

- 1) 50 kHz Duplex ch. 7 cell reuse (N) C = 19200 channels

a)  $SIR = \frac{Q_1 n}{i_0}$  ;  $n=4, i_0=6, N=7$

$\downarrow$   
# of co-channels in first layer

$SIR = \frac{Q_1^4}{6}$  ; where  $Q_1 = \sqrt{3N}$

$\downarrow$   
 $Q_1 = \sqrt{21}$

①  $= \frac{(\sqrt{21})^4}{6}$

$SIR = 73.5$

②  $SIR [dB] = 10 \log_{10} 73.5 = 18.66 \text{ dB (OR } 48.66 \text{ dBm)}$

- b) If we want to reduce the interference, we should increase N. (which is cluster size)

$SIR = \frac{Q_1^4}{i_0}$  ;  $Q_1 = \sqrt{3N}$  ;  $C = M \cdot S = M \cdot k \cdot N$

$\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   $\downarrow$   
S = k · N

Also, known # of cells.

$S = \frac{20.000 \text{ kHz}}{50 \text{ kHz}} = 400 \text{ channels}$

$k = \frac{S}{N} = \frac{400}{7} = 57.14 \approx 57 \text{ channels/cell}$

$M = \frac{C}{S} = \frac{19200 \text{ ch.}}{400 \text{ ch.}} = 48 \text{ clusters}$

I suggest new cluster size which is

(2) (2) (2) (2)

$12 + 12 + 12 = 36 \text{ (N=12)}$

$M' \cdot N' = M \cdot N$

$M' = \frac{48 \cdot 7}{12} = 28$

$C' = M' \cdot S' = 28 \cdot 400 = 11200$

$Q_1' = \sqrt{3 \cdot N'} = 6$

$SIR' = \frac{6^4}{6} = 216$

$SIR' [dB] = 10 \log_{10} 216 = 23.34 \text{ dB}$

$M$	$M'$
48	128
<hr/>	
$N$	$N'$
7	12
<hr/>	
$C$	$C'$
19,200	11,200
<hr/>	
$S_{12}$	$S_{12}'$
18.66	23.34

c) The capacity is decreased as you can see above. When we increase the cluster size, the area does not change. Thus, # of clusters are decreased to cover the same area, this makes the capacity lower.

2)  $C = 35$  channels  $GOS = 0.05$

In a blocked-calls cleared, we should look at erlang B table.

$$A = 30$$

$$A = U \cdot A_U = U \cdot \lambda \cdot H$$

$$U = 500 \text{ \# of Users}$$

$$A_U = A/U = 30/500 = 0.06$$

$$A_U = \lambda \cdot H = \lambda \times 1.2 \text{ minutes}$$

$$\lambda = \frac{0.06}{1.2} = 0.05 \text{ calls/min}$$

We need to find average # of calls that each user makes per hour;

$$\lambda = 0.05 \frac{\text{calls}}{\cancel{\text{min}}} \times \frac{60 \cancel{\text{min}}}{1 \text{ hour}} = 3 \text{ calls/hour}$$

3)  $D = 1 \text{ m}$ ,  $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$ ,  $P_t = 50 \text{ watt}$ ,  $h_{te} = 40$ ,  $h_{re} = 5$ ,  $d = 1 \text{ km}$

For far-field distance;  $df = \frac{2D^2}{\lambda}$ ;  $df \gg D$   
 $df \gg \lambda$

$1000 \times h_{te} \gg 30$

$10 \gg h_{re} \gg 3$

$G_{h_{re}} = 20 \log(h_{re}/3)$

$\lambda = \frac{3 \cdot 10^8 \text{ m}}{900 \cdot 10^6} \approx 0.33 \text{ m}$  (In the 4th question we found the same value.)

$df = \frac{2 \cdot (1)^2}{0.33} \approx 6.06 \text{ m}$

If  $df \gg D$  and  $df \gg \lambda$  are true, we can find the Okumura model.

