Semiparametric robust mean estimations based on the orderliness of quantile averages

Tuban Lee

This manuscript was compiled on June 18, 2023

semiparametric | mean-median-mode inequality | asymptotic | unimodal | Hodges–Lehmann estimator

Furthermore, for weighted averages, separating the breakdown point into upper and lower parts is necessary.

Definition .1 (Upper/lower breakdown point). The upper breakdown point is the breakdown point generalized in Davies and Gather (2005)'s paper (?). The finite-sample upper breakdown point is the finite sample breakdown point defined

by Donoho and Huber (1983) (1) and also detailed in (?).
The (finite-sample) lower breakdown point is replacing the

9 infinity symbol in these definitions with negative infinity.

Classifying Distributions by the Signs of Derivatives

Let $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of all continuous distributions over \mathbb{R} and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}$ denote the set of all discrete distributions over a countable set X. The default of this article will be on the class of continuous distributions, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{R}}$. However, it's worth noting that most discussions and results can be extended to encompass the discrete case, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{X}}$, unless explicitly specified otherwise. Besides fully and smoothly parameterizing them by a Euclidean parameter or merely assuming regularity conditions, there exist additional methods for classifying distributions based on their characteristics, such as their skewness, peakedness, modality, and supported interval. In 1956, Stein initiated the study of estimating parameters in the presence of an infinite-dimensional nuisance shape parameter (2) and proposed a necessary condition for this type of problem, a contribution later explicitly recognized as initiating the field of semiparametric statistics (3). In 1982, Bickel simplified Stein's general heuristic necessary condition (2), derived sufficient conditions, and used them in formulating adaptive estimates (3). A notable example discussed in these groundbreaking works was the adaptive estimation of the center of symmetry for an unknown symmetric distribution, which is a semiparametric model. In 1993, Bickel, Klaassen, Ritov, and Wellner published an influential semiparametrics textbook (4), which categorized most common statistical models as semiparametric models, considering parametric and nonparametric models as two special cases within this classification. Yet, there is another old and commonly encountered class of distributions that receives little attention in semiparametric literature: the unimodal distribution. It is a very unique semiparametric model because its definition is based on the signs of derivatives, i.e., $(f'(x) > 0 \text{ for } x < M) \land (f'(x) < 0 \text{ for } x > M)$, where f(x) is the probability density function (pdf) of a random variable X, M is the mode. Let \mathcal{P}_U denote the set of all unimodal distributions. There was a widespread misbelief that the median of an arbitrary unimodal distribution always lies between its mean and mode until Runnenburg (1978) and van Zwet (1979) (5, 6) endeavored to determine sufficient conditions for the mean-median-mode inequality to hold, thereby implying the possibility of its violation. The class of unimodal distributions that satisfy the mean-median-mode inequality constitutes a subclass of \mathcal{P}_U , denoted by $\mathcal{P}_{MMM} \subsetneq \mathcal{P}_U$. To further investigate the relations of location estimates within a distribution, the γ -orderliness for a right-skewed distribution is defined as

$$\forall 0 \le \epsilon_1 \le \epsilon_2 \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, QA(\epsilon_1, \gamma) \ge QA(\epsilon_2, \gamma).$$

The necessary and sufficient condition below hints at the relation between the mean-median-mode inequality and the γ -orderliness.

11

13

16

19

20

21

23

24

26

27

29

30

31

32

34

37

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Theorem .1. A distribution is γ -ordered if and only if its pdf satisfies the inequality $f(Q(\gamma \epsilon)) \geq f(Q(1-\epsilon))$ for all $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$ or $f(Q(\gamma \epsilon)) \leq f(Q(1-\epsilon))$ for all $0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality, consider the case of right-skewed distribution. From the above definition of γ -orderliness, it is deduced that $\frac{Q(\gamma\epsilon-\delta)+Q(1-\epsilon+\delta)}{2} \geq \frac{Q(\gamma\epsilon)+Q(1-\epsilon)}{2} \Leftrightarrow Q(\gamma\epsilon-\delta)-Q(\gamma\epsilon) \geq Q(1-\epsilon)-Q(1-\epsilon+\delta) \Leftrightarrow Q'(1-\epsilon) \geq Q'(\gamma\epsilon),$ where δ is an infinitesimal positive quantity. Observing that the quantile function is the inverse function of the cumulative distribution function (cdf), $Q'(1-\epsilon) \geq Q'(\gamma\epsilon) \Leftrightarrow F'(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) \geq F'(Q(1-\epsilon))$, thereby completing the proof, since the derivative of cdf is pdf.

