

29 Aug 2025

Obs $H \leq G, K \leq G$ (subgr).

Then $H \cup K$ is not necessarily a subgr. of G .

(Example: $2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$ not a subgr. of \mathbb{Z})
 $2+3 \notin 2\mathbb{Z} \cup 3\mathbb{Z}$

$$H \vee K := \langle H \cup K \rangle$$

Cyclic groups

G group. is called cyclic if there exists $a \in G$ st.

$$\langle a \rangle = G \quad (\text{so } G = \langle a^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle)$$

$$\text{Ex } \mathbb{Z} = \langle 1 \rangle = \langle -1 \rangle$$

Prop G cyclic, $H \leq G \Rightarrow H$ cyclic. (see 420/620)

Prop (a) Every infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

(b) Every finite cyclic group is isom to \mathbb{Z}_n
where $n = |G|$ (number of elts of G)
(see 420/620)

Def G group, $x \in G$

$|x|$ or $\text{ord}(x) = |\langle x \rangle|$ (finite or infinite).

Obs If $\text{ord}(x) = n$ (finite), then $\text{ord}(x)$ is the smallest positive integer k st $x^k = e$

$$\langle x \rangle = \{e, x, x^2, x^3, \dots, x^{k-1}\}$$

Prop $k = \text{ord}(x)$, $x^n = e$. Then $k \mid n$

Proof : $n = kq + r$, $0 \leq r < k$.

$$\text{Then } x^r = x^n \cdot (x^{-q})^k = x^n \cdot (x^k)^{-q} = e \quad \square$$

Thus $r = 0$

Cosets Set up $H \leq G$ (subgr.)

We define equiv. relations:

$$a \equiv_e b \pmod{H}$$

$$a \equiv_e b \iff a^{-1} \cdot b \in H$$

$$\boxed{\equiv_r \pmod{H}}$$

$$a \equiv_r b \iff ab^{-1} \in H$$

One can check that both are equiv. rel.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{For } \boxed{\equiv_l}, [a]_l &= \{b \in G \mid a \equiv_l b\} \\ &= \{b \in G \mid a^{-1}b \in H\} \\ &= \{b \in G \mid b \in aH\} = aH \quad (\text{left coset}) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, for $\boxed{\equiv_r}$,

$$[a]_r = Ha \quad (\text{right coset})$$

Also There exist bijections

$$H \longleftrightarrow aH \longleftrightarrow Ha$$

$$h \longleftrightarrow ah \longleftrightarrow ha$$

$$(G/H)_{\equiv l} = \{aH \mid a \in G\}$$

$$(G/H)_{\equiv r} = \{H a \mid a \in G\}$$

There exists a bijection

$$(G/H)_{\equiv l} \cong$$

$$(G/H)_{\equiv r}$$

Notation

$$[G:H] = |G/H|$$

common
cardinality

$$aH \xrightarrow{Ha^{-1} \text{ (well-defined map)}} Hb$$

$$b^{-1}H \xleftarrow{Hb}$$

Theorem $K \leq H \leq G$. Then

$$[G:K] = [G:H] \cdot [H:K]$$

Proof

$$G = \bigcup_{i \in I} \underbrace{H a_i}_{\text{mutually disjoint}}$$

$$, |I| = [G:H]$$

$$H = \bigcup_{j \in J} \underbrace{K b_j}_{\text{mutually disjoint}}$$

$$, |J| = [H:K]$$

$$\text{Then } \boxed{G = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in I \times J} K(b_j a_i)} \quad (*)$$

We prove that these cosets are mutually disjoint.

$$K b_j a_i = K b_k a_l \Rightarrow b_j a_i = \alpha \cdot b_k a_l$$

$$\text{Then } \cancel{H} a_i = \underbrace{(H b_j)}_{= H} a_i = H \alpha \underbrace{b_k a_l}_{\in H} = H a_l. \text{ So } i = l$$

$$\text{Then } K b_j a_i = K b_k a_i \Rightarrow K b_j = K b_k, \text{ so } j = k.$$

Then $[G:K] = |I \times J| = |I| \cdot |J| = [G:H] \cdot [H:K]$ □

Corollary $H \leq G$. Then

$$|G| = [G:H] \cdot |H|$$

Proof Take $K = \{e\}$ in prev. theorem.

Cor $H \leq G$. Then ~~$|H|$~~ $|H|$ divides $|G|$.

Corollary $x \in G$. Then $\text{ord}(x)$ divides $|G|$.

Proof Take $H = \langle x \rangle$

Theorem $H, K \leq G$, $HK = \{hk \mid h \in H, k \in K\}$

not necessarily a subgroup of G .

Assume H, K are finite.

Thus $|HK| = \frac{|H| \cdot |K|}{|H \cap K|}$

Proof $L = H \cap K \leq G, L \leq K$

$K = \bigcup_{i=1}^n L k_i$ (mutually dist.) where $n = [K:L]$

Thus $HK = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \underbrace{H L k_i}_{\parallel \leftarrow L \leq H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n H k_i$ disjoint union

because

$H k_i = H k_j \Rightarrow k_i \cdot k_j^{-1} \in H \Rightarrow k_i \cdot k_j^{-1} \in H \cap K = L$
 $\Rightarrow L k_i = L k_j, \text{ so } i = j.$

Thus $|HK| = |H| \cdot n = |H| \cdot [K:L] = |H| \cdot \frac{|K|}{|L|}$
 $= \frac{|H| \cdot |K|}{|H \cap K|}$ \square