path name of an existing file. open('/user/peter/data', read, D, [type(binary)]). The stream corresponding to the file '/user/peter/data' is opened as binary for input, then Succeeds with substitution $\{ D \leftarrow \text{ '\$stream' (132464) } \}$ (an implementation dependent non atomic ground term) Assuming '/user/ali/data' is the path name of an existing file. open('/user/ali/data', read, DD, []). The stream corresponding to the file '/user/ali/data' is opened as text for input, then Succeeds with substitution $\{ D \leftarrow \text{?}}$ Sstream'(142464) } (an implementation dependent non atomic ground term)

```
62 peek_byte/1
```
Byte input/output

Reads from the current input binary stream a single byte leaving the stream position unaltered.

```
\triangleright How to use it: peek_byte(?in_byte)
```
Example: peek_byte(Byte).

```
\triangleright Description: peek_byte(Byte)
```
- If the current input stream is neither a text stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Byte is a variable or an in-byte (a byte or the number -1), then it behaves like: current_input(S), peek_byte(S, Byte).

\triangleright Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Reads from a binary stream a single byte leaving the stream position unaltered.

 \triangleright How to use it: peek_byte (@stream_or_alias, ?in_byte)

Example: peek_byte (mickey, Byte).

- \triangleright Description: peek_byte (Stream_or_alias, Byte)
- If Stream_or _alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a text stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Byte is a variable or an in-byte (a byte or the number -1), then
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action(error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_or_alias has stream property e of $action(A)$.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then if the number -1 and Byte are unifiable (3.2)by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream or alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let B be the next byte to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or _alias, if B and Byte are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Error cases

5.3 The built-in predicates 131

t> **Examples**

```
Assume there is an input binary stream with alias 'mickey 
                           whose contents are: 113,119,101,114, ...
and 'mouse' is not an open stream. 
peek_byte (mickey, Byte). 
                   Succeeds with substitution: { Byte <- 113 }
                     and the stream is left as: 113,119,101,114, ...
peek_byte(mickey, 119). 
                   Fails, 
                     and the stream is left as: 113,119,101,114, ... 
peek_byte(mouse, Char). 
                   existence_error(stream, mouse) 
peek_byte(user_output, X). 
                   permission_error(input, stream, user_output)
```
64 peek_char/1

Character input/output

Reads from the current input text stream a single character leaving the stream position unaltered.

```
\triangleright How to use it: peek_char(?in.E_character)
```
Example: peek_char (Char) .

```
t> Description: peek_char(Char)
```
- If the current input stream is neither a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Char is a variable or a character in ECS or the atom 'end_of_file', then it behaves as: current_input(S), peek_char(S, Char).

\triangleright Error cases


```
Assume the current input stream has contents: querty ...
peek_char(Char). Succeeds vith substitution: { Char <- q } 
                 and the current input stream is left as: qwerty ...
peek_char('a'). Fails. \texttt{peek\_char}(1).
                type_error(in_character, 1)
```
Reads from a text stream a single character leaving the stream position unaltered.

 \triangleright How to use it: peek_char(@stream_or_alias, ?in_E_character)

Example: peek_char(mickey, Char).

\triangleright $\bf{Description:}$ peek_char(Stream_or_alias, Char)

- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Char is a variable or a character in ECS or the atom 'end_of_file', then
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action(error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_or_alias has stream property $eof_action(A)$.
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then if the atom end_of-file and Char are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- $-$ if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let C be the next entity to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, and if C is a character in ECS then if C and Char are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

\triangleright Error cases

134 5. The Built-in Predicates

\triangleright Examples

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' whose contents are: qwerty ... and there is an input stream with alias 'mouse' 'qwerty' ... whose contents are: and 'donald' is not an open stream. peek_char(mickey, Char). Succeeds with substitution: {Char $\leftarrow q$ }
and the stream is left as: querty ... and the stream is left as: Succeeds with substitution: { Char <- ''''} peek_char(mouse. Code). (the atom consisting of a single quote) and the stream is left as: 'qwerty' ... peek_char(mickey. a). Fails. and the stream is left as: qwerty ... peek_char(mickey. 1). type_error(in_character, 1) peek_char (donald. Char). existence_error(stream. donald)

 $Character$ *input/output*

Reads from the current input text stream the character code of a single character leaving the stream position unaltered.

 \triangleright How to use it: peek_code(?in_character_code)

Example: peek_code (Code) .

- I> Description: peek_code(Code)
- If the current input stream is neither a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Code is a variable or an in-character code (a character code or the integer -1), then it behaves like: current_input(S), peek_code(S, Code).

I> Error cases

I> Examples

Reads from a text stream the character code of a single character leaving the stream position unaltered.

\triangleright How to use it: peek_code(@stream_or_alias, ?in_character_code)

Example: peek_code (mickey, Code).

l> Description: peek_code (Stream_or Alias, Code)

- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an output stream nor a binary stream nor has properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Code is a variable or an in-character code (a character code or the integer -1), then
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is past-end-of-stream without the property eof_action(error) then performs the action specified in 7.3.2 appropriate to the value of A where the stream associated with Stream_or _alias has stream property $eof_action(A)$.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is end-of-stream, then if the integer -1 and Code are unifiable (3.2) by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
	- if the stream position of the stream associated with Stream_or_alias is neither past-end-of-stream nor end-of-stream then let C be the next entity to be read from the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, and if C is a character in ECS then if the character code of C and Code are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.

l> Error cases


```
domain_error (stream_or_alias, 
Stream_or_alias) 
existence_error(stream, 
Stream_or_alias)
permission_error(input, 
stream, Stream_or_alias) 
permission_error (input , 
binary_stream, 
Stream_or_alias) 
permission_error (input , 
past_end_of_stream, 
Stream_or_alias)
representation_error(char-
acter)
```
I> **Examples**

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' whose contents are: qwerty ... and there is an input stream with alias **'mouse'** whose contents are: 'qwerty' ... and 'donald' is not an open stream. peek_code(mickey, Code). Succeeds with substitution: { Code <- 113 } (the value is implementation defined) and the current input stream is left as: qwerty ... peek_code(mouse, Code). Succeeds with substitution: {Code <- 0''' } and the stream is left as: 'qwerty'... peek_code (mickey , O'p). Fails, and the stream is left as: qwerty ... peek_code (donald , Code). existence_error(stream, donald)

68 **puLbyte/l** *Byte input/output*

Outputs to the current output stream a single byte.

I> **How to use it:** put_byte (+byte)

Example: put_byte (84) .

I> **Description:** put_byte (Byte)

- If the current output stream is not a text stream and Byte is a byte, **then** it behaves like: current_output(S), put_byte(S, Byte).

I> **Error** cases

I> **Examples**

Assume the current output stream has contents: \ldots , 113, 119, 101, 114 put_byte(84). Succeeds with empty substitution. and the current output stream is left as: ..., 113,119,101,114,84 put_byte('t'). type_error(byte, t)

```
69 puLbyte/2 Byte input/output
```
Outputs to a binary stream a single byte.

 \triangleright How to use it: put_byte(@stream_or_alias, +byte)

Example: put_byte (mickey, 113).

- [> Description: put_byte (Stream_or _alias, Byte)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input stream nor a text stream and Byte is a byte, then outputs Byte to the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, changes the stream position to take account of the byte which has been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

[> Error cases


```
Assume there is an output stream with alias 'mickey' 
                     whose contents are: ... , 113,119,101,114 
put_byte(mickey, 84). Succeeds with empty substitution,
                      and the stream is left as: 113,119,101,114,84 
put_byte(mickey, 't'). type_error(byte, t)
put_byte(mickey, C). instantiation_error
```
70 puLchar /1 *Chamcter input/output*

Outputs to the current output text stream a single character.

 \triangleright How to use it: put_char(+E_character)

Example: put_char(t).

I> Description: put_char(Char)

 $-$ If the current output stream is not a binary stream and ${\tt Char}$ is a character in ECS, then it behaves like: current_output(S), put_char(S, Char).

I> Error cases


```
71 puLchar/2 Character input/output
```
Outputs to a text stream a single character.

 \triangleright How to use it: put_char(@stream_or_alias, +E_character)

Example: put_char(mickey, t).

- t> Description: put_char (Stream_or _alias, Char)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input stream nor a binary stream and Char is a character in ECS, then outputs the character Char to the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, changes the stream position, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

t> Error cases

72 puLcode/l *Character input/output*

Outputs to the current output stream a single character corresponding to a given character code.

 \triangleright How to use it: put_code(+character_code)

Example: put_code (Code) .

```
t> Description: put_code (Code)
```
- If the current output stream is not a binary stream and Code is a character code, then it behaves like: current_output(S), put_code(S, Char).

\triangleright Error cases

Outputs to a text stream a single character corresponding to the given character code.

 \triangleright How to use it: put_code(@stream_or_alias, +character_code)

Example: put_code(mickey, 0't).

- I> Description: put-code CStream_or _alias, Code)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input stream nor a binary stream and Code is a character code, then

outputs the character whose character code is Code to the stream associated with Stream_or_alias, changes the stream position, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

74 read/1 *Term input/output*

Reads from the current input stream a single term.

 \triangleright How to use it: read (?term)

Example: read(T).

I> Description: read(Term)

- If the current input stream is not a binary stream and does not have properties end_of _stream (past) together with eof _act ion (error) , then it behaves like:

```
current_input (Stream), read_term (Stream, Term, [ ]).
```
NOTE - The number of characters which are input is undefined if an error occurs during the execution of read/1.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

```
read(T) . 
  If the contents of the current input stream are: 
                                                   foo(A+B, A+C).term2...
                            Succeeds with substitution: 
                                        \{ T \leftarrow \text{foo}(\_01 + \_02, \_01 + \_03) \}
```
5.3 The built-in predicates 145 The current input stream is left as: term2. ... $read(foo(X,a))$. If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(a, a) . term2. \ldots$ Succeeds with substitution: $\{ X \le a \}$
input stream is left as: term2... The current input stream is left as: $read(foo(X, a))$. If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(a,b) . term2.$... Fails. The current input stream is left as: term2. ... $read(foo(X, f(X)))$. If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(Y,Y)$.term $2. \ldots$ Undefined. The current input stream is left as: term2. ... read(T). If the contents of the current input stream are: 3.1 syntax_error(violation) where 'violation' is an implementation dependent atom. The current input stream is left with position past-end-of-stream.

$75 \text{ read}/2$ *Term input/output*

Reads from a text stream a single term.

 \triangleright How to use it: read (@stream.or_alias, ?term)

Example: read(mickey, T).

- [> Description: read (Stream_or _alias, Term)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term of an open stream which is neither output nor binary stream and does not have properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), then it behaves like: read_term(Stream_or_alias, Term, []).

NOTE - The number of characters which are input is undefined if an error occurs during the execution of read/2.

Error-term

[> Error cases

Conditions

\triangleright Examples

read(mickey, T). If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(A+B, A+C)$.term2... Succeeds with substitution: $\{ T \leftarrow \text{foo}(_01 + _02, _01 + _03) \}$ The stream associated with mickey is left as: $term2.$... $read(mickey, foo(X,a)).$ If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(a, a) . term2. \ldots$ Succeeds with substitution: { $X \leftarrow a$ } The stream associated with mickey is left as: term2. ... $read(mickey, foo(X,a)).$ If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(a,b)$. term2. ... Fails The stream associated with mickey is left as: $term2.$... $read(mickey, foo(X,f(X)))$. If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(Y,Y)$. term2. ... Undefined. The stream associated with mickey is left as: $term2.$... read(mickey. T). If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are:
 $a^2a \ldots$ syntax_error(violation) where 'violation' is an implementation dependent atom. The stream associated with mickey is left in an undefined position.

76 read_term/2 *Term input/output*

Reads from the current input stream a single term and instantiates accordingly the read-options list.

 \triangleright How to use it: read_term(?term, +read_options_list)

Example: read_term(T, [variables(U)]).

I> Description: read_term{Term, Options)

- If the current input stream is not a binary stream and does not have properties end_of_stream(past) together with eof_action(error), and Options is a list of valid read-options²¹ with no variable as element, then it behaves like:

current_input(Stream), read_term(Stream, Term, Options).

NOTES:

- 1- The number of characters which are input is undefined if an error occurs during the execution of read_term/2.
- 2- The behaviour of read_term/2 is undefined if Term and Options share some variables.

I> Error cases

21 See the Glossary.

5.3 The built-in predicates 149

o The current input stream IS has stream properties end_of_stream(past) and eof_action(error) permission_error (input , past_end_of_stream, IS)

\triangleright Examples

read_term(T, [variables(U)]). If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(A_{-}, A_{-})$.term2. ... Succeeds with substitution: $\{ T \leftarrow \text{foo}(_01 + _02, _01 + _03)$ $U \leftarrow [-01, -02, -03]$ }. The stream associated with the current input stream is left as: terrn2. . .. read_term(T, [variables(VL), variable_names(VN), singletons(VS)]). If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(A+_{-}, A+C).term2.$... Succeeds with substitution: $\{T \leq -f_{00}(X1+X2, X1+X3)\}$ VL <- [Xl, X2, X3] $VN \leftarrow [\, 'A' = X1, 'C' = X3]$ $VS \leftarrow [\text{'C'} = X3]$ }. The stream associated with the current input stream is left as: term2. ... read_term(foo(X,a) , [variables(U)]). If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(a,b).term2.$... Fails. $read_term(foo(X, f(X))$, [variable(U)]). If the contents of the current input stream are: $foo(Y, Y)$. term2. ... Undefined. The stream associated with the current input stream is left as: term2. ... read_term(T, [variable(X)]). domain_error(read_option, variable(X)) read_term(T, []). If the contents of the current input stream are: 3.1 syntax_error(violation) where 'violation' is an implementation dependent atom. The current input stream is left with position past-end-of-stream.

77 read_term/3 *Term input/output*

Reads from a text stream a single term and instantiates accordingly the read-option list.

 \triangleright How to use it: read_term (@stream_or_alias, ?term, +read_options_list)

Example: read_term(mickey, T, [variables (V)]).

\triangleright Description: read_term(Stream_or_alias, Term, Options)

- If Stream_or_alias is the stream-term of an open stream which is neither output nor binary stream and does not have properties end_of _stream (past) together with eof_action (error), and Options is a list of valid read-options²² with no variable as element,

then let *S* be the sequence of the characters in Stream_or-alias such that:

the last character of the sequence is the first end token on the stream, and each character of the sequence is the same corresponding character *Char* on Stream_or-alias if the char-conversion flag is off or *Char* is a quoted character, otherwise it is the corresponding character according to the current character conversion table (9.1.2).

if S is parsable as a term T' , then let T be T' , freshly renamed, and μ be the substitution denoting the instantiation of the variables of Options for the term *T* as described for the read-options (7.4.1), and

- $-$ if *T* and Term are *NSTO* (3.3.3) and unifiable by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution $\mu\sigma$.
- if *T* and Term are *N STO* and not unifiable then fails.
- if *T* and Term are *STO* then undefined.

NOTES:

- 1- The number of characters which are input is undefined if an error occurs during the execution of read_term/3.
- 2- The behaviour of read_term/3 is undefined if Term and Options share some variables.

 22 See the Glossary.

I> Error cases


```
read_term(mickey, T, [variables{V)]). 
   If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: 
                                                  foo(A+_{-}, A+_{-}) .term2. ...Succeeds with substitution: 
                                {T < -foo(.01+.02, .01+.03),
   V \leftarrow [-01, -02, -03] }.<br>The stream associated with the current input stream is left as:
                                                                  term2. ...
read_term(mickey, T, 
                [variables (VL), variable_names (VN), singletons(VS)]). 
   If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: 
                                                 foo(A+B, A+). term2. ...
                            Succeeds with substitution: 
                                {T \leftarrow foo(X1+X2, X1+X3)}\cdotVL <- [Xl, X2, X3] 
                                  VN < - ['A' = X1, 'B' = X2]
                                  VS \leftarrow [\, 'B \, ' = X2] }.
```
152 5. The Built-in Predicates

The stream associated with mickey is left as: term2. ... read_term(mickey, foo(X,a), [variables(VL), variable_names(VN), singletons(VS)]). If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(a,b)$. term2. ... Fails The stream associated with mickey is left as: term2. ... $read_term(mickey, foo(X, f(X)), [variables(VL)]).$ If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: $foo(Y,Y)$. term2. ... Undefined. read_term(mickey, T, [variables(VL), _). instantiation_error read_term(mickey, T, [variable(X»)). domain_error(read_option, variable(X)) $read_term(mickey, T, []).$ If the contents of the stream associated with mickey are: 3.1 syntax_error(violation) where 'violation' is an implementation dependent atom. The stream associated with mickey is left with position past-end-of-stream.

78 repeat/O *Logic and control*

Succeeds repeatedly and indefinitely.

\triangleright How to use it: repeat.

Example: repeat, write('hello'), fail.

[> Description: repeat

- It has the same behaviour as if it were defined by the two clauses:

repeat :- true. repeat :- repeat.

[> Error cases None.

\triangleright Examples

repeat, write('hello '), fail. repeat, !. repeat, !, fail. repeat, $X = f(X)$, !. repeat, fail. Outputs indefinitely: hello hello hello hello ... Succeeds. Fails. Undefined. Loops. repeat, $f(X,Y,X) = f(g(X),g(Y),Y)$, fail. Loops, repeating an undefined action.

79 retract/1 *Clause creation and destruction*

Retracts from the database the clauses which are unifiable with the argument.

```
\triangleright How to use it: retract(+clause_term)
```
Example: $return$ retract(insect(X)).

I> Description: retract(Clause)

Let (Head, Body) be the term built as follows:

- $-$ if Clause is not a compound term whose principal functor is $:-/2$ then Head is Clause and Body is true,
- if Clause is a compound term $' : -' (H, B)$ then Head is H and Body is B.
- If Head is a callable term (an atom or a compound term) then Let *S* be the sequence of all the terms (A, C) obtained by a sequential search in the database such that

(1) the database contains a clause in a dynamic user-defined procedure of the form A' :- C', such that A :- C is a freshly renamed copy, and (2) (Head,Body) and (A, C) are NSTO (3.3.3) and unifiable.

