

Supporting Information for

- Semiparametric robust mean estimation based on the orderliness of quantile averages
- 4 Tuban Lee.
- 5 E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org
- 6 This PDF file includes:
- Supporting text
- 8 Legend for Dataset S1
- 9 SI References
- 10 Other supporting materials for this manuscript include the following:
- Dataset S1

Supporting Information Text 12

Methods

19

20

21

22

23

The asymptotic biases of all robust location estimators proposed in this article for the Weibull, gamma, Pareto, and lognormal distributions were computed within the specified range of kurtosis and standardized by the standard deviations of the respective 15 distributions. For the Weibull, gamma, and lognormal distributions, the kurtosis range is from 3 to 15 (there are two shape 16 parameter solutions for the Weibull distribution, the lower one is used here). For the Pareto distribution, the range is from 9 to 17 21. 18

The asymptotic results were approximated by a quasi-Monte Carlo study (1, 2) based on generating a large quasi-random sample with sample size 1.8 million for corresponding distributions and quasi-subsampling the sample 1.8k million times (3) to approximate the distributions of the kernels of the weighted Hodges-Lehmann mean. The accuracies were checked by comparing the results to the asymptotic values for the exponential distribution (errors), showing that the errors were all smaller than 0.001σ .

Orderliness and weighted average inequality 24

- Unlike the mean-median-mode inequality, whose necessary and sufficient condition is often hard to compute. The following 25 result highlights another advantage of trimming inequality. 26
- **Theorem 0.1.** A necessary and sufficient condition of the γ -trimming inequality is the monotonic behavior of the bias of 27 trimmed mean as a function of the breakdown point ϵ . 28

Proof. Just considering the right-skewed distributions without loss of generality, from the definition of γ -trimming inequality, since $\forall \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \frac{1}{2}$, $TM_{\epsilon_1,\gamma} \geq TM_{\epsilon_2,\gamma}$, therefore

$$\frac{\mathrm{TM}_{\epsilon_{1},\gamma}-\mu}{\sigma}\geq\frac{\mathrm{TM}_{\epsilon_{2},\gamma}-\mu}{\sigma}\iff B_{\mathrm{TM}_{\epsilon_{1},\gamma}}\geq B_{\mathrm{TM}_{\epsilon_{2},\gamma}}\iff B_{\mathrm{TM}}\left(\epsilon_{1},\gamma\right)\geq B_{\mathrm{TM}}\left(\epsilon_{2},\gamma\right),$$

- which implies the monotonicity of $B_{\rm TM}(\epsilon, \gamma)$ with respect to ϵ .
- The bias function is free of scale parameter, so the derivatives are much easier to compute. A useful sufficient condition is 30 the monotonicity of symmetric quantile average with respect to ϵ . 31
- **Theorem 0.2.** A sufficient condition of the trimming inequality for a right-skewed distribution is the monotonicity of the 32 symmetric quantile average with respect to the breakdown point ϵ . 33
- *Proof.* The trimming inequality is equivalent to, $\forall 0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{1-2\epsilon+2\delta} \int_{\epsilon-\delta}^{1-\epsilon+\delta} Q(u) du \ge \frac{1}{1-2\epsilon} \int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon} Q(u) du$, where δ is an infinitesimal quantity. 35
- Then, by deducing $\frac{1}{1-2\epsilon+2\delta} \int_{\epsilon-\delta}^{1-\epsilon+\delta} Q\left(u\right) du = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon+\delta} \int_{\epsilon-\delta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathrm{SQA}\left(u\right) du = \lim_{n\to\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n-n\epsilon+1\right)} \sum_{i=n\epsilon-1}^{\frac{1}{2}n} \frac{X_i + X_{n-i}}{2}\right)$ 36
- $> \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1\right)} \left(\left(\sum_{i=n\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}n} \frac{X_i + X_{n-i}}{2} \right) + \frac{X_{n\epsilon} + X_{n-n\epsilon}}{2} \right) \right)$
- $= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon\right)} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1\right)} \left(\sum_{i=n\epsilon+1}^{\frac{1}{2}n} \frac{X_i + X_{n-i}}{2} \right) + \frac{2\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1\right)} \frac{X_{n\epsilon} + X_{n-n\epsilon}}{2} \right) \right)$ $= \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon\right)} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1\right)} \left(\sum_{i=n\epsilon+1}^{\frac{1}{2}n} \frac{X_i + X_{n-i}}{2} \right) + \frac{X_{n\epsilon} + X_{n-n\epsilon}}{2} + \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon 1\right)}{\left(\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1\right)} \frac{X_{n\epsilon} + X_{n-n\epsilon}}{2} \right) \right)$
- $> \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon}{\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1} \sum_{i=n\epsilon+1}^{\frac{1}{2}n} \frac{X_i + X_{n-i}}{2} + \frac{X_{n\epsilon} + X_{n-n\epsilon}}{2} + \frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}n n\epsilon + 1} \left(\frac{X_{n\epsilon+1} + X_{n-n\epsilon-1}}{2} + \dots + \frac{X_{\frac{1}{2}n} + X_{\frac{1}{2}n}}{2} \right) \right) \right)$
- $=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{n}n-n\epsilon\right)}\left(\left(\sum_{i=n\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}n}\frac{X_{i}+X_{n-i}}{2}\right)\right)\right)=\frac{1}{1-2\epsilon}\int_{\epsilon}^{1-\epsilon}Q\left(u\right)du,\text{ the proof is complete.}$
- **Theorem 0.3.** A necessary and sufficient condition of the γ -orderliness is the monotonic behavior of the bias of the quantile average as a function of the breakdown point ϵ . 43
- *Proof.* The proof is analogous to Theorem 0.1, just replacing the TM as QA.
- Orderliness is more fundamental than trimming inequality; for example, orderliness also implies Winsorization inequality. 45
- Definition 0.1 (Winsorization inequality). A distribution follows the Winsorization inequality if and only if $\forall \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon_2 \leq \epsilon_3$ $\frac{1}{2}$, $WM_{\epsilon_1} \ge WM_{\epsilon_2}$, or $\forall \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2 \le \frac{1}{2}$, $WM_{\epsilon_1} \le WM_{\epsilon_2}$. 47
- SI Dataset S1 (dataset one.xlsx)
- Raw data of asymptotic bias of all estimators shown in Figure 1 in the main text.

50 References

- 1. RD Richtmyer, A non-random sampling method, based on congruences, for" monte carlo" problems, (New York Univ., New York. Atomic Energy Commission Computing and Applied . . .), Technical report (1958).
- 2. IM Sobol', On the distribution of points in a cube and the approximate evaluation of integrals. Zhurnal Vychislitel'noi
 Matematiki i Matematicheskoi Fiziki 7, 784–802 (1967).
- ss 3. KA Do, P Hall, Quasi-random resampling for the bootstrap. Stat. Comput. 1, 13–22 (1991).