Near-consistent robust estimations of moments for unimodal distributions

Tuban Lee

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

28

29

30

31

32

35

37

38

This manuscript was compiled on May 27, 2023

Descriptive statistics for parametric models currently heavily rely on the accuracy of distributional assumptions. Here, leveraging the invariant structures of unimodal distributions, a series of sophisticated yet efficient estimators, robust to both gross errors and departures from parametric assumptions, are proposed for estimating mean and central moments for common unimodal distributions. This article also illuminates the understanding of the common nature of probability distributions and the measures of them.

orderliness | invariant | unimodal | adaptive estimation | U-statistics

he potential inconsistencies between the sample mean (\bar{x}) and robust location estimators in distributions with finite moments have been noticed for more than two centuries (1), with numerous significant attempts made to address them. In calculating a robust location estimator, the procedure of identifying and downweighting extreme values inherently necessitates the formulation of certain distributional assumptions. Inconsistencies natually arise when these assumptions, parametric or semiparametric, are violated. Due to the presence of infinite dimensional nuisance shape parameters, the semiparametric approach struggles to adequately address distributions with more intricate shapes. Newcomb (1886) provided the first modern approach to robust parametric estimation by developing a class of estimators that gives "less weight to the more discordant observations" (2). In 1964, Huber (3) used the minimax procedure to obtain M-estimator for the contaminated normal distribution, which has played a pre-eminent role in the later development of robust statistics. However, as previously demonstrated, under growing asymmetric departures from normality, the bias of the Huber M-estimator increases rapidly. This is a common issue in parametric robust statistics. For example, He and Fung (1999) constructed (4) a robust M-estimator for the two-parameter Weibull distribution, from which all moments can be calculated. Nonetheless, it is inadequate for other parametric distributions, e.g., the gamma, Perato, lognormal, and the generalized Gaussian distributions (SI Dataset S1). Another interesting approach is based on L-estimators, such as percentile estimators. For examples of percentile estimators for the Weibull distribution, the reader is referred to the works of Menon (1963) (5), Dubey (1967) (6), Marks (2005) (7), and Boudt, Caliskan, and Croux (2011) (8). At the outset of the study of percentile estimators, it was known that they arithmetically utilize the invariant structures of probability distributions (5, 6). Maybe such estimators can be named as I-statistics. Formally, an estimator is classified as an I-statistic if it asymptotically satisfies $I(LE_1, ..., LE_l) = (\theta_1, ..., \theta_q)$ for the distribution it is consistent, where LEs are calculated with the use of LU-statistics (defined in Subsection ??), I is defined using arithmetic operations and constants but may also incorporate transcendental functions and quantile functions, and θ s are the population parameters it estimates. A subclass of *I*-statistics,

arithmetic I-statistics, is defined as LEs are LU-statistics, I is solely defined using arithmetic operations and constants. Since some percentile estimators use the logarithmic function to transform all random variables before computing the Lestimators, a percentile estimator might not always be an arithmetic I-statistic (6). In this article, two subclasses of I-statistics are introduced, arithmetic I-statistics and quantile I-statistics. Examples of quantile I-statistics will be discussed later. Based on LU-statistics, I-statistics are naturally robust. Compared to probability density functions (pdfs) and cumulative distribution functions (cdfs), the quantile functions of many parametric distributions are more elegant. Since the expectation of an L-estimator can be expressed as an integral of the quantile function, I-statistics are often analytically obtainable. However, it is observed that even when the sample follows a gamma distribution, which belongs to the same larger family as the Weibull model, the generalized gamma distribution, a misassumption can still lead to substantial biases in Marks percentile estimator (7), rendering the approach ill-suited (SI Dataset S1).