- then
- if *S* is empty then fails.
- $-$ if *S* is not empty then succeeds with local substitution σ which is the unifier of (Head, Body) and the first element of *S* not yet used.

NOTES:

- 1- retract/! is re-executable as many times as the size of *S.* The order of the solutions corresponds to the order of the elements in the sequence *S.*
- 2- The number of elements of the sequence *S* may be undefined if some tried pairs are STO.

I> Error cases


```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
    moose/l, legs/2, insect/l, elk/l 
with the clauses: (dynamic.) \text{ legs}(A, 6) : - \text{ insect}(A).
                                    legs(A, 4) :- animal(A).
                                    legs(A, 8) :- spider(A).
                                    insect(bee) :- true.
                                    insect(ant) :- true.
                                    product(A) :- call(A), call(A).
                                    \ddotsreturn (insect(X)). Succeeds twice with the substitutions:
                                      \{ X \leftarrow bee \};\{ X \leftarrow \text{ant } \}.After the last success, all the clauses of insect/1 
  have been removed. 
insect(X), (retract(insect(Y)); true).
                                 Succeeds 4 times vith the substitutions: 
                                      \{ X \leftarrow \text{bee}, Y \leftarrow \text{bee} \};\{ X \leftarrow \text{bee}, Y \leftarrow \text{ant } \};\{ X \leftarrow bee \};\{ X \leftarrow \text{ant } \}.All the clauses of insect/l have been removed after the second 
  success. 
retract((legs(A, 4) :- X)). Succeeds with the substitution:
                                      \{ X \leftarrow \text{insect}(A) \}and removes the clause 'legs(A, 4) :- animal(A).'.
  Other possible substitution: { A \leftarrow .01, X \leftarrow \text{insect}(.01) }
                                                          • (undefined) 
return(t((product(X) :- call(X), call(X))).Succeeds vith empty substitution. 
  and removes the clause 'product(A) :- call(A), call(A)'.
return (product(X) :- 4)). Fails.
return(t(\text{legs}(X, 4)) : - \text{ animal}(f(X)))).
                                 Undefined. 
return(\text{product}(X) : X, \text{call}(X))).Undefined. 
retract(X). 
                                 instantiation_error 
retract(4). 
                                 type_error(callable, 4) 
return(c, \ldots, c).
                                 permission_error(access, 
                                                  static_procedure, atom/l).
```
80 set_input/1 Stream selection and control

Sets the current input stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: set_input (@stream_or_alias)

Example: set_input ('user-input').

- \triangleright Description: set_input (Stream_or_alias)
- IfStream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is not an output stream then sets the current input stream to Stream_or_alias and succeeds with empty substitution.

I> Error cases

\triangleright Examples

Assume there is an open input stream vith alias 'mickey' and the current input stream is 'user_input'. set_input('mickey'). Succeeds, and the current input stream is now 'mickey'. set_input(Mickey). instantiation_error

81 seLoutput/l *Stream selection and control*

Sets the current output stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: set_output (@stream.or_alias)

Example: set_output('user-output').

- \triangleright Description: set_output (Stream_or_alias)
- If Stream_or _alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is not an input stream then sets the current output stream to Stream_or _alias and succeeds with empty substitution.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

Assume there is an open output stream vith alias 'mickey' and the current output stream is 'user_output'.

82 set_prolog_flag/2 *Flag updates*

Assigns a value to a flag.

 \triangleright How to use it: set_prolog_flag(+flag, @term)

Example: set_prolog_flag(unknown, fail).

- \triangleright Description: set_prolog_flag(Flag, Value)
- If Flag is a valid changeable flag of the processor and Value is one of the autorized value for this flag then the current value of the flag Flag is updated to Value and succeeds with empty local substitution.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

```
set_prolog_flag(unknovn, fail). 
                            Succeeds, 
           after updating the value of the flag 'unknown' to 'fail'.
set_prolog_flag(max_arity, 1000000000). 
                           permission_error(modify, flag, max_arity)
```
Assigns a given position to the position property of a given stream.

 \triangleright How to use it: set_stream_position(@stream_or_alias, (Ostream_position)

Example: set_stream-position(mickey, 1).

\triangleright Description: set_stream_position(Stream_or_alias, Position)

- If Stream_or _alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream with property reposition(true) and Position is a stream position term then sets the current stream position of the stream to Position and succeeds with empty substitution.

 $NOTE - Position$ is an implementation dependent ground term. Therefore, usually, it will previously have been returned as a position/1 stream property of the stream.

I> Error cases

I> Examples

Assume there is an input stream with alias 'mickey' which has properties: reposition(true), position('end_of_file'). set_stream_position(mickey. 1). Succeeds after repositioning the stream 'mickey' and updating its position property with position(l). (The stream position term is implementation dependent)

Assembles as a list the solutions of a goal for each different instantiation of the free variables in that goal. Each list is sorted (with duplicates removed) but the order in which each list is found is undefined.

```
\triangleright How to use it: setof(@term, +body_term, ?list)
```
Example: $setof(A, legs(A,N), B)$.

- I> Description: setof(Template, Sgoal, Slist)
- $-$ If the bagof-subgoal²³ G of Sgoal is a well-formed body-term different from a variable,

let W be a witness of the free variables²³ of (Template $\hat{ }$ Sgoal), and let S be the list, solution of findal1 ([W, Template], G, S),

- $-$ If S is the empty list, then fails.
- If S is a non-empty list, then let Lp be the list of pairs (L, ϕ) such that: - assuming S' is a sublist of (not already used) elements of S, formed by one element of S (the choice is undefined), say [w, t], and all the other elements of S, $[w', t']$, such that w and w' are variants. The elements in S' are ordered according to the total order defined by the relation *term-precedes* after elimination of duplicates²⁴. And

- ϕ is a unifier (3.2) of all terms w's in S' and W (it always exists), and L is the list formed with the terms $t\phi$ such that the [w, t]'s are elements of S' , in the same order, and $Slist\phi$ and L are NSTO and unifiable.

- If Lp is the empty list, then fails .
- If Lp is a non-empty list, then succeeds with local substitution $\phi\sigma$ where σ is the unifier of Slist ϕ and L and (L, ϕ) is an element of Lp not yet used.

NOTES:

- 1- According to the definition of findall/3, the transformed bagof-subgoal is executed.
- 2- setof/3 is re-executable as long as Lp is not empty, using not already chosen elements of the list Lp (undefined order).
- 3- setof/3 behaves like bagof/3 except that the lists are sorted instead as being in solution order.

 23 See the Glossary.

²⁴ When two compared subterms are different variables this order is implementation dependent, but it must remain the same when ordering S' .

- 4- The order used for term ordering may not be the same (implementation dependent) for the lists produced for different solutions.
- 5- The number of elements of the list Lp, as the behaviour of setof/3, may be undefined if some tried pairs are STO.
- 6- If (Template' Sgoal) has no free variable and call (G) has at least one solution the predicate succeeds with one solution only.
- 7- The variables of Template and the non free variables of Sgoal remain uninstantiated after each success of setof (Template, Sgoal, Slist).
- 8- In most applications, the free variables are bound to ground terms after each success of the bagof-subgoal. In this case there is no need to consider the substitution ϕ in the definition, which becomes simpler.

t> Error cases

162 5. The Built-in Predicates

```
setof(X, (X=2; X=2), S). Succeeds once with substitution: \{S \leftarrow [2]\}(the free variable set is empty, X remains unbound) 
setof(X, (X=Y; X=Z), S). Succeeds once with substitution:
                                    {S \leftarrow [Y, Z]}, or {S \leftarrow [Z, Y]}(implementation dependent) 
setof(X, (Y^*(X=1 ; Y=2) ; X=3), S).
                            Raises firstly a warning: 
 "the procedure '"/2 is undefined."
 (Assuming there is no definition for the procedure '"'/2, and
 that the value associated with flag 'undefined_predicate'is 
 warning.), then Succeeds with substitution: \{S \leftarrow [3] \}(the free variable set is {Y}) 
setof(X, member(X,[V,U,f(U),f(V)]), L).
                           Succeeds with substitution: 
                      { L <- [U,V,f(U),f(V)] } or { [V,U,f(V),f(U)]. }
 (implementation dependent, the free variable set is {U,V}) 
\text{setof}(X, \text{ member}(X, [V, U, f(U), f(V)]), [a, b, f(a), f(b)]).Succeeds with substitution: 
                               \{ U \leftarrow a, V \leftarrow b \} or \{ U \leftarrow b, V \leftarrow a \}(implementation dependent, the free variable set is \{U,V\})
setof(X, (exists(U,V) \hat{\mathbf{m}} = h(t), [V,U,f(U),f(V)])), [a,b,f(b),f(a)]).Succeeds. (empty free variable set) 
setof(X, member(X, [V, U, f(U), f(V)]), [a, b, f(b), f(a)]).Fails. 
setof(f(X,Y), X = Y, [f(g(Z),Z)]).Undefined. 
setof(X, X^{\hat{}}(\text{true } ; 4), L).type_error(callable, (true ; 4))
```


Enumerates all the pairs of open streams together with their properties.

 \triangleright How to use it: stream_property(?stream, ?stream_property)

Example: stream_property(S, file_name(F)).

- [> Description: stream_property(Stream, Stream_property)
- If Stream is a variable or a stream-term and Stream_property a variable or a valid stream property term, then let *SP* be the set of all pairs (S,P) such that S is the stream-term of a currently open stream which has property P and (S,P) and (Stream, Stream_property) are unifiable (3.2),
	- if *SP* is empty then fails.
	- if *SP* is not empty then if (Stream, Stream-property) and one not already chosen element (S,P) of *SP* (the choice is implementation dependent) are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .

 $NOTE - stream_property/2$ is re-executable as many times as there are elements in *SP.*

[> Error cases

164 5. The Built-in Predicates

I> **Examples**

```
stream_property(S, file_name(F)).
 Succeeds as many times as there are open streams with substitution: 
          \{ S \leftarrow a \text{ stream-term},F \leftarrow the name of the file to which it is connected \}; ...
stream_property(S, output). 
 Succeeds as many times as there are open output streams 
          \{ S \leftarrow a stream-term \}; \ldotsstream_property(S, property). 
 domain_error(stream_property, property) 
                                                        with substitution:
```
Enumeration of subatoms with their position and length.

 \triangleright How to use it: sub_atom(+atom, ?integer, ?integer, ?integer, ?atom)

Example: sub_atom(anna, L1, 2, L2, A).

- t> Description: sub_atom(Atom, Before, Length, After, Sub_atom)
- If Atom is an atom, and Sub_atom is a variable or an atom, and Before, Length and After are variables or non-negative integers then let S be the list, ordered²⁵ according to the term ordering relation, of all quadruples (11, 12, 13, A2) such that the name of Atom is the concatenation of the possibly empty character sequences A1, A2 and A3, whose repective lengths are 11, 12, 13, and (11, 12, 13, A2) and (Before, Length, After, Sub_atom) are unifiable (3.2) then
	- $-$ if *S* is empty then fails.
	- if *S* is not empty then if (Before, Length, After, Sub_atom) and the first not already chosen element (11, 12, 13, A2) of *S* are unifiable by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .

NOTES:

- 1- sub_atom/5 is re-executable as many times as there are elements in *S.* The order of the solutions follows the order of the list *S* (it is implementation defined according to the char-code mapping 9.1.3).
- 2- The three lengths correspond respectively to the number of characters *before* the sub-atom, the *length* of the sub-atom and the number of characters *after* the sub-atom.

\triangleright Error cases

 25 The implementation dependent ordering on the variables is constant during sorting.

166 5. The Built-in Predicates


```
sub_atom(anna, Ll, 2, L2, A). Succeeds 3 times with substitutions: 
                                                { L1 \leftarrow 0, L2 \leftarrow 2, A \leftarrow 'an' };
                                                { Ll <- 1, L2 <- 1, A <- 'nn' }; 
                                                { Ll <- 2, L2 <- 0, A <- 'na' }. 
sub_atom(abracadabra, 0, 5, X, S).
                                         Succeeds with substitution: 
                                                         { I <- 6, S <- 'abrac' }. 
sub_atom(abracadabra, B, 2, A, ab) . 
                                         Succeeds twice with substitutions: 
                                                                { B <- 0, A <- 9 };<br>
{ B <- 7, A <- 2 }.
sub_atom(abracadabra, X, 5, 0, S2).
                                         Succeeds with substitution: 
                                                       { I <- 6, S2 <- 'dabra' }. 
sub_atom('ab', B, L, A, Sa). Succeeds 6 times with substitutions:
                                         {B \leftarrow 0, L \leftarrow 0, A \leftarrow 2, Sa \leftarrow \cdots};
                                         {B \leftarrow 0, L \leftarrow 1, A \leftarrow 1, Sa \leftarrow a };
                                         {B \leftarrow 0, L \leftarrow 2, A \leftarrow 0, Sa \leftarrow ab };
                                         {B \leftarrow 1, L \leftarrow 0, A \leftarrow 1, Sa \leftarrow \cdots};
                                         {B \leftarrow 1, L \leftarrow 1, A \leftarrow 0, Sa \leftarrow b };
                                         {B \leftarrow 2, L \leftarrow 0, A \leftarrow 0, Sa \leftarrow \cdots}.
sub_atom('ab', B, L, A, 'ba'). Fails. 
sub_atom('ab', B, 'a', A, 'ba'). 
                                         type_error(integer, 'a')
```


Tests whether the second argument term-precedes the first.

\triangleright How to use it: Cterm C Oterm

 $'$ 0>'/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $foo(b)$ $Q>$ $foo(a)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ \otimes Term₂
- $-$ If Term₂ *term_precedes* (2.1.2) Term₁ then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.

 \triangleright Error cases None.

Tests whether the second argument is identical to or term-precedes the first.

\triangleright How to use it: Cterm C>= Cterm

 $'$ 0>='/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $foo(b)$ $Q>=$ $foo(a)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ 0>= Term₂
- $-$ If Term₂ is indentical to or *term_precedes* (2.1.2) Term₁ then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.

I> Error cases

None.

I> Examples


```
89 (==) /2 : term identical Term comparison
```
Tests whether both arguments are identical.

\triangleright How to use it: @term == @term

'=='/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $f(X,X) == f(X,X)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ == Term₂
- If Term₁ and Term₂ are identical then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.

l> Error cases None.

l> Examples

90 (@<)/2: term less than *Term comparison*

Tests whether the first argument term-precedes the second.

\triangleright How to use it: @term @< @term

' $@$ <'/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $foo(a)$ @< $foo(b)$.

\triangleright Description: Term₁ @< Term₂

- If Term₁ term_precedes (2.1.2) Term₂ then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.
- !> Error cases None.

Tests whether the first argument is identical to or term-precedes the second.

\triangleright How to use it: Cterm C=< Cterm

' $@<<$ '/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $foo(a)$ $Q=<$ $foo(b)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ @=< Term₂
- $-$ If Term₁ is indentical to or *term_precedes* (2.1.2) Term₂ then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.

l> Error cases

None.

l> Examples

$$
92 \ \ (\rangle ==)/2 : \text{term not identical} \qquad \qquad \text{Term comparison}
$$

Tests whether the arguments are different terms.

\triangleright How to use it: @term \== @term

'\\ =='/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $f(X,X)$ $== f(X, Y)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ $\!=$ Term₂
- If Term₁ and Term₂ are not identical then succeeds with empty local substitution else fails.
- \triangleright Error cases None.

93 throw/I exception handling: error *throwingLogic and control*

Raises an error and throws a "ball" to be caught by some ancestor "catcher" (see catch/3).

```
\triangleright How to use it: throw(+term)
```
Example: $\text{catch}(\text{throw}(\text{exit}(1)), \text{ exit}(X), \text{ write}(X))$.

\triangleright Description: throw(Ball)

- If Ball is not a variable, the execution model (see Section 4.3.3) reacts as follows:

It seeks in the search tree for the closest ancestor node whose chosen predication has the form catch(Goal, Catcher, Recovergoal), which is still executing its Goal argument²⁶ and such that a freshly renamed copy Ball' of Ball unifies with Catcher by substitution σ .

- If there is no such ancestor then a "system-error" is raised (see below) and the behaviour is implementation dependent.
- If there is such ancestor node whose goal label is (catch(Goal, Catcher, Recovergoal), Cont)²⁷ then

(1) all the nodes between the current node and the ancestor are made deterministic (none of these nodes can thus be selected by backtracking) and

(2) a second child is added to the ancestor node whose labels are the substitution σ and the goal (call (Recovergoal), Cont) σ ,

and backtracks (4.2.6). The execution will thus continue, with the new child as current node, at step (2.) of algorithm 4.2.5.

\triangleright Error cases

²⁶ and not Recovergoal.

²⁷ If Cont is empty, then one assumes Cont = true.

174 5. The Built-in Predicates

I> **Examples**

```
Assume the database contains the user-defined procedures: 
                                      p/O, q/O, rll 
vith the clauses: p 
                              p 
                                   : - true.
                                    : throw(b).
                                                      r(X) :- throw(X).
                              q :- catch(p, B, write('hello'')), r(c).
\text{catch}(\text{throw}(\text{exit}(1)), \text{ exit}(X), \text{ write}(X)).catch(q, C, write(helloq)).
catch(throw(true), X,X).
catch(throw(fail), X, X).
                                      Succeeds with substitution {X \leftarrow 1 }
                                       after outputting: 1 
                                     Succeeds with substitution {C \leftarrow c}after outputting: helloq 
                                      Succeeds with substitution 
                                                                     { X <- true } 
                                      Fails. 
\text{catch}(\text{throw}(f(\textbf{X},\textbf{X}))\text{, }f(\textbf{X}, g(\textbf{X}))\text{, write}(\text{may}\_be)).Undefined. 
\text{catch}(\text{throw}(1), \ X, \ (\text{fail};X)). \ \text{type\_error}(\text{callable}, \ (\text{fail};1))catch(throw(fail). true. G). system_error
```
94 true/O *Logic and control*

Succeeds.

 \triangleright How to use it: true

Example: $X = 1$, true, $Y = 2$.

I> Description: true

[~]Succeeds with empty local substitution.

