


Minimum Spanning Tree

Four classes of graph problem

1. Shortest path – find a shortest path between two vertices in a graph
2. Minimum spanning tree – find subset of edges with minimum total weights

Minimum Spanning Trees

It's the 1920's. Your friend at the electric company needs to choose where to build wires to connect all these cities to the plant.



She knows how much it would cost to lay electric wires between any pair of locations, and wants the cheapest way to make sure electricity from the plant to every city.

Minimum Spanning Trees

What do we need? A set of edges such that:

- Every vertex touches at least one of the edges. (the edges **span** the graph)
- The graph on just those edges is **connected**.
- The minimum weight set of edges that meet those conditions.

Assume all edge weights are positive.

Claim: The set of edges we pick never has a cycle. Why?

Aside: Trees

Our BSTs had:

- A root
- Left and/or right children
- Connected and no cycles

Our heaps had:

- A root
- Varying numbers of children (but same at each level of the tree)
- Connected and no cycles

On graphs our tees:

- Don't need a root (the vertices aren't ordered, and we can start BFS from anywhere)
- Varying numbers of children (can also vary at each level)
- Connected and no cycles

Tree (when talking about graphs)

An undirected, connected acyclic graph.

MST Problem

What do we need? A set of edges such that:

- Every vertex touches at least one of the edges. (the edges **span** the graph)
- The graph on just those edges is **connected**.
- The minimum weight set of edges that meet those conditions.

Our goal is a tree!

Minimum Spanning Tree Problem


Given: an undirected, weighted graph G

Find: A minimum-weight set of edges such that you can get from any vertex of G to any other on only those edges.

We'll go through two different algorithms for this problem today.

Example

Try to find a MST of this graph:



Prim's Algorithm

Algorithm idea: choose an arbitrary starting point. Add a new edge that:

- Will let you reach more vertices.
- Is as light as possible

We'd like each not-yet-connected vertex to be able to tell us the lightest edge we could add to connect it.

Code


```
PrimMST(Graph G)
    initialize distances to  $\infty$ 
    mark source as distance 0
    mark all vertices unprocessed
    foreach(edge (source, v) )
        v.dist = w(source,v)
    while(there are unprocessed vertices) {
        let u be the closest unprocessed vertex
        add u.bestEdge to spanning tree
        foreach(edge (u,v) leaving u) {
            if(w(u,v) < v.dist) {
                v.dist = w(u,v)
                v.bestEdge = (u,v)
            }
        }
        mark u as processed
    }
```

```
G = {
    "A": [ ("B", 9), ("C", 3), ("D", 6), ("H", 8) ],
    "B": [ ("A", 9), ("D", 19), ("E", 7) ],
    "C": [ ("A", 3), ("F", 6) ],
    "D": [ ("A", 6), ("B", 19) ],
    "E": [ ("B", 7), ("H", 29) ],
    "F": [ ("C", 6) ],
    "H": [ ("A", 8), ("E", 29) ],
```

Try it Out

```
PrimMST(Graph G)
```

```
    initialize distances to  $\infty$ 
    mark source as distance 0
    mark all vertices unprocessed
    foreach(edge (source, v) )
        v.dist = w(source,v)
    while(there are unprocessed vertices) {
        let u be the closest unprocessed vertex
        add u.bestEdge to spanning tree
        foreach(edge (u,v) leaving u) {
            if(w(u,v) < v.dist) {
                v.dist = w(u,v)
                v.bestEdge = (u,v)
            }
        }
        mark u as processed
    }
```



```
G = {
    "A": [ ("B",9), ("C",3), ("D",6), ("H",8) ],
    "B": [ ("A",9), ("D",19), ("E",7) ],
    "C": [ ("A",3), ("F",6) ],
    "D": [ ("A",6), ("B",19) ],
    "E": [ ("B",7), ("H",29) ],
    "F": [ ("C",6) ],
    "H": [ ("A",8), ("E",29) ],
}
```


Try it Out

PrimMST(Graph G)

```

initialize distances to ∞
mark source as distance 0
mark all vertices unprocessed
foreach(edge (source, v) )
    if dist[v] >= 0
        dist[v] = w(source, v)
        checkV[v] = true
    end
end

```



V	Ord	Addv	EdgeV	ListV	Check V	Processed Edge	BestE	Dis	Del+Add ListV	Check V
A	0	A	AD-AC-AB-AH	A	B-C-D-H	AD,AC,AB,AH	AC	3	C	B-D-H
B	4	B	BD- BA -BE	ACDFB	H-E	AH,BD,BE	BE	7	E	H
C	1	C	CF	AC	B-D-H-F	AD,AB,AH,CF	AD	6	D	B-H-F
D	2	D	DA -DB	ACD	B-H-F	AB,AH,CF,BD	CF	6	F	B-H
E	5	E	EB -EH	ACDFBE	H	AH,BD,EH	AH	8	H	-
F	3	F	FC	ACDF	B-H	AB,AH,BD	AB	9	B	H
H	6	H	EH- AH	ACDFBEH	-	BD,EH				

Does This Algorithm Always Work?

