

# Near-consistent robust estimations of moments for unimodal distributions

Tuban Lee

This manuscript was compiled on May 28, 2023

1 **Descriptive statistics for parametric models currently heavily rely on**  
2 **the accuracy of distributional assumptions. Here, leveraging the in-**  
3 **variant structures of unimodal distributions, a series of sophisticated**  
4 **yet efficient estimators, robust to both gross errors and departures**  
5 **from parametric assumptions, are proposed for estimating mean and**  
6 **central moments for common unimodal distributions. This article also**  
7 **illuminates the understanding of the common nature of probability**  
8 **distributions and the measures of them.**

orderliness | invariant | unimodal | adaptive estimation |  $U$ -statistics

1 **T**he potential inconsistencies between the sample mean  
2  $(\bar{x})$  and robust location estimators in distributions with  
3 finite moments have been noticed for more than two centuries  
4 (1), with numerous significant attempts made to address them.  
5 In calculating a robust location estimator, the procedure of  
6 identifying and downweighting extreme values inherently ne-  
7 cessitates the formulation of certain distributional assumptions.  
8 Inconsistencies naturally arise when these assumptions, para-  
9 metric or semiparametric, are violated. Previously, it was  
10 demonstrated that due to the presence of infinite dimensional  
11 nuisance shape parameters, the semiparametric approach strug-  
12 gles to consistently address distributions with more intricate  
13 shapes than  $\gamma$ -symmetry. Newcomb (1886) provided the first  
14 modern approach to robust parametric estimation by develop-  
15 ing a class of estimators that gives "less weight to the more  
16 discordant observations" (2). In 1964, Huber (3) used the min-  
17 imax procedure to obtain  $M$ -estimator for the contaminated  
18 normal distribution, which has played a pre-eminent role in  
19 the later development of robust statistics. However, as pre-  
20 viously demonstrated, under growing asymmetric departures  
21 from normality, the bias of the Huber  $M$ -estimator increases  
22 rapidly. This is a common issue in parametric robust statistics.  
23 For example, He and Fung (1999) constructed (4) a robust  
24  $M$ -estimator for the two-parameter Weibull distribution, from  
25 which all moments can be calculated. Nonetheless, it is in-  
26 adequate for other parametric distributions, e.g., the gamma,  
27 Perato, lognormal, and the generalized Gaussian distributions  
28 (SI Dataset S1).

29 **Data Availability.** Data for Table ?? are given in SI Dataset S1.  
30 All codes have been deposited in [GitHub](#).

31 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** I gratefully acknowledge the construc-  
32 tive comments made by the editor which substantially improved  
33 the clarity and quality of this paper.

- 34 1. CF Gauss, *Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae*. (Henricus  
35 Dieterich), (1823).
- 36 2. S Newcomb, A generalized theory of the combination of observations so as to obtain the best  
37 result. *Am. journal Math.* **8**, 343–366 (1886).
- 38 3. PJ Huber, Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Stat.* **35**, 73–101 (1964).
- 39 4. X He, WK Fung, Method of medians for lifetime data with weibull models. *Stat. medicine* **18**,  
40 1993–2009 (1999).