More precisely true is a basic goal used in the description of the searchtree visit and construction algorithm (see Section 4.2.5). If the current goal is $(true, G)$, then the new current goal becomes G. If the current goal is true, then it corresponds to a success branch, and the execution continues with backtracking (Section 4.2.6).

```
I> Error cases 
   None.
```
I> Examples

```
X = 1, true, Y = 2. Succeeds with substitution { X < -1, Y < -2 }<br>(it behaves exactly like: X = 1, Y = 2.)
                                 (it behaves exactly like:
(X = Y \rightarrow fail; true). Is equivalent to: X \ge Y.
```


Tests whether two terms are not unifiable

\triangleright How to use it: ?term \= ?term

'\\='/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $f(a,X)$ $\= f(X,b)$.

 \triangleright Description: Term₁ \equiv Term₂

- $-$ If Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* (3.3.3) and not unifiable then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- $-$ If Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* and unifiable then fails.
- $-$ If Term₁ and Term₂ are *STO* then the result is undefined (failure, success, loop or error are possible behaviours²⁸).
- [> Error cases None.
- \triangleright Examples

 28 X = f(X), Y = f(Y), X \= Y may succeed, loop, fail or raise an error message. Succeeds if rational ("infinite") terms are allowed, loops if the unification does not terminate, fails on conforming processors with occurs-check test, raises an error message on strictly conforming processors (in a debugging mode for example).

```
96 (=) /2 (Prolog) unify Term unification
```
Explicit Prolog unification of two terms. Both arguments are made equal.

\triangleright How to use it: ?term = ?term

 $'$ ='/2 is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $f(X, a) = f(g(Y), Y)$.

- \triangleright Description: Term₁ = Term₂
- If Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* (3.3.3) and unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .
- If Term₁ and Term₂ are *NSTO* and not unifiable then fails.
- $-$ If Term₁ and Term₂ are *STO* then the result is undefined (success, failure, loop or error are possible behaviours²⁹).
- \triangleright Error cases None.
- \triangleright Examples

 $f(X, a) = f(g(Y), Y)$. Succeeds with substitution: $\{ X \leftarrow g(a), Y \leftarrow a \}$ $g(X) = f(a)$. Fails. $f(1, X) = f(2, a(X)).$ Undefined. (STD case by possible equalisation of the second arguments, a failure in most existing processors).

 29 X = f(X), Y = f(Y), X = Y may succeed, loop, fail or raise an error message. Succeeds if rational ("infinite") terms are allowed, loops if the unification does not terminate, fails on conforming processors with occurs-check test, raises an error message on strictly conforming processors (in a debugging mode for example).

(First order) logical unification of two terms. Both arguments are made identical.

```
~How to use it: 
                     unify_with_occurs_check(?term, ?term)
```
Example: $unify_with occurs-check(f(a, X), f(X, a))$.

- [~]**Description:** unify _wi th_occurs_check (Term!, Term2)
- If Term₁ and Term₂ are unifiable (3.2) by the substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ .
- $-$ If Term₁ and Term₂ are not unifiable then fails.
- [~]**Error** cases None.

[~]**Examples**

```
unify_with_occurs_check(f(a,X), f(X,a)). Succeeds with substitution:
                                                               \{X \leftarrow a\}unify\_with\_occurs\_check(X, g(X)).
                                            Fails. 
unify_with_occurs_check(f(X, Y, X, 1), f(a(X), a(Y), Y, 2)).
                                            Fails. 
unify\_with\_occurs\_check(f(1, X), f(2, a(X))).Fails.
```
98 (= ..)/2: univ *Term creation and decomposition*

Term construction or decomposition.

In the case of success the second argument is the list whose head is the principal functor of the first argument and the tail the list of its arguments.

```
\triangleright How to use it: +nonvar = .. ?list
                           -term =.. + list
```
 $' =$.. $'/2$ is a predefined infix operator. Its priority is 700 and it is nonassociative (xfx).

Example: $f(a,b) = \ldots [X | Y].$

- \triangleright Description: Term =.. List
- if Term is a compound term of the form $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$, $n > 0$ and List is a variable or an *acceptable list*³⁰, and List and $[f, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n]$ are NSTO (3.3.3) then if they are unifiable by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- $-$ if Term is a compound term of the form $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$, $n > 0$ and List is a variable or an *acceptable list* and List and $[f, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n]$ are STO then undefined.
- if Term is atomic (an atom or a number) and List is is a variable or an *acceptable list* then if [Term] is unifiable with List by substitution σ then succeeds with local substitution σ else fails.
- if Term is a variable and List is a list of the form *[c]* such that c is atomic then succeeds with local substitution { $Term \leftarrow c$ }.
- $-$ **if Term** is a variable and List is a list of the form $[f, t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n], 0 < n <$ *maxarity* such that *I* is an atom then succeeds with local substitution $\{$ Term \leftarrow $f(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n)$ $\}.$

o Term is a variable and List is not a list instantiation_error

³⁰ An *acceptable list* is either an *empty list,* or a *singleton list* with a variable or a number as element, or a *nonempty list* whose head is a variable or an atom and its tail is a list of terms, or a *partial list.*

180 5. The Built-in Predicates

L,

99 var/l *Type testing*

Tests whether the argument is a variable.

 \triangleright How to use it: var(?term)

Example: $var(X)$.

- \triangleright Description: var(Term)
- If Term is a variable then succeeds with empty local substitution.
- If Term is not a variable (it is thus an atom, a number or a compound term) then fails.

t> Error cases None.

Outputs a term to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the option list [numbervars (true), quoted (false), ignore_ops (false)] .

```
\triangleright How to use it: write (?term)
```
Example: $write(X = a)$.

```
I> Description: write (Term)
```
- If the current output stream is not a binary stream, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the write-options list [numbervars (true) , quoted(false), ignore_ops(false)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:current_output(S), write_term(S, Term, [numbervars(true)]).
```
I> Error cases


```
Assume the contents of the current output text stream are: 
                                                                ... term.
write(X = a). Succeeds with empty substitution, and<br>the current output stream is left as: \ldots term. 001=a
the current output stream is left as:
(the part of the variable name '001' is implementation dependent) 
write('$VAR'(51)). Succeeds with empty substitution, and
the current output stream is left as: \ldots term.Z1
```

```
101 write/2 Term input/output
```
Outputs a term to a text stream in a form which is defined by the writeoption list [numbervars (true), quoted (false) , ignore_ops (false)].

```
\triangleright How to use it: write (Ostream.or_alias, ?term)
```
Example: $write(mickey, X = a)$.

- I> Description: write (Stream_or _alias, Term)
- If Stream_or _alias is the stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input nor a binary stream, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, in a form which is defined

by the write-options list [numbervars(true) , quoted(false), ignore_ops (false)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:write_term(S, Term, [numbervars(true)]).
```
I> Error cases

184 5. The Built-in Predicates

t> **Examples**

Assume the contents of the open output text stream associated with 'mickey' are: \ldots term. write (mickey, $X = a$). Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term._001=a (the part of the variable name '001' is implementation dependent) write (mickey, '\$VAR'(51)). Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term.Z1 write(X, '\$VAR'(51)). instantiation_error

 $Term \; input/output$

Outputs a term to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the option list [quoted (true) , numbervars (false), ignore_ops(true)].

```
\triangleright How to use it: write_canonical(?term)
```
Example: write_canonical $(X = a)$.

- I> Description: write_canonical (Term)
- If the current output stream is not a binary stream, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the write-options list [quoted (true) , numbervars(false), ignore_ops(true)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:current_output(S), write_term(S, Term, [quoted(true), 
                                             ignore_ops(true)]).
```
I> Error cases

I> Examples

```
Assume the contents of the current output text stream are: 
                                                            ... term. 
write\_canonical(X = a). Succeeds with empty substitution,
  and the stream associated with mickey is left as: 
                                                   ... term.=(001,a)(the part of the variable name '001' is implementation dependent) 
write_canonical([1,2,3]). Succeeds with empty substitution, 
  and the current output stream is left as: ... term..(1, .(2, .(3, []))
```
103 write_canonical/2 *Term input/output*

Outputs a term to a text stream in a form which is defined by the option list [quoted(true), numbervars (false), ignore_ops (true)].

 \triangleright How to use it: write_canonical (Ostream_or_alias, ?term)

Example: $write_canonical(mickey, X = a)$.

- \triangleright Description: write_canonical (Stream_or_alias, Term)
- if Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias of an open stream which is neither an input nor a binary stream, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, in a form which is defined by the write-options list [quoted (true) , numbervars (false), igno $re_{.}$ ops(true)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:
   vrite_term(Stream_or_alias, Term, [quoted(true), ignore_ops(true)]).
```
\triangleright Error cases

c> **Examples**

```
Assume the contents of the open output text stream associated 
with 'mickey' are: \ldots term.
write_canonical(mickey, 'X = a'). Succeeds with empty substitution,
 and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as:
                                                  ... term.'X = a'write_canonical(mickey, X = a). Succeeds with empty substitution,
 and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: 
                                                   \ldots term.=(X,a)write_canonical(mickey. [1,2,3]). Succeeds with empty substitution, 
and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: 
                                        ... term. (1, .(2, .(3, [])))write_canonical (mickey. '$VAR'(51)). 
                                 Succeeds with empty substitution 
and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: 
                                                 \ldots term. VAR(51)vrite_canonical(X, '$VAR'(51)). instantiation_error
```

```
104 write_term/2 Term input/output
```
Outputs a term to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the given write-options list.

I> How to use it: write_term(?term, +write_options-1ist)

Example: $write_term(X = a, [ignore_ops(true)])$.

```
I> Description: write_term(Term, Options)
```
 $-$ If Options is a list of valid write-options³¹, with no variable as element, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, to the current output stream in a form which is defined in Section 7.4.3, changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

NOTES:

```
1- It behaves like: 
   current_output(S), vrite_term(S, Term, Options).
```
2- The default option values are false.

I> Error cases

³¹ See the Glossary.

I> **Examples**

Assume the contents of the current output text stream are: ... term. $write_term(X = a , [ignore_ops(true)]).$ Succeeds with empty substitution, and
is left as: ... term.=(_001,a) the current output stream is left as: (the part of the variable name '001' is implementation dependent) write_term($[1,2,3]$, $[]$). Succeeds with empty substitution, and
the current output stream is left as: ... term. $[1,2,3]$ the current output stream is left as: write_term('\$VAR'(51), [numbervars(false)]). Succeeds with empty substitution, and
is left as: ... term.\$VAR(51) the current output stream is left as: write_term('\$VAR'(51), [numbervars(true)]). Succeeds with empty substitution, and
is left as: ... term.Z1 the current output stream is left as: write_term('\$VAR'(51), X). instantiation_error $write_term(3, [quoted(no), numbervars(false)]).$ domain_error(write_option, quoted(no))

 $Term \; input/output$

Outputs a term to a text stream in a form which is defined by the given write-options list.

 \triangleright How to use it: write_term(@stream_or_alias, ?term, +write_options_list)

Example: write_term(mickey, X = a, [ignore_ops(true)]).

- \triangleright Description: write_term(Stream_or_alias, Term, Options)
- If Stream_or_alias is a stream-term or alias associated to an open stream which is neither an input nor a binary stream, and Options is a list of valid write-options³² (7.4.2), with no variable as element, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, in a form which is defined in Section 7.4.3, changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

 $NOTE$ - The default options values are false.

[> Error cases

Conditions	Error-term
o Options is a variable	instantiation_error
o Options is neither a variable nor a list	type_error(list, Options)
o Options is a list with an element E which is a variable	instantiation_error
o An element E of the Options list is neither	domain_error(write_option,
a variable nor a valid write-option	E)
oStream_or_alias is a variable	instantiation_error
o Stream or alias is neither a variable nor	domain_error(stream_or_alias,
a stream-term or alias	Stream_or_alias)
oStream or alias is not associated with	existence_error(stream,
an open stream	Stream_or_alias)
o Stream or alias is associated with an in-	permission_error(output,
put stream	stream, Stream_or_alias)

³² See the Glossary.

5.3 The built-in predicates 191

o Stream_or-alias is associated with a binary stream

permission_error(output, binary _stream, Stream_or_alias)

I> **Examples**

Assume the contents of the open output text stream associated with alias 'mickey' are: ... term. $write_term(mickey, X = a, [ignore_ops(true)]).$ Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term.=(_001,a) (the part of the variable name '001' is implementation dependent) write_term(mickey, [1,2,3], []) Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: \ldots term. [1,2,3] write_term(mickey, '\$VAR'(51), [numbervars(false)]). Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term.\$VAR(51) write_term(mickey, '\$VAR'(51) , [numbervars(true)]). Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term.Zl write_term(mickey, '\$VAR'(51), X). instantiation_error write_term(mickey, 3, [quoted(no), numbervars(false)]). domain_error(write_option, quoted(no))

```
106 writeq/l Term input/output
```
Outputs a term to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the option list [quoted (true) , numbervars(true), ignore_ops(false)].

```
\triangleright How to use it: writeq(?term)
```
Example: $writeq(X = a)$.

```
\triangleright Description: writeq (Term)
```
- if the current output stream is not a binary stream, then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, to the current output stream in a form which is defined by the write-options list [quoted (true) , numbervars(true), ignore_ops(false)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:current_output(S), vrite_term(S, Term, [quoted(true), 
                                             numbervars(true)J).
```
\triangleright Error cases


```
107 writeq/2 Term input/output
```
Outputs a term to a text stream in a form which is defined by the option list [quoted (true) , numbervars(true), ignore_ops(false)].

```
\triangleright How to use it: writeq(@stream_or_alias, ?term)
```
Example: $writeq(mickey, X = a)$.

- I> Description: wri teq (Stream_or _alias, Term)
- If Stream_or _al ias is a stream-term or alias associated to an open stream which is neither an input nor a binary stream,

then outputs the term Term, freshly renamed, in a form which is defined by the write-options list [quoted (true), numbervars (true), ignore_ops- (false)] (7.4.2), changes the stream position to take account of the characters which have been output, and succeeds with empty local substitution.

```
NOTE - It behaves like:
```

```
vrite_term(S, Term, [quoted(true), numbervars(true)]).
```
I> Error cases

194 5. The Built-in Predicates

I> **Examples**

Assume the contents of the open output text stream associated with
'mickey' are: ... term. vriteq(mickey, X = a). Succeeds with empty substitution, and the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term.X=a writeq(mickey, '\$VAR'(51)). Succeeds with empty substitution, and
the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: ... term. Z1 the stream associated with 'mickey' is left as: writeq(X, '\$VAR'(51». instantiation_error

6. Prolog Arithmetic

6.1 Arithmetic expressions

6.1.1 Arithmetic terms

Some terms represent arithmetic expressions when they are in the following positions:

- $-$ the right-hand argument of the built-in predicate is/2,
- both arguments of the *arithmetic comparison* built-in predicates $(==)/2$, $(=\)=)/2$, $(<)/2$, $(>)/2$, $(=<)/2$, $(>=)/2$.

In that case they will be formed as a term (according to the rules defined in Section 2.1.1), using arithmetic functors and numbers only, otherwise the evaluation of the expression raises an exception (see below).

The *arithmetic functors* that are permitted in expressions are listed in Table 6.1. Some of them are predefined operators in Standard Prolog (see Table 9.2.2 in Chapter 9).

6.1.2 Numbers

Numbers are partioned into two subsets denoted *I (integers)* and *F (fioatingpoint numbers).*

- An *integer* is a member of a set *I* defined as follows (see Section 9.5.1 for the translation of an integer token into an integer).

It depends on the value of the flag bounded:

- $-$ false: $I = \mathcal{Z}$, the mathematical relative integers.
- true: $I = \{x \in \mathcal{Z} \mid \text{minint } \leq x \leq \text{maxint}\},\text{ where } \text{minint and}$ *maxint* denote the values of the flags min_integer and max_integer $respectively¹.$

1 In Standard Prolog *minint* and *maxint* satisfy: $maxint > 0$

and one of: $minint = -(maxint)$ $minint = -(maxint + 1).$

196 6. Prolog Arithmetic

Table 6.1. Predefined arithmetic functors: functors that can be used in arithmetic expressions.

There is also another parameter, specified by the flag

integer_rounding_function, which influences the definitions of the integer division $(\frac{\prime}{\prime})$ / / \prime /2) and the integer remainder (\prime rem^{\prime}/2).

- A *floating-point number* is a member of a set *F* defined as follows (see Section 9.5.1 for the translation of a float token into a floating-point number).

F is a finite subset of R (the reals) characterized by five parameters:

More details may be found in the standard [2] or in Annex A.5.2 of $ISO/IEC 10967-1-Language Independent Arithmetic (LIA) [1].$

6.2 Expression evaluation

The evaluation of an arithmetic expression may cause exceptions. So the value of a ground expression whose evaluation does not raise an exception is first defined, then exceptions are described as "error cases" .

6.2.1 Value of an expression

The value of a ground arithmetic expression is defined as follows.

- The result of the evaluation of a number is this number.
- The result of the evaluation of a compound term with principal functor *FIN* consists of evaluating the N arguments in an implementation dependent order and applying the operation corresponding to the arithmetic functor F/N to the N obtained values.

 \triangleright **Error** cases If many errors may be raised during the evaluation of an expression, it is implementation dependent which one is raised.

6.2.2 Arithmetic comparison operator definitions

The following table identifies the basic arithmetic operations corresponding to each arithmetic comparison built-in predicate (one operation depending on the types of the arguments, I or F):

See the profiles of the basic arithmetic operators in Section 6.2.4.

6.2.3 Arithmetic functors definitions

The following table identifies the basic arithmetic operations corresponding to each arithmetic functor not defined in Section 6.2.5 (one operation depending on the types of the arguments, *I* or *F):*

6.2.4 Profile of the basic arithmetic operations

The arithmetic system of Standard Prolog is based on the recent ISO standard for language independent arithmetic [1] in which all the basic arithmetic operations are specified. All details are provided in the standard [2]. Therefore we do not fully develop their definitions, but only give their profiles, which show the exceptional values raised by the basic operations (hence by the arithmetic comparison built-in predicates and the arithmetic functors not defined in the next section).