43

44

45

46

47

48

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

Most robust location estimators commonly used are symmetric owing to the prevalence of symmetric distributions. An asymmetric γ -weighted L-statistic can achieve consistency for any γ -symmetric distribution, if $\gamma \neq 1$. However, it is tailored more towards certain specific distributions rather than a broad spectrum of common ones. Shifting from semiparametrics to parametrics, consider an estimator with a non-sample-dependent breakdown point (defined in Subsection $\ref{subsection}$) that is consistent simultanously for both a semiparametric class of distributions and a distinct parametric distribution, such a robust estimator is named with the prefix 'invariant' followed by the population parameter it is consistent with. Here, the recombined mean is defined as

$$rm_{d,\epsilon,\gamma,n,\mathrm{WHLM}} \coloneqq \lim_{c \to \infty} \left(\frac{(\mathrm{WHLM}_{\epsilon,\gamma,n} + c)^{d+1}}{(\gamma m_n + c)^d} - c \right),$$

where d is the key factor for bias correction, γm_n is the sample γ -median, WHLM_{ϵ,γ,n} is the weighted Hodges-Lehmann mean.

Significance Statement

Bias, variance, and contamination are the three main errors in statistics. Consistent robust estimation is unattainable without parametric assumptions. In this article, invariant moments are proposed as a means of achieving near-consistent and robust estimations of moments, even in scenarios where moderate violations of distributional assumptions occur, while the variances are sometimes smaller than those of the sample moments.

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. The author declares no competing interest.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org

It is assumed in this article that in the subscript of an invariant moment, if γ is omitted, $\gamma = 1$ is assumed, if n is omitted, only the asymptotic behavior is considered. The subsequent theorem shows the significance of this arithmetic *I*-statistic.

65

66

67

94

97

101

103

104

105

113

Theorem Assuming finite second moments, 69 $rm_{d=rac{\mu-WHLM_{\epsilon,\gamma}}{WHLM_{\epsilon,\gamma}-Q(rac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})},\epsilon,\gamma,WHLM}}$ is a consistent mean estimator for a location-scale distribution and any γ -symmetric 71 distributions, where μ , WHLM_{ϵ,γ}, and $Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})$ are location parameters from that location-scale distribution. 73

Proof. Finding d that make $rm_{d,\epsilon,\gamma,WHLM}$ a consis-74 tent mean estimator is equivalent to finding the so-75 First consider the lution of $rm_{d,\epsilon,\gamma,\text{WHLM}} = \mu$. location-scale distribution. 77 $\lim_{c\to\infty} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{\text{WHLM}_{\epsilon,\gamma} + c \right)^{d+1}}{(\gamma m + c)^d} - c \right) = (d+1) \text{ WHLM}_{\epsilon,\gamma} - dQ(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}) = \mu. \text{ So, } d = \frac{\mu - \text{WHLM}_{\epsilon,\gamma}}{\text{WHLM}_{\epsilon,\gamma} - Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})}. \text{ Previously, it was es-}$ tablished that any $WL(\epsilon, \gamma)$ can be expressed as $\lambda WL_0(\epsilon, \gamma) + \mu$ for a location-scale distribution parameterized by a location parameter μ and a scale parameter λ , where $WL_0(\epsilon, \gamma)$ 82 denote the weighted L-statistic of a standard distribution 83 without any shifts or scaling. The simultaneous cancellation of μ and λ in $\frac{(\lambda\mu_0+\mu)-(\lambda\mathrm{WL}_0(\epsilon,\gamma)+\mu)}{(\lambda\mathrm{WL}_0(\epsilon,\gamma)+\mu)-(\lambda\gamma m_0+\mu)}$ assures that the d in rm is always a constant for a location-scale distribu-84 85 86 tion. The proof of the second assertion follows directly 87 from the coincidence property. According to Theorem 18 88 in the previous article, for any γ -symmetric distribution with 89 a finite second moment, WHLM_{ϵ,γ} = $Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}) = \mu$. Then 90 $rm_{d,\epsilon,\gamma,\text{WHLM}} = \lim_{c\to\infty} \left(\frac{(\mu+c)^{d+1}}{(\mu+c)^d} - c \right) = \mu$. This completes 91 92

