

Problem 2.

- (a) Prove that the relation given by $a \sim b \iff a - b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is an equivalence relation on the additive group \mathbb{Q} .
- (b) Prove that \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is an infinite abelian group.

Proof.

- (a) For any $a, b, c \in (\mathbb{Q}, +)$,

$$[\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{a}] : a - a = 0 \in \mathbb{Z} \implies a \sim a.$$

$$[\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b} \implies \mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{a}] : a \sim b \implies a - b \in \mathbb{Z} \implies -(a - b) = b - a \in \mathbb{Z} \implies b \sim a.$$

$$[\mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b} \sim \mathbf{c} \implies \mathbf{a} \sim \mathbf{c}] : a \sim b, b \sim c \implies c \sim b \implies (a - b) - (c - b) = a - c \in \mathbb{Z} \implies a \sim c.$$

So \sim is an equivalence relation on $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$.

- (b) $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} = \{[\frac{a}{b}] = \frac{a}{b} + \mathbb{Z} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } b \nmid a\}$. Consider any $q_1, q_2 \in (0, 1)$. If $[q_1] = [q_2]$, then $[q_1] - [q_2] = \mathbb{Z}$ and so $q_1 - q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Well, $q_1, q_2 \in (0, 1)$, so $q_1 - q_2 \in (-1, 1)$ and therefore $q_1 - q_2 = 0$. So $[q_1] = [q_2] \implies q_1 = q_2$. On the other hand, $q_1 = q_2 \implies [q_1] = [q_2]$ by definition. So then

$$q_1 = q_2 \iff [q_1] = [q_2], \forall q_1, q_2 \in (0, 1).$$

Since the rationals are dense in \mathbb{R} , there are infinitely many distinct rationals in $(0, 1)$ and infinitely many distinct cosets of the form $[q]$ where $q \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is infinite. Lastly, since $(\mathbb{Q}, +)$ is Abelian, so is \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} since $[q_1] + [q_2] = [q_1 + q_2] = [q_2 + q_1] = [q_2] + [q_1]$.

Thus,

\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is an infinite Abelian group.

□

Problem 3. Let p be a prime number and let $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ be the following subset of the group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} :

$$\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) = \left\{ \left[\frac{a}{b} \right] \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, b = p^i \text{ for some } i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

Prove that $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is an infinite subgroup of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .

Proof. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \subset \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Consider any integers $i, j \geq 0$ and let $a_i, a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{[Closure]:} \quad \left[\frac{a_i}{p^i} \right] + \left[\frac{a_j}{p^j} \right] = \left[\frac{p^j(a_i) + p^i(a_j)}{p^{i+j}} \right] \in \mathbb{Z}(p^\infty).$$

$$\text{[Inverses]:} \quad \left[\frac{-a_i}{p^i} \right] + \left[\frac{a_i}{p^i} \right] = [0] \implies -\left[\frac{a_i}{p^i} \right] = \left[\frac{-a_i}{p^i} \right].$$

So $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty) \leq \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. Now consider some integers $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ and set $a = 1$. Notice that $\frac{1}{p^i}, \frac{1}{p^j} \in (0, 1)$. Observe.

This result essentially follows from **Problem 2**. $\left[\frac{1}{p^i} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{p^j} \right] \implies \left[\frac{1}{p^i} \right] - \left[\frac{1}{p^j} \right] = [0] \implies \frac{1}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^j} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Well, $\frac{1}{p^i}, \frac{1}{p^j} \in (0, 1) \implies \frac{1}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^j} \in (-1, 1) \implies \frac{1}{p^i} - \frac{1}{p^j} = 0 \implies \frac{1}{p^i} = \frac{1}{p^j} \implies i = j$. On the other hand, $i = j \implies \frac{1}{p^i} = \frac{1}{p^j} \implies \left[\frac{1}{p^i} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{p^j} \right]$ by definition. So then,

$$i = j \iff \left[\frac{1}{p^i} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{p^j} \right], \forall i, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

There are infinitely many distinct positive integers so there must be infinitely many distinct cosets in $\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$.

Thus,

$\mathbb{Z}(p^\infty)$ is an infinite subgroup of \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} .

□

Problem 5. Let Q_8 be the multiplicative group generated by the complex matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $A^4 = B^4 = I_2$ and $BA = AB^3$. Prove that Q_8 is a group of order 8.

Proof. Well,

□

Problem 6. Let G be a group and let $\text{Aut}(G)$ denote the set of all automorphisms of G .

- (a) Prove that $\text{Aut}(G)$ is a group with composition of functions as the binary operation.
- (b) Prove that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_6) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_8) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$ (p prime).

Problem 8. Let G be the multiplicative group of 2×2 invertible matrices with rational entries. Show that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

have finite orders but AB has infinite order.

