Semiparametric robust mean estimation based on the orderliness of quantile averages

Tuban Leea,1

11

11

15

21

22

23

24

25

^aInstitute of Biomathematics, Macau SAR 999078, China

This manuscript was compiled on March 6, 2023

As arguably the most fundamental problem in statistics, nonparametric robust location estimation has many prominent solutions, such as the trimmed mean, Winsorized mean, Hodges–Lehmann estimator, and median of means. Recent research suggests that their biases with respect to mean can be quite different in asymmetric distributions. Here, similar to the mean-median-mode inequality, it is proven that in the context of nearly all common unimodal distributions, there is an orderliness of symmetric quantile averages with different breakdown points. Further deductions explain why the Winsorized mean generally have smaller biases compared to the trimmed mean. Building on the ν th U-orderliness, binomial Hodges–Lehmann mean is proposed as the bias-optimum semiparametric robust mean estimator.

semiparametric | mean-median-mode inequality | asymptotic | unimodal | Hodges—Lehmann estimator

n 1823, Gauss (1) proved that for any unimodal distribu-• tion with a finite second moment, $|m-\mu| \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}\omega$, where μ is the population mean, m is the population median, ω is the root mean square deviation from the mode, M. Bernard, Kazzi, and Vanduffel (2020) (2) derived bias bounds for the ϵ -symmetric quantile average (SQA $_{\epsilon}$) for unimodal distributions, building on the work of Karlin and Novikoff (1963) and Li, Shao, Wang, and Yang (2018) (3, 4). They showed that the m has the smallest maximum distance to the μ among all symmetric quantile averages. Daniell, in 1920, (5) analyzed a class of estimators, which are linear combinations of order statistics, and identified that ϵ -symmetric trimmed mean (TM_{ϵ}) belongs to this class. Another popular choice, the ϵ -symmetric Winsorized mean (WM $_{\epsilon}$), which was named after Winsor and introduced by Tukey (6) and Dixon (7) in 1960, is also an L-statistic. Without assuming unimodality, Bieniek (2016) derived exact bias upper bounds of the Winsorized mean based on Danielak and Rychlik's work (2003) on the trimmed mean and confirmed that the former is smaller than the latter (8, 9). In 1963, Hodges and Lehmann (10) proposed a class of nonparametric location estimators based on rank tests and, from the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic (11), deduced the median of pairwise means as a robust location estimator for a symmetric population. The concept of median of means ($MoM_{k,b}$, k is the number of size in each block, b is the number of blocks) was implicit several times in Nemirovsky and Yudin (1983) (12), Jerrum, Valiant, and Vazirani (1986), (13) and Alon, Matias and Szegedy (1996) (14)'s works. Having good performance even for distributions with infinite second moments, the advantages of MoM have received increasing attention over the past decade (15–22). Devroye, Lerasle, Lugosi, and Oliveira (2016) showed that MoM nears the optimum of non-parametric mean estimation with regards to concentration bounds when the distribution has a heavy tail (20). In fact, asymptotically, the Hodges-Lehmann (H-L) estimator is equivalent to $MoM_{k=2,b=\frac{n}{t}}$, and it can be seen as

the pairwise mean distribution is approximated by the bootstrap and sampling without replacement, respectively (for the asymptotic validity, the reader is referred to the foundational works of Efron (1979) (23), Bickel and Freedman (24, 25), and Helmers, Janssen, and Veraverbeke (1990) (26)).

40

41

42

43

44

47

57

58

60

61

Here, the ϵ,b -stratified mean is defined as

$$\mathrm{SM}_{\epsilon,b,n} \coloneqq \frac{b}{n} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{b-1}{2b\epsilon}} \sum_{i_j = \frac{(2bj-b+1)n\epsilon}{b-1}}^{\frac{(2bj-b+1)n\epsilon}{b-1}} X_{i_j} \right),$$

where $X_1 \leq ... \leq X_n$ denote the order statistics of a sample of *n* independent and identically distributed random variables $X_1, \ldots, X_n, \epsilon \mod \frac{2}{b-1} = 0, \frac{1}{\epsilon} \geq 9$. If the subscript *n* is omitted, only the asymptotic behavior is considered. If b is omitted, b = 3. The basic idea is to divide the random variables into b blocks according to their order, and then compute the mean of the middle block, which is the median of all bblocks. Although the principle is similar to the median of means, without the random shift, the result is different from $\operatorname{MoM}_{k=\frac{n}{t},b}$. The exact solution for $n \mod \frac{1}{\epsilon} \neq 0$ is imputing the remaining values with multiple hot deck imputation (proposed by Little and Rubin in 1986) (27), since it preserves the original distribution (proven by Reilly in 1991) (28). If $n \mod \frac{1}{\epsilon} = \varrho$, the algorithm should run $\binom{n}{\varrho}$ times. An approximation solution is randomly imputing the remaining values several times and then computing the mean of all estimations. The stratified mean is a type of stratum mean which is related to the stratified sampling. The most similar version was proposed by Takahasi and Wakimoto in 1968 (29), which is stratifying order statistics into several non-overlapping blocks and then computing the mean of one block. The median of means and stratified mean are consistent mean estimators if their asymptotic breakdown points are zero. However, if

Significance Statement

In 1964, van Zwet introduced convex transformation order for comparing the skewness of two distributions. This paradigm shift plays a fundamental role in defining robust measures of distributions, from spread to kurtosis. Here, rather than the stochastic ordering between two distributions, the orderliness of quantile averages within a distribution is investigated. By classifying distributions through inequalities, a series of sophisticated robust mean estimators are deduced. Nearly all common nonparametric robust location estimators are special cases thereof.