According to Theorem .1, if a probability distribution is right-skewed and monotonic decreasing, it will always be γ ordered. For a right-skewed unimodal distribution, if $Q(\gamma \epsilon)$ M, then the inequality $f(Q(\gamma \epsilon)) \geq f(Q(1-\epsilon))$ holds. The principle is extendable to unimodal-like distributions. Suppose there is a right-skewed unimodal-like distribution with the first mode, denoted as M_1 , having the greatest probability density, while there are several smaller modes located towards the higher values of the distribution. Furthermore, assume that this distribution follows the mean- γ -median-first mode inequality, amd the $\gamma\text{-median},\,Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}),$ falling within the first dominant mode (i.e., if $x > Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}), f(Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma})) \ge f(x)$). Then, if $Q(\gamma \epsilon) > M_1$, the inequality $f(Q(\gamma \epsilon)) > f(Q(1 - \epsilon))$ ϵ)) also holds. In other words, even though a distribution following the mean- γ -median-mode inequality may not be strictly γ -ordered, the inequality defining the γ -orderliness remains valid for most quantile averages. The mean- γ -medianmode inequality can also indicate possible bounds for γ in practice, e.g., for any distributions, when $\gamma \to \infty$, the γ median will be greater than the mean and the mode, when $\gamma \to 0$, the γ -median will be smaller than the mean and

T.L. designed research, performed research, analyzed data, and wrote the paper. The author declares no competing interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tl@biomathematics.org

the mode, a reasonable γ should maintain the validity of the mean- γ -median-mode inequality.

The definition above of γ -orderliness for a right-skewed distribution implies a monotonic decreasing behavior of the quantile average function with respect to the breakdown point. Therefore, consider the sign of the partial derivative, it can also be expressed as:

$$\forall 0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} \le 0.$$

The left-skewed case can be obtained by reversing the inequality $\frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} \leq 0$ to $\frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} \geq 0$ and employing the second definition of QA, as given in [??]. For simplicity, the left-skewed case will be omitted in the following discussion. If $\gamma=1$, the γ -ordered distribution is referred to as ordered distribution.

Furthermore, many common right-skewed distributions, such as the Weibull, gamma, lognormal, and Pareto distributions, are partially bounded, indicating a convex behavior of the QA function with respect to ϵ as ϵ approaches 0. By further assuming convexity, the second γ -orderliness can be defined for a right-skewed distribution as follows,

$$\forall 0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{\partial^2 \mathrm{QA}}{\partial \epsilon^2} \ge 0 \land \frac{\partial \mathrm{QA}}{\partial \epsilon} \le 0.$$

Analogously, the ν th γ -orderliness of a right-skewed distribution can be defined as $(-1)^{\nu} \frac{\partial^{\nu} QA}{\partial \epsilon^{\nu}} \geq 0 \wedge \ldots \wedge - \frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} \geq 0$. If $\gamma = 1$, the ν th γ -orderliness is referred as to ν th orderliness. Let \mathcal{P}_O denote the set of all distributions that are ordered and $\mathcal{P}_{O_{\nu}}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma O_{\nu}}$ represent the sets of all distributions that are ν th ordered and ν th γ -ordered, respectively. When the shape parameter of the Weibull distribution, α , is smaller than 3.258, it can be shown that the Weibull distribution belongs to $\mathcal{P}_U \cap \mathcal{P}_O \cap \mathcal{P}_{O_2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{O_3}$ (SI Text). At $\alpha \approx 3.602$, the Weibull distribution is symmetric, and as $\alpha \to \infty$, the skewness of the Weibull distribution approaches 1. Therefore, the parameters that prevent it from being included in the set correspond to cases when it is near-symmetric, as shown in the SI Text. Nevertheless, computing the derivatives of the QA function is often intricate and, at times, challenging. The following theorems establish the relationship between \mathcal{P}_{O} , $\mathcal{P}_{O_{H}}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma O_{H}}$, and a wide range of other semi-parametric distributions. They can be used to quickly identify some parametric distributions in \mathcal{P}_O , $\mathcal{P}_{O_{\nu}}$, and $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma O_{\nu}}$.