Problem 10. Let H, K be subgroups of a group G . Prove that HK is a subgroup of G if and only if $HK = KH$.

Proof.

$(\Rightarrow) HK \leq G \implies$ For all $hk \in HK$, $(hk)^{-1} = k^{-1}h^{-1} \in HK$. Therefore, $HK = \{hk \mid h \in H, k \in K\} = \{k^{-1}h^{-1} \mid k \in K, h \in H\} = KH$.

(\Leftarrow) Note $HK = KH \implies \forall hk \in HK, \exists (h_k, k_h) \in H \times K$, such that $hk = k_h h_k \in KH = HK$. The same logic holds for 'flipped' elements $kh \in KH = HK$. Observe.

[Closure]: $(h_1 k_1)(h_2 k_2) = (h_1 k_1)(k_{h_2} h_{k_2}) = h_1(k_1 k_{h_2})h_{k_2} = (k_1 k_{h_2})_{h_1} h_{k_1 k_{h_2}} h_{k_2} \in KH = HK$.

[Inverses]: For any $hk \in HK$, $(hk)^{-1} = k^{-1}h^{-1} \in KH = HK$.

So $HK \leq G$.

Thus,

$$HK \leq G \iff HK = KH.$$

□

Problem 11. Let H, K be subgroups of finite index of a group G such that $[G : H]$ and $[G : K]$ are relatively prime. Prove that $G = HK$.

Proof. We begin by proving $(H \cap K) \leq H, K \leq G$.

[1-Step]: $\forall a, b \in (H \cap K), ab^{-1} \in H$ and $ab^{-1} \in K \implies ab^{-1} \in (H \cap K) \implies (H \cap K) \leq H, K \leq G$.

Since $(H \cap K) \leq H, K \leq G$, by the Tower Rule for groups,

$$[G : (H \cap K)] = [G : H][H : H \cap K] = [G : K][K : H \cap K] \implies [K : H \cap K] = \frac{[G : H][H : H \cap K]}{[G : K]}$$

and $\gcd([G : H], [G : K]) = 1 \implies [G : K] \mid [H : H \cap K]$.

Now consider $H_K = \{hK \mid h \in H\} \subseteq G/K$ and $H/(H \cap K) = \{h(H \cap K) \mid h \in H\}$. Well,

$$H \ni h_2 \in [h_1]_K \iff h_1K = h_2K \iff h_2^{-1}h_1 \in K \iff h_2^{-1}h_1 \in (H \cap K) \iff h_2 \in [h_1]_{(H \cap K)}$$

$$H \ni h_2 \in [h_1]_{(H \cap K)} \iff h_1(H \cap K) = h_2(H \cap K) \iff h_2^{-1}h_1 \in (H \cap K) \iff h_2^{-1}h_1 \in K \iff h_2 \in [h_1]_K$$

So $h_2 \in [h_1]_K \iff h_2 \in [h_1]_{(H \cap K)}$ and clearly $[h]_K \leftrightarrow [h]_{(H \cap K)}$ is a bijection from H_K to $H/(H \cap K)$.

Observe.

$(H_K \subseteq G/K) \iff (|H_K| \leq [G : K])$ and then $(|H_K| \leq [G : K])$ with $([G : K] \mid [H : H \cap K] = |H_K|)$ implies that $|H_K| = [G : K]$. Therefore, $H_K \not\subseteq G/K$ and we must have that $H_K = G/K$. Therefore, $\forall g \in G, \exists h_g \in H$ such that $gK = h_gK$. Finally, $h_g^{-1}g \in K \implies \exists k_g \in K$ such that $h_g^{-1}g = k_g \implies g = h_gk_g$. So we see that $\forall g \in G, \exists (h_g, k_g) \in H \times K$ such that $g = h_gk_g$.

Thus,

$$H, K \leq G \text{ and } \gcd([G : H], [G : K]) = 1 \implies G = HK.$$

□

Problem 12. Let H, K, N be subgroups of G such that $H \subseteq N$. Prove that $HK \cap N = H(K \cap N)$.

Proof. Notice that since $H \subseteq N$, $HN = N$. We show $H(K \cap N) = HK \cap HN = HK \cap N$.

$[\subseteq] : \forall a \in H(K \cap N), a = hg$ where $h \in H$ and $g \in (K \cap N)$. Well, $g \in K \implies a = hg \in HK$. Similarly, $g \in N \implies a = hg \in HN$. Therefore, $a \in HK \cap HN \implies H(K \cap N) \subseteq (HK \cap HN) = (HK \cap N)$.

$[\supseteq] : \forall a \in HK \cap HN, a = hg$ where $hg \in HK$ and $hg \in HN$. So then $g \in K$ and $g \in N$ and we have $a = hg$ where $h \in H$ and $g \in K \cap N$. Therefore, $a \in H(K \cap N) \implies HK \cap HN \subseteq H(K \cap N)$.

