

# Semiparametric robust mean estimations based on the orderliness of quantile averages

Tuban Lee

This manuscript was compiled on June 10, 2023

semiparametric | mean-median-mode inequality | asymptotic | unimodal  
| Hodges–Lehmann estimator

## Hodges–Lehmann inequality and $\gamma$ - $U$ -orderliness

The Hodges–Lehmann estimator stands out as a unique robust location estimator due to its definition being substantially dissimilar from conventional  $L$ -estimators,  $R$ -estimators, and  $M$ -estimators. In their landmark paper, *Estimates of location based on rank tests*, Hodges and Lehmann (1) proposed two methods for computing the H-L estimator: the Wilcoxon score  $R$ -estimator and the median of pairwise means. The Wilcoxon score  $R$ -estimator is a location estimator based on signed-rank test, or  $R$ -estimator, (1) and was later independently discovered by Sen (1963) (2, 3). However, the median of pairwise means is a generalized  $L$ -statistic and a trimmed  $U$ -statistic, as classified by Serfling in his novel conceptualized study in 1984 (4). Serfling further advanced the understanding by generalizing the H-L kernel as  $hl_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (4). Here, the weighted H-L kernel is defined as  $whl_k(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \mathbf{w}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{w}_i}$ , where  $\mathbf{w}_i$ s are the weights applied to each element.

By using the weighted H-L kernel and the  $L$ -estimator, it is now clear that the Hodges–Lehmann estimator is an  $LL$ -statistic, the definition of which is provided as follows:

$$LL_{k,\epsilon,\gamma,n} := L_{\epsilon_0,\gamma,n} \left( \text{sort} \left( (whl_k(X_{N_1}, \dots, X_{N_k}))_{N=1}^{\binom{n}{k}} \right) \right),$$

where  $L_{\epsilon_0,\gamma,n}(Y)$  represents the  $\epsilon_0, \gamma$ - $L$ -estimator that uses the sorted sequence,  $\text{sort}(whl_k(X_{N_1}, \dots, X_{N_k}))_{N=1}^{\binom{n}{k}}$ , as input. The upper asymptotic breakdown point of  $LL_{k,\epsilon,\gamma}$  is  $\epsilon = 1 - (1 - \epsilon_0)^{\frac{1}{k}}$ , as proven in DSSM II. There are two ways to adjust the breakdown point: either by setting  $k$  as a constant and adjusting  $\epsilon_0$ , or by setting  $\epsilon_0$  as a constant and adjusting  $k$ . In the above definition,  $k$  is discrete, but the bootstrap method can be applied to ensure the continuity of  $k$ , also making the breakdown point continuous. Specifically, if  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , let the bootstrap size be denoted by  $b$ , then first sampling the original sample  $(1 - k + \lfloor k \rfloor)b$  times with each sample size of  $\lfloor k \rfloor$ , and then subsequently sampling  $(1 - \lceil k \rceil + k)b$  times with each sample size of  $\lceil k \rceil$ ,  $(1 - k + \lfloor k \rfloor)b \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(1 - \lceil k \rceil + k)b \in \mathbb{N}$ . The corresponding kernels are computed separately, and the pooled sorted sequence is used as the input for the  $L$ -estimator. Let  $\mathbf{S}_k$  represent the sorted sequence. Indeed, for any finite sample,  $X$ , when  $k = n$ ,  $\mathbf{S}_k$  becomes a single point,  $whl_{k=n}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ . When  $\mathbf{w}_i = 1$ , the minimum of  $\mathbf{S}_k$  is  $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$ , due to the property of order statistics.

**Data Availability.** Data for Figure ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in [GitHub](#).

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** I sincerely acknowledge the insightful comments from the editor which considerably elevated the lucidity and merit of this paper.

1. J Hodges Jr, E Lehmann, Estimates of location based on rank tests. *The Annals Math. Stat.* **34**, 598–611 (1963).
2. PK Sen, On the estimation of relative potency in dilution (-direct) assays by distribution-free methods. *Biometrics* pp. 532–552 (1963).
3. M Ghosh, MJ Schell, PK Sen, A conversation with pranab kumar sen. *Stat. Sci.* pp. 548–564 (2008).
4. RJ Serfling, Generalized l-, m-, and r-statistics. *The Annals Stat.* **12**, 76–86 (1984).

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper.

The author declares no competing interest.

<sup>1</sup>To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: [tl@biomathematics.org](mailto:tl@biomathematics.org)