For example, the Pareto distribution has a quantile func-93 tion $Q_{Par}(p) = x_m(1-p)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, when $\alpha \to \infty$, where x_m is the minimum possible value that a random variable following 95 the Pareto distribution can take, serving a scale parameter. 96 The mean of the Pareto distribution is given by $\frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1}$. As WHLM_{ϵ,γ} can be expressed as an integral of the quantile 98 function, the γ -median is also a quantile, one can replace the 99 WHLM_{ϵ,γ} and γm in the d value with two arbitrary quan-100 tiles $Q_{Par}(p_1)$ and $Q_{Par}(p_2)$. For the Pareto distribution, $d_{Per} = \frac{\mu_{Per} - Q_{Par}(p_1)}{Q_{Par}(p_1) - Q_{Par}(p_2)} = \frac{\frac{\alpha x_m}{\alpha - 1} - x_m(1 - p_1)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}{x_m(1 - p_1)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}} - x_m(1 - p_2)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}}. \quad x_m$ can be canceled out. Intriguingly, the quantile function of expo-102 nential distribution is $Q_{exp}(p) = \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p}\right)\lambda$, $\lambda \geq 0$. $\mu_{exp} = \lambda$. Then, $d_{exp} = \frac{\mu_{exp} - Q_{exp}(p_1)}{Q_{exp}(p_1) - Q_{exp}(p_2)} = \frac{\lambda - \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p_1}\right)\lambda}{\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p_1}\right)\lambda - \ln\left(\frac{1}{1-p_2}\right)\lambda} = \frac{-\frac{\ln(1-p_1)+1}{\ln(1-p_1)-\ln(1-p_2)}}{\ln(1-p_1)-\ln(1-p_2)}$. Since $\lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \frac{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} - (1-p_1)^{-1/\alpha}}{(1-p_1)^{-1/\alpha} - (1-p_2)^{-1/\alpha}} = \frac{-\frac{\ln(1-p_1)+1}{\ln(1-p_1)-\ln(1-p_2)}}{\ln(1-p_1)}$. 106 $\frac{\ln(1-p_1)+1}{\ln(1-p_1)-\ln(1-p_2)}$, the d value for the Pareto distribution approaches that of the exponential distribution, as $\alpha \to \infty$, regardless of the type of weighted L-statistic used. That means, 109 for the Weibull, gamma, Pareto, lognormal and generalized 110 Gaussian distribution, $rm_{d=\frac{\mu-\text{WHLM}\epsilon,\gamma}{\text{WHLM}\epsilon,\gamma-Qexp(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})},\epsilon,\gamma,\text{WHLM}}$ is 111 consistent for at least one particular case, where μ , WHLM_{ϵ,γ}, 112

and $Q_{exp}(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})$ are location parameters from an exponen-

tial distribution. When $\gamma=1,\ \mu=\lambda,\ m=Q\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\ln 2\lambda,\ \mathrm{BM}_{\nu=3,\epsilon=\frac{1}{24}}=\lambda\left(1+\ln\left(\frac{26068394603446272\sqrt{\frac{6}{7}}\sqrt{\frac{7}{247}}\sqrt[3]{11}}{391^{5/6}101898752449325\sqrt{5}}\right)\right),$

the detailed formula is given in the SI Text. So, d = $\frac{\mu - \operatorname{BM}_{\nu = 3,\epsilon = \frac{1}{24}}}{\operatorname{BM}_{\nu = 3,\epsilon = \frac{1}{24}} - m} = \frac{\lambda - \lambda \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{26068394603446272 \sqrt[6]{\frac{7}{247}} \sqrt[3]{11}}{391^{5/6}101898752449325\sqrt{5}}\right)\right)}{\lambda \left(1 + \ln \left(\frac{26068394603446272 \sqrt[6]{\frac{7}{247}} \sqrt[3]{11}}{391^{5/6}101898752449325\sqrt{5}}\right)\right) - \ln 2\lambda}$ 117 $\frac{\ln\left(\frac{26068394603446272\sqrt{\frac{5}{247}}\sqrt[3]{11}}{391^{5/6}101898752449325\sqrt{5}}\right)}{1-\ln(2)+\ln\left(\frac{26068394603446272\sqrt{\frac{5}{247}}\sqrt[3]{11}}{391^{5/6}101898752449325\sqrt{5}}\right)}$ ≈ 0.103 . The biases

119

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

141

143

144

145

146

147

148

151

152

153

154

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164 165

166

167

of $rm_{d\approx 0.103, \nu=3, \epsilon=\frac{1}{24}, {\rm BM}}$ for distributions with skewness between those of the exponential and symmetric distributions are tiny (SI Dataset S1). $rm_{d\approx 0.103, \nu=3, \epsilon=\frac{1}{24}, {\rm BM}}$ exhibits excellent performance for all these common unimodal distributions (SI Dataset S1).

