# GTU Department of Computer Engineering CSE 222/505 - Spring 2022 Homework 2 Report

Tuba TOPRAK 161044116

## (Port 1)

a)  $\log_2 n^2 + 1 = O(n)$  it's true. Using Umit metho

 $\log_2 n^2 = 2 \log_2 2n$   $\lim_{n \to \infty} = \frac{2\log_2 2n}{n} = \frac{2.2}{2n} \ln 2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} = 0$ 

b)  $\sqrt{n(n+1)} = n(n)$  it is true.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sqrt{n(n+1)}}{n} = \frac{\sqrt{n^2+1}}{n} = \frac{n}{n} = 1$ .  $\sqrt{n(n+1)}$  has some growth of order of g(n).

c)  $n^{n-1} = \theta(n^n)$  it's False.

Um  $n^{n-1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}$   $n \to \infty$  order smoller than  $n^n$ , so False.

Order the Following functions by growth rate and explain your reasoning for each of them

Solution!

108 n < \n < n2 < n2 cog n < 8 cog n = n3 < 2 n < 10 n

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\log n}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{2}{\sqrt{n}} \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\sqrt{n}}{n^2} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{n^2}{n^2 \log n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ln \ln n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ln \ln n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ln \ln n} \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{n^2 \log n}{8 \log_2 n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\ln \ln n}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{\ln n} \right) = 0$$

$$n \ni \infty \left( \frac{n^2 \log n}{8 \log_2 n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{\ln(n)}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{8^{\log_2 n}}{n^3} = 1$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{n3}{2^n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{3n^2}{2^n \ln(2)} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{6}{\ln 32} \cdot \frac{1}{\infty} \right) = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{2^n}{10^n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{5^n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{10^n} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{10^n} \right) = 0$$

```
Part 3 # Page 3
```

```
Time Complexity
  a) int p-1 lint my-array[]) &
                                        O Log (log n) )
        Forting 1=2; iz=n, i++) &
            if 1: % 2 == 013
             : count ++;
            else s
              i= Li - 1) i,
     3 3
 b) int plint my-orrages) {
                                             Time Complexity
                                                Tin1 = Oln)
      first -element = my-orray [0]; = 0(1)
      Second-element = my-array (0); -> 8(1)
     forlint i=0; Kobeoforg, i++) { > runs n times. = O(n)
       TF(my-arrayCi) Zfirst_element)}
         Second_element = first_element;
          first relement = my array [1];
                                              ryns I time
       else if (my-array[i] Lsecond-element) {
          if (my-array [i] != first-exment) {
             second - element = my array(i); (
  3
 c) Int p-3(int array[]) {
                                          Space Complexity Sty) = O(n)
      return orroy[0] * arroy[2], > (1)
                                              Time Complexity
                                               Tin1 = 0(1)
                                              Because there is just one
                                              return anothing else.
d) int p-4 (int array[], int n) &
   int sum = 0; ] 8(1)
                                                Time complexity
    For line 1=0; ikn; i=i+5)
         Sum + = array [i] * array [i]
                                               Tin) = 0(1) + 0(1)+ 0(1)
     return sum, > 0(1)
                                            Tin) = 0(n)
                               Space Complexity
                          For "sum" and "n" they have space complexity
                         as 0(1) because they have constant space complexity,
                         but for arroy [n], it has O(n) as space complexity
```

