## Robust mean estimations based on the orderliness of quantile averages

**Tuban Lee** 

10

11

12

13

21

22

23

24

25

28

31

32

This manuscript was compiled on May 19, 2023

As one of the most fundamental problems in statistics, robust location estimation has many prominent solutions, such as the symmetric trimmed mean, symmetric Winsorized mean, Hodges–Lehmann estimator, Huber M-estimator, and median of means. Recent studies have suggest that their maximum biases concerning the mean can be quite different in asymmetric distributions, but the underlying mechanisms and average performance remain largely unclear. In this article, similar to the mean-median-mode inequality, it is proven that within the context of nearly all common unimodal distributions, there is an orderliness of symmetric quantile averages with varying breakdown points. Further deductions explain why the Winsorized mean and median of means typically have smaller biases compared to the trimmed mean. Building on the U-orderliness, the superiority of the median Hodges–Lehmann mean is discussed.

semiparametric | mean-median-mode inequality | asymptotic | unimodal | Hodges—Lehmann estimator

n 1823, Gauss (1) proved that for any unimodal distribution with a finite court with a finite second moment,  $|m-\mu| \leq \sqrt{\frac{3}{4}}\omega$ , where  $\mu$  is the population mean, m is the population median, and  $\omega$  is the root mean square deviation from the mode, M. This pioneering work revealed that despite potential bias with respect to the mean in robust estimates, the deviation remains bounded in unit of a scale parameter under certain assumptions. Bernard, Kazzi, and Vanduffel (2020) (2) further derived asymptotic bias bounds of any quantile for unimodal distributions with finite second moments by reducing this optimization problem to a parametric one, which can be solved analytically. They showed that the population median, m, has the smallest maximum distance to the population mean,  $\mu$ , among all symmetric quantile averages (SQA<sub>c</sub>). Daniell, in 1920, (3) analyzed a class of estimators, linear combinations of order statistics, and identified that  $\epsilon$ -symmetric trimmed mean (STM $_{\epsilon}$ ) belongs to this class. Another popular choice, the  $\epsilon$ -symmetric Winsorized mean (SWM $_{\epsilon}$ ), named after Winsor and introduced by Tukey (4) and Dixon (5) in 1960, is also an L-estimator. Bieniek (2016) derived exact bias upper bounds of the Winsorized mean based on Danielak and Rychlik's work (2003) on the trimmed mean for any distribution with a finite second moment and confirmed that the former is smaller than the latter (6, 7). In 1963, Hodges and Lehmann (8) proposed a class of nonparametric location estimators based on rank tests and, from the Wilcoxon signed-rank statistic (9), deduced the median of pairwise means as a robust location estimator for a symmetric population. Both L-statistics and R-statistics achieve robustness essentially by removing a certain proportion of extreme values. In 1964, Huber (10) generalized maximum likelihood estimation to the minimization of the sum of a specific loss function, which measures the residuals between the data points and the model's parameters. Some L-estimators are also M-estimators, e.g., the sample mean is an M-estimator with a squared error loss function, while the sample median is an M-estimator with an absolute error loss function (10). The Huber M-estimator is obtained by applying the Huber loss function that combines elements of both squared error and absolute error to achieve robustness against gross errors and high efficiency for contaminated Gaussian distributions (10). Sun, Zhou, and Fan (2020) examined the concentration bounds of Huber M-estimator (11). Mathieu (2022) (12) further derived the concentration bounds of M-estimators and demonstrated that, by selecting the tuning parameter which depends on the variance, Huber M-estimator can also be a sub-Gaussian estimator. The concept of median of means  $(MoM_{k,b=\frac{n}{t}}, k)$  is the number of size in each block, b is the number of blocks) was implicitly introduced several times in Nemirovsky and Yudin (1983) (13), Jerrum, Valiant, and Vazirani (1986), (14) and Alon, Matias and Szegedy (1996) (15)'s works. Given its good performance even for distributions with infinite second moments, MoM has received increasing attention over the past decade (16–23). Devroye, Lerasle, Lugosi, and Oliveira (2016) showed that MoM nears the optimum of sub-Gaussian mean estimation with regards to concentration bounds when the distribution has a heavy tail (21). For a comparison of concentration bounds of trimmed mean, Huber M-estimator, median of means and other relavent estimators, readers are directed to Gobet, Lerasle, and Métivier's paper (2022) (24). Laforgue, Clemencon, and Bertail (2019) proposed the median of randomized means (MoRM<sub>k,b</sub>) (23), wherein, rather than partitioning, an arbitrary number, b, of blocks are built independently from the sample, and showed that MoRM has a better non-asymptotic sub-Gaussian property compared to MoM. In fact, asymptotically, the Hodges-Lehmann (H-L) estimator is equivalent to  $MoM_{k=2,b=\frac{n}{k}}$  and  $MoRM_{k=2,b}$ , and they can be seen as the pairwise mean distribution is approximated by the sampling without replacement and bootstrap, respectively. For the asymptotic validity, readers are referred to the foundational works of Efron (1979) (25), Bickel and

