

Problem 1. Prove that if $0 = 1$ in a ring R , then R is the zero ring (that is, its only element is 0).

Proof. $\forall r \in R, r = 1 \cdot r = 0 \cdot r = 0 \implies R = \{0\}$.

□

Problem 2. A category C' is a subcategory of a category C if

- (1) $\text{Obj}(C') \subseteq \text{Obj}(C)$, and
- (2) for all $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C')$, $\text{Hom}_{C'}(A, B) \subseteq \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$.

It is a full subcategory if in addition $\text{Hom}_{C'}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_C(A, B)$ for all $A, B \in \text{Obj}(C')$.

Ring with unity is a subcategory of Ring (you do not need to prove this). Show that it is not a full subcategory.

Proof. $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_6 \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_6$ defined via $\varphi(a) = [0]a$ belongs to $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(\mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_6)$ but not $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ring with unity}}(\mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_6)$ since $\varphi([1]) = [0] \neq [1]$, and a ring homomorphism in $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ring with unity}}(\mathbb{Z}_6, \mathbb{Z}_6)$ must preserve unity. So $\text{Hom}_{\text{Ring with unity}}(A, B) \neq \text{Hom}_{\text{Ring}}(A, B)$ for $A = B = \mathbb{Z}_6 \in \text{Obj}(\text{Ring with unity})$.

Thus,

Ring with unity is not a full subcategory of Ring.

□

Problem 3. Let a and b be zero-divisors in a ring R . Either prove $a + b$ is always a zero-divisor or provide a specific counterexample.

Proof. Consider $[2], [3] \in \mathbb{Z}_6$. $[2] \cdot [3] = [0]$ and both are non-zero, so they are both zero-divisors. Yet $[2] + [3] = [5]$, which isn't a zero divisor since $([5][m])_{m=0}^5 = ([0], [5], [4], [3], [2], [1])$.

Thus,

the sum of two zero-divisors is not always a zero-divisor.

□

Problem 4. The center of a ring R is $Z(R) = \{z \in R \mid rz = zr \text{ for all } r \in R\}$. Prove that the center of a ring R is a subring of R .

Proof. $\forall a, b \in Z(R)$, and $\forall r \in R$,

$$[\mathbf{1}] : 1r = r1 = r \implies 1 \in Z(R)$$

$$[\leq_+] : (a-b)r = ar - br = (ra) - (rb) = r(a-b) \implies a-b \in Z(R)$$

$$[\cdot] : (ab)r = a(br) = a(rb) = (ar)b = (ra)b = r(ab) \implies ab \in Z(R).$$

Thus,

$Z(R)$ is a subring of R .

□

Problem 5. An element x in a ring R is called nilpotent if $x^m = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ (here x^m denotes $x \cdot x \cdots x$ (m times)).

Prove that the nilpotent elements of a commutative ring R form an ideal (this is called the nilradical of R).

Proof. Let R be a commutative ring with unity, and let $\text{nil}(R)$ be the set of all nilpotent elements of R ; $\text{nil}(R) = \{x \in R \mid x^m = 0 \text{ for some } m \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. Notice that in general for $r \in \text{nil}(R)$: if $r^N = 0$, then $r^{N+k} = r^N r^k = (0)r^k = 0 \implies r^j = 0, \forall j \geq N$.

Now let $x, y \in \text{nil}(R)$. Then $x^m = 0, y^n = 0$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. By the Binomial Theorem,

$$(x - y)^{m+n} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} \binom{m+n}{i} x^i (-y)^{m+n-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{m+n} (-1)^{m+n-i} \binom{m+n}{i} x^i y^{m+n-i} = 0$$

Since $i \geq m \implies x^i = 0$ or $0 \leq i < m \implies m+n-i \geq n \implies y^{m+n-i} = 0$

So $x - y \in \text{nil}(R)$, and therefore it is an additive subgroup of R .

Since R is commutative, $(rx)^k = r^k x^k$ For all $k \geq 1$. So then obviously $(rx)^m = r^m x^m = r^m (0) = 0 \implies rx = xr \in \text{nil}(R)$.

Thus,

The nilradical $\text{nil}(R)$ of R is a two-sided ideal of R .

□

Problem 6. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

- (a) Show that if $n = ab$ for some integers a, b , then ab is a nilpotent element of $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.
- (b) If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, show that the element $a \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ is nilpotent if and only if every prime divisor of n divides a . In particular, determine the nilpotent elements of $\mathbb{Z}/72\mathbb{Z}$.

