

Revision paper2.

a) First, I will write a function `partition` which partitions an array, with the first element as a pivot.

Int

```
def partition(arr: Array[Int], l: Int, r: Int): Int = {
  var i = l + 1; var j = r; val pivot = arr(l)
  // Inv: arr[l+1..i) < pivot ≤ arr[j..r] ∧ l ≤ i ≤ j ≤ r
  // ... arr[0..l) = arr0[0..l) ∧ arr[r..N) = arr0[r..N)
  // ... arr[l..r) is a permutation of arr0[l..r)
  while (i < j) {
    if (arr(i) < pivot) i += 1
    else {
      val swap = arr(j)
      arr(j) = arr(i)
      arr(i) = swap
      j -= 1
    }
  }
  val swap = arr(i-1)
  arr(i-1) = pivot
  arr(l) = swap
  arr i - 1
}
```

```
def sort(arr: Array[Int], n: Int): Unit = {
  val k = partition(arr, 0, n)
  sort(arr, 0, k)
}
```

```
def qSort(a: Array[Int], l: Int, r: Int): Unit = {
```

```
  if (r - l > 1) {
```

```
    val k = partition(a, l, r)
```

```
    qSort(a, l, k)
    qSort(a, k+1, r)
```

```
  }
```

```
  }
```

If we have all equal elements, then sort is quadratic

b) This behaviour can be inefficient because some elements may be swapped many times. Suppose we have the program from the previous question. If $arr(j-1)$ is bigger than the pivot and $arr(i)$ is bigger than the pivot, then $arr(j-1)$ and $arr(i)$ would swap. At the next iteration, we would have to swap the element at $arr(i)$ again. Another way this might be inefficient is if we have many equal elements.

↑ NOT REALLY PRECISELY SIGNIFICANT

c)

```
def partition(arr: Array[Int], l: Int, r: Int): Int = {
```

```
  var i = l+1; var j = r; var pivot = arr(l)
```

```
  // Invs:  $arr[l+1..i] \leq pivot \leq arr[j..r]$ 
```

```
  //  $l < i \leq j \leq r \wedge arr[0..l] = arr[0..l] \wedge arr[r..N) =$ 
```

```
  //  $= arr[0..r) \wedge arr[l..r]$  is a perm. of  $arr[l..r]$ 
```

```
  while (arr(i) > pivot) i += 1
```

```
  while (i < j) {
```

```
    while (i < j && arr(i) < pivot) i += 1
```

```
    while (i < j && arr(j) > pivot) j -= 1
```

```
    val swap = arr(i)
```

```
    arr(i) = arr(j)
```

```
    arr(j) = swap
```

$arr(j-1)$

THIS LOOKS AT $A[R]$!

2/14/20

```

val swap case (i, j) => {
  arr(i-1) = tmp
  arr(j) = swap
}

```

The sort function will be the same. This solves only one of the problems - every element will be moved at most once. But if the array contains many equal elements, the partition function would still be slightly inefficient. $O(N^2)$ vs $O(N \log N)$.

3.

```

trait IntSet {

```

```

  state = List[Int] // set of Int's

```

```

  init = List()

```

```

  def

```

```

  // Post: set = set + elem (⊕ is union of sets)

```

```

  def add(elem: Int)

```

```

  // Post: returns elem ∈ set

```

 $5 \in 7 = 5 \in 7_0$

```

  def isIn(elem: Int)

```

```

  // Pre: elem is in set

```

```

  // Post: set = set - elem (⊖)

```

```

  def remove(elem: Int)

```

```

  // Post: returns #set

```

```

  def size

```

b) We need to rewrite only the add and remove functions:

// Pre: $elem \in \{0..N\}$

// Post: $set = set \cup \{elem\}$ and return true

// $\forall set = set \cup \{elem\}$ and return false if $elem \in set$

def add (elem: Int): Boolean

// Pre: $elem \in \{0..N\}$

// Post: $set = set - \{elem\}$ and return true

// $\forall set = set - \{elem\}$ and return false if $elem \notin set$

def remove (elem: Int): Boolean

c)

class BitMapSet extends IntSet {

// Abs: $set = \{x \mid a(x) = true\}$

// DTI: $count = \# \{x \mid a(x) = true\}$

Boolean

var a = new ArrayN

var count = 0

def add (elem: Int): Boolean = {
 val oldValue = a(elem) assert (0 ≤ elem && elem < N)
 a(elem) = true
 !oldValue

def isIn (elem: Int): Boolean = {

if (elem < 0 || elem ≥ N) false

a(elem)

return ?

```
def remove (elem: Int): Boolean = {
  val oldValue = a (elem)
  a (elem) = false
  !oldValue
}
```

YOU DON'T MAINTAIN
D.I. ... ANSWER

d)

```
def sort (xs: Array[Int]): Array[Int] = {
  var newArray = new Array[Int] (xs.length)
  var bitMap = new BitSet
  for (i <- 0 until N) {
    bitMap.add (xs(i))
  }
  // The assertion whether elem ∈ {0..N} is done in the
  // function
}
```

→ 1) THE ALGORITHM NOT WORKS

```
var i = 0; var j = 0
// Inv: [0..j) are in newArray & i ≤ xs.length
while (i < newArray.length) {
  while (bitMap.get (j)) j += 1
  newArray (i) = j
  i += 1
}
```