36

37

38

39

40

41

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

58

60

61

62

63

65

66

67

68

69

70

## **Significance Statement**

In 1964, van Zwet introduced the convex transformation order for comparing the skewness of two distributions. This paradigm shift played a fundamental role in defining robust measures of distributions, from spread to kurtosis. Here, rather than examining the stochastic ordering between two distributions, the orderliness of quantile averages within a distribution is investigated. By classifying distributions through the signs of derivatives, a series of sophisticated robust mean estimators are deduced. Nearly all common nonparametric robust location estimators are found to be special cases thereof.

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. The author declares no competing interest.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org

Freedman (1981, 1984) (26, 27), and Helmers, Janssen, and Veraverbeke (1990) (28).

Here, the  $\epsilon,b$ -stratified mean is defined as

73

74

75

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

93

94

95

96

97

100

101

102

103

104

105

107

108

109

110

111

112

114

115

117

118

119

120

121

122

124

$$\mathrm{SM}_{\epsilon,b,n} \coloneqq \frac{b}{n} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{b-1}{2b\epsilon}} \sum_{i_j = \frac{(2bj-b+1)n\epsilon}{b-1}}^{\frac{(2bj-b+1)n\epsilon}{b-1}} X_{i_j} \right),$$

where  $X_1 \leq ... \leq X_n$  denote the order statistics of a sample of n independent and identically distributed random variables  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .  $b \in \mathbb{N}, b \geq 3$ . The definition was further refined to guarantee the continuity of the breakdown point by incorporating an additional block in the center when  $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2b\epsilon} \rfloor \mod 2 = 0$ , or by adjusting the central block when  $\lfloor \frac{b-1}{2b\epsilon} \rfloor \mod 2 = 1$  (SI Text). If the subscript n is omitted, only the asymptotic behavior is considered. If b is omitted, b = 3 is assumed.  $\mathrm{SM}_{\epsilon,b=3}$  is equivalent to  $\mathrm{STM}_{\epsilon}$ , when  $\epsilon > \frac{1}{6}$ . The basic idea of the stratified mean, when  $\frac{b-1}{2\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b \mod 2 = 1$ , is to distribute the data into  $\frac{b-1}{2\epsilon}$  equal-sized non-overlapping blocks according to their order, then further sequentially group these blocks into b equal-sized strata and compute the mean of the middle stratum, which is the median of means of each stratum. In situations where  $i \mod 1 \neq 0$ , a potential solution is to generate multiple smaller samples that satisfy the equality by sampling without replacement, and subsequently calculate the mean of all estimations. The details of determining the sample size and sampling times are provided in the SI Text. Although the principle resembles that of the median of means, without the random shift, the result is different from  $MoM_{k=\frac{n}{2},b}$ . Additionally, the stratified mean differs from the mean of the sample obtained through stratified sampling methods, introduced by Neymean (1934) (29) or ranked set sampling (30), introduced by McIntyre in 1952, as these sampling methods aim to obtain more representative samples or improve the efficiency of sample estimates, but the sample mean based on them are not robust. When  $b \mod 2 = 1$ , the stratified mean can be regarded as replacing the other equal-sized strata with the middle stratum, which, in principle, is analogous to the Winsorized mean that replaces extreme values with less extreme percentiles. Furthermore, while the bounds confirm that the Winsorized mean and median of means outperform the trimmed mean (6, 7, 21, 24) in worst-case performance, the complexity of bound analysis makes it difficult to achieve a complete and intuitive understanding of these results. Also, a clear explanation for the average performance of them remains elusive. The aim of this paper is to define a series of semiparametric models using the signs of derivatives, reveal their elegant interrelations and connections to parametric models, and show that by exploiting these models, a set of sophisticated mean estimators can be deduced, which exhibit strong robustness to departures from assumptions.