We quickly prove a lemma, which follows from **Euclid's Lemma**.

Lemma 1. If p is prime, $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $p \mid a^n$, then $p \mid a$.

Proof. Trivially, $p \mid a \implies p \mid a$. Next, $p \mid a^2 = a(a) \implies p \mid a$ or $p \mid a \implies p \mid a$ by **Euclid's Lemma**. Now suppose that $p \mid a^k \implies p \mid a$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then, by **Euclid's Lemma**, $p \mid a^{k+1} = a(a^k) \implies (i) p \mid a$ or $(ii) p \mid a^k$ and so $(i) p \mid a$ or by our inductive step $(ii) p \mid a^k \implies p \mid a$. So $p \mid a^{k+1} \implies p \mid a$. Therefore, by induction, $p \mid a^n \implies p \mid a$ for all $n \geq 1$, and the statement is proven. \square

Proof. (a): Since $ab = n \implies (ab)^1 = ab = n = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n \implies ab \in \text{nil}(\mathbb{Z}_n)$.

(b): (\implies) If $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ is nilpotent in \mathbb{Z}_n , then $a^m = 0$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. So then $a^m = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n \implies a^m = qn \in \mathbb{Z}$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \mid a^m$. Well, for any prime divisor p of n , we must then have that $p \mid n$ and $n \mid a^m \implies p \mid a^m$. Therefore by **Lemma 1** $p \mid a$.

(\impliedby) Let $n = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{b_i}$ be the prime decomposition of n where p_1, \dots, p_k are all distinct prime divisors of n , and $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If each prime divisor p_i divides a , then $\exists q_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = p_i q_i$ and $a^{b_i} = p_i^{b_i} q_i^{b_i}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$. Therefore, since \mathbb{Z} is commutative,

$$a^{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k a^{b_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{b_i} q_i^{b_i} = \prod_{i=1}^k q_i \left(\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{b_i} \right) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^k q_i \right) n \implies a^{\sum_{i=1}^k b_i} = 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_n \implies a \in \text{nil}(\mathbb{Z}_n).$$

Thus,

$$a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ is nilpotent in } \mathbb{Z}_n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \iff \text{every prime divisor of } n \text{ divides } a.$$

\square

$72 = 9(8) = 2^3 3^2$ and so the nilpotent elements of \mathbb{Z}_{72} are all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{72}$ which are divisible by both 2 and 3. That is, all multiples of 6 in \mathbb{Z}_{72} . So $\text{nil}(\mathbb{Z}_{72}) = \langle 6 \rangle = \{0, 6, 12, 18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60, 66\}$.

Problem 7. Let R and S be rings.

- (a) Prove that the direct product $R \times S = \{(r, s) \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$ forms a ring under componentwise addition and multiplication.
- (b) Prove that $R \times S$ is commutative if and only if both R and S are commutative.

Proof. (a): For all $a = (r_1, s_1), b = (r_2, s_2), c = (r_3, s_3) \in R \times S$, we verify the ring axioms.

$$\begin{aligned} [+1] \text{ (associativity)}: \quad (a+b)+c &= (r_1+r_2, s_1+s_2)+(r_3, s_3) \\ &= ((r_1+r_2)+r_3, (s_1+s_2)+s_3) = (r_1+(r_2+r_3), s_1+(s_2+s_3)) \\ &= (r_1, s_1)+(r_2+r_3, s_2+s_3) = a+(b+c). \end{aligned}$$

$$[+2] \text{ (commutativity)}: \quad a+b = (r_1+r_2, s_1+s_2) = (r_2+r_1, s_2+s_1) = b+a.$$

$$[0] \text{ (zero)}: \quad a+(0_R, 0_S) = (r_1+0_R, s_1+0_S) = (0_R+r_1, 0_S+s_1) = (0_R, 0_S)+a = a \implies 0_{R \times S} = (0_R, 0_S).$$