s(n) = (n)

```
# Page 4
e) void p-5 (int array [] int n) ?
                                             Time Complexity
        for (int i = 0; i < n , i++) (n)
                                             Tn = 0(1) * 0 log n * 0(1)
            Por (int j=1, j < 1, j=j * 2) E0(log n) T(n) = 0 (n. log n)
               print("% d", or ... ), 8(1)
                                            Space complexity
                                             5 (n) = 0 (n)
        andi
F)
  void p-b (int array[], int p) &
       1f(p-2 (orroy, n)) > 1000) & (n) because P-4
           P-51 array, n) eln. 108 n because P-5
         print ("%d", p.3 (orroy) * p.4 (orroy, n)) (1) * (n) = (n)
   3
    Time Complexity
    worst-case!
     Tin) = O(n) + On + O (n log n) = O (n log n)
    Best case!
    Tin) = O(n) +O(n) = O(n)
    Avorage case 1 O (n log n)
    Space complexity
    for "n" variable space complexity is constant it means (11)
     but for the orroy " it has O(n) because of size, S(n) = O(n)
                                       Time Complexity
 int p-7 (int n) {
                                        TLn) = Oln logn)
     Tnt 1 = n;
                                        The two loops here are nested
     while (1>0) 89/ 100 n)
                                        but the number of iterations
        For lint j=0; j kn; j++)anl
                                       the inner loop run to independent
             system.out.pritin(" *");
                                       of the outer loop. Therefore, the
        1=1/2;
                                      total volume of statements can be
 3
                                     token
 int p-8 (int n) {
                                      Time Complexity
     while (1)01 &
                                       TLAJ = O(A)
       for lint j = 0; j < n; j++)
                                     This series:
            Sout (" *");
                                     1+2+3+4+...\frac{n}{4}+\frac{n}{2}+n=2n-1
       n=n/2,
                                    T(n) = 2n-1
 3 -
                                    TLn) = O(n)
```

```
#Page 5
i) int p-6 (n) {
                                   Time Complexity
                                                         space c.
                                   T(1)=0(1)
       TF(n==0)
                                                          s(n)= 0(n)
           return + > + times.
                                  p-6(n) is 1 comparison, 1 multiplication
                                   1 subtraction and time
       eloc
          return n* p-9(n-1)
                                   P-6 (n-1)
                                   T(n) = (n-k) +3 k n-k=0 , n= k
                                   TLO) =1
                                   Tin) = Tio) +3 n > Tin) = O(n)
j) int p-10 (int ACD, int n) {
      îF(n== 1)
                                         Time complexity
                                                           Space C
         return:
                                       T(n) = O(n * n)
                                                         S6=0(1)
       P=10(A, n-1);
       す=n-1
                                        Best cose: Tln = 0 (n)
      while (j>0 and ACj J < ACj-17) {
                                       Avorage case: 0(n2)
          SWAP (ACJ ), ACJ-17),
         さ= さ-1.
                                       worst case: OLn2)
    3
```

```
Part 4
                                                     #Poge 6
 a) Explain what is wrong with the following statement.
   "The running time of algorithm A is at least O(n2)."
    Big 0 > asymptotic upper bound.
    we can't say "at least" for the Big-0 because "at least"
    means asymptotic lower bounds in , for this reason, It's
    meanings to say.
b) Prove that clouse true or false? Use the definition of
   asymptotic notations.
  1. 2n+1 = 0(2n) It's true.
   C1. n & 2n+1 < C2 . 2n
   20+1 6 02 20
   21-1-1 € 02.21-1
    21602
     Cincan+1 50 2n+1=0(2n).
II. 22 = 0(27) 16'S False.
   on(m*n) = (onm) n = (onn) n
  Now Apply 2n(2+n)=(2nn)12=(2n2)nn
  (2^2) ^n = 4^n So, 22n = 22.21. Suppose 22 = 0(227). Then there is a
               constant c70 such that c727 Since 2" is
   =0(411)
                    unbounded, no such con exist.
II. Let f(n) = O(n^2) and g(n) = \Theta(n^2). Prove: f(n) * g(n) = \Theta(n^4)
f(n) \le cn^2
 fini & cn2
gini < cn2
gini < cn2
gini = 0 in 4 and gini = 12 in2)
 Fini * gini & cn4 (c is constant) This is also some and we
  Therefore we can say that, then flot*glot = 0 lour and
  fin) +gin) = + in4) is true.
 fin) * gin) = 0 (n4) and fin1 * g(n) = 12(n4)
 e are provided.
```

**6)** In an array of numbers (positive or negative), find pairs of numbers with the given sum. Design an iterative algorithm for the problem. Test the algorithm with different size arrays and record the running time. Calculate the resulting time complexity. Compare and interpret the test result with your theoretical result.

#### Code:

#### Result:

```
Pair: (8, -3)
Sum: 5
Time: 30

Process finished with exit code 0
```

The time complexity of the above solution  $O(n^2)$ .

### With Different Size Array:

```
int[] Array = {0, 7, 8, -3, 1, 24, 54, 32, 78, 9, 99, 22, 2, 4};
int x = 23;
Pair(Array Array length x):
```

```
Pair: ( 1, 22)
Sum: 23
Time: 24

Process finished with exit code 0
```

**7)** Write a recursive algorithm for the problem in 6 and calculate its time complexity. Write a recurrence relation and solve it.