Thus,

$$H, K, N \leq G \text{ and } H \subseteq N \implies HK \cap N = HK \cap HN = H(K \cap N).$$

□

Problem 13. Let H, K, N be subgroups of G such that $H \subseteq K$, $H \cap N = K \cap N$, $HN = KN$. Prove that $H = K$.

Proof. $H \subseteq K$ is given. We show $K \subseteq H$ to prove the statement.

$[\supseteq] : \forall k \in K, \exists h_k \in H$ such that $kN = h_k N$ and so $h_k^{-1}k \in N$. Well, $h_k^{-1} \in H \subseteq K$ and therefore by closure $h_k^{-1}k \in K \implies h_k^{-1}k \in (K \cap N) = (H \cap N)$. Finally, $h_k^{-1}k \in H$ and so $\exists h_* \in H$ such that $h_k k = h_* \implies k = h_k h_* \in H$. Therefore, $K \subseteq H$.

Thus,

$$H, K, N \leq G \text{ with } H \subseteq K, H \cap N = K \cap N, \text{ and } HN = KN \implies H = K.$$

□

We prove the following lemma to be used for **Problem 16**.

Lemma. Any subgroup H of a cyclic group G is cyclic, and if G has order $N \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ there exists exactly one subgroup $H_d \leq G$ of order d for each divisor d of $|G| = N$.

Proof. If $H = \{e\}$ it is cyclic. If H is non-trivial, then it contains some $h \neq e$. Well, since $h \in H \leq G$, $h = g^k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. So then there exists some minimal non-trivial power $n = \min\{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid g^i \in H \setminus \{e\}\}$ of g present in $H \setminus \{e\}$. Observe.

By the division algorithm, $\forall m \in \{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \mid g^m \in H \setminus \{e\}\}$, there exist unique integers q, r with $0 \leq r < n$ such that

$$m = nq + r \implies g^m = g^{nq+r} = g^{nq}g^r \implies g^{m-nq} = g^r \in H.$$

But since n is the minimal power of g in H , $r = 0$ otherwise we get a contradiction via $0 < r < n$. So then for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, such that $g^m \in H$, $g^m = g^{nq_m} = (g^n)^{q_m}$ for some $q_m \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $H = \langle g^n \rangle$, a cyclic group.

Next, if G is finite and of order N , consider any divisor d of $|G| = N$. Since $G = \langle g \rangle$, $|g| = N$. Well, since $d|N$, $\exists! q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $dq = N$. So we see $g^{dq} = g^N \implies (g^q)^d = e$. Such a d is necessarily a minimal power that gives identity here since $0 < q, d$ and otherwise $N = d'q < dq = N$, which is nonsense. So $|g^q| = d$. So then there is only one power q of g that has order $|g^q| = d$ (otherwise the existence of $q' \neq q$ such that $|g^{q'}| = d \implies N = q'd \neq qd = N$... nonsense.) Since any d -ordered subgroup H_d of G is cyclic, it must be generated by some power of G , of which there is only one and so $H_d = \langle g^q \rangle$ is the only subgroup of order $d|N$.

□

Now we present the solution to 16 on the following page.

Problem 16. If H is a cyclic normal subgroup of a group G , then every subgroup of H is normal in G .

Proof. Suppose $|H| = n$. Since $K \leq H = \langle h \rangle$ where $|h| = n$, K is cyclic by our lemma and there exists some minimal positive power $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ of h such that $K = \langle h^d \rangle$. So any $k \in K$ is of the form $k = (h^d)^q$ for some minimal power $q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Since $H \trianglelefteq G$,

$$\forall g \in G, gHg^{-1} = H \iff \forall (g, h^q) \in G \times H, \exists h^p \in H, \text{ such that } gh^qg^{-1} = h^p. \text{ for any powers } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

Observe.

$$(gh^qg^{-1})^m = \overbrace{(gh^qg^{-1})(gh^qg^{-1}) \cdots (gh^qg^{-1})}^m = \overbrace{g(h^{2q}g^{-1})(gh^qg^{-1}) \cdots (gh^qg^{-1})}^{m-1} = \cdots = gh^{mq}g^{-1} = h^{mp}.$$

So then for any $k \in K = \langle h^d \rangle$, where $k = (h^d)^q = (h^q)^d$ and any $g \in G, \exists h^p \in H$ such that

$$gh^qg^{-1} = h^p \implies gk g^{-1} = g(h^q)^d g^{-1} = (g(h^q)g^{-1})^d = (h^p)^d = (h^d)^p \in \langle h^d \rangle = K.$$