```
abs_F : F \to Fabs_I: I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}add_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}add_F : F \times F \to F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}add_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}add_I: I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}ceiling_{F\to I} : F \to I \cup \{int-overflow\}div_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow F \cup \{ \text{float\_overflow}, \text{underflow}, \text{zero\_divisor} \}div_F : F \times F \to F \cup \{ \text{float-overflow}, \text{underflow}, \text{zero\_divisor} \}div_{IF}: I \times F \to F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow, zero\_divisor\}div_{II}: I \times I \rightarrow F \cup \{\text{float-overflow}, \text{underflow}, \text{zero-divisor}\}eq_{FI} : F \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}eq_F: F \times F \rightarrow {true, false}
eq_{IF} : I \times F \rightarrow {true, false} \cup {float_overflow}
eq_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{ false}\}float_{F\rightarrow F}: F \rightarrow Ffloat_{I\rightarrow F}: I\rightarrow F\cup \{float\_overflow\}floor_{F\rightarrow I} : F \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}fractpart_F : F \to Fgeq_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{ false}\} \cup \{\text{float\_overlap}\}geq_F: F \times F \to \{true, false\}geq_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}geq_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{ false}\}gtr_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}gtr_F: F \times F \to \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{false}\}gtr_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}gtr_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\}intdiv<sub>I</sub> : I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow, zero\_divisor\}intpart_F : F \to Fleq_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow \{\mathtt{true}, \mathtt{ false}\} \cup \{\mathtt{float\_overflow}\}leq_F: F \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\}leq_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}leq_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\}lss_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}lss_F: F \times F \to \{\texttt{true}, \texttt{ false}\}lss_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}lss_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\}mod_{I}: I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{ \text{zero\_divisor} \}mul_{FI} : F \times I \rightarrow F \cup \{ \textbf{float\_overflow}, \textbf{underflow} \}mul_F : F \times F \to F \cup \{ \text{float\_overlap}, \text{underflow} \}mul_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}mul_I: I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}neg_F : F \rightarrow F
```
200 6. Prolog Arithmetic

```
neg_I: I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}neq_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}neq_F: F \times F \rightarrow \{\mathtt{true}, \mathtt{ false}\}neq_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow \{true, false\} \cup \{float\_overflow\}neq_I: I \times I \rightarrow \{true, false\}rem_I: I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{zero\_divisor\}round_{F\rightarrow I}: F\rightarrow I\cup \{int\_overflow\}sign_F: F \rightarrow Fsign_I : I \rightarrow Isub_{FI}: F \times I \rightarrow F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}sub_F: F \times F \rightarrow F \cup \{ \text{float-overflow}, \text{underflow} \}sub_{IF}: I \times F \rightarrow F \cup \{float\_overflow, underflow\}subI : I \times I \rightarrow I \cup \{int\_overflow\}truncate_{F\rightarrow I}: F\rightarrow I\cup \{\text{int\_overflow}\}
```
6.2.5 Arithmetic functors defined in Standard Prolog

atan/1 : $(I \cup F) \rightarrow F$ \prime /\\'/2 : $I \times I \rightarrow I$ $\prime\setminus\setminus\prime/2:I\to I$ \prime < \prime /2 : $I \times I \rightarrow I$ $\prime\backslash\backslash\prime\prime/2:I\times I\rightarrow I$ \rightarrow > \prime /2 : $I \times I \rightarrow I$ $cos/1$: $(I \cup F) \rightarrow F$ $\exp/1: (I \cup F) \rightarrow F \cup \{\textbf{float}.\textbf{overflow},\textbf{underflow}\}\$
'**'/2 : $(I \cup F) \times (I \cup F) \rightarrow F \cup \{\textbf{float}.\textbf{overflow},\textbf{underflow},\textbf{underflow},\textbf{underflow}\}$ $log/1$: $(I \cup F) \rightarrow F \cup \{undefined\}$ $\sin/1$: $(I \cup F) \rightarrow F$ $sqrt(1 : (I \cup F) \rightarrow F \cup \{undefined\})$

\triangleright Description: atan(Expr)

 $-$ If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function atan/1 to its value are errorless then atan(Expr) has the value of the principal value *R* of the arc tangent of V which satisfies $-\pi/2 \leq R \leq \pi/2$

Example:

```
PI is atan(1.0) * 4.
   Succeeds with substitution { PI <- 3.14159 }
                                       (approximate value)
```
\triangleright Description: (Expr₁ / Expr₂)

- If the evaluation of Expr_1 and Expr_2 , resulting in the respective values V1 and V2, and the application of the function $' / \sqrt{2}$ to these values are errorless then (Expr₁ \land Expr₂) has the value such that each bit is set iff each of the corresponding bits in V1 and V2 is set.

The value is **implementation defined** if $V1$ or $V2$ is negative².

Example:

```
B is (17 * 256 + 125) 1\ 255). 
   Succeeds with substitution { B <- 125 }
```
\triangleright Description: \setminus (Expr)

- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function '\\'/1 to its value are errorless then Γ (Expr) has the value such that each bit is set iff the corresponding bit in V is not set. The value is implementation defined.

Examples:

```
B is \setminus (10).
   Succeeds with substitution { B <-
                                        implementation defined value } 
B is \setminus ( \setminus (10)).
    Succeeds with substitution { B <- 10 }
```
\triangleright Description: (Expr₁ << Expr₂)

- If the evaluation of $Expr_1$ and $Expr_2$, resulting in the respective values V1 and V2, and the application of the function $\frac{3}{5}$ /2 to these values are errorless then (Expr₁ << Expr₂) has the value of V1 left-shifted V2 bit positions, where the V2 least significant bit positions of the result are zero. The value is implementation defined if V2 is negative, or V2 is larger than the bit size of an integer.

² The value is implementation defined when an operand or value is negative because the representation of a negative integer is implementation defined.

202 6. Prolog Arithmetic

Example:

```
B is '<<'(16, 2).
   Succeeds with substitution { B <- 64 }
```

```
\triangleright Description: (Expr<sub>1</sub> \setminus Expr<sub>2</sub>)
```
- If the evaluation of Expr₁ and Expr₂, resulting in the respective values V1 and V2, and the application of the function $\sqrt{\frac{7}{2}}$ to these values are errorless then $(Expr_1 / \n \mathbb{E}zpr_2)$ has the value such that each bit is set iff at least one of the corresponding bits in Vi and V2 is set.

The value is implementation defined if Vi or V2 is negative.

Example:

```
B is \sqrt{\frac{1}{25}}, 255).
   Succeeds with substitution { B <- 255 }
```
\triangleright Description: (Expr₁ >> Expr₂)

- If the evaluation of $Expr_1$ and $Expr_2$, resulting in the respective values V1 and V2, and the application of the function $'$ >>'/2 to these values are errorless then $(Expr_1 \gg Expr_2)$ has the value of V1 right-shifted V2 bit positions.

The value is implementation defined depending on whether the shift is logical (fill with zeros) or arithmetic (fill with a copy of the sign bit).

The value is implementation defined if V2 is negative, or V2 is larger than the bit size of an integer.

Example:

```
B is '>>'(16, 2).
   Succeeds with substitution { B <- 4 }
                (fill with zeros, implementation defined)
```
\triangleright Description: $cos(Expr)$

- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function cos/1 to its value are errorless then cos (Expr) has the value of the cosine of V (measured in radians).
Example:

```
PI is atan(1.0) * 4, X is cos(PI I 2.0). 
   Succeeds with substitution { PI <- 3.14159, X <- 0.0000 } 
              (approximate values)
```
- t> **Description:** exp(Expr)
- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function *exp/l* to its value are errorless then exp(Expr) has the value of the exponential function of V.

Example:

```
E is exp(1.0).
   Succeeds with substitution { E <- 2.7818 }
                                        (approximate value)
```
\triangleright **Description:** (Expr₁ ** Expr₂)

- If the evaluation of $Expr_1$ and $Expr_2$, resulting in the respective values Vl and V2, and the application of the function **'**'** *12* to these values are errorless then $(Expr_1 ** Expr_2)$ has the value of V1 raised to the power of V2.

If Vl and V2 are both zero, the value is 1. O.

Example:

```
X is -5.0 ** 3 . 
   Succeeds with substitution \{ X \leftarrow -125.0000 \}(approximate value)
```
t> **Description:** log(Expr)

- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function $log/1$ to its value are errorless then $log (Expr)$ has the value of the natural logarithm of V.

Example:

```
One is log(2.7818). 
   Succeeds with substitution { One <- 1.0000 } 
                                         (approximate value)
```
204 6. Prolog Arithmetic

\triangleright Description: sin(Expr)

- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function $sin/1$ to its value are errorless then $sin(Expr)$ has the value of the sine of V (measured in radians).

Example:

```
PI is atan(1.0) * 4, X is sin(PI I 2.0). 
   Succeeds with substitution { PI <- 3.14159, X <- 1.0000 } 
                                        (approximate values)
```
[> Description: sqrt(Expr)

- If the evaluation of Expr, resulting in the value V, and the application of the function sqrt/l to its value are errorless then sqrt (Expr) has the value $\sqrt{(V)}$.

Example:

```
X is sqrt(1.21).
   Succeeds with substitution \{ X \leftarrow 1.1000 \}(approximate value)
```
7. Prolog Environment: Sources and Sinks

This chapter describes the I/O system of Standard Prolog and how terms are input and output.

7.1 Overview

A *source/sink* is a fundamental notion. A *source* (resp. *sink)* is a physical object from which a processor inputs data (resp. to which a processor outputs results), for example a file, terminal, interprocess communication channel, or other implementation defined possibility permitted by the processor. Each source/sink is associated with a finite or potentially infinite sequence of bytes or characters. It always has a beginning, but only has an end if it is finite. A source/sink is specified as an implementation defined ground term (a *source/sink-term)* in a call of open/4.

A program can output results to a sink or input Prolog data from a source.

Streams provide a logical view of the source/sinks.

Any stream may be associated with an *alias* which is an atom given by the user which may be used to refer to that stream or a *stream-term* (an implementation dependent non atomic ground term). The association is created when a stream is opened, and automatically ends when the stream is closed. A particular alias will refer to at most one stream at anyone time, but a stream may be associated with more than one alias. All subsequent references to the source/sink are made by referring to the stream-term associated with a stream or its alias.

Here is a simple example:

```
test :- open('/usr/editor/myfile.txt', write, MyStream, 
                                 [type(text) , alias(mickey)]), 
         write_term(mickey, 'Hello, world', [quoted(true)]), 
         close (MyStream, [force(true)]).
```
Each I/O operation will name a stream and can give an option list.

Two streams are predefined and open during the execution of every goal, referring to the keyboard and the screen respectively: the standard input

206 7. Prolog Environment: Sources and Sinks

stream has the alias user_input and the standard output stream has the alias user _output. They are the *current input* and *current output streams.* But current input and output can be redirected using the built-in predicates set_input/1 and set_output/1.

When the current input (resp. output) stream is closed, the standard input (output) stream becomes the current input stream.

The standard input and output streams cannot be closed.

Output to a stream need not be sent to the sink connected to that stream immediately. When it is necessary to be certain that output has been delivered, this can be done by executing the built-in predicate flush_output/1. A stream is always flushed when it is closed. All further details are provided in the definitions of the I/0 built-in predicates.

The *stream position* of a stream is represented by an implementation dependent ground term (a *stream position term)* which uniquely identifies an absolute position of the source/sink to which the stream is connected during the time that the stream is open and defines where in the source/sink the next input or output will take place. It is implementation defined whether or not the stream position of a particular source/sink can be arbitrarily changed during execution of a Prolog goal. If it can, then:

1. At any time, the stream can be repositioned by calling

set_stream_position/2.

2. Two positions are represented by implementation defined terms which correspond to *end-of-stream* and *past-end-of-stream* (see the stream properties in Section 7.3.4).

When an output stream is repositioned, further output will overwrite the existing content of the sink.

When an input stream is repositioned, the content of the stream is unaltered, and can be re-input.

7.2 Streams in Standard Prolog

Streams in Standard Prolog are text streams or binary streams. It is implementation defined whether record-based streams, non-record-based streams, or both are supported.

7.2.1 Text streams

A *text stream* is a sequence of characters where each character is a member of the extended character set (ECS, 9.1.1). A text stream is also regarded as a sequence of lines where each line is a possibly empty sequence of characters followed by an implementation dependent new-line character.

A processor may add or remove space characters at the ends of lines in order to conform to the conventions for representing text streams in the operating system. Any such alterations to the stream are implementation defined.

It is implementation defined whether the last line in a text stream is followed by a new-line character. If so, closing a stream which is a sink will cause a new-line character to be output if the stream does not already end with one.

The effect of outputting a symbolic control character (9.5.1) to a text stream is implementation defined.

When a stream is connected to a record-based stream, each record is regarded as a line during Prolog execution.

7.2.2 Binary streams

A *binary stream* is a sequence of bytes.

If bytes are output to a sink via a binary stream, and then input from that sink via a binary stream, then the bytes input are identical to those output, except that an implementation defined number of zero-valued bytes may be appended to the end of the data input.

7.3 Properties of the streams

Streams are created with the built-in predicate open/4 by providing an I/O mode and an option list. They are closed with the built-in predicate close/2 and another option list. During their life-time they have *current stream properties* which may be consulted using the built-in predicate stream_property /2.

7.3.1 I/O modes

An I/O mode is an atom which defines the I/O operations that may be performed on a source/sink. The following I/O modes are specified in Standard Prolog:

- $read The source/sink is a source. If it is a file, it will already exist and$ input starts at the beginning of that source.
- write $-$ The source/sink is a sink. If the sink already exists then it is emptied (the initial content is lost), and output starts at the beginning of that sink, else an empty sink is created.
- $append$ The source/sink is a sink. If the sink already exists then output starts at the end of that sink, else an empty sink is created.

208 7. Prolog Environment: Sources and Sinks

1.3.2 Options at stream creation

The stream-options supported at stream creation in Standard **Prolog** are:

 $type(T)$ - Specifies whether the stream is a text stream or a binary stream. *T* will be:

 $textmath>text}$ - the stream is a text stream, or $binary$ — the stream is a binary stream. DEFAULT: text

 $reposition(bool) - bool$ will be:

 $true - it$ is possible to reposition the stream.

 $false - it$ is implementation defined whether or not it is possible to reposition the stream.

DEFAULT: false

It depends on the particular source/sink whether or not repositioning is possible, for example, it is impossible when the source/sink is a terminal.

- $\text{alias}(A) A$ will be an atom (used as an alias to denote the stream). DEFAULT: only the standard streams have default aliases: user _input and user _output
- eof_action($action()$ The effect of attempting to input from a stream whose stream position is past-end-of-stream will be specified by the value of the atom *action:*
	- $error A$ permission-error exception is raised signifying that no more input exists in this stream (see read_term/3).
	- eof_code The result of input is as if the stream position is end-ofstream.
	- \mathbf{r} eset $\mathbf{-}$ The stream position is reset so that it is not past-end-of-stream, and another attempt is made to input from it. This is likely to be useful when inputting from a source such as a terminal. There may also be an implementation dependent operation to reset the source to which the stream is attached.

DEFAULT: implementation defined

If the stream-option list contains contradictory stream-options, the rightmost option is the one which applies.

1.3.3 Options at stream closure

A close-option modifies the behaviour of close/2 if an error condition is satisfied while trying to close a stream. Its purpose is to allow an error handling routine to do its best to reclaim resources.

The stream-option supported at stream closure in Standard Prolog is:

force *(bool)* - *bool* will be:

 $false$ – If an error condition is satisfied, the stream is not closed.

true - If a resource error condition or system error condition is satisfied, there is no error; instead the stream is closed and the goal succeeds. This option closes the stream but data and results may be lost, and the stream may be left in an inconsistent state.

DEFAULT: false

If the close-option list contains contradictory stream-options, the rightmost option is the one which applies.

7.3.4 Current stream properties

The stream properties supported in Standard Prolog are:

- file_name(F) When the stream is connected to a source/sink which is a file, *F* is an implementation defined term which identifies the file which is the source/sink for the stream.
- $mode(M) M$ is the I/O mode (7.3.1) which was specified when the source/sink was opened.
- $input$ This stream is connected to a source.
- $output$ This stream is connected to a sink.
- alias(A) $-$ *A* is one of the aliases (an atom) associated with the stream (there may be several aliases).
- position (P) -
	- $-$ If the stream has property reposition (true), P is the current stream position of the stream (an implementation dependent ground term). The terms *P* denoting stream positions end-of-stream and pastend-of-stream are implementation defined.
	- $-$ If the stream has property reposition (false), it is implementation defined what should be *P.*
- end_of_stream (E) E is:

 at - the stream position is end-of-stream.

- $past$ the stream position is past-end-of-stream.
- not the stream position is neither end-of-stream nor past-end-ofstream.
- eof_action(A) $-$ *A* has the value which was specified when the source/sink was opened (7.3.2).
- reposition($bool$) $-$ *bool* has the value which was specified when the source/ sink was opened (7.3.2).

210 7. Prolog Environment: Sources and Sinks

type(T) - T has the value which was specified when the source/sink was opened (7.3.2).

Table 7.1 defines the properties of the standard streams.

Table 7.1. Properties of the standard streams

standard input	standard output
mode(read) input	mode(append) output
alias(user_input)	alias(user_output)
eof_action(reset)	eof_action(reset)
reposition(false)	reposition(false)
type(text)	type(text)

7.4 Inputting and outputting terms

Terms are input from sources and output on sinks using respectively the built-in predicates write_term/3 and read_term/3 and their derived builtin predicates. They all use read-options or write-options.

7.4.1 Read-options list

A read-options list is a list of *read-options* which affect the built-in predicate read_term/3 and similar ones. The read-options supported in Standard Prolog are:

- variables($Vars$) $-$ After inputting a term, *Vars* is a list of the variables in the term resulting from inputting, in left-to-right traversal order.
- variable.names (VN_list) After inputting a term, VN_list is a list of elements where each element is a term A = V such that V is a variable of the term resulting from inputting, corresponding to a non anonymous variable in the original term, and A is an atom whose name is the characters of V in the original term.
- $singletons(VN_list)$ After inputting a term, VN_list is a list of elements where each element is a term $A = V$ such that V is a non anonymous variable which occurs only once in the term resulting from inputting, and

A is an atom whose name is the characters of V in the original term.

7.4.2 Write-options list

A write-options list is a list of write-options which affects the built-in predicate write_term/3 and similar ones. The write-options supported in Standard Prolog are:

