

(Abstract) Automatic schematization of biological mechanisms via alignment and abstraction of causal relations among domain specific causal pathways

Harrison Pielke-Lombardo

August 7, 2020

1 Questions and Topics

- What are potential AI applications in which humanlike concept formation, abstraction, and analogy could improve performance and make systems more robust?
- What is known in psychology and neuroscience about the mechanisms by which humans (and non-human animals) develop and use concepts, form abstractions, and make analogies? How can such mechanisms inspire AI research?
- Can gradient-descent-based systems learn to produce analogical reasoning on novel problems? What can the state-of-the-art in inductive program synthesis teach us about abstraction and reasoning?
 - How can abstraction and analogy-making abilities in AI systems be assessed? What can we do to ensure that performance on a test will guarantee generalization?
- Can we discover general computational mechanisms for abstraction and analogy by focusing on idealized microdomains, or could the real challenges lie in interfacing analogical mechanisms with a vast array of commonsense knowledge?
- If we want machines to creatively invent wholly new theories from data, like scientists do, what roles would abstraction, analogy, and strong generalization play?

2 Track

- ☐ AI for Social Good
- ☐ Artificial Intelligence in Government and Public Sector
- ☐ Cognitive Systems for Anticipatory Thinking
- ☒ Conceptual Abstraction and Analogy in Natural and Artificial Intelligence
- ☐ Physics-guided AI to Accelerate Scientific Discovery
- ☐ Trust & Explainability in Artificial Intelligence for Human-Robot Interaction (AI-HRI)

3 Submission preference: poster

4 Abstract

One of the main goals of biomedical research is to explain the biological phenomena involved in human diseases and their interventions, yet computational methods stop short of providing human-like explanations. Presented here is an automatic method based on analogical reasoning for generating causal schema to support the explanation of biological phenomena. The approach used offers the potential for systematic, scalable, and hierarchical mechanism schematization which could be used for mechanism retrieval, identification, evaluation, and inference tasks.

The transmission and transference of ideas among the many domains of biomedical research can be difficult. It is diverse, with many areas of specialty each with their own names, jargon, questions, and interests. Though there is overlap among the processes and pathways which are relevant to each area of specialty, the context in which they are studied can vary by the organism, chemical perturbation, tissue type, genetic variant, or disease. Common among them, however, is the pursuit mechanistic understanding.

Analogical reasoning can be used to align causal mechanisms to produce human-like explanations in the form of mechanism schema. Analogies such as "the heart is a pump", "the lock and key enzyme-substrate hypothesis", and "unzipping DNA by helicase" are often used to communicate biological mechanisms because they relate domain specific knowledge with widely understood phenomena to elucidate the underlying causal pathways. Formal representations of alignments across related biological mechanisms could achieve the same effect. The method for producing such alignments is as follows.

Domain specific causal knowledge of biological mechanisms which are formally represented are structurally aligned to produce formal representations of mechanism schema. The alignment of subject mechanisms uses both causal and non-causal knowledge, to score all possible causal relationships among the mechanisms. Sufficiently high scoring relationships are then used to construct the resulting mechanism schema. Entities and activities which are not shared among the mechanisms are resolved by identifying proxy nodes and their score is weighted by the semantic distances between the proxy and its target. Chains of causal relations are generalized during the alignment as well.

Initial results from this mechanism schematization strategy show that knowledge can be inferred across domain specific mechanisms. For example, the ability for the breast cancer drug tamoxifen to inhibit the growth of estrogen dependent breast cancer can be inferred by comparing a minimal subset of knowledge about breast cancer to knowledge about LHRH inhibitor's ability to inhibit testosterone dependent prostate cancer. Further evaluation will include hierarchical clustering of resulting mechanism schema constructed from REACTOME pathways to compare to the hierarchical characterization of biological processes found in the Gene Ontology's Biological Process hierarchy.

Analogy schematization has a number of potential applications from retrieval and identification, to comparison and transformation, and even for causal inference. For a field such as biology, scalable and systematic methods to schematize mechanisms could improve the transfer of knowledge and improve explanations critical to improving the treatments of complex diseases.

5 Acknowledgments

References