Note that since $gk g^{-1} = h^{dp}$ implies $gk = h^{dp}g$, there is only one power $(h^d)^p \in K$ for which the equality holds otherwise we get a contradiction. So for each $k_l \in K, \exists! k_r \in K$ such that $gk_l g^{-1} = k_r$. To avoid further nightmare indexing, note that we are taking the union of all conjugates $gk_l g^{-1} \in gKg^{-1}$ on the left side and showing that since each conjugate is paired with some unique $k_r \in K$ on the right side. The union of all conjugates $gk_l g^{-1}$ is equal to the union of all their unique partners k_r and since there are $|K|$ conjugates and $|K|$ unique partners, of course the right side must be all of K .

$$\bigcup_{k_l \in K} gk_l g^{-1} = gKg^{-1} = \bigcup_{gk_l g^{-1} = k_r \in K} k_r = K.$$

Thus,

$$K \leq H = \langle h \rangle \trianglelefteq G \implies K \trianglelefteq G.$$

□

Problem 21. If G is a finite group and H, K are subgroups of G , then

$$[G : H \cap K] \leq [G : H][G : K].$$

Proof. Since G is finite and $H, K \leq G$, we have the following

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|} \leq |G| \quad (1)$$

$$[G : H] = \frac{|G|}{|H|} \quad (2)$$

$$[G : K] = \frac{|G|}{|K|} \quad (3)$$

$$[G : H \cap K] = \frac{|G|}{|H \cap K|} \quad (4)$$

Observe.

$$|HK| = \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|} \leq |G| \implies (|G|) \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|} \leq |G|^2 \implies \left(\frac{|G|}{|H||K|}\right) \frac{|H||K|}{|H \cap K|} = \frac{|G|}{|H \cap K|} = [G : K] \leq \frac{|G|^2}{|H||K|} = [G : H][G : K]$$

□

Problem 22. If H, K, L are subgroups of a finite group G such that $H \subseteq K$, then

$$[K : H] \geq [L \cap K : L \cap H].$$

Proof.

Consider elements in $K/H = \{kH \mid k \in K\}$ and $(L \cap K)/(L \cap H) = \{k(L \cap H) \mid k \in (L \cap K)\}$. Well,

$$k_2 \in [k_1]_{(L \cap H)} \implies k_1(L \cap H) = k_2(L \cap H) \implies k_2^{-1}k_1 \in (L \cap H) \implies k_2^{-1}k_1 \in H \implies k_2 \in [k_1]_H$$

Therefore $f : (L \cap K)/(L \cap H) \rightarrow K/H$ where $f([k]_{L \cap H}) = [k]_H$ is well-defined. Observe.

$\forall [k_1]_{(L \cap H)}, [k_2]_{(L \cap H)} \in (L \cap K)/(L \cap H)$, if $f([k_1]_{(L \cap H)}) = f([k_2]_{(L \cap H)})$, then $[k_1]_H = [k_2]_H$ by definition. So then $k_2^{-1}k_1 \in H$ and since $[k_1]_{(L \cap K)}, [k_2]_{(L \cap K)} \in (L \cap K)/(L \cap H)$, obviously $k_1, k_2 \in L$. So $k_2^{-1}k_1 \in L$ by closure and finally $k_2^{-1}k_1 \in (L \cap H) \implies [k_1]_{(L \cap H)} = [k_2]_{(L \cap H)}$. So f is injective.

Therefore, since G is finite and f is an injection from $(L \cap K)/(L \cap H)$ to K/H it must be the case that $|(L \cap K)/(L \cap H)| = [L \cap K : L \cap H] \leq [K : H] = |K/H|$. Otherwise, the mapping either wouldn't be well-defined or wouldn't be injective by the Pigeonhole Principle, both contradictions.

Thus,

If H, K, L are subgroups of a finite group G such that $H \subseteq K$, then $[K : H] \geq [L \cap K : L \cap H]$.

□

Problem 23. Let H, K be subgroups of a group G . Assume that $H \cup K$ is a subgroup of G . Prove that either $H \subseteq K$ or $K \subseteq H$.

Proof. $H \cup K \leq G \implies \forall (h, k) \in H \times K$, we have $hk \in H \cup K$ by closure. Therefore,

$H \cup K = \{g \mid g \in H \text{ or } g \in K\}$ so for each product $hk \in H \cup K$ either $hk = g \in H$ or $hk = g \in K$ or both.

So in fact the only certainty here is that $H \cup K \neq H \sqcup K$ otherwise $hk \notin H \cup K$ which is a subgroup of G .

Therefore, necessarily $K \subset H$ or $H \subset K$ or $H = K$.

Thus,

$$H, K, H \cup K \leq G \implies H \subseteq K \text{ or } K \subseteq H.$$

□

Problem 24. Let G be an abelian group, H a subgroup of G such that G/H is an infinite cyclic group. Prove that $G \cong H \times G/H$.