Theorem .2. For any random variable X whose probability distribution function belongs to a location-scale family, the distribution is ν th γ -ordered if and only if the family of probability distributions is ν th γ -ordered.

Proof. Let Q_0 denote the quantile function of the standard distribution without any shifts or scaling. After a location-scale transformation, the quantile function becomes $Q(p) = \lambda Q_0(p) + \mu$, where λ is the scale parameter and μ is the location parameter. According to the definition of the ν th γ -orderliness, the signs of derivatives of the QA function are invariant after this transformation. As the location-scale transformation is reversible, the proof is complete.

Theorem .2 demonstrates that in the analytical proof of the ν th γ -orderliness of a parametric distribution, both the location and scale parameters can be regarded as constants. It is also instrumental in proving other theorems.

Theorem .3. Define a γ -symmetric distribution as one for which the quantile function satisfies $Q(\gamma \epsilon) = 2Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}) - Q(1-\epsilon)$ for all $0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}$. Any γ -symmetric distribution is ν th γ -ordered.

Proof. The equality, $Q(\gamma\epsilon) = 2Q(\frac{\gamma}{1+\gamma}) - Q(1-\epsilon)$, implies that $\frac{\partial Q(\gamma\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} = \gamma Q'(\gamma\epsilon) = \frac{\partial (-Q(1-\epsilon))}{\partial \epsilon} = Q'(1-\epsilon)$. From the first definition of QA, the QA function of the γ -symmetric distribution is a horizontal line, since $\frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} = \gamma Q'(\gamma\epsilon) - Q'(1-\epsilon) = 0$. So, the ν th order derivative of QA is always zero. \square

Theorem .4. A symmetric distribution is a special case of the γ -symmetric distribution when $\gamma = 1$, provided that the cdf is monotonic.

Proof. A symmetric distribution is a probability distribution such that for all x, f(x) = f(2m-x). Its cdf satisfies F(x) = 1 - F(2m-x). Let x = Q(p), then, F(Q(p)) = p = 1 - F(2m-Q(p)) and $F(Q(1-p)) = 1-p \Leftrightarrow p = 1-F(Q(1-p))$. Therefore, F(2m-Q(p)) = F(Q(1-p)). Since the cdf is monotonic, $2m-Q(p) = Q(1-p) \Leftrightarrow Q(p) = 2m-Q(1-p)$. Choosing $p = \epsilon$ yields the desired result.

Since the generalized Gaussian distribution is symmetric around the median, it is ν th ordered, as a consequence of Theorem .3.

Theorem .5. Any right-skewed distribution whose quantile function Q satisfies $Q^{(\nu)}(p) \geq 0 \wedge \dots Q^{(i)}(p) \geq 0 \dots \wedge Q^{(2)}(p) \geq 0$, $i \mod 2 = 0$, is ν th γ -ordered, provided that $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $(-1)^i \frac{\partial^i \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A}}{\partial \epsilon^i} = \frac{1}{2} ((-\gamma)^i Q^i (\gamma \epsilon) + Q^i (1 - \epsilon))$ and $1 \le i \le \nu$, when $i \mod 2 = 0$, $(-1)^i \frac{\partial^i \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A}}{\partial \epsilon^i} \ge 0$ for all $\gamma \ge 0$. When $i \mod 2 = 1$, if further assuming $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, $(-1)^i \frac{\partial^i \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{A}}{\partial \epsilon^i} \ge 0$, since $Q^{(i+1)}(p) \ge 0$.

