

# Basic math notations

Anon Ymous

15. September 2012

## Inhaltsverzeichnis

<b>1</b>	<b>Arrows</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Single arrows . . . . .	1
1.2	Double arrows . . . . .	1
1.3	Arrows with a semantic name . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Displaystyle and Summing</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Vectors</b>	<b>2</b>

## 1 Arrows

Arrows are used in maths a lot, but not only. We often need to insert arrow symbols in our documents, fortunately for us latex makes it easy through the math modes, and the plethora of arrow symbols available for use.

### 1.1 Single arrows

Those are single arrows:

$$x \rightarrow y$$

$$x \leftarrow y$$

$$x \leftrightarrow y$$

The negated equivalents here are (arrows with stroke):

$$x \nrightarrow y$$

$$x \nleftarrow y$$

$$x \nleftrightarrow y$$

## 1.2 Double arrows

Those are single arrows:

$$x \Rightarrow y$$

$$x \Leftarrow y$$

$$x \Leftrightarrow y$$

The negated equivalents here are (arrows with stroke):

$$x \nRightarrow y$$

$$x \nLeftarrow y$$

$$x \nLeftrightarrow y$$

## 1.3 Arrows with a semantic name

`\to` is a shorthand of `\rightarrow` except with a semantic command name. This is specially useful in math formulas:

$$U : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

`\gets` is a shorthand of `\leftarrow` except with a semantic command name. `\mapsto` is a rightwards arrow from bar, with a semantic command name.

$$x \mapsto x^3 + 1$$

## 2 Displaystyle and Summing

In order for certain notations, such as `\lim` or `\sum` to be displayed correctly inside some math environments (derived from the inline math mode), it might be convenient to wrap your formulas with the `\displaystyle` class. Doing so might cause the line to be taller, but will cause exponents and indices to be displayed correctly.

For example the sum notation inline looks like this:  $\sum_{i=0}^k x(i)$   
Whereas in display math mode looks like this:

$$\sum_{i=0}^k x(i)$$

The displaymath style in normal math mode (inline):  $\sum_{i=0}^k x(i)$

### 3 Vectors

Adding a vector notation (the arrow over a letter), is simply accomplished with the `\vec` command:

$$(\vec{i}, \vec{j})$$

However, the proportions on  $\vec{AB}$  are lost. To remedy to this problem, it's possible to use another command `\overrightarrow` at the cost of the command's semantic name.

$$\overrightarrow{AB} + \overrightarrow{BC} = \overrightarrow{AC}$$