$$[+3] \text{ (additive inverse)}: \quad \text{Let } -a = (-r_1, -s_1). \text{ Then } a+(-a) = (r_1-r_1, s_1-s_1) = (0_R, 0_S) = 0_{R \times S}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\cdot 1] \text{ (associativity)}: \quad (ab)c &= (r_1r_2, s_1s_2)(r_3, s_3) = ((r_1r_2)r_3, (s_1s_2)s_3) \\ &= (r_1(r_2r_3), s_1(s_2s_3)) = (r_1, s_1)(r_2r_3, s_2s_3) = a(bc). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_1] \text{ (left distributivity)}: \quad a(b+c) &= (r_1, s_1)(r_2+r_3, s_2+s_3) = (r_1(r_2+r_3), s_1(s_2+s_3)) \\ &= (r_1r_2+r_1r_3, s_1s_2+s_1s_3) = (r_1r_2, s_1s_2)+(r_1r_3, s_1s_3) = ab+ac. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} [D_2] \text{ (right distributivity)}: \quad (a+b)c &= (r_1+r_2, s_1+s_2)(r_3, s_3) = ((r_1+r_2)r_3, (s_1+s_2)s_3) \\ &= (r_1r_3+r_2r_3, s_1s_3+s_2s_3) = (r_1r_3, s_1s_3)+(r_2r_3, s_2s_3) = ac+bc. \end{aligned}$$

$$[1] \text{ (unity)}: \quad (1_R, 1_S)a = (1_R \cdot r_1, 1_S \cdot s_1) = (r_1 \cdot 1_R, s_1 \cdot 1_S) = (r_1, s_1) = a \implies 1_{R \times S} = (1_R, 1_S).$$

Thus,

$R \times S$ is a ring with unity.

□

Proof. (b): (\implies) If $R \times S$ is commutative, then for all $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and all $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1r_2, s_1s_2) = (r_2, s_2)(r_1, s_1) = (r_2r_1, s_2s_1)$. So then for all $r_1, r_2 \in R$, $s_1, s_2 \in S$, we have that $r_1r_2 = r_2r_1$ and $s_1s_2 = s_2s_1$, since such pairs are only equal if their components are equal. Therefore, R and S are both commutative.

(\impliedby) If R and S are both commutative, then for all $r_1, r_2 \in R$ and all $s_1, s_2 \in S$, $(r_1, s_1)(r_2, s_2) = (r_1r_2, s_1s_2) = (r_2r_1, s_2s_1) = (r_2, s_2)(r_1, s_1)$. So $R \times S$ is commutative.

□

Problem 8. Let R be a commutative ring. Define the ring $R[[x]]$ of formal power series by

$$R[[x]] = \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \mid a_i \in R \right\}.$$

- (a) Prove that $R[[x]]$ is a commutative ring, and be sure to explain how to add and multiply elements.
- (b) Show that $1 - x$ is a unit with inverse $1 + x + x^2 + \cdots = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$.
- (c) (Optional Challenge) Prove that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ is a unit in $R[[x]]$ if and only if a_0 is a unit in R .

Proof. (a): For all $A(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i, B(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \in R[[x]]$, addition is just adding coefficients of the same index (like in $R[x]$) and multiplication is termwise multiplication (Like in $R[x]$) which is called the *Cauchy Product* for series. These are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} A(x)B(x) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=n} a_i b_j \right) x^n \\ A(x) + B(x) &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i x^i \right) + \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) x^n \end{aligned}$$

It is given that $R[[x]]$ is a ring, so we just show multiplication is commutative. Recall that R and \mathbb{Z} are commutative. So:

$$A(x)B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{i+j=n} a_i b_j \right) x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j+i=n} b_j a_i \right) x^n = B(x)A(x)$$

□

Proof. (b):

$$(1-x) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n - x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n (1-x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{n+1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x^n = 1$$

□

Problem 9. Decide which of the following are ring homomorphisms from $M_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to \mathbb{Z} :

(a) $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a$

(b) $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a + d$

(c) $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto ad - bc$

Proof. Let us refer to the mappings in (a), (b), (c) via $\varphi_a, \varphi_b, \varphi_c$, respectively.

(a): $\varphi_a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1 = \varphi_a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\varphi_a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) \neq 2 = \varphi_a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \varphi_a\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. So φ_a is not a ring homomorphism.

(b): $\varphi_b\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 1 = \varphi_b\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)\varphi_b\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \neq 2 = \varphi_b\left(\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \varphi_b\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right)$. So φ_b is not a ring homomorphism.

(c): $\varphi_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) + \varphi_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = 0 + 0 = 0 \neq 1 = \varphi_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right) = \varphi_c\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\right)$ So φ_c is not a ring homomorphism.