**Data Availability.** Data for Figure ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in GitHub.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** I sincerely acknowledge the insightful comments from the editor which considerably elevated the lucidity and merit of this paper.

- CF Gauss, Theoria combinationis observationum erroribus minimis obnoxiae. (Henricus Dieterich), (1823).
- C Bernard, R Kazzi, S Vanduffel, Range value-at-risk bounds for unimodal distributions under partial information. *Insur. Math. Econ.* 94, 9–24 (2020).
- 3. P Daniell, Observations weighted according to order. Am. J. Math. 42, 222-236 (1920)

 JW Tukey, A survey of sampling from contaminated distributions in Contributions to probability and statistics. (Stanford University Press), pp. 448–485 (1960). 126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

- WJ Dixon, Simplified Estimation from Censored Normal Samples. The Annals Math. Stat. 31, 385 – 391 (1960).
- M Bieniek, Comparison of the bias of trimmed and winsorized means. Commun. Stat. Methods 45, 6641–6650 (2016).
- K Danielak, T Rychlik, Theory & methods: Exact bounds for the bias of trimmed means. Aust. & New Zealand J. Stat. 45, 83–96 (2003).
- J Hodges Jr, E Lehmann, Estimates of location based on rank tests. The Annals Math. Stat. 34, 598–611 (1963).
- 9. F Wilcoxon, Individual comparisons by ranking methods. Biom. Bull. 1, 80-83 (1945).
- 10. PJ Huber, Robust estimation of a location parameter. Ann. Math. Stat. 35, 73-101 (1964).
- 11. Q Sun, WX Zhou, J Fan, Adaptive huber regression. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 115, 254–265 (2020).
- T Mathieu, Concentration study of m-estimators using the influence function. Electron. J. Stat. 16, 3695–3750 (2022).
- AS Nemirovskij, DB Yudin, Problem complexity and method efficiency in optimization. (Wiley Interscience), (1983).
- MR Jerrum, LG Valiant, VV Vazirani, Random generation of combinatorial structures from a uniform distribution. Theor. computer science 43, 169–188 (1986).
- N Alon, Y Matias, M Szegedy, The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments in *Proceedings of the twenty-eighth annual ACM symposium on Theory of computing.* pp. 20–29 (1996).
- PL Bühlmann, Bagging, subagging and bragging for improving some prediction algorithms in Research report/Seminar für Statistik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH). (Seminar für Statistik, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule (ETH), Zürich), Vol. 113, (2003).
- JY Audibert, O Catoni, Robust linear least squares regression. The Annals Stat. 39, 2766–2794
- D Hsu, S Sabato, Heavy-tailed regression with a generalized median-of-means in International Conference on Machine Learning. (PMLR), pp. 37–45 (2014).
- S Minsker, Geometric median and robust estimation in banach spaces. Bernoulli 21, 2308– 2335 (2015)
- C Brownlees, E Joly, G Lugosi, Empirical risk minimization for heavy-tailed losses. The Annals Stat. 43, 2507–2536 (2015).
- L Devroye, M Lerasle, G Lugosi, RI Oliveira, Sub-gaussian mean estimators. The Annals Stat. 44, 2695–2725 (2016).
- E Joly, G Lugosi, Robust estimation of u-statistics. Stoch. Process. their Appl. 126, 3760–3773 (2016).
- P Laforgue, S Clémençon, P Bertail, On medians of (randomized) pairwise means in *Interna*tional Conference on Machine Learning. (PMLR), pp. 1272–1281 (2019).
- E Gobet, M Lerasle, D Métivier, Mean estimation for Randomized Quasi Monte Carlo method. working paper or preprint (2022).
- 25. B Efron, Bootstrap methods: Another look at the jackknife. The Annals Stat. 7, 1–26 (1979).
- PJ Bickel, DA Freedman, Some asymptotic theory for the bootstrap. The annals statistics 9, 1196–1217 (1981).
- PJ Bickel, DA Freedman, Asymptotic normality and the bootstrap in stratified sampling. The annals statistics 12, 470–482 (1984).
- R Helmers, P Janssen, N Veraverbeke, Bootstrapping U-quantiles. (CWI. Department of Operations Research, Statistics, and System Theory [BS]), (1990).
- J Neyman, On the two different aspects of the representative method: The method of stratified sampling and the method of purposive selection. J. Royal Stat. Soc. 97, 558–606 (1934).
- G McIntyre, A method for unbiased selective sampling, using ranked sets. Aust. journal agricultural research 3, 385–390 (1952).

2 | Lee