Besides introducing the concept of invariant mean, the purpose of this paper is to demonstrate that, in light of previous works, the estimation of central moments can be transformed into a location estimation problem by using U-statistics, the central moment kernel distributions possess desirable properties, and a series of sophisticated yet efficient robust estimators can be constructed whose biases are typically smaller than the variances (as seen in Table ?? for n = 4096) for unimodal distributions.

A. Invariant mean. The recombined mean is an arithmetic I-statistic. Consider an I-statistic whose LEs are percentiles of a distribution obtained by plugging LU-statistics into a cumulative distribution function, I is defined with arithmetic operations, constants and quantile functions, such an estimator is classified as a quantile I-statistic. Similarly, the quantile mean can be defined as $qm_{d,\epsilon,\gamma,n,\text{WHLM}} :=$

$$\begin{cases} \hat{Q}_n\left(\left(\hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right) - \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}\right)d + \hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right)\right) & \hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right) \ge \frac{\gamma}{14\frac{\gamma}{\gamma}} \\ \hat{Q}_n\left(\hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right) - \left(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma} - \hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right)\right)d\right) & \hat{F}_n\left(\text{WHLM}\right) < \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}, \end{cases}$$

where WHLM is WHLM_{ϵ,γ,n}, $\hat{F}_n(x)$ is the empirical cumulative distribution function of the sample, \hat{Q}_n is the sample quantile function. Moreover, in extreme right-skewed heavy-tailed distributions, if the calculated percentile exceeds $1-\epsilon$, it will be adjusted to $1-\epsilon$. In a left-skewed distribution, if the obtained percentile is smaller than $\gamma \epsilon$, it will also be adjusted to $\gamma \epsilon$. Without loss of generality, in the following discussion, only the case where $\hat{F}_n(WHLM_{\epsilon,\gamma,n}) \geq \frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}$ is considered. A widely used method for calculating the sample quantile function involves employing linear interpolation of modes corresponding to the order statistics of the uniform distribution on the interval [0, 1], i.e., $\hat{Q}_{n}\left(p\right)=X_{\lfloor h\rfloor}+\left(h-\lfloor h\rfloor\right)\left(X_{\lceil h\rceil}-X_{\lfloor h\rfloor}\right),\ h=\left(n-1\right)p+1.$ To minimize the finite sample bias, here, the inverse function of \hat{Q}_n is deduced as $\hat{F}_n(x) := \frac{1}{n-1} \left(cf - 1 + \frac{x - X_{cf}}{X_{cf+1} - X_{cf}} \right)$, where $cf = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{1}_{X_i \leq x}$, $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the indicator of event A. The quantile mean uses the location-scale invariant in a different way, as shown in the subsequent proof.

Data Availability. Data for Table ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in GitHub.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I gratefully acknowledge the constructive comments made by the editor which substantially improved the clarity and quality of this paper.

- CF Gauss, Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae. (Henricus
- 2. S Newcomb, A generalized theory of the combination of observations so as to obtain the best result. Am. journal Math. 8, 343-366 (1886).

2 | Lee

- 168
- PJ Huber, Robust estimation of a location parameter. *Ann. Math. Stat.* 35, 73–101 (1964).
 X He, WK Fung, Method of medians for lifetime data with weibull models. *Stat. medicine* 18, 1993–2009 (1999). 169 170
- 5. M Menon, Estimation of the shape and scale parameters of the weibull distribution. *Technomet-*171 rics 5, 175-182 (1963). 172
- 6. SD Dubey, Some percentile estimators for weibull parameters. *Technometrics* **9**, 119–129 173 (1967). 174
- 7. NB Marks, Estimation of weibull parameters from common percentiles. J. applied Stat. 32, 175 176
- 17–24 (2005).

 8. K Boudt, D Caliskan, C Croux, Robust explicit estimators of weibull parameters. *Metrika* 73, 177 187–209 (2011). 178



PNAS | **May 27, 2023** | vol. XXX | no. XX | **3**