$6.06 \gg 1$ ,  $6.06 \gg 0.33$   
enough, true

$P_r(d) = \frac{P_t \cdot G_T \cdot G_R \cdot \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2 \cdot d^2 \cdot L}$

we don't need that much  $\rightarrow$  true

$P_r(1 \text{ km}) = \frac{50 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot (0.33)^2}{(4\pi)^2 \cdot (1000)^2 \cdot 1} = 3.448 \times 10^{-8}$

$P_r(1 \text{ km}) [\text{dB}] = 10 \log_{10}(3.448 \times 10^{-8}) = -74.57 \text{ dB}$

$P_r(1 \text{ km}) [\text{dBm}] = -74.57 + 30 = -44.57 \text{ dBm}$

$P_t [\text{dB}] = 10 \log_{10} 50 \approx 16.99 \text{ dB}$

$P_t [\text{dBm}] \approx 46.99 \text{ dBm}$

$L_F = P_t - P_r(1 \text{ km}) = 16.99 - (-74.57) = 91.56 \text{ dB}$

$A_{mu}(f, d) = A_{mu}(900, 1 \text{ km}) \approx 19 \text{ dB}$  (from the chart)

$G(h_{te}) = 20 \log(h_{te}/200) = 20 \log(40/200) \approx -13.98 \text{ dB}$

$G(h_{re}) = 20 \log(h_{re}/3) = 20 \log(5/3) \approx 4.44 \text{ dB}$

$G_{AREA} \approx 9.5 \text{ dB}$  (In Suburban Area, it is really close to 10 in chart)

$L_{50} [\text{dB}] = L_F + A_{mu}(900, 1 \text{ km}) - G(h_{te}) - G(h_{re}) - G_{AREA}$   
 $= 91.56 + 19 - (-13.98) - 4.44 - 9.5$

$L_{50} [\text{dB}] = 110.6 \text{ dB}$

Link Budget:  $P_r [\text{dBm}] = \underline{44.57 \text{ dBm}} + 0 - \underline{110.6 \text{ dB}} = -66.03 \text{ dBm}$

$$4) \quad a) \quad \bar{r} = \frac{(0.1) \cdot 0 + 1 \cdot (2)}{(0.1) + 1} = \frac{2}{1.1} \approx 1.82$$

$$\bar{r}^2 = \frac{(0.1) \cdot 0^2 + 1 \cdot 2^2}{0.1 + 1} = \frac{4}{1.1} \approx 3.64$$

$$\rightarrow \sigma_r = \sqrt{\bar{r}^2 - (\bar{r})^2} = \sqrt{3.64 - (1.82)^2} \approx 0.57 \mu s$$

$$B_c = \frac{1}{5\sigma_r} \quad (\text{Threshold is 0.5 correlation})$$

$$B_c = \frac{1}{5 \times (0.57)} = \frac{1}{2.85} \approx 0.351 \mu s \approx 351 \text{ kHz}$$

$$B_s \ll B_c$$

and

$$B_s > B_c$$

$$200 \ll 351$$

$$200 > 351$$

We have flat fading.

Thus, this channel don't need an equalizer.

$$b) \quad f_c = 900 \text{ MHz} \rightarrow \lambda = \frac{3 \cdot 10^8}{900 \cdot 10^6} = \frac{1}{3} = 0.33 \text{ m}$$

$$f_m = \frac{120 \cdot 10^3 \cancel{\text{m}}}{0.33 \cancel{\text{m}}} \approx 101.01 \text{ Hz}$$

$$T_c = \sqrt{\frac{9}{16\pi f_m^2}} = \sqrt{\frac{9}{16 \cdot \pi \cdot (101.01)^2}} = \sqrt{1.755 \times 10^{-5}} = 4.2 \times 10^{-3} = 4.2 \text{ ms}$$

$$\boxed{T_c = 4.2 \text{ ms}}$$

$$R_b = 200 \text{ kbps}$$

$$\text{For BPSK } R_b = R_s \rightarrow R_s = 200 \text{ kbps}$$

$$T_s = \frac{1}{R_s} = \frac{1}{200 \cdot 10^3} = 5 \mu s \quad \boxed{T_s = 5 \mu s}$$

$$T_c \gg T_s \rightarrow 4.2 \text{ ms} \gg 5 \text{ ns}$$

$$4.2 \times 10^{-3} \gg 5 \cdot 10^{-6} s$$

In this reason;  
the channel is slow  
fading.