Table 1: Number of solutions and quality of the best solution (ARI) returned HSS and consensus applied to each region of the Pareto Front.

Dataset	Number of Solutions		ARI			
	$\Pi_C$	HSS/Consenso	STR	$\Pi_C$	HSS	Consenso
Aggregation	74	10	$E_1$	0.99	0.99	$0.96 \pm 0.01$
chainlink	27	5	$E_1$	1	1	$1.00 \pm 0.00$
Compound	62	8	$E_1$	0.85	0.85	$0.81 \pm 0.01$
			$E_1$	1	1	$1.00 \pm 0.01$
ds2c2sc13	147	18	$E_2$	1	1	$0.80 \pm 0.02$
			$E_3$	1	0.87	$1.00 \pm 0.05$
dyrskjot	25	7	$E_1$	0.55	0.54	$0.47 \pm 0.02$
eTongueSugar	25	3	$E_1$	0.05	0.03	$0.06 \pm 0.00$
			$E_2$	0.7	0.53	$0.62 \pm 0.00$
			$E_1$	0.67	0.65	$0.67 \pm 0.01$
glass	55	9	$E_2$	0.56	0.56	$0.51 \pm 0.02$
			$E_3$	0.26	0.26	$0.24 \pm 0.00$
golub	49	9	$E_1$	0.87	0.87	$0.77 \pm 0.02$
golub	_	-	$E_2$	0.94	0.61	$0.91 \pm 0.03$
iris	37	6	$E_1$	0.82	0.76	$0.74 \pm 0.00$
leukemiaRN	66	6	$E_1$	0.33	0.27	$0.29 \pm 0.01$
Todromian		· ·	$E_2$	0.77	0.75	$0.74 \pm 0.01$
			$E_1$	0.67	0.65	$0.78 \pm 0.05$
monkey	76	7	$E_2$	0.83	0.7	$0.67 \pm 0.00$
			$E_3$	0.65	0.58	$0.59 \pm 0.04$
R15	154	23	$E_1$	0.99	0.99	$0.99 \pm 0.00$
spiral	33	6	$E_1$	1	0.92	$1.00 \pm 0.00$
spiralsquare	85	15	$E_1$	0.67	0.67	$0.56 \pm 0.00$
			$E_2$	1	1	$1.00 \pm 0.00$
twoDiamonds	24	4	$E_1$	1	1	$1.00 \pm 0.00$
Average	62.6	9.07		0.77	0.72	0.73