So none of them are ring homomorphisms.

□

Problem 10. Let

$$R = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Prove that the map

$$\varphi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \right) = (a, d)$$

is a surjective ring homomorphism and describe its kernel.

Proof. For all $\alpha = (a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} = 0 & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \beta = (b_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11} & b_{12} \\ b_{21} = 0 & b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \in R$,

$$[1] : \varphi(I_2) = (1, 1) = 1_{\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}}$$

$$[+] : \varphi(\alpha) + \varphi(\beta) = (a_{11}, a_{22}) + (b_{11}, b_{22}) = (a_{11} + b_{11}, a_{22} + b_{22}) = \varphi((a_{ij} + b_{ij})) = \varphi(\alpha + \beta)$$

$$[\cdot] : \varphi(\alpha)\varphi(\beta) = (a_{11}, a_{22})(b_{11}, b_{22}) = (a_{11}b_{11}, a_{22}b_{22}) = \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} a_{11}b_{11} & a_{11}b_{12} + a_{12}b_{22} \\ 0 & a_{22}b_{22} \end{pmatrix} \right) = \varphi(\alpha\beta)$$

$$[\text{Onto}] : \forall (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} \right) = (m, n)$$

$$\varphi(\alpha) = (0, 0) \implies a_{11} = a_{22} = 0 \implies \alpha \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and so } \ker \varphi \subseteq \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{obviously } \varphi \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) = (0, 0) \text{ for all } b \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ so } \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \subseteq \ker \varphi$$

Thus,

$$\varphi \text{ is a surjective ring homomorphism with } \ker \varphi = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

□

Problem 11. Decide which of the following are ideals of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

- (a) $\{(a, a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- (b) $\{(2a, 2b) \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- (c) $\{(2a, 0) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$
- (d) $\{(a, -a) \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}\}$

Proof. Call the subsets of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ specified in (a), (b), (c), (d): A, B, C, D , respectively.

(a): $(1, 2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $(1, 1) \in A$ but $(1, 2)(1, 1) = (1, 1)(1, 2) = (1, 2) \notin A$, so this is not an ideal.

(b): For all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and all $(2a, 2b), (2\alpha, 2\beta) \in B$, $((2a, 2b) - (2\alpha, 2\beta)) = (2(a - \alpha), 2(b - \beta)) \in B$ and $(m, n)(2a, 2b) = (m2a, n2b) = (2(ma), 2(nb)) = (2(am), 2(bn)) = (2a, 2b)(m, n) \in B = 2\mathbb{Z} \times 2\mathbb{Z}$. This is a two-sided ideal.

(c): For all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and all $(2a, 0), (2\alpha, 0) \in C$, $((2a, 0) - (2\alpha, 0)) = (2(a - \alpha), 0) \in C$ and then $(m, n)(2a, 0) = (m2a, 0) = (2(ma), 0) = (2(am), 0) = (2a, 0)(m, n) \in C = 2\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$. This is a two-sided ideal.

(d): $(1, -1) \in D$ but $(1, -1)(1, -1) = (1, 1) \notin D$ so it's not closed under multiplication and therefore not an ideal.

□

Problem 12. The characteristic of a ring R (denoted $\text{char } R$) is the smallest $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\underbrace{1_R + \cdots + 1_R}_{n \text{ times}} = 0,$$

and if there is no such n we say the characteristic of R is 0.

Prove that an integral domain has characteristic 0 or a prime.

Proof. Let R be an integral domain and suppose $\text{Char } R = p > 0$ where p is not prime. If a ring has characteristic 1, then $1 = 0$ and the ring is $\{0\}$, which isn't an integral domain by definition. So, $1 < p = ab$ for some non-trivial divisors $1 < a, b < p$. But then $(\sum_{i=1}^a 1)(\sum_{j=1}^b 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{ab} 1 = \sum_{n=1}^p 1 = 0 \in R$ and $a = \sum_{i=1}^a 1, b = \sum_{j=1}^b 1$ are both non-zero zero-divisors in R since $a, b < \text{Char } R = p \implies (\sum_{i=1}^a 1), (\sum_{j=1}^b 1) \neq 0 \in R$, a contradiction since R is an integral domain. Therefore, if $\text{Char } R$ is non-zero, it is prime. Otherwise $\text{Char } R = 0$.

Thus,

An integral domain R has characteristic 0 or a prime $p > 0$.

□