Semiparametric robust mean estimations based on the orderliness of quantile averages

Tuban Lee

21

22

23

24

35

36

37

This manuscript was compiled on June 8, 2023

semiparametric | mean-median-mode inequality | asymptotic | unimodal | Hodges—Lehmann estimator

Hodges–Lehmann inequality and γ -U-orderliness

The Hodges–Lehmann estimator stands out as a unique robust location estimator due to its definition being substantially dissimilar from conventional L-estimators, R-estimators, and M-estimators. In their landmark paper, Estimates of location based on rank tests, Hodges and Lehmann (1) proposed two methods for computing the H-L estimator: the Wilcoxon score R-estimator and the median of pairwise means. The Wilcoxon score R-estimator is a location estimator based on signed-rank test, or R-estimator, (1) and was later independently discovered by Sen (1963) (2, 3). However, the median of pairwise means is a generalized L-statistic and a trimmed U-statistic, as classified by Serfling in his novel conceptualized study in 1984 (4). Serfling further advanced the understanding by generalizing the H-L kernel as $hl_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\frac{1}{k}\sum_{i=1}^k x_i$, where $k\in\mathbb{N}$ (4). Here, the weighted H-L kernel is defined as $whl_k(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i\mathbf{w}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{w}_i}$, where \mathbf{w}_i s are the weights applied to each element.

By using the whl_k kernel and the L-estimator, it is now clear that the Hodges-Lehmann estimator is an LL-statistic, the definition of which is provided as follows:

$$LL_{k,\epsilon,\gamma,n} := L_{\epsilon_0,\gamma,n} \left(\operatorname{sort} \left(\left(whl_k \left(X_{N_1}, \cdots, X_{N_k} \right) \right)_{N=1}^{\binom{n}{k}} \right) \right),$$

where $L_{\epsilon_0,\gamma,n}(Y)$ represents the L-estimator that uses the sorted sequence, sort $(whl_k(X_{N_1}, \cdots, X_{N_k}))_{N=1}^{\binom{n}{k}}$, as input, the upper asymptotic breakdown point of the L-estimator is ϵ_0 , the lower asymptotic breakdown point is $\gamma \epsilon_0$. The upper asymptotic breakdown point of $LL_{k,\epsilon,\gamma}$ is $\epsilon = 1 - (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}$, as proven in another relevant paper. There are two ways to adjust the breakdown point: either by setting k as a constant and adjusting ϵ_0 , or by setting ϵ_0 as a constant and adjusting k. In the above definition, k is discrete, but the bootstrap method can be applied to ensure the continuity of k, also making the breakdown point continuous. Specifically, if $k \in \mathbb{R}$, let the bootstrap size be denoted by b, then first sampling the original sample (1 - k + |k|)b times with each sample size of |k|, and then subsequently sampling $(1-\lceil k \rceil + k)b$ times with each sample size of $\lceil k \rceil$, $(1-k+|k|)b \in \mathbb{N}$, $(1-\lceil k \rceil+k)b \in \mathbb{N}$. The corresponding kernels are computed separately, and the pooled sorted sequence is used as the input for the L-estimator. Indeed, for any finite sample, when k = n, the corresponding whl_k kernel distribution becomes a single point, $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \mathbf{w}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{w}_i}$

Data Availability. Data for Figure ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in GitHub.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I sincerely acknowledge the insightful comments from the editor which considerably elevated the lucidity and merit of this paper.

40

41

49

- J Hodges Jr, E Lehmann, Estimates of location based on rank tests. The Annals Math. Stat. 34, 509, 611 (1962)
- PK Sen, On the estimation of relative potency in dilution (-direct) assays by distribution-free methods. *Biometrics* pp. 532–552 (1963).
- M Ghosh, MJ Schell, PK Sen, A conversation with pranab kumar sen. Stat. Sci. pp. 548–564 (2008).
- 4. RJ Serfling, Generalized I-, m-, and r-statistics. The Annals Stat. 12, 76–86 (1984).

The author declares no competing interest.

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org