```
quoted(bool) - bool will be: 
    true - each atom and functor is quoted if this would be necessary forthe term to be input by read_term/3. 
    false - each atom and functor is written as specified by its syntax.DEFAULT: false 
ignore_ops(bool) - bool will be: 
    true - Each compound term is output in functional notation. Neither
        operator notation nor list notation is used when this write-option is 
        in force (see 7.4.3, case 5). 
    false - Compound terms are output using operator notation or list
        notation (see 7.4.3, case 5<sup>1</sup>, second and third items).
    DEFAULT: false 
numbervars(bool) - bool will be:
    true - a term of the form '$VAR'(N), where N is an integer, is output
        as a variable name consisting of a capital letter possibly followed by 
        an integer. The capital letter is the (i+1)th letter of the alphabet
        and the integer is j, where 
           i = N \mod 26j = N II 26
        The integer j is omitted if it is zero. For example, 
            '$VAR'(O) is vritten as A 
            '$VAR'(1) is vritten as B 
           '$VAR'(25) is vritten as Z 
            '$VAR'(26) is vritten as A1 
            '$VAR'(27) is vritten as B1 
    false - Variables are output with normal syntax as described in the
        next Section 7.4.3, case 1.
```
DEFAULT: false

If the write-options list contains contradictory write-options, the rightmost write-option is the one which applies.

 $¹$ The standard omits to specify a particular way to output curly bracketed terms.</sup> Therefore a functional notation should be assumed.

212 7. Prolog Environment: Sources and Sinks

7.4.3 Writing a term

When a term Term is output using write_term/3 and similar built-in predicates, the action which is taken in Standard Prolog is defined by the rules below:

1. If Term is a VARIABLE, a character sequence representing that variable is output. The sequence begins with _ (underscore) and the remaining characters are implementation dependent. The same character sequence is used for each occurrence of a particular variable in Term. A different character sequence is used for each distinct variable in Term. If Term has the form '\$VAR' (N) for some positive integer N, and there is

an effective write-option numbervars (true) , a variable name as defined in Section 7.4.2 is output,

- 2. If Term is an INTEGER with value N_1 , a character sequence representing N_1 is output. The first character is - if the value of N_1 is negative. The other characters are a sequence of decimal digit characters. The first decimal digit is 0 if the value of Term is zero.
- 3. If Term is a FLOAT with value F_1 , a character sequence representing F_1 is output. The first character is - if the value of F_1 is negative. The other characters are an implementation dependent sequence of characters which conform to the syntax for floating-point numbers.

If there is an effective write-option quoted (true), then the characters output are such that if they form a number with value F_2 in a term input by read_term/3, then

 $F_1 = F_2$

A processor may output the floating point value 1.5 as 1. S or 1. SE+OO or O.1Se1.

- 4. If Term is an ATOM then if there is an effective write-option quoted(true) and the sequence of characters forming the atom could not be input as a valid atom without quoting, then Term is output as a quoted token, else Term is output as the sequence of characters forming the name of the atom.
- 5. If Term is a COMPOUND TERM then
	- if Term has a principal functor, different from \prime . \prime /2, which is not an operator defined in the current operator table, or if there is an effective write-option ignore_ops (true), then the term is output in *functional notation,* that is:
		- a) The atom of the principal functor is output.
		- b) ((open char) is output.
		- c) Each argument of the term is output.
		- d) ,(comma char) is output between each successive pair of arguments.
- e)) (close char) is output.
- if Term is a list-term or a list and there is an effective write-option ignore_ops (false), then Term is output using list notation, as shown in Section 2.2.2.
- If Term has a principal functor which is an operator different from '.'/2, and there is an effective write-option ignore_ops (false), then the term is output in *operator notation,* that is:
	- a) The atom of the principal functor is output in front of its argument (prefix operator), between its arguments (infix operator), or after its argument (postfix operator). In all cases, a space is output to separate an operator from its argument(s) if any ambiguity could otherwise arise.
	- b) Each argument of the term is output. When an argument is itself to be output in operator notation, it is preceded by ((open) and followed by) (close) if the principal functor is an operator whose priority is so high that the term could not be re-input correctly with same set of current operators, or if the argument is an atom which is a current operator.
- if Term is a list-term or a list and there is an effective write-option ignore_ops (false), but \cdot . \cdot /2 is declared as operator, then undefined (two of the previous cases may apply).

8. Prolog Flags and Directives

In Standard Prolog *Flags* are reserved atoms with an associated predefined value, which define some parameters of a processor. Some of them are fixed with a given processor; their value is implementation defined and cannot be updated. Some others may be modified by the user and are said *changeable.*

Directives are predefined built-in predicates. They are used in Prolog text only as queries to be immediately executed when loading it. They are aimed at initialising changeable flags, or at updating the predefined operator table or the character conversion table. They are also used to influence the preparation of Prolog texts or term inputting during program execution. Such directives are needed in particular because there is no "consulting" built-in predicate in Standard Prolog.

8.1 Unchangeable flags

They concern the integer arithmetic and the maximum arity of a predicate or functor. Their default value is implementation defined. Their current associated value may be obtained in a program by using the built-in predicate current_prolog_flag/2.

Flag: bounded

POSSIBLE VALUES: true, false

- It is used in the definition of *I* (the integers, Section 6.1.2).

Flag: max_arity

POSSIBLE VALUE: The default value only (denoted *maxarity* or unbounded).

- *- maxarity* is a positive integer which is the maximum arity allowed for any compound term.
- The value is unbounded when the processor has no limit for the number of arguments for a compound term.

216 8. Prolog Flags and Directives

Flag: integer_rounding_function

POSSIBLE VALUES: down, toward_zero

- It is used in the definition of *I* (the integers, Section 6.1.2).

Flag: max-integer

POSSIBLE VALUE: The default value only (denoted *maxint).*

- It is used in the definition of *I* (the integers, Section 6.1.2).

Flag: min_integer

POSSIBLE VALUE: The default value only (denoted *minint).*

- It is used in the definition of *I* (the integers, Section 6.1.2).

8.2 Changeable flags

Their current associated value may be updated during the preparation of a Prolog text by the directive set_prolog_flag/2, or in a program by using the built-in predicate set_prolog_flag/2. Current flags and values may be obtained in a program by using current prolog_flag/2.

Flag: char _conversion

POSSIBLE VALUES: on, off DEFAULT: on

- If the value is on, unquoted characters in Prolog texts being prepared for execution or when inputting terms are converted according to the current character conversion table (9.1.2).
- If the value is off, unquoted characters in Prolog texts and term inputting are not converted.

Flag: debug

POSSIBLE VALUES: on, off DEFAULT: off

- If the value is off, procedures have the meaning defined in Standard Prolog.
- If the value is on, the effect of executing any predication is implementation defined.

Flag: double_quotes

POSSIBLE VALUES: chars, codes, atom DEFAULT: implementation defined.

- This flag determines the subterm corresponding to a double quoted list token appearing in a Prolog text or in a term input by read_term/3 (9.5.1).
	- If the value is chars, a double quoted list token is input as a list of one char atoms.
	- If the value is codes, a double quoted list token is input as a list of character codes.
	- If the value is atom, a double quoted list token is input as an atom.

Flag: unknown

POSSIBLE VALUES: error, warning, fail DEFAULT: error

- It defines the effect of attempting to execute a procedure which does not exist (see Section 4.3.2 in Chapter 4).

8.3 Directives for initialising flags and tables

They have the same procedure name and actions as the corresponding builtin predicate, but they act on the Prolog text in which they occur. Their arguments will satisfy the same constraints as those required for an errorless execution of the corresponding built-in predicate, otherwise their behaviour is undefined.

It is implementation defined whether or not a directive affects the values associated with flags in other Prolog texts or during execution.

set_prolog_flag/2

A directive set_prolog_flag(Flag, Value) enables the value associated with a Prolog flag to be altered in subsequent Prolog text preparation or term inputting.

op/3

A directive op (Priority, Op_specifier, Operator) enables the operator table (defined in Section 9.2) to be altered in subsequent Prolog text preparation or term inputting. Its initial state is defined by the predefined operator Table 9.2.2.

218 8. Prolog Flags and Directives

char_conversion/2

A directive char_conversion (In_char, Out_char) enables the character conversion table, to be altered in subsequent Prolog text preparation or term inputting. The table is defined in Section 9.1.2.

8.4 Directives for preparation of Prolog texts and goals

They act on the Prolog text in which they occur. They should not be redefined and the procedures indicated in the arguments of the directives will not be a built-in predicate. The effect in that case is undefined.

discontiguous/l

A directive discontiguous (PI) where PI is a predicate indicator, a list of predicate indicators or a predicate indicator sequence, specifies that each user-defined procedure indicated by PI may be defined by clauses which are not in consecutive order in that Prolog text.

More than one directive discontiguous (PI) may specify the clauses of the user-defined procedure P to be discontiguous. The first directive discontiguous (PI) indicating procedure P will precede all clauses for the procedure P (otherwise the effect of the directive is undefined).

dynamic/l

A directive dynamic (PI), where PI is a predicate indicator, a list of predicate indicators or a predicate indicator sequence, specifies that each user-defined procedure indicated by PI is dynamic.

More than one directive dynamic(PI) may specify a user-defined procedure P to be dynamic in a Prolog text. If P is defined to be a dynamic procedure in one Prolog text, then a directive dynamic (PI) indicating P will occur in every Prolog text which contains clauses for P. The first directive dynamic (PI) that specifies a user-defined procedure P to be dynamic will precede all clauses for P (otherwise the effect of the directive is undefined).

NOTE - The standard suggests public/1 as a directive to declare public static predefined user procedures.

ensure_loaded/1

A directive ensure_loaded(P_text) specifies that the Prolog text being prepared for execution will include the Prolog text denoted by P_text where P_{text} is an implementation defined ground term designating a Prolog text unit.

When multiple directives ensure_loaded(P_text) exist for the same Prolog text, that Prolog text is included only once in the Prolog text prepared for execution. The position where it is included is implementation defined.

include/1

If F is an implementation defined ground term designating a Prolog text unit, then Prolog text *PI* which contains a directive include (F) is identical to a Prolog text *P2* obtained by replacing the directive include(F) in *PI* by the Prolog text denoted by F.

initialization/1

A directive initialization(T) includes a term T' resulting from the transformation of the well-formed term T in a set of goals which will be executed immediately after Prolog texts have been prepared for execution. The order in which any such goals will be executed is implementation defined.

The meaning of the directive is undefined if the term T is not a well-formed body-term.

multifile/1

A directive multifile (PI) where PI is a predicate indicator, a list of predicate indicators or a predicate indicator sequence, specifies that the clauses for each user-defined procedure indicated by PI may have clauses in more than one Prolog text.

More than one directive multifile (PI) may specify a user-defined procedure P to be multifile. Each Prolog text that contains clauses for the user-defined procedure P will contain a directive multifile (PI) indicating the procedure P. The first directive multifile (PI) indicating procedure P will precede all clauses for the procedure P (otherwise the effect of the directive is undefined).

Programmers write *Prolog texts* or input terms from sources. This chapter describes the syntax of Prolog texts in Standard Prolog and the syntax of terms as they will be represented in sources or as they may be output on sinks.

A Prolog text is a sequence of directives and clauses in an order which is specified by directives. Directives and clauses are represented by terms. Furthermore several I/O built-in predicates input and output terms. Such terms are also called read-terms.

Read-terms are formed with functors and some of the functors are unary or binary predefined operators which may be written in a prefix, infix or postfix notation with or without parentheses. The syntax explains how to write expressions with operators. Its originality lies in the possibility to update dynamically the set of operators.

9.1 Character sets and character conversion table

The elementary unit of any text is the character. In the definition of Standard Prolog different character sets are used. These are described in the next section.

9.1.1 The Prolog character set and other character sets

Figure 9.1 illustrates the different character sets and their relationships. They are:

- The *Processor character set* (PCS) which denotes all possible characters and may include in particular national characters and characters which require several bytes to be represented.
- The *Extended character set* (**ECS**), the set of characters allowed in text streams, which is an implementation defined subset of PCS. It is partitioned into graphic, alphanumeric, solo, layout and meta characters.
- The set of the *one-char atoms.* It is the subset of the atoms whose name is represented by a single character. It has a nonempty intersection with

ECS. Both sets are uncomparable. For example it may happen that the one-char atom '.' is not in ECS, when the character ∞ is in ECS but not a one-char atom.

- The *Prolog character set* (char). It is the set of the characters used to represent read-terms in the syntax of Standard Prolog (as described in Section 9.5). It is a common subset of the one-char atoms and the ECS. The other characters in ECS are considered as an extension of the Prolog character set. The Prolog character set is, like the ECS, partitioned into graphic, alphanumeric, solo, layout and meta characters.

The charaters which are in ECS but not in char are implementation defined.

9.1.2 The character conversion table

The characters of the Prolog character set (char) are the basic units used in the syntax of Prolog texts and terms. Therefore characters in the extended character set (ECS) which are not in the Prolog character set must be "converted" in order to be parsed and understood by a processor. The *character conversion table* associates one single character in char to each character in ECS.

This character conversion table may or may not be used by the I/O predicates. It is empty by default (no conversion, i.e. each character is "converted" to itself).

The character conversion table is accessed by the built-in predicate current_char_conversion/2 and updated before inputting terms from streams during execution with char _conversion/2.

It may be initialised during the preparation of a Prolog text, using directives char_conversion/2 (8.2). It is implementation defined whether or not the character conversion table is affected during execution by the directives executed during the preparation of a Prolog text.

9.1.3 Character code

To each character in ECS there corresponds a unique integer and reciprocally, by the *char-code mapping.* This integer is called *character codel •* The subset of the integers corresponding to a character code is denoted CC (see Figure 9.1) and is called the *set of character code?*

The *char-code mapping* is implementation defined, but it satisfies several constraints³.

- The character code of an unquoted character (non-quote-char 9.5.1) is subject to the following restrictions:
	- The character codes of each capital letter char from A to Z is monotonically increasing.
	- The character codes of each small letter char from a to z is monotonically increasing.
	- The character codes of each decimal digit char from 0 to 9 is monotonically increasing and contiguous.
- The character code of a quoted character (9.5.1) which is not a control, octal or hexadecimal escape sequence is the character code of the unquoted character that the quoted character denotes.
- The character code of a quoted character which is an octal (hexadecimal) escape sequence is the value of the octal (hexadecimal) characters interpreted as an octal (hexadecimal) integer.

The char-code mapping is accessed by the built-in predicate char-code/2.

9.2 Expression and operator table

In Standard Prolog terms are normally written as explained in Section 2.1.1: in functional notation. In this case the structure of the term is completely specified without any ambiguity. It is also possible to simplify the presentation of some terms writing them as unbracketed expressions. Thus expressions are written using functors in *operator notation.*

¹ This integer is also called *collating sequence integer* in the standard by reference to the term ordering that it serves to define.

² A character code may correspond to more than one byte in a stream. Thus, inputting a single character may consume several bytes from an input stream, and writing a single character may output several bytes to an output stream.

³ These requirements on the char-code mapping are satisfied by both ASCII (11.3) and EBCDIC.

9.2.1 The operator table

The *operator table* defines which atoms will be regarded as operators when a sequence of tokens is parsed as a read-term by the built-in predicate read_term/3, or a term is output by the built-in predicate write_term/3 or similar built-in predicates, or a Prolog text is prepared for execution.

Each operator is characterised by three parameters: name (an atom), specifier (one of the atoms: xf , yf , xfx , xfy , yfx , fx , fy), and priority (an integer between 1 and 1200).

The specifier of an operator (defined in Table 9.1) is a mnemonic that defines the arity, the class (prefix, infix or postfix) and the associativity (left-, right- or non-associative) of the operator.

Specifier	Class	Associativity
fx	prefix	non-associative
fy	prefix	right-associative
xfx	infix	non-associative
xfy	infix	right-associative
vfx	infix	left-associative
xf	postfix	non-associative
νf	$_{\rm postfix}$	left-associative

Table 9.1. Specifiers for operators

The arity is equal to the number of x and y in the specifier, and $x(y)$ defines which operand may be unbracketed and how implicit associativity works. The *implicit associativity* is particularly useful for writing subexpressions with the same operator without parenthesis. For example the expression $(1+2+3+4)$ is the term '+'('+'('+'(1, 2),3),4))) if the specifier of '+' is yfx.

The *priority of an operator* is defined below (9.2.3).

9.2.2 The predefined operator table

In Standard Prolog the initial state of the operator table is fixed. It may be updated using the directive or built-in predicate op/3. The initial state (the predefined operators) is given in Table 9.2.24.

⁴ Two operators $((-->)/2$ and $(?)/1)$ are in the table, but their meaning is undefined in Standard Prolog. In many processors they are used in DCG rules for the first and as initial goal constructor for the second.

Priority	Specifier	Operator(s)
1200	xfx	:- -->
1200	fx	:- ?-
1100	xfy	
1050	xfv	->
1000	xfv	٠,٠
900	fy	۱+
700	xfx	$=$ \=
700	xfx	$==$ $==$ 0< 0=< 0> 0>=
700	xfx	≖
700	xfx	is =:= =\= < =< > >=
500	yfx	+ - ハ
400	yfx	* / // rem mod << >>
200	xfx	**
200	xfy	
200	fу	

Table 9.2. The predefined operator table.

9.2.3 Parsing an expression

Parsing is influenced by the priorities.

The *priority of a term* is normally 0, when it is written in functional, list or curly notation, or if it is a bracketed expression or an atomic term. But if the term is written as an unbracketed expression in operator notation, its priority is the priority of its principal functor.

"U nbracketing" rule:

If an unbracketed expression has priority *p,* one of its operands may be written without parenthesis if its priority is less than *p.* If the position of the operand corresponds to 'Y' in the specifier of the operator of the expression then the priority of the operand may also be equal⁵.

If an expression is written without parenthesis and formed according to the rule above, it is parsed as a term in which the operands are subterms, according to the specified associativity, with decreasing priorities. For example the expression $(1 * 2 + 3 * 4)$ is the term '+'('*'(1, 2), '*'(3, 4)) as the priority of '*' is less than the priority of '+', according to Table 9.2.2, and $(1 + 2 * 3 + 4)$ is the term '+'('+'(1, '*'(2, 3)),4).

If the rule above is violated, it is a syntax error. For example the expression $(X = Y = Z)$ cannot be parsed, since = has specifier xfx and no subexpression can be built with a priority less than the priority of =. Hence, expected operands must be bracketed, like, for example, $((X = Y) = Z)$ which can be parsed because the bracketed subexpression has priority o.

⁵ Hence the principal functor of the operand may be the same operator and the subexpression may be unbracketed.

Notice however that some expressions still remain ambiguous. For example assume there are two operators with the same priority and denoted xfy and yfx with the same corresponding specifier. Thus the expression 1 xfy 2 yfx 3 may be parsed as the term $xfy(1, yfx(2, 3))$ or $yfx(xfy(1, 2), 3)$.

Therefore in Standard Prolog there is one additional rule to (arbitrarily) disambiguate such cases (the only possible cases are expressions of the form 1 xfy 2 yfx 3 and fy 1 yf, where both operators have same priority): the expression is parsed considering the first operator to have higher priority. So these expressions are parsed like 1 xfy (2 yfx 3) and fy (1 yf) respectively.

9.2.4 Valid operator table

There are still some problematic situations. If two operators have the same class and name (and different priorities), some expressions may be ambiguous.

An operator table is a *valid opemtor table* if it fulfills the following conditions:

- There are no two operators with the same class and name.
- There are no two operators with the same name, one infix and the other postfix 6 .

Updating the operator table, using the built-in predicate op/3, must preserve the validity of the table.

9.3 Presentation of the syntax

The syntax of Standard Prolog is presented using definite clause grammar style (DCG).

A DCG is a grammar⁷ specifying terminal strings by rewriting rules, with additional restrictions specified by built-in predicates and some procedures specified in Section 9.5.2.

DCG rules have the form:

nonterminals are predications, *terminals* are sequences of characters quoted by " (double quote character) or by' (single quote character) and *procedure calls* are Prolog goals between braces. '|' holds for alternative.

⁶ This restriction, as the (arbitrary) disambiguation rule above, contribute to limiting the lookahead during parsing and improve parsing efficiency.

⁷ It is basically a context free grammar in which the nonterminals may have arguments. A DCG is also a Prolog program (assuming a classical transformation).

A rule deriving the empty string has the form:

 $\emph{Nonterminal}$ --> ε

Syntactic rules define the syntax of a term (also called the *concrete syntax).* Each nonterminal has at least one argument which defines the corresponding term. In the case of several arguments, the term corresponds to the first one.

There are goals between braces. They represent the conditions which must be satisfied to apply the rule.

The syntax presented here is ambiguous: the same expression may sometimes lead to different terms. The rules defined in Section 9.2.3 above must be applied to remove the ambiguities. The DCG rules may be read as a Standard Prolog program⁸.

9.4 Syntax of Prolog text

A Prolog text is a sequence of directive-terms and clause-terms. All are readterms. As specified below, a read-term has an "end" delimiter (a dot). A dot which is an end delimiter must be followed by a layout text if there may be any confusion with subsequent term⁹

9.4.1 Syntax of directive

The characters of a *directive-term* in Prolog text will satisfy the same constraints as those required to input a read-term during a successful execution of the built-in predicate read_term/3. The principal functor will be $(:-)$ /1, and its argument will be a directive (one of the predications described in Sections 8.3 and 8.4).

9.4.2 Syntax of clause

The characters of a *clause-term* in Prolog text will satisfy the same constraints as those required to execute successfully the built-in predicate asserta/1. The principal functor will be $(\cdot -)/2$, its first argument will be a callable term (an atom or a compound term) and the second a well-formed bodyterm $(2.2.3)$, or, if the principal functor is not $(\cdot -)/2$, a callable term.

 8 The executable version of the syntax (in the file referred to in Annex 11.2) includes these (transformed) DCG rules with small modifications to take into account the disambiguation rules.

