Bias vs variance Hastie, ESL, Origitar 3 $E[\vec{\beta}^*] = E[(\vec{X}^T\vec{X})^T\vec{Y}] = \vec{\beta} + E[(\vec{X}\vec{X})^T\vec{E}] = \vec{k}$ $\vec{y} = X\vec{\beta} + \vec{\epsilon} = \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ (1) Bias: ||E[B]-B|=0 @E[(B-B)(B-B)]=E((XX) X EE X(XX)] Variance of $= (X^T X)^{-1} \sigma^2$ estimator $\left(\begin{array}{c} \hat{G}^2 = \frac{1}{N - (p+1)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2 \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\hat{G}^2\right] = J^2 \end{array}\right)$

For an estimator of of o we have in general that: $E[(\theta-\theta^*)^2] = E[\theta^2] + E(\theta^*)^2 - 2 E[\theta\theta^*] \stackrel{\mathcal{O}}{=}$ O is the true value to be estimated (>) not a random) \mathcal{L} $\theta^2 + var(\theta^*) + \mathbb{E}(\theta^*)^2 - 2 \mathbb{E}(\theta^*)$ = $var(\theta^*) + \left[\frac{\theta - \mathbb{E}(\theta^*)}{a}\right]^2$ Bins How good is an estimator is a combination of as low brig and as low variance as possible.

Least squares is best unbraved linear estimator

Bard on Sauss-Markor theorem: Let \$ \$15 define our L5 estimator such that $\hat{f}_{LS}(\vec{x}_0) = \hat{z}_0^T \cdot \hat{\beta}_{LS}$, which is unbrased: $\mathbb{E}[\hat{f}(\vec{x}_0)] = \hat{z}_0^T, \hat{\beta}$ where \$ 13 the time value, Let if be another linear estimator Gauss-Markor rays: $var\left(\hat{f}(\vec{x}_0)\right)$ As a consequence we have the followy.

As a consequence we have the followy:

Since MSE = IE [\$\figs\hat{\partial} - \psi] = ivar(\hat{\partial}) + [\psi - \text{II}]

=> LS has lowest MSE of all

when unbrased estimates

likear unbrased estimates

f bras=0, MSE = var(\hat{\partial}), etc

Quick set of pointers (feeting 3.2,3.3) 6 ganss- Markov If estimator is liver and untitled, one cannot do better than Bis large tray. zero ling my-zero biso low variance. large variance 1 (XTX) 1 15 p 77]

motivated by reducing variance and increasing interprotabilities

we some methods attempt to reduce the number of descriptors, p

1) Find a subset of descriptors that are "good".

X = (7 2, ... 2p)

1.1. Find the best subset of the parameters.

Declare the want stree of the subst: K

"Leaps and bounds"

works for up to p=50

Segrential approaches. Forward / Backward.

Forward: Add Xi one at a time choosing the descriptor that reduces the error makinally.

Backward: Stanton from the ful ls model with p parameters, chop off one by one pidding the one that increases the error minimally.

Implemented through QR decompositi

Gram-Schmidt.

X = QRQTQ =I R upper transplar Always project in orthogonal directions

2) Change the loss function. Remember our optimisation formulation:

Linear regression is least squares

given X, \overline{y} (our data), we find $\overline{\beta}^*$ from min $||\overline{y} - \chi \overline{\beta}||^2 = \min_{\overline{\beta}} |L_{LS}(\overline{\beta})$

The solution is: $\vec{\beta}'' = \text{argmin } L_{LS}(\vec{\beta}) = \vec{X} \cdot \vec{y} = (\vec{X} \cdot \vec{X}) \cdot \vec{Y} \cdot \vec{y}$

Let us introduce mother estimators which will be traised but with protentially less vanance.

2.1 Ridge regression:

Same as above but loss is:

Lidge (B) = || y-XB||^2 + > ||B||^2

The ridge politini is obtained from:

min Lidge (B) = min || y-XB||^2 + > ||B||^2

B which is equivalent to:

min $||\vec{y} - X\vec{\beta}||^2$ subject to $||\vec{\beta}||^2 \le t$

This islution can be optained explirity: