

Acyclic digraphs and an algebraic construction of cospectral digraphs

Tung T. Nguyen

October 7th, 2021

2021 Fields Undergraduate Summer Research Program

Team members: Anna Krokhine, Chun Hei Lam, Ton Meesena, William Jones, Jan Minac, Jon Merzel, Lyle Muller, Tung Nguyen

Social network



Photo credit: Analytics Vidhya

What is a directed graph?

A directed graph is an ordered pair $G = (V, E)$ where

- V is a finite set whose elements are called vertices.

What is a directed graph?

A directed graph is an ordered pair $G = (V, E)$ where

- V is a finite set whose elements are called vertices.
- E is a set of directed edges between vertices.

What is a directed graph?

A directed graph is an ordered pair $G = (V, E)$ where

- V is a finite set whose elements are called vertices.
- E is a set of directed edges between vertices.

Suppose the vertex set of G is $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. A convenient way to represent G is to use its adjacency matrix $A = (A_{ij})$ where

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } v_i \rightarrow v_j \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Spectrum of a directed graph

- The spectrum of G , denoted by $\text{Spec}(G)$, is the set of all eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A .

Spectrum of a directed graph

- The spectrum of G , denoted by $\text{Spec}(G)$, is the set of all eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A . Equivalently, it is the set of all roots of the characteristic polynomial $p_A(t)$ of A where

$$p_A(t) = \det(tI_n - A).$$

Spectrum of a directed graph

- The spectrum of G , denoted by $\text{Spec}(G)$, is the set of all eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A . Equivalently, it is the set of all roots of the characteristic polynomial $p_A(t)$ of A where

$$p_A(t) = \det(tI_n - A).$$

- Two graphs are called cospectral if they have the same spectrum.


Spectrum of a directed graph

- The spectrum of G , denoted by $\text{Spec}(G)$, is the set of all eigenvalues of its adjacency matrix A . Equivalently, it is the set of all roots of the characteristic polynomial $p_A(t)$ of A where

$$p_A(t) = \det(tI_n - A).$$

- Two graphs are called cospectral if they have the same spectrum.
- While two cospectral graphs may not be isomorphic, they share many common properties.

An example



$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\lambda_A(x) = x^6 - 9x^4 - 4x^3 + 12x^2 \\ = x^2(x-3)(x-1)(x+2)^2$$

So eigenvalues are 0, 1, -2, 3.

What are the spectrum of graphs good for?

Graphs play a fundamental roles in many real-life applications.

Some of them are

What are the spectrum of graphs good for?

Graphs play a fundamental roles in many real-life applications.

Some of them are

- Spectral graph theory is important for many search algorithms such as the Google's famous PageRank algorithm.

What are the spectrum of graphs good for?

Graphs play a fundamental roles in many real-life applications.

Some of them are

- Spectral graph theory is important for many search algorithms such as the Google's famous PageRank algorithm.
- Spectral graph theory has been widely used to analyze diverse data sets in the fields of computational chemistry and bioinformatics.

What are the spectrum of graphs good for?

Graphs play a fundamental roles in many real-life applications.

Some of them are

- Spectral graph theory is important for many search algorithms such as the Google's famous PageRank algorithm.
- Spectral graph theory has been widely used to analyze diverse data sets in the fields of computational chemistry and bioinformatics.
- Many spectral clustering techniques use the spectrum to perform dimensionality reduction on big data sets.

What are the spectrum of graphs good for?

Graphs play a fundamental roles in many real-life applications.

Some of them are

- Spectral graph theory is important for many search algorithms such as the Google's famous PageRank algorithm.
- Spectral graph theory has been widely used to analyze diverse data sets in the fields of computational chemistry and bioinformatics.
- Many spectral clustering techniques use the spectrum to perform dimensionality reduction on big data sets.
- And much more!

How did our journey start?

- In early 2021, Dr. Muller and Dr. Minac kindly explained to me some problems that they had been working on. One of these problems was the following: “Suppose we remove an edge from a complete graph K_n , how does the spectrum change?”

How did our journey start?

- In early 2021, Dr. Muller and Dr. Minac kindly explained to me some problems that they had been working on. One of these problems was the following: “Suppose we remove an edge from a complete graph K_n , how does the spectrum change?”
- The main theme of these problems is motivated by the operator-based approach to random graph theory. This approach has been pioneered by Dr. Muller and Dr. Rudolph in their study of small-world networks.

How did our journey start?

- In early 2021, Dr. Muller and Dr. Minac kindly explained to me some problems that they had been working on. One of these problems was the following: “Suppose we remove an edge from a complete graph K_n , how does the spectrum change?”
- The main theme of these problems is motivated by the operator-based approach to random graph theory. This approach has been pioneered by Dr. Muller and Dr. Rudolph in their study