⁹ A dot may also by used as a graphic character (11.3). Therefore in the sequence *£(1).1** the point is parsed as part of the atom ./* and not as the end delimiter of the compound term £(1).

9.5 Syntax of read-term

9.5.1 Term definition

 $read-term(T)$ $-- term(T, 1200)$, end

The nonterminal term has two arguments. The first one is the corresponding term, the second is an integer which is the precedence context of the term. The *precedence context* is an integer between 0 and 1200 which represents the highest priority that the term may have.

So parsing "starts" with the highest possible priority (e.g. any term is acceptable) .

A read-term may have two kinds of variables (9.5.1): named and anonymous variables. All variables in the resulting term are freshly renamed with an implementation dependent name. However the information, whether they were anonymous or not, is kept in the syntax, as their original name as well, in the case of named variables (this information is used in the built-in predicate read_term/3 and similar built-in predicates)¹⁰.

The titles of the next subsections correspond to the first subterm parsed by scanning a sequence of characters from left to right.

Number term.

The nonterminal rest_term has 4 arguments: the first one is the subterm already parsed, the second the complete term, the third the priority of the subterm and the last one the precedence context of the term currently analysed (its maximal priority).

Variable term.

term(Op, P) \leftarrow atom(Op), { current_op(_,_,Op) }

¹⁰ This information is used by the built-in predicates read_term/3 and similar, but in order to keep the syntax presentation simple, this information is not explicitly coded here.

Compound term in functional notation.

--) close

Compound term in list notation.

arg_list([])

Compound term in curly notation.

Double quoted string term.

Back quoted string term.

Back quoted strings are defined syntactically in Standard Prolog, but the corresponding term is undefined.

Compound term in operator notation.

Floating point number token.

9.5.2 Procedures used in the DCG

The meaning of the non standard procedures used in the description of the syntax (in braces) is given here¹¹.

- **prefix_operator**($Prec, Op, RightArgPrec$) $-$: **iff** Op is a unary prefix operator (specifier is fx or fy) with precedence *Prec* and *RightArgPrec* is an integer corresponding to a possible precedence of its operand. If *Op* has specifier fy then $RightArgPrec \leq Prec$ else (specifier fx) *RightArgPrec* < *Prec.*
- postfix_operator($Prec, Op, LeftArgPrec$) $-$: iff Op is a unary postfix operator (specifier is xf or yf) with precedence *Prec* and *LeftArgPrec* is an integer corresponding to a possible precedence of its operand. If *Op* has specifier yf then *LeftArgPrec* \leq *Prec* else (specifier xf) *LeftArgPrec* < *Pree.*
- \inf **x_operator**($Prec, Op, LeftArgPrec, RightArgPrec) :$ **iff** Op is a binary infix operator (specifier in {xfx, xfy, yfx}) with precedence *Prec* and *LeftArgPrec* and *RightArgPrec* are integers corresponding to possible precedences of the operands. If *Op* is right associative (specifier xfy) then *LeftArgPrec* < *Prec* and *RightArgPrec* \leq *Prec, else if Op* is left associative (specifier yfx) then *LeftArgPrec* \leq *Prec* and *RightArgPrec* < *Prec,* else (specifier {xfx) *LeftArgPrec* < *Prec* and *RightArgPrec* < *Prec.*
- **compute_integer**($Digits, Base, Decimal$) $-$: if $Digits$ is a list of digits in base *Base* then *Decimal* is the decimal representation of the sequence of digits in *Digits.*
- compute_char($Code, Base, Char$) $-$: if *Code* is a list of digits in base *Base* representing a character code then *Char* is the character whose code is represented by the sequence of digits in *Code.*
- translate_double_quotes(QL, T) $-$: *if QL* is a list of characters then *T* is the corresponding term according to the value of the flag double_quotes: \texttt{chars} - *T* is the list of one-char atoms corresponding to the characters in *QL.*
	- codes $-$ *T* is the list of character codes of the characters in QL .

atom $-$ *T* is the atom whose name is the sequence of characters in QL .

¹¹ The definition of the procedures is non deterministic, in the sense that the same text may by parsed in different manners resulting in the same term. The executable version of the syntax (in the file referred to in Annex 11.2) is deterministic.

- concat $(L_1, L_2, ..., L_n, L)$: if $L_1, L_2, ..., L_n$ are lists then *L* is their concatenation (in this order).
- notoperator (A) : if *A* is an atom then *A* is not an operator in the current operator table.

9.6 Syntax errors

There is a syntax error when a sequence of characters which are being input as a read-term do not conform to the syntax. The error-term has the form syntax_error(imp_dep_atom) where *imp_dep_atom* denotes an *implemen*tation dependent atom (see read_term/3).

10. Writing Portable Programs

The purpose of this chapter is to introduce some methodology to help write portable programs easily.

In **Standard Prolog** many features are undefined or incompletely specified. Therefore it is difficult to guarantee the portability of a program even on standard conforming processors.

In this respect it suffers the same limitations as any standardized language and the same methodology applies. We focus here on some very specific features of **Standard Prolog,** namely the unification and the logical database update view.

The unification is partly undefined and its portability is related to complex properties (NSTO/STO). We show here how simple rules may be applied to write portable programs.

The logical database update view is implemented now on most commercial Prolog processors. Therefore most Prolog programmers are now familiar with it. Although this view induces some "logical" flavour to a feature which is obviously "non logical", programmers may still feel uncomfortable when using it, due to its complexity (interferences with the control in the execution model). Therefore we provide here some simple rules which are safe in the sense that the behaviour of programs is clear without deep understanding of the database update view. Furthermore they make clauses updating independent from other views and thus increase the portability of programs.

10.1 Unification

10.1.1 A first solution

According to the definition of unification in **Standard Prolog,** portable programs must be NSTO.

Here are two very simple rules characterising NSTO programs:

Rule 1: If in a program and *goals only* atoms, *numbers* and *variables* are *used* in *the* arguments of *the predications (no compound term), the* program is *NSTO.*

240 10. Writing Portable Programs

Rule 2: If in a *program all the* heads of *the* clauses *have* no *duplicated* variable, *the program* is *NSTO with* any *goal.*

The first rule corresponds to *Datalog* programs, a language for querying deductive database. The second is more general (there is no limitation to the functors used) but also more difficult to satisfy in practice.

In fact most of the clauses of a database will fulfill this condition. Unfortunately in most cases some clauses will not satisfy the condition and this will be sufficient to inhibit the portability. This is true in particular as soon as equality is used $¹$.</sup>

One may try to find more general rules. For example, a variable occurs twice in the head of a clause, but if during execution of a goal every call to the corresponding predication is such that one of the variables is instantiated by a ground term, then the execution of this goal is NSTO. Such conditions and more complex ones may be found in [5]. Although some of the conditions are automatizable and may be verified by compilers, programmers cannot use them easily and moreover many interesting programs will remain STO.

Another approach must be found.

10.1.2 Introduction of unification with occurs-check

The second rule above gives us a way to identify the "risky" places where an "occur-check problem" may arise: they correspond to clauses with duplicated variables in the head. Therefore in these clauses and only for these ones, a small transformation may be performed and unify_with_occurs_check/2 introduced.

We illustrate this using a simple example.

Let us specify palindromes. By definition a *palindrome* is a list which is the reverse of itself. For example, [a, n, n, a] is a palindrom.

The (recursive) direct definition results from the following observations: an empty list is a palindrom, as is a list with one element. If a list has more than two elements, it is a palindrom if the first and last elements are identical and the sublist obtained by removing the first and the last elements is a palindrom. This is written formally in the following axioms, using difference lists.

 $L_1 - L_2$ denotes a list formed by the elements of L_1 from which the last elements L_2 have been removed. For example $[a, n, n, a, b, e, l] - [b, e, l]$ denotes the list $[a, n, n, a]$ and $[a, n, n, a] - \beta$ or $[a, n, n, a]X - X$ denote the list $[a, n, n, a]$ too. The most general empty list $\lceil \rceil$ is denoted $L - L$.

Here is the program:

¹ It is the case of using "Prolog unify" $(=72)$, but also of equality defined with the single fact equal (X, X) .
palindrome(L- L). palindrome([AIL]- L). $palingname([A|L]- M) :- palingname(L-[A|M]).$

Notice that with goals like palindrom $(1-m)$. where 1 is a list and m any term the program terminates and analyses or generates palindroms (i.e. palindrom(l-m) succeeds if and only if l-m denotes a list which is a palindrom), otherwise fails. If 1 is a variable or a partial list the program does not terminate, although it has some interesting behaviour.

However the two first clauses correspond to "risky" situations. If the goal is a ground term it is easy to observe that, according to the Prolog computation rule and because all the variables of the body (third clause) occur in the head too, the execution is NSTO with this goal. But if one uses variables in the goal, this program may be STO, for example with the goal p alindrom($[a|X]-X$). On the other hand, one would like to be able to use such goal or goal like palindrom $([X, Y | Z] - Z)$ soundly (i.e. it should succeed once only, unifying X and Y together).

If one does not know in advance all the possible goals, it may be useful to write this program in such a way that it is standard conforming for any goal. This is possible using the following transformation:

When some variable has more than one occurrence in the head of a clause, rename the occurrences in such a way that the head has no duplicated variables and add the corresponding equalities as first predications in the body of the *clause (hence the meaning* is *not modified). But instead of using equality* $('=')/2$, *use* unify_with_occurs_check/2.

Here is the result with our example:

palindrom(L- M) :- unify_with_occurs_check(L, M). $palingfrom([A|L]- M)$:- unify_with_occurs_check(L, M). palindrom([A|L]- M) :- palindrom(L- [A|M]).

This transformation is general and may be used on every program.

10.1.3 What to do with the built-in predicates

Most of the built-in predicates in Standard Prolog do not need occurschecks. But the following ones may do:

arg/3, bagof/3, clause/2, copy_term/2, findall/3, read_term/2, read_term/3, retract/1, $\{-/2$, setof/3, =/2 and = ../2.

With the built-in predicates, the transformation can be applied if one suspects a possibility of positive occurs-check. One way to perform it simply is to put a different new variable at the place of one of the risky argument (for example with copy_term/2 it is the second argument) and execute unify with $\text{occurs_check}/2$ with this variable and the corresponding original term as arguments.

242 10. Writing Portable Programs

For example, instead of writing findall(X, $p(X)$, $[f(U, g(U))]$) ... one may prefer to write $findall(X, p(X), S), unify_with occurs-check(S, [f(U, g(U))])$ to have a unique behaviour if the procedure $p/1$ has a fact like $p(f(Y, Y))$.

10.2 The database update view

Standard Prolog contains four built-in predicates which may modify the database: abolish/l, asserta/l, assertz/l, retract/1. In Standard Prolog the so called *logical database update view* is used. First we give a comprehensive introduction to this view, then we deduce some recomendations.

10.2.1 The database update view in the execution model for a subset of Standard Prolog

Let us assume first that there is no built-in predicate except those for clause creation and destruction and let us consider a node of the search-tree where no built-in predicate is executed.

As the search-tree is constructed the database may be modified. In order to understand better what happens, let us attach to each node an additional label corresponding to the *current database* used to build the children of this node. Assume first that all the *current clauses* (the clauses of the current database) are used to build these children. Each child $(say 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is now labelled by a new database (say $NewP_1, NewP_2, \ldots, NewP_n$). This situation is depicted in Figure 10.1 (the c_i 's correspond to the clauses chosen to build the child). The first child has the label *P,* like the parent node, because its children will be built with the same database. But the other children may have different databases (denoted *NewPi),* because during the construction of the sub-search-trees of the other children some modification of the database may have taken place.

If there is no modification of the database during the development of the sub search-trees, like in definite Prolog, all the *NewPi's* and *P* are the same and all the children are visited and expanded using the same database.

Now consider a child i different from the first $(i > 1)$ and assume that the clause to which it corresponds has been removed during the construction of an older sibling (i.e. $NewP_i$ no longer contains the clause c_i). Is it normal to choose and to try to execute it or not?

Assume now that the youngest child *n* has been reached and executed, and the current database, say *NewP* obtained after the "fail" visit of n, contains new clauses appended to the clauses of P. Should these new clauses

Fig. 10.1. The logical database update view.

be considered to create dynamically new children or not? Notice that such a situation occurs with assertz/1 only. With asserta/1 no young child can be created (although subsequent uses will consider the modified database)².

10.2.2 The logical database update view

The database update view depends on the way the previous questions are answered. The standard adopts the following view: the retracted clauses are selected but not the appended ones. It is called the logical view. In other words, the children are fixed at the first visit of the parent node and remain unchanged whatever the modifications of the database may be.

10.2.3 The database update view in Standard Prolog

The *(logical) database update view* in Standard Prolog is the generalisation of this principle to all re-executable built-in predicates too.

Namely, the number of children is fixed at the first visit (when the children are built) and not modified by the visit-construction of the sub-search-trees.

10.2.4 A simple view

Although the logical view has been adopted, some programmers are used to the so-called "immediate view". There is a "minimal" way of thinking about the views, in a such manner that does not depend on the view (it is of course undecidable whether a given database satisfies the requirements of the logical view). Here are some possible rules:

² In fact one may consider that new children are added in that case to the left of the first node. Because the Prolog computation rule visits the search-tree in a left to right manner, these new (oldest) children will not be visited.

244 10. Writing Portable Programs

- 1. asserta/l may be used without restriction.
- 2. Never use $\texttt{retract/1}$ or $\texttt{assertz/1}$ on a predicate which is active except to retract already used clauses.
- 3. Never use abolish/l on a predicate which is active.

These restrictions comply with a prudent use of database updates.

11. Annexes

11.1 Compliance

Here is an (adapted) extract of the standard explaining the requirements a standard conforming processor which uses extensions will satisfy.

11.1.1 Prolog processor

A *conforming Prolog processor* will:

- 1. Correctly prepare for execution Prolog text which conforms to:
	- a) the specification of Prolog texts in Standard Prolog, and
	- b) the implementation defined and implementation specific features of the Prolog processor,
- 2. Correctly execute Prolog goals which have been prepared for execution and which conform to:
	- a) the specification of Prolog goals in Standard Prolog, and
	- b) the implementation defined and implementation specific features of the Prolog processor,
- 3. Reject any Prolog text or read-term whose syntax fails to conform to:
	- a) the specification of Prolog text or read-term in Standard Prolog, and
	- b) the implementation defined and implementation specific features of the Prolog processor,
- 4. Specify all permitted variations in the manner described in Standard Prolog, and
- 5. Offer a strictly conforming mode which will reject the use of an implementation specific feature in Prolog text or while executing a goal.

11.1.2 Prolog text

Conforming Prolog text will use only the constructs specified in Standard Prolog, and the implementation defined and implementation specific features supported by the processor.

246 11. Annexes

Strictly conforming Prolog text will use only the constructs specified in Standard Prolog, and the implementation defined features supported by the processor.

11.1.3 Prolog goal

A *conforming Prolog goal* is one whose execution is defined by the constructs specified in Standard Prolog, and the implementation defined and implementation specific features supported by the processor.

A *strictly conforming Prolog goal* is one whose execution is defined by the constructs specified in Standard Prolog, and the implementation defined features supported by the processor.

11.1.4 Documentation

A *conforming Prolog processor* will be accompanied by documentation that completes the definition of every implementation defined and implementation specific feature specified in Standard Prolog.

11.1.5 Extensions

A processor may support, as an implementation specific feature, any construct that is implicitly or explicitly undefined in Standard Prolog.

Syntax. A processor may support one or more additional characters in ECS (9.1.1) and additional syntax rules as an implementation specific feature if and only if:

- 1. any sequence of tokens that conforms to the syntax of Prolog text and read-terms defined in Chapter 9 will correspond to the term defined there,
- 2. any sequence of tokens that conforms to the syntax of a term defined in Section 9.5 will have the abstract syntax defined in that clause,
- 3. any sequence of characters that conforms to the syntax of Prolog tokens defined in Section 9.5.1 will be parsed to those Prolog tokens.

Back quoted "strings" could be defined as an extension.

Predefined operator table. A processor may support one or more additional predefined operators (Table 9.2.2) as an implementation specific feature.

Character conversion table. A processor may support some other initial value in Table (9.1.2), as an implementation specific feature.

Objects. A processor may support one or more additional objects, called *type* in this section only¹, as an implementation specific feature if and only if, for every additional type *T* supported by a processor:

- 1. No term with type T will also have a type T' where T and T' are different.
- 2. For every two terms t and t' with types T and T' respectively,
- *t term_precedes t'* (2.1.2) will depend only on *T* and T' unless $T = T'$. 3. The processor will define in its accompanying documentation terms corresponding to additional types and well-formed clauses, bodies and goals².
- 4. The processor will define in its accompanying documentation, the token syntax and the corresponding term of every term of type *T.*
- 5. The processor will define in its accompanying documentation, the effect of evaluating as an expression a term of type *T (6.2).*
- 6. The processor will define in its accompanying documentation, the effect of writing a term of type *T (7.4.3).*

Flags. A processor may support one or more additional flags as an implementation specific feature.

Directives. A processor may support one or more additional directive indicators (8) as an implementation specific feature.

Built_{in} Predicates. A processor may support one or more additional built-in predicates and/or side effects as an implementation specific feature.

When a processor supports additional built-in predicates as an implementation specific feature, it may also support as an implementation specific feature one or more additional forms of error-term.

Arithmetic functors. A processor may support one or more additional arithmetic functors (6.1.1) as an implementation specific feature.

Sources and sinks. A processor may support additional I/O modes (7.3.1), such as a mode for both inputting and outputting, as implementation specific features.

A processor may support one or more additional open- and close-options (7.3.2, 7.3.3) as an implementation specific feature.

A processor may support one or more additional stream properties (7.3.4) as an implementation specific feature.

A processor may support one or more additional read- or write-options (7.4.1, 7.4.2) as an implementation specific feature.

^{1 &}quot;Type" denotes here subsets of basic objects like variables, atoms, numbers, etc., used to build terms. Additional types could be "string" or "complex number". This notion of "type" should not be confused with the other notion used to describe the templates (5.2.2). We do not use this notion of "type" in the description of Standard Prolog to denote categories of objects, in order to avoid any confusion. Standard Prolog is indeed a typeless language.

 2 It is denoted in the standard as "the effect of converting a term of type T to a clause and vice versa" .

248 11. Annexes

11.2 The ftp package

The ftp package contains an executable specification of Standard Prolog³ written in Standard Prolog and examples.

11.2.1 The package

All the needed files and directories are in a single directory called SdProlog. This directory contains the following files:

 $read-me$ - An abstract on this annex.

- $manual.txt A full manual (in ASCII text form).$
- exe-spec.pl l-pred.pl syntax.pl interf.pl ex-test.pl util.pl The code of the executable specification which is a Prolog text.
- $\mathbf{make} \longrightarrow A$ Prolog text which may be consulted to load the executable specification.
- α examples $-$ A directory containing sample programs written in Standard Prolog. They are self documented and may be run on any standard conforming processor. These programs may not be runnable with the executable specification due to lack of resources⁴.
- $bips-ex A$ directory containing as many files as there are built-in predicates. Each file consists of the collection of examples listed in this book, the examples used in the standard together with some other examples.

The file corresponding to a built-in predicate has the same name, except for some cases where another mnemonic name is used (e.g. arith-eq instead of $(==)/2$, or not-prov instead of $(\+)/1$.

11.2.2 How to run the executable specification

The executable specification is a specific implementation of Standard Prolog. So, all concepts which are implementation defined, implementation dependent or undefined have received some interpretation. For example there may be an explicit warning when the unification is undefined.

³ It includes an implementation of the formal specification published in the informative annex of the standard [2].

⁴ The executable specification is close to the formal specification published in the standard and therefore is not efficient at all. It serves only to test small programs and goals.

The executable specification must be run on a standard conforming processor. However the way it has been designed makes it runnable on most existing Prolog processors.

To run the executable specification on a Prolog processor, load the file make. In most existing Prolog processors this is achieved by executing the goal:

?- consult(make).

A short help can be obtained thus by executing the goal help_me. The following goals can then be executed.

- run_subs (prog) $-$ where prog is an atom whose name is the path-name of a Prolog text file whose contents is a program to be tested. Only very small programs can be run without resource error⁵. Goals can then be entered and tested with the program denoted in the argument. The outcome of each goal is an answer substitution.
- run_f orest (prog) Same as run_subs (prog) but the outcome is a full search-tree.
- run_subs Same as run_subs/1 but without program.
- run_f orest $-$ Same as run_forest/1 but without program.
- $run_bip(examplesBipFile)$ where examplesBipFile is an atom whose name is the path-name of a file with examples to be tested. The examples must be written in a form described in Section 11.2.3. An "expected result" is indicated and the execution compares the results given by the executable specification and the expected result. The result of the comparison is given.

The complete documentation is in the file manual. txt.

11.2.3 Examples of uses

We show here how to use the executable specification to test examples in Standard Prolog.

Testing programs. Assume that add is a file whose contents are:

 $plus(0,A,A)$. plus(s(A),B,s(C)) :- plus(A,B,C).

⁵ The executable specification is close to the formal specification published in the standard and therefore is not efficient at all. It serves only to test small programs and goals.

250 11. Annexes

Then one executes: $runsubs (add)$. [add] goal ?- $plus(A,B,s(0))$. ([add] goal ?- is the executable specification prompt which is now displayed.)

The goal is displayed again followed by all the answer substitutions obtained by running the executable specification with this goal and the given environment:

```
plus(A,B,s(0))A \leftarrow -0B \leftarrow - s(0)success ---------
                 A \leftarrow -s(0)B \leftarrow -0<br>success ---------
                  end -----
[add] goal ?- plus(0,0,s(0)).
```
plus(O,O,s(O)) failure

search tree form.

Testing built-in predicates. To test a sample of examples for a given built-in predicate, a file must be firstly prepared (say bip_examples) whose contents are the examples to be tested written in the format described above, and the following goal:

run_bip(bip_examples).

The format of the examples is the following: a list whose elements are (in this order):

- 1. A goal,
- 2. (optional) A program, entered as a list of clauses or by its file name. Example:

```
[ (plus(0,A,A) : true), (plus(s(A),B,s(C)) : plus(A,B,C))]or
```
program(add)

where 'add' is the file containing the definition of the predicate 'plus'.

- 3. An expected answer:
	- A list of answer substitutions in the order in which they are expected. The operator substitution is: <--. Example:

 $[[Var_1 \leftarrow Term_{11}, \ldots, Var_n \leftarrow Term_{1n}],$ [Var₁ <-- term₂₁, ..., Var_n <-- Term_{2n}], ...]

or

- success if a success with an empty answer substitution is expected, or
- failure if a finitely failed search tree is expected (a finite search-tree with no success branch), or
- *- error-term* which is a term identifing the expected error. Examples: instantiation_error, type_error(atom, 1), permission_error(modify, static_procedure, foo/2), ..., or
- impl_dep or impl_defined or undefined if the expected behaviour is implementation dependent or defined or undefined.

Examples:

```
[X=1; X=2), [ [X \leftarrow -1], [X \leftarrow -2] ]].[1 = := 1.0, success ].[2 < 1, failure ].
[ functor(T, F, 3), instantiation.error ].[f(X,1) = f(a(X),2)], undefined ].
[f(X,1) @ < f(Y,2), impl_dep ].
```
The execution of run_bip/l compares, for each element of the sample file, the expected result with the executable specification computed result for the goal, and displays (1) the expected result (as in the file), and (2) the results obtained with the executable specification if there is a discrepancy, or the message INTENDED RESULT otherwise.

Example: assume there is a sample file 'functor' with examples as follows: (Note that some erroneous expected answers are given just to illustrate the behaviour of the 'run_bip' predicate.)

 $[[X \leftarrow f_{oo}, Y \leftarrow 2]]].$ 7. Should instantiate Y by 3. $[functor(foo(a),foo, 2), success].$ 7. Should fail. [functor(foo(a).fo.1). failure]. $[functor(X,1.1,0), [[X <-- 1.1]]].$ $[functor([_|_],\cdot\cdot\cdot,2),$ failure]. 7. Should succeed. $[functor(X, foo, a), failure].$ 7. type_error(integer.a) 7. expected instead. [(current_prolog_flag(max_arity.A). 7. complex goal. X is $A + 1$. $functor(T, foo, X)),$ representation_error(max_arity)] . The test will give: | ?- run_bip('functor'). Goal : functor(foo(a,b,c),foo,3) Intended result success Specif result : INTENDED RESULT Goal : functor(foo(a,b,c),A,B) Intended result result :
A <-- foo $B \leftarrow -2$ Specif result : The substitution found is $A \leftarrow$ foo $B \leftarrow -3$ success --------- Goal : functor(foo(a),foo,2) Intended result success Specif result : Must fail **=======-====================================** Goal : functor(foo(a), fo, 1) Intended result \sim 1 failure Specif result : INTENDED RESULT Goal : functor $(A,1.1,0)$

```
Intended result 
               \sim 1
        A \leftarrow -1.1Specif result : INTENDED RESULT 
Goal : functor([A|B],.,2)
Intended result 
               \sim 1.
         failure 
Specif result : Must succeed 
Goal : functor(A,foo,a) 
Intended result 
               \sim :
         failure 
Specif result: system_error_action: type_error(integer,a) 
Goal in error : type_error(integer,a) 
Goal : current_prolog_flag(max_arity,A),B is A+1,functor(C,foo,B) 
Intended result 
               \sim :
         representation_error(max_arity) 
Specif result : 
        system_error_action: representation_error(max_arity) 
            INTENDED RESULT
```
A software package containing the executable specification of Standard Prolog and program examples can be downloaded via World-Wide Web from http://www.springer.de (following the link to samples and supplements).

254 11. Annexes

11.3 Elements of lexical analysis

This annex defines the syntax (in DCG form) of the *Prolog character set* (defined in 9.1.1) used in the syntax of term (9.5). Prolog character set.

char(C) --> graphic_char(C) alpha_numeric_char(C) solo_char(C) layout_char(C) meta_char(C) Graphics characters. --) *"GG"* graphic_char(*GG}* Where GC is one of these characters: [']#', '\$', '&', '*', '+', '-', '.', '/', ':',
'<', '=', '>', '?', '@', ''', ' Alphanumeric characters. alpha_numeric_char(C) alpha_char(C) \mathbf{I} decimal_digit_char(C) alpha_char($'$ _') --> "_" underscore $alpha_{char(C)}$ --) letter_char(C) $letter_char(C)$ --) capitalJetter_char(C) L small_letter_char(C) small_letter_char(Lower) --) *"Lower"* Where *Lower* is one of alphabetical lower case characters (characters from a to z) ca pitalJetter *_char(U pper)* --) *"Upper"* Where *Upper* is one of alphabetical upper case characters (characters from A to z) $decimal_digit_char(DD)$ --) *"DD"* Where *DD* is one of digits between 0 and 9 --) *"BD"* binary_digit_char(BD) Where *BD* is one of the two digits 0 or 1 octal_digit_char(OD) --> "OD" Where *OD* is one of digits between 0 and 7 --) *"XD"* hexadecimal_digiLchar(X *D)* Where *X D* is one of digits between 0 and 9 and letters between A or a and F or f

Solo characters.

256 11. Annexes

11.4 ASCII table

Table 11.4 represents for each character in char, as defined in 9.1.1, its ASCII code in octal, hexadecimal and decimal notation. It also gives the codes of characters represented by a symbolic control character which are quoted characters (defined by symbolic_control_char nonterminal in 9.5.1).

11.5 Glossary **of** auxiliary concepts

This glossary contains the definitions of some concepts used in the description of Standard Prolog but defined nowhere else. Some of them have been used by the standardizers to describe Standard Prolog and are used in this book with a slightly different meaning which is explained here. Words in itallic are defined in this glossary.

Predicate indicator sequence A predicate indicator sequence is a compound term ',' (PI₁, PI_n) where PI₁ is a predicate indicator, and PI n is a predicate indicator or a *predicate indicator sequence.* A predicate indicator sequence $'$, ' $(PI/1)$, $'$, '(P2/A2, P3/A3)) is normally written as P1/Al, P2/A2, P3/A3. There is no term corresponding to an empty predicate indicator sequence.

Sequence of terms A sequence of terms is a compound term $'$, $'(T_1, T_n)$ where T_1 is a term, and T_n is a term or a *sequence of terms.* A sequence is a term of a sequence of terms. A sequence
of terms ',' $(T1, '')$ ' $(T2, T3)$) is normally written as $T1$, $T2$, $T3$. There is no term corresponding to an empty sequence of terms.

Subexpression A subexpression of an expression is this expression or, if the expression is a compound term, a *subexpression* of one of its arguments.

Subterm A subterm of a term is this term or, if the term is a compound term, a *sub term* of one of its arguments.

Top level process, goal A process whereby a Prolog processor repeatedly inputs from the standard input and executes queries. Each query is called *top level goal* (this concept is undefined in the standard). The answer substitutions of a top level goal may be displayed on the standard output in a manner which is implementation defined.

260 11. Thematic classification of the built-in predicates

Thematic classification of the built-in predicates

The order in each category corresponds to the alphabetical order used in Chapter 5 (predicate indicator and arity, or speakable name). Except for the first and last categories, the category name used in the standard has been retained.

Clause creation and destruction Term comparison

```
'abolish'/l 
'asserta'/l 
'assertz'/l 
'retract'/l
```
Flag updates

'current_prolog_flag'/2 'set_prolog_flag'/

Logic and control

```
'call'/l 
'catch' /3 
, , '/2 (conjunction) 
'!'/O (cut) 
'; '/2 (disjunction)
'fail'/O 
'halt'/0
'halt' /1 
'->'/2 (if-then)
';'/2 (if-then-else)
'\ / \prime /1 (not provable)
'once'/l 
'repeat'/O 
'throw'/l 
'true' /0
```
Stream selection and control

```
'at_end_of_stream'/O 
'at_end_of_stream' /1 
'close'/l 
'close'/2 
'current_input '/1 
'current_output '/1 
'flush_output' /0 
'flush_output' /1 
'open'/3 
'open'/4 
'set_input '/1 
'set_output '/1 
'set_stream_position'/2 
'stream_property'/2
```
 $'$ **0**>'/2 (term greater than) $'$ **0** $>$ ='/2 (term greater than or equal) $'$ =='/2 (term identical) ' Q /2 (term less than) \degree = < \degree /2 (term less than or equal) '\\=='/2 (term not identical)

Term creation and decomposition

'arg'/3 'copy_term'/2 'functor'/3 $' = . . . / 2$ (univ)

Term unification

 $\sqrt{\frac{2}{2} (not Prolog unifiable)}$ $\frac{1}{7}$ /2 (Prolog unify) 'unify _wi th_occurs_check' /2 (unify)

Type testing

'atom'/l 'atomic'/l 'compound'/l 'float'/l 'integer'/l 'nonvar'/l 'number'/l 'var'/l

Term input/output

```
'char_conversion'/2 
'current_char_conversion'/2 
'current_op'/3 
'op'3/ 
'read'/l 
'read'/2 
'read_term' /2 
'read_term' /3 
'write'/l 
'write'/2 
'write_canonical '/1 
'write_canonical '/2 
'write_term' /2 
'vrite_term'/3 
'writeq'/l 
'writeq'/2
```
Bibliography

- 1. ISO/IEC 10967-1, Information Technology $-$ Programming languages $-$ Language independent arithmetic - Part 1: Integer and Floating Point Arithmetic, ISO, 1994.
- 2. ISO/IEC 13211-1, Information Technology Programming Languages Prolog - Part 1: General Core, 1995.
- 3. W.F. Clocksin and C.S. Mellish, Programming **in** PROLOG, Springer-Verlag, 297p, 4th ed. 1994.
- 4. Alain Colmerauer and Philippe Roussel, The birth of Prolog, PrologIA, 31p, 1992.
- 5. Pierre Deransart and Jan Maluszynski, A Grammatical View of Logic Programming, (One chapter is devoted to NSTO property and tests) The MIT Press, 1993.
- 6. Richard A. O'Keefe, The Craft of Prolog, The MIT Press, Series in Logic Programming, 1990.
- 7. Leon Sterling and Ehud Shapiro, The Art of PROLOG: Advanced Programming Techniques The MIT Press, Series in Logic Programming, 4th ed. 1995.

Index

 $(**)/2,203$ $(<)$ /2, 40 $(<)$ /2, 201 $(>)/2, 40$ $(>=)/2, 40$ $(>>)/2$, 202 $(\mathcal{A})/1, 115$ $(\rangle =)/2$, 176 $(\rangle ==)/2, 172$ $(,)$ /2, 73 $(-)$ /2, 106 $(\sqrt{3})/2$, 201 $($; $)/2$, 86, 108 $(=\lt)/2, 40$ $(=\equiv)/2$, 40 $(=)/2,177$ $(=\n...)/2, 179$ $(==)=)/2,40$ $(==)/2, 169$ $(Q<)/2$, 170 $(Q>)/2$, 167 $(Q>=)/2, 168$ $(Q = <)/2, 171$ (\n) /1, 201 $(\binom{\ }{2}, 202)$ $^{\circ})/2,257$ $!/0,85$

abolish $/1, 37$ acceptable list, 179 alias, 205 All solutions built-in predicates, 261 dcg: alpha_char $($ _ $), 254$ dcg: alpha_num_seq_char(_), 232 dcg: alpha_numeric_char(_), 254 alphanumeric characters syntax, 254 ANONYMOUS VARIABLE, 257 dcg: anonymous_variable(_), 235 answer substitution, 23, 24 arg/3,39 dcg: $arg-list(2)$, 229

arithmetic $-$ functor, 195 arithmetic compare, 40, 198 Arithmetic comparison built-in predicates, 261 Arithmetic evaluation built-in predicates, 261 arity, 6 asserta/1, 44 assertz/1, 46 associativity - implicit -, 224 at_end_of..stream/O, 48 at_end_of_stream/1,49 atan $/1,200$ atom $-$ name, 6 - one-char -, 222 - term syntax, 228 dcg: atom(_), 229 atom $/1,50$ atom_chars/2, 51 atom_codes/2, 52 atom_concat/3, 54 atom_length/2, 56 atom_or_atom_list, 35 atomic, 35 atomic term, 6 Atomic term processing built-in predicates, 261 atomic/ $1, 57$ back quoted string term syntax, 230

back quoted strings syntax, 236 dcg: back_quoted_character(_), 234 dcg: back_quoted_item-seq(_), 236 dcg: back_quoted-string(_), 230 dcg: back_quoted-string_token(_), 236 backtracking, 27 BAGOF-GOAL, 257 BAGOF-SUBGOAL, 257

bagof/3, 58 binary stream, 207 dcg: binary_constant(_), 235 dcg: binary_digit_char(_), 254 dcg: binary_digit_seq_char(_), 235 binding, 11 ftp:bips-ex, 248 body, 9 body-term, 9 - well-formed -, 9 bounded, 215 box model - call, 28 - exit, 28 - fail, 28 - redo, 28 bracketed term syntax, 229 dcg: bracketed_comment, 232 branch - failed -, 24 $-$ infinite $-$, 24 - success -, 24 built-in predicate - All solutions, 261 - Arithmetic comparison, 261 - Arithmetic evaluation, 261 - Atomic term processing, 261 - Byte input/output, 261 - Character input/output, 261 - Clause creation and destruction, 262 - Clause retrieval and information, 261 - Flag updates, 262 - Logic and control, 262 -- definition, 30 - Stream selection and control, 262 - Term comparison, 262 - Term creation and decomposition, 262 - Term input/output, 262 - Term unification, 262 BYTE,257 Byte input/output built-in predicates, 261 call $/1,60$ callable term, 9 callable_term, 35 dcg: capital_letter_char(_), 254 CARET, 257 $\text{catch}/3,62$ char, *see* Prolog character set dcg: char(_), 254 char-code mapping, 223

char _code/2, 64 char_conversion, 216 char _conversion/2, 65, 218 character code, 223 character conversion table, 222 Character input/output built-in predicates, 261 character set - extended -, 221 - processor -, 221 dcg: character_code_constant(_), 235 character_code-1ist, 35 choice point, 26 class of associativity, 7 clause, 9 $-$ body, 9 - contains, 9 - definite -, 22 $-$ fact, 9 - rule, 9 Clause creation and destruction built-in predicates, 262 Clause retrieval and information built-in predicates, 261 clause-term, 9 - well-formed, 9 clause/2, 67 clause_term, 35 dcg: close, 231 tt close, 213 $close/1,69$ close/2,70 dcg: close_curly, 231 dcg: close_list, 231 Close_options, 35 collating sequence integer, 223 dcg: comma, 231 dcg: comment, 232 dcg: comment-close, 232 dcg: comment-Open, 232 dcg: comment_text, 232 compound term, 6 - in curly notation syntax, 229 - in functional notation syntax, 229 - in list notation syntax, 229 compound term in operator notation syntax, 230 compound $/1, 72$ computation rule - Prolog -, 23 - standard -, 23 dcg: compute_char(_._._), 237 dcg: compute_integer($_{-,-,-}$), 237

dcg: $concat($ $_{-1}$ \ldots $_{-}$), 238 conjunction, 73 constant, 6 CONSTANT,258 dcg: continuation_escape_sequence, 233 dcg: control_escape_sequence $($ _ $), 234$ $copy_term/2,74$ cos/I,202 current - input stream, 206 - output stream, 206 - stream property, 207 $current_{char_conversion}/2,75$ $current$ -input/1, 77 current_op/3,78 $current_output/1, 80$ current_predicate/I, 81 $current_prolog_flag/2, 83$ cut - effect of -, 31 - not transparent to -, 60 - opaque, 60 cut, 85 $cut_token()$, 233 database - complete -, 20 - logical - updateview, 243 Datalog, 240 DCG - nonterminal, 226 - rule, 226 - terminal, 226 debug, 216 dcg: decimal_digit_char(\Box), 254 definite clause, 22 directive, 215 - char_conversion/2, 218 - discontiguous/l, 218 - dynamic/I, 218 - ensure.loaded/l, 218 $-$ include/1, 219 - initialization/I, 219 $-$ multifile/1, 219 $-$ op/3, 217 $set_prolog_flag/2, 217$ disagreement, 14 discontiguous/l, 218 disjunction, 86 documentation, 246 domain of a substitution, 11 double quoted list token syntax, 236

double quoted string term syntax, 229 dcg: double_quoted_character(_), 233 dcg: double_quoted_item_seq(_), 236 dcg: double_quotedJist(_), 231 dcg: double_quoted_list_token(_), 236 double_quotes, 217 dynamic procedure, 20 dynamic/I, 218 E_character, 35 ECS, *see* extended character set empty $-$ list, 8 - substitution, 11 dcg: end, 231 end-of-stream, 206 END-OF-STREAM, 258 ensure.loaded/l, 218 error, 30, 34 - raised by a system procedure, 31 - resource -, 31 - syntax -, 238 - system -, 31 evaluable, 35 evaluate expression, 111 ftp:ex-test.pl, 248 ftp:examples, 248 ftp:exe-spec.pl, 248 execution - of a definite Prolog program, 26 $-$ of a goal, 26 - of a goal (Prolog), 29 $exp/1, 203$ dcg: exponent(_), 236 dcg: exponent-char(_), 236 expression - arithmetic -, 195 - value of an -, 197 extended character set, 221 extensions, 246 fact, 9 fail/0, 87 failed branch, 24 failure node, 24 findall $/3$, 88 flag, 215

 $-$ bounded, 215 - char_conversion, 216 $-$ debug, 216

- double_quotes, 217 $-$ integer_rounding_function, 216
- $-$ max_arity, 215
-

 $-$ max_integer, 216 - min_integer, 216 - unknown, 217 Flag updates built-in predicates, 262 flag_value, 35 float, 6 float $/1$, 90 dcg: float_number(_), 231 dcg: float_number_token(_), 236 floating point number token syntax, 236 floating-point number, 196 flush_output/0, 91 flush_output/I, 92 dcg: fraction(_), 236 FREE VARIABLES (bagof-goal), 258 freshly renamed clause, 23 functional notation, 212 functor, 6 $-$ arity, 8 - name, 8 - principal, 6 functor $/3$, 93 get_byte/1, 95 geLbyte/2, 96 $get_char/1, 98$ $get_char/2,99$ get_code/1, 101 $get_code/2, 102$ goal - compliance, 246 - initial -, 19 preparing for execution a -, 19 goal-term, 9 well-formed -, 9 dcg: graphic_char(_), 254 dcg: graphic_token(_), 232 dcg: graphic_token_char(_), 233 graphics characters syntax, 254 ground, 6 - substitution, 11 $-$ term, 6 halt/D, 104 halt/I, 105 handle, 21 dcg: head_tail_separator, 231 Herbrand algorithm, 14 dcg: hexadecimal_constant(_), 235 dcg: hexadecimal_digit_char(_), 254 dcg: hexadecimal_digit_seq_char(_), 234 dcg: hexadecimal_escape_sequence(_), 234

dcg: horizontaLtab_char(_), 255 I/O mode, 207 $-$ io_mode, 36 idempotent, *see* substitution identity removal, 14 identity substitution, 11 if-then, 106 if-then-else, 108 implementation defined, 3 implementation dependent, 4 implementation specific, 4 in_byte, 35 in_character, 35 in_character _code, 35 in.E_{character, 35} include/I, 219 infinite branch, 24 dcg: infix_operator(_,_._._), 237 initial goal, 19 initialization/I, 219 instance - of a term, 11 integer, 6, 195 integer token syntax, 235 dcg: integer{_), 230 integer/I, 110 deg: integer_constant(_), 235 integer-rounding_function, 216 deg: integer_token(_), 235 ftp:interf.pl, 248 is/2, 111 deg: items(_), 229 ftp:I-pred.pl, 248 layout characters syntax, 255 lavout text syntax, 232 dcg: layout_char(\Box), 255 dcg: layout_text, 232 dcg: layout_text_sequence, 232 deg: letter_char(_), 254 list, 8 - acceptable -, 179 - empty list, 8 - list-term, 8 - partial list, 8 local substitution, 23 $log/1, 203$ logic - first order, 10 Logic and control built-in predicates, 262 logical assertion, 10

logical database updateview, 243 ftp:make, 248 ftp:manual. txt, 248 max_arity, 215 max-integer, 216 meta characters syntax, 255 dcg: meta_char(_), 255 dcg: meta_escape..sequence(_), 234 MGU, *see* most general unifier min_integer, 216 mode - declaration, 34 - of an argument, 35 most general unifier, 13 - unique -, 13 multifile/I, 219 name and delimiter syntax, 230 name token syntax, 232 dcg: name(_), 230 dcg: name_token(_), 232 dcg: named_variable(_), 235 negative occurs-check, 14 dcg: newJine_char(_), 255 nl/O, 112 nl/I, 113 node - choice point, 26 - completely visited -, 26 - failure -, 24 - non-deterministic, 26 - success -, 24 non-determinism - of the solutions, 23 dcg: non_quote_char(_), 234 nonvar, 36 nonvar $/1,114$ not Prolog unifiable, 176 not provable, 115 not subject to occurs-check, 16 dcg: notoperator(_), 238 NSTO, *see* not subject to occurs-check null atom, 6 number, 6 $-$ float, 6 - floating-point -, 6, 196 number term syntax, 228 $number/1,117$ $number{_}chars/2, 118$ number _codes/2, 120 occurs-check

- negative -, 14

- not subject to -, 16 - positive -, 14 - subject to -, 16 $-$ test, 14 dcg: octal_constant(_), 235 dcg: octal_digit_char(_), 254 dcg: octal_digit_seq_char(_), 234 dcg: octal_escape_sequence(_), 234 once/I, 122 one-char atom, 222 op/3, 123, 217 opaque, 60 dcg: open, 231 tt open, 213 open/3,125 open/4, 127 dcg: open_compound_term, 231 dcg: open_curly, 231 dcg: open Jist, 231 open_options, 36 operator, 7 - class of associativity, 7 - name, 7 - priority, 7 - priority of an -, 224 - specifier, 224 - table, 224 - valid - table, 226 operator notation, 213 option - read -, 210 - write -, 211 palindrome, 240 partial list, 8 PARTIAL LIST, 258 past-end-of-stream, 206 PAST-END-OF-STREAM, 258 PCS, see processor character set peek_byte/I, 129 $\bold{peek_byte/2}, 130$ peek_char/I, 132 peek_char/2, 133 peek_code/I, 135 peek_code/2, 136 positive occurs-check, 14 dcg: postfix_operator $($ _____ $)$, 237 precedence context, 228 predicate $-$ arity, 8 $-$ name, 8 predicate indicator, 8 PREDICATE INDICATOR PATTERN, 258

PREDICATE INDICATOR SEQUENCE, 259 predication, 9 dcg: prefix_operator(_._._), 237 preparing for execution - a goal, 19 - a Prolog text, 19 principal functor, 6 priority, 7 private procedure. 20 procedure - dynamic, 20 - private, 20 - public, 20 - static, 20 processor character set, 221 Prolog character set, 222 - syntax, 254 Prolog execution model, 29 Prolog processor - conforming, 245 strictly conforming mode, 245 Prolog text - compliance, 245 - preparing for execution a -, 19 syntax, 221 Prolog unify, 177 public procedure, 20 put_byte/1, 138 put_byte/2, 139 $put_char/1,140$ put_char/2, 141 $put_code/1, 142$ $put_code/2, 143$ quoted character syntax, 233 deg: quoted_token(_), 233 range - of a substitution, 11 re-execution, *see* backtracking read-option, 210 read-term - syntax, 221 read-term syntax, 228 ftp:read.me, 248 read/I, 144 read/2,146 read_options_list, 36 deg: read_term(_), 228 read_term/2, 148 read_term/3, 150 renaming, 13 repeat/O, 153

resolution - general - algorithm, 22 - step, 23 resource error, 31 deg: resLterm(_._._._), 230 retract/I, 154 rule, 9 $run_bip($.), 249 run_forest, 249 run_f orest $(.), 249$ run_subs, 249 $run.subs (.), 249$ search-tree - finite -, 24 - Prolog -, 24 deg: semicolon_token(_), 233 SEQUENCE OF TERMS, 259 set input/1, 156 $set_{\text{output}}/1$, 157 $set_prolog_flag/2, 158, 217$ set_stream_position/2, 159 setof/3, 160 side-effects, 20 dcg: sign(_), 236 sin/I, 204 dcg: single_line_comment, 232 deg: single_quoted_character(_), 233 dcg: single_quoted_item_seq $(.)$, 233 sink, 205 dcg: small_letter_char(_), 254 solo characters syntax, 255 deg: solo_char(_), 255 solution - of an equation, 13 source, 205 **source/sink** - source_sink, 36 - term, 205 deg: space_char(_), 255 splitting, 14 sqrt/I, 204 standard conventions, 3 - implementation defined, 3 - implementation dependent, 4 - specific, 4 - undefined, 4 static procedure, 20 STO, *see* subject to occurs-check stream, 205 - binary -, 207 - position, 206 - position term, 206

- property, 207 $-$ stream, 36 - term, 205 - text -, 206 Stream selection and control built-in predicates, 262 stream_or_alias, 36 stream_position, 36 stream_property, 36 stream_property/2, 163 $sub_atom/5$, 165 SUBEXPRESSION, 259 subject to occurs-check, 16 substitution, 11 - answer -, 23 - composition of -, 12 $-$ domain of a $-$, 11 - empty -,11 - ground -, 11 - idempotent -, 12 - identity -, 11 - instance of a -, 12 - local -, 23 $-$ range of a $-$, 11 $-$ renaming $-$, 13 - variant, 12 SUBTERM, 259 success $-$ branch, 24 - node, 24 swapping, 14 dcg: symbolic_control_char(_), 234 syntax - compliance, 246 - concrete -, 227 - error, 238 - of a clause-term, 227 - of a directive term, 227 ftp:syntax.pl, 248 system error, 31

table - character conversion -, 222 template, 34 term, 5 *atom,* 6 - atomic -, 6 *- compound term, 6* - cyclic -, 17 - functional notation, 212 $-$ ground $-$, 6 - *number,* 6

- operator notation, 213

- predicate indicator of a -, 8 - priority of a -, 225 - unifiable -, 13 *- variable,* 5 - variables of a -, 6 Term comparison built-in predicates, 262 Term creation and decomposition built-in predicates, 262 term greater than, 167 term greater than or equal, 168 term identical, 169 Term input/output built-in predicates, 262 term less than, 170 term less than or equal, 171 term not identical, 172 Term unification built-in predicates, 262 dcg: term(_. _), 228, 229 term_precedes, 6 text stream, 206 throw/I, 173 TOP LEVEL PROCESS, GOAL, 259 transformed - clause, 20 $-$ goal, 20 dcg: translate_double_quotes(_._), 237 transparent, 60 true/O, 175 type information, 35

undefined, 4 unifiable, 13 unification - normal - in standard Prolog, 16 unifier, 13 - most general -, 13 - of an equation, 13 unify - (built-in predicate), 178 unify _with_occurs_check/2, 178 univ,179 unknown, 217 ftp:util.pl, 248

VALID READ-OPTION, 260 VALID STREAM PROPERTY, 260 VALID WRITE-OPTION, 260 var/I, 181 variable, 5 - anonymous-, 228 - bound to, 11

272 Index

- elimination, 14 [~]instantiated -, 11 [~]named -, 228 variable term syntax, 228 variable token syntax, 235 deg: variable{_), 230 deg: variable_token{_) , 235 variant, 12

well-formed, 9 WITNESS, 260

write-option, 211 write/I, 182 write/2, 183 write_canonical/I, 185 write_canonical/2, 186 write_opt ions_list, 36 write_term/2, 188 write_term/3, 190 writeq $/1$, 192 write $q/2$, 193