This result makes it straightforward to show that the Pareto distribution follows the ν th γ -orderliness, provided that $0 \le \gamma \le 1$, since the quantile function of the Pareto distribution is $Q(p) = x_m (1-p)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, where $x_m > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and so $Q^{(\nu)}(p) \ge 0$ for all $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ according to the chain rule.

Theorem .6. A right-skewed distribution with a monotonic decreasing pdf is second γ -ordered.

Proof. Given that a monotonic decreasing pdf implies $f'(x) = F^{(2)}(x) \leq 0$, let x = Q(F(x)), then by differentiating both sides of the equation twice, one can obtain $0 = Q^{(2)}(F(x))(F'(x))^2 + Q'(F(x))F^{(2)}(x) \Rightarrow Q^{(2)}(F(x)) = -\frac{Q'(F(x))F^{(2)}(x)}{(F'(x))^2} \geq 0$, since $Q'(p) \geq 0$. Theorem .1 already established the γ -orderliness for all $\gamma \geq 0$, which means $\forall 0 \leq \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{\partial QA}{\partial \epsilon} \leq 0$. The desired result is then derived from the proof of Theorem .5, since $(-1)^2 \frac{\partial^2 QA}{\partial \epsilon^2} \geq 0$ for all $\gamma \geq 0$.

Theorem .6 provides valuable insights into the relation between modality and second γ -orderliness. The conventional definition states that a distribution with a monotonic pdf is still considered unimodal. However, within its supported interval, the mode number is zero. Theorem .1 implies that the number of modes and their magnitudes within a distribution

are closely related to the likelihood of γ -orderliness being valid. 141 This is because, for a distribution satisfying the necessary and 142 sufficient condition in Theorem .1, it is already implied that the 143 probability density of the left-hand side of the γ -median is al-144 ways greater than the corresponding probability density of the right-hand side of the γ -median, so although counterexamples can always be constructed for non-monotonic distributions, 147 the general shape of a γ -ordered distribution should have a 148 single dominant mode. It can be easily established that the 149 gamma distribution is second γ -ordered when $\alpha \leq 1$, as the pdf of the gamma distribution is $f(x) = \frac{\lambda^{-\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} e^{-\frac{x}{\lambda}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$, where $x \geq 0, \ \lambda > 0, \ \alpha > 0$, and Γ represents the gamma function. 151 152 This pdf is a product of two monotonic decreasing functions 153 under constraints. For $\alpha > 1$, analytical analysis becomes chal-154 lenging. Numerical results show that orderliness is valid until 155 $\alpha > 00.000$, the second orderliness is valid until $\alpha > 00.000$, 156 and the third orderliness is valid until $\alpha > 00.000$ (SI Text). 157 It is instructive to consider that when $\alpha \to \infty$, the gamma 158 distribution converges to a Gaussian distribution with mean $\mu = \alpha \lambda$ and variance $\sigma = \alpha \lambda^2$. The skewness of the gamma 160 distribution, $\frac{\alpha+2}{\sqrt{\alpha(\alpha+1)}}$, is monotonic with respect to α , since 161 $\frac{\partial \tilde{\mu}_3(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{-3\alpha - 2}{2(\alpha(\alpha + 1))^{3/2}} < 0$. When $\alpha = 00.000$, $\tilde{\mu}_3(\alpha) = 1.027$. 162 Theorefore, similar to the Weibull distribution, the param-163 eters which make these distributions fail to be included in 164 $\mathcal{P}_U \cap \mathcal{P}_O \cap \mathcal{P}_{O_2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{O_3}$ also correspond to cases when it is near-symmetric.