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. The author declares no competing interest.

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org

 $\epsilon = \frac{1}{9}$, the biases of the $SM_{\frac{1}{6}}$ are nearly identical to those of the $WM_{\frac{1}{2}}$ in asymmetric distributions (Figure ??, if no 66 other subscripts, ϵ is omitted for simplicity), i.e., their robust-67 ness to departures from the symmetry assumption is similar 68 in practice. More importantly, the bounds confirm that the 69 worst-case performances of WM_{ϵ} are better than those of TM_{ϵ} 70 in terms of bias, but due to the complexity, any extensions are 71 extremely difficult. The aim of this paper is to define a series 72 of semiparametric models using inequalities, demonstrate their 73 elegant interrelations and connections to parametric models, 74 and deduce a set of sophisticated robust mean estimators. 75

Data Availability. Data for Figure ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in GitHub.

76

77

79

80

82

84

89

91

92

93 94

99

100

101

104

105

106

107

108

109 110

115 116

117

118

119

120

125

130 131 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** I gratefully acknowledge the valuable comments by the editor which substantially improved the clarity and quality of this paper.

- CF Gauss, Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae. (Henricus Dieterich), (1823).
- C Bernard, R Kazzi, S Vanduffel, Range value-at-risk bounds for unimodal distributions under partial information. *Insur. Math. Econ.* 94, 9–24 (2020).
- 3. S Karlin, A Novikoff, Generalized convex inequalities. Pac. J. Math. 13, 1251-1279 (1963).
 - L Li, H Shao, R Wang, J Yang, Worst-case range value-at-risk with partial information. SIAM J. on Financial Math. 9, 190–218 (2018).
 - 5. P Daniell, Observations weighted according to order. Am. J. Math. 42, 222-236 (1920)
- JW Tukey, A survey of sampling from contaminated distributions in Contributions to probability and statistics. (Stanford University Press), pp. 448

 –485 (1960).
- WJ Dixon, Simplified Estimation from Censored Normal Samples. The Annals Math. Stat. 31, 385 – 391 (1960).
- M Bieniek, Comparison of the bias of trimmed and winsorized means. Commun. Stat. Methods 45, 6641–6650 (2016).
- K Danielak, T Rychlik, Theory & methods: Exact bounds for the bias of trimmed means. Aust.
 & New Zealand J. Stat. 45, 83–96 (2003).
- J Hodges Jr, E Lehmann, Estimates of location based on rank tests. *The Annals Math. Stat.* 34, 598–611 (1963).
 - 11. F Wilcoxon, Individual comparisons by ranking methods. Biom. Bull. 1, 80-83 (1945).
 - AS Nemirovskij, DB Yudin, Problem complexity and method efficiency in optimization. (Wiley-Interscience), (1983).
- MR Jerrum, LG Valiant, VV Vazirani, Random generation of combinatorial structures from a uniform distribution. *Theor. computer science* 43, 169–188 (1986).
 - N Alon, Y Matias, M Szegedy, The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments in *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing.* pp 20–29 (1996).
 - PL Bühlmann, Bagging, subagging and bragging for improving some prediction algorithms in Research report/Seminar für Statistik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH). (Seminar für Statistik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH), Zürich), Vol. 113,
- JY Audibert, O Catoni, Robust linear least squares regression. *The Annals Stat.* 39, 2766–2794
 (2011).
- D Hsu, S Sabato, Heavy-tailed regression with a generalized median-of-means in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. (PMLR), pp. 37–45 (2014).
 - S Minsker, Geometric median and robust estimation in banach spaces. Bernoulli 21, 2308– 2335 (2015).
 - C Brownlees, E Joly, G Lugosi, Empirical risk minimization for heavy-tailed losses. The Annals Stat. 43, 2507–2536 (2015).
 - L Devroye, M Lerasle, G Lugosi, RI Oliveira, Sub-gaussian mean estimators. The Annals Stat 44, 2695–2725 (2016).
- 121 21. E Joly, G Lugosi, Robust estimation of u-statistics. Stoch. Process. their Appl. 126, 3760–3773
- P Laforgue, S Clémençon, P Bertail, On medians of (randomized) pairwise means in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. (PMLR), pp. 1272–1281 (2019).
 - 23. B Efron, Bootstrap methods: Another look at the jackknife. *The Annals Stat.* **7**, 1–26 (1979).
- PJ Bickel, DA Freedman, Some asymptotic theory for the bootstrap. The annals statistics 9,
 1196–1217 (1981).
- PJ Bickel, DA Freedman, Asymptotic normality and the bootstrap in stratified sampling. The annals statistics 12, 470–482 (1984).
 - R Helmers, P Janssen, N Veraverbeke, Bootstrapping U-quantiles. (CWI. Department of Operations Research, Statistics, and System Theory [BS]), (1990).
- RJ Little, DB Rubin, Statistical analysis with missing data. (John Wiley & Sons) Vol. 793,
 (2019).
- M Reilly, Semi-parametric methods of dealing with missing or surrogate covariate data. (University of Washington), (1991).
 - K Takahasi, K Wakimoto, On unbiased estimates of the population mean based on the sample
 stratified by means of ordering. Annals institute statistical mathematics 20, 1–31 (1968).

2 | Lee