Prim's Algorithm is a **greedy** algorithm. Once it decides to include an edge in the MST it never reconsiders its decision.

Greedy algorithms rarely work.

There are special properties of MSTs that allow greedy algorithms to find them.

In fact MSTs are so *magical* that there's more than one greedy algorithm that works.

A different Approach

Prim's Algorithm started from a single vertex and reached more and more other vertices.

Prim's thinks vertex by vertex (add the closest vertex to the currently reachable set).

What if you think edge by edge instead?

Start from the lightest edge; add it if it connects new things to each other (don't add it if it would create a cycle)

This is Kruskal's Algorithm.

Kruskal's Algorithm

```
KruskalMST(Graph G)
```

```
    initialize each vertex to be a connected component
```

```
    sort the edges by weight
```

```
    foreach(edge (u, v) in sorted order) {
```

```
        if(u and v are in different components) {
```

```
            add (u,v) to the MST
```

```
            Update u and v to be in the same component
```


```
}
```

```
}
```

Try It Out

KruskalMST(Graph G)

```
initialize each vertex to be a connected component  
sort the edges by weight  
foreach(edge (u, v) in sorted order){  
    if(u and v are in different components){  
        add (u,v) to the MST  
        Update u and v to be in the same component  
    }  
}
```



Kruskal's Algorithm: Running Time

```
KruskalMST(Graph G)
```

```
    initialize each vertex to be a connected component
```

```
    sort the edges by weight
```

```
    foreach(edge (u, v) in sorted order) {
```

```
        if(u and v are in different components) {
```

```
            add (u,v) to the MST
```

```
            Update u and v to be in the same component
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

Kruskal's Algorithm: Running Time

Running a new [B/D]FS in the partial MST, at every step seems inefficient.

Do we have an ADT that will work here?


Not yet...

We will cover “Union-Find” next week.

Try it Out

KruskalMST(Graph G)

```
initialize each vertex to be a connected component  
sort the edges by weight  
foreach(edge (u, v) in sorted order){  
    if(u and v are in different components){  
        add (u,v) to the MST  
        Update u and v to be in the same component  
    }  
}
```



Aside: A Graph of Trees


A tree is an undirected, connected, and acyclic graph.

How would we describe the graph Kruskal's builds.

It's not a tree until the end.

It's a forest!

A forest is any undirected and acyclic graph



Appendix: MST Properties, Another MST Application

Some Extra Comments

Prim was the employee at Bell Labs in the 1950's

The mathematician in the 1920's was Boruvka

- He had a different *also greedy* algorithm for MSTs.
- Boruvka's algorithm is trickier to implement, but is useful in some cases.

There's at least a fourth greedy algorithm for MSTs...

If all the edge weights are distinct, then the MST is unique.

If some edge weights are equal, there may be multiple spanning trees. Prim's/Dijkstra's are only guaranteed to find you one of them.

Why do all of these MST Algorithms Work?

MSTs satisfy two very useful properties:

Cycle Property: The heaviest edge along a cycle is NEVER part of an MST.

Cut Property: Split the vertices of the graph any way you want into two sets A and B. The lightest edge with one endpoint in A and the other in B is ALWAYS part of an MST.

Whenever you add an edge to a tree you create exactly one cycle, you can then remove any edge from that cycle and get another tree out.

This observation, combined with the cycle and cut properties form the basis of all of the greedy algorithms for MSTs.

One More MST application

Let's say you're building a new building.

There are very important building donors coming to visit TOMORROW,

- and the hallways are not finished.

You have n rooms you need to show them, connected by the unfinished hallways.

Thanks to your generous donors you have $n-1$ construction crews, so you can assign one to each of that many hallways.

- Sadly the hallways are narrow and you can't have multiple crews working on the same hallway.


Can you finish enough hallways in time to give them a tour?

Minimum Bottleneck Spanning Tree Problem

Given: an undirected, weighted graph G


Find: A spanning tree such that the weight of the maximum edge is minimized.

Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (2, 5, 6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (2, 5, 6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (5, 6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (5, 6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (6, 6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (6, 9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (9, 11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (11, 14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (14, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (16, 18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)




Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)



Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (18, 19, 20, 23, 30, 44)



Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm

PQ = (19, 20, 23, 30, 44)