Theorem .7. Consider a γ -symmetric random variable X. Let it be transformed using a function $\phi(x)$ such that $\phi^{(2)}(x) \geq 0$ over the interval supported, the resulting convex transformed distribution is γ -ordered. Moreover, if the quantile function of X satisfies $Q^{(2)}(p) \leq 0$, the convex transformed distribution is second γ -ordered.

```
173 Proof. Let \phi QA(\epsilon, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) + \phi(Q(1-\epsilon))). Then, for all 0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{\partial \phi QA}{\partial \epsilon} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma\phi'(Q(\gamma\epsilon))Q'(\gamma\epsilon) - \phi'(Q(1-\epsilon))Q'(1-\epsilon)) = \frac{1}{2}\gamma Q'(\gamma\epsilon)(\phi'(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) - \phi'(Q(1-\epsilon))) \le 0, since for a \gamma-
175 symmetric distribution, Q(\frac{1}{1+\gamma}) - Q(\gamma\epsilon) = Q(1-\epsilon) - Q(\frac{1}{1+\gamma}),
176 differentiating both sides, -\gamma Q'(\gamma\epsilon) = -Q'(1-\epsilon), where
179 Q'(p) \ge 0, \phi^{(2)}(x) \ge 0. If further differentiating the
180 equality, \gamma^2 Q^{(2)}(\gamma\epsilon) = -Q^{(2)}(1-\epsilon). Since \frac{\partial^{(2)}\phi QA}{\partial \epsilon^{(2)}} = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\phi^2(Q(\gamma\epsilon))(Q'(\gamma\epsilon))^2 + \phi^2(Q(1-\epsilon))(Q'(1-\epsilon))^2) + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma^2\phi'(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) + \phi'(Q(1-\epsilon))(Q^2(1-\epsilon))) = \frac{1}{2}((\phi^{(2)}(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) + \phi^{(2)}(Q(1-\epsilon)))(\gamma^2Q'(\gamma\epsilon))^2) + \frac{1}{2}((\phi'(Q(\gamma\epsilon)) - \phi'(Q(1-\epsilon)))\gamma^2Q^{(2)}(\gamma\epsilon)). If Q^{(2)}(p) \le 0,
181 for all 0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{1}{1+\gamma}, \frac{\partial^{(2)}\phi QA}{\partial \epsilon^{(2)}} \ge 0.
```

An application of Theorem .7 is that the lognormal distribution is ordered as it is exponentially transformed from the Gaussian distribution. The quantile function of the Gaussian distribution meets the condition $Q^{(2)}(p) = -2\sqrt{2}\pi\sigma e^{2\mathrm{erfc}^{-1}(2p)^2}\mathrm{erfc}^{-1}(2p) \leq 0$, where σ is the standard deviation of the Gaussian distribution and erfc denotes the complementary error function. Thus, the lognormal distribution is second ordered. Numerical results suggest that it is also third ordered, although analytically proving this result is challenging.

Theorem .7 also reveals a relation between convex transformation and orderliness, since ϕ is the non-decreasing convex function in van Zwet's trailblazing work Convex transformations of random variables (7) if adding an additional constraint that $\phi'(x) \geq 0$. Consider a near-symmetric distribution S, such that SQA_{ϵ} as a function of ϵ fluctuates from 0 to $\frac{1}{2}$, with $\mu=m$.

196

197

198

199

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

211

213

215

216

217

Data Availability. Data for Figure ?? are given in SI Dataset S1. All codes have been deposited in GitHub.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I sincerely acknowledge the insightful comments from the editor which considerably elevated the lucidity and merit of this paper.

- DL Donoho, PJ Huber, The notion of breakdown point. A festschrift for Erich L. Lehmann 157184 (1983).
- CM Stein, Efficient nonparametric testing and estimation in Proceedings of the third Berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability. Vol. 1, pp. 187–195 (1956).
- 3. PJ Bickel, On adaptive estimation. The Annals Stat. 10, 647-671 (1982)
- P Bickel, CA Klaassen, Y Ritov, JA Wellner, Efficient and adaptive estimation for semiparametric models. (Springer) Vol. 4, (1993).
- 5. JT Runnenburg, Mean, median, mode. Stat. Neerlandica 32, 73-79 (1978).
- 6. Wv Zwet, Mean, median, mode ii. Stat. Neerlandica 33, 1-5 (1979).
- 7. WR van Zwet, Convex Transformations of Random Variables: Nebst Stellingen. (1964)

167

168

169

170

171

172

186

187

188

189

191

192

193

195