A(0..n) = sort A(0..n)

CAN'T FALL FROM INVARIANT

THIS WON'T CRASH

4.

a)

```
class Tree (data: Int; left: Tree; right: Tree)
```

b)

```
def inorder (t: Tree): Unit = {
  if (t.left != null) inorder (t.left)
}
```

DO THIS OR ?


```
print (t.datum + " ")
if (t.right != null) inorder (t.right)
```

c)

```
def makeTree (u: Array[Int], a: Int, b: Int): Tree = {
  if (b - a == 1) new Tree (u(a), null, null)
  else {
```

```
    val mid = (a + b) / 2 // assume it won't overflow
    val midIndex = (b - a) / 2
    new Tree (u[midIndex], makeTree (u, a, midIndex),
              makeTree (u, midIndex + 1, b))
  }
```

}

}

WHY $O(n)$?

d)

```
def inorder (t: Tree): Unit = {
  var current = t // current points to the root
  // Invis T(current) is not printed yet ← INSUFFICIENT
  while (current != null) {
    if (current.left == null) {
      print (current.datum + " ")
      current = current.right
    }
```

node else { // make current the right child of the rightmost node in the left subtree

```
  var node = current.left
  while (node.right != null) node = node.right
  node.right = current
  current = current.left
```

}

}

}

↑ MUST CALL CURRENT.LEFT
AFTER READING 17

LAST BLOCK

while (current.next != null) {

// Insert (new list, last): count & current count

while (last.next != null || last.next.count >

current.count) {

last = last.next

if (last.next == null) last.next = new Node (current.word, current.count, null)

else {

last.next = new Node (current.word, current.count, last.next)

}

last = new List

current = current.next

}

new List

}

def merge (l1: Node, l2: Node): Node = {

var curr1 = l1.next; var curr2 = l2.next

var newList = new Node (0, 0, null); var last = newList

while (curr1.next != null && curr2.next != null) {

if (curr1.count < curr2.count) {

last.next = new Node (curr1.word, curr1.count, null)

last = last.next

curr1 = curr1.next

}

else {

last.next = new Node (curr2.word, curr2.count, null)

last = last.next


```

curr2 = curr2.next
if (curr1.next != null) {
    while (curr2.next != null) { last = new Node (curr2.word,
        curr2.count, null);
        last = last.next
        curr2 = curr2.next
    }
}
else {
    while (curr1.next != null) {
        last = new Node (curr1.word, curr1.count, null);
        last = last.next
        curr1 = curr1.next
    }
}
newList

```

2)

```

def arrange () : Node = {
    var newList = new Node ("", 0, null); var last = newList
    var i = 0;

```

// Inv: table [0..i) have been added to newList

// newList is sorted $\wedge 0 \leq i \leq N$

```

while (i < N) {
    var tempList = output (table (i));
    merge (newList, tempList);
    i += 1;
}
newList

```

QUADRATIC

1) On average, each bucket has $\frac{S}{B}$ nodes. Insertion sort would take $O((\frac{S}{B})^2)$ and merging take is linear to the number of words $\Rightarrow O(S)$. ~~So overall the average time taken is $O(\frac{S^2}{B^2})$~~ \Rightarrow overall the average time taken is $O(\frac{S^2}{B^2})$.

The worst case is when each bucket has $\frac{S}{B}$ nodes and they are in descending order.

~~OK, OVERALL~~

1.

a)

```
def printFrag(d: Array[Int], j: Int, k: Int) = {
  print(" " + " ") // Two space
  for (i <- 0 until j) print(" ")
  for (i <- j until k) print(" ")
  println()
  print("0.")
  for (i <- 0 until k) print(d(i))
}
```

4

b)

N will

```
def frag(N: Int): (Array[Int], Int, Int) = {
  var a = new Array[Int](100) // Assume 100 is enough
  var j = 0; var k = 0; var numerator = 1; var i = 0
  var bitMap = new Array[Boolean](N)
  // Inv.  $a(i) < 10$  if  $a(i) \geq 0$ . A bitMap contains all the
  // remainders we have encountered
  bitMap(1) = true
  while (numerator < N) {
    numerator *= 10; a(i) = 0; i += 1
    while (numerator % N != 0 || !bitMap(numerator / N)) {
      a(i) = numerator / N; i += 1; k += 1
    }
    bitMap(a(i)) = true
  }
}
```



```

numerator = numerator % N ✓
if bitMap[numerator] = true ✓
{
    ← APPROACH GUARD ALLOWS IT
    while (numerator < N) numerator *= 10 TO 10^10?
    while (a[j] != (numerator / N)) j += 1
    (a, j, k)
}

```

c) Every time the numerator is less than N , multiply by 10 and put a zero into the array and increment the length of the "real" array. The code correctly identifies the recurring segment, because it creates a bit-map set, which holds all the remainders we have seen. Using bit-map set allows us to look-up whether we have seen a remainder in $O(1) \Rightarrow$ the program has complexity $O(N)$. The program correctly terminates because it looks at the two different cases: 1) $\text{numerator} \% N = 0$, 2) we have already encountered seen the remainder value.

OK, THIS APPROACH IS FINE.

BUT IT IS OVERCOMPLICATED,

AND PROBABLY NOT QUITE RIGHT

$\approx 10/10$