

# Hodnocení bakalářské práce – vedoucí

**Autor hodnocení:** Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA  
**Vedoucí bakalářské práce:** Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA  
**Oponenti:** Ing. Jan Janoušek  
**Téma:** Vývoj moderních webových aplikací  
**Verze ZP:** 1  
**Student:** Thanh Tuan Nguyen

1. *Zadání závěrečné práce.*
2. *Aktivita studenta během řešení.*
3. *Aktivita při dokončování.*
4. *Hodnocení výsledků závěrečné práce.*
5. *Hodnocení práce z hlediska přínosu nových poznatků.*
6. *Charakteristika výběru a využití studijních pramenů.*
7. *Souhrnné hodnocení.*
8. *Otázky k obhajobě.*

**Celkové hodnocení:      dobře**

Ostrava, 17.05.2023

Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA

V IS EDISON odevzdáno: 20.05.2023 19:51:56

# Classification of Bachelor Thesis – supervisor

**Author of classification:** Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA  
**Supervisor:** Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA  
**Opponents:** Ing. Jan Janoušek  
**Title:** Development of Modern Web Applications  
**Thesis version:** 1  
**Student:** Thanh Tuan Nguyen

## 1. Assignment of the thesis.

The assignment is a classic implementation of a web system, so it is not too demanding work. The result of the work is design and sample implementation of an e-shop system built on the modern React framework. The assignment was fulfilled, although the student focused more on the issues of the case study itself than on the development framework as such.

## 2. Student's activity during the project completing.

This is a reworked thesis, i.e. this solution the student has already built on previous outputs. The student was actively and continuously interested in the consultation, however incorporating feedback was quite difficult. The same information and recommendations were provided to the student repeatedly, and even so, not all of them were reflected in the thesis. Consultations were almost exclusively online.

## 3. Student's activity during the process of completion.

At the completion stage, the student was active and provided procedures in the work for consultation. Gradually, he tried to eliminate the identified deficiencies, both in the text and in the implementation. Unfortunately, the final form of the thesis is not quite ideal, in terms of content, style and functionality. Still, the resulting work can be a good entry document for a reader who is becoming interested in web development and looking for first step information.

## 4. Overall evaluation of the thesis

The result of the work is primarily a text that describes the issue. The quality of this text could be better, both in terms of visuals and content. What is lacking in the text is a unifying line that would provide a greater degree of complexity and expertise in the depiction of the web development issue. From the perspective of the case study and its implementation, this is a basic level solution that, while it contains some interesting and non-trivial elements, overall it is not a coherent solution close to real deployment.

## 5. Evaluation of the new findings contribution.

The work does not bring new insights, nor was that its aim.

## 6. Utilization and selection of information sources.

Sources were selected and used accordingly. The use of non-online sources would certainly be beneficial.

## 7. Summary evaluation.

Overall, I rate the results of the work as average. The overall rating is then also influenced by how the student approached the actual process of creating the thesis and my ongoing feedback.

## 8. Question for the defense of the thesis.

Why did you choose the framework you did for the implementation of the eshop?

What do you consider to be the biggest problem when developing an e-shop using the chosen technologies?

How would you briefly summarize the main advantages of HTML 5 in terms of development and operation of modern e-shops?

**Overall classification: good**

Ostrava, 17.05.2023

Ing. Michal Radecký, Ph.D., MBA

In IS EDISON submitted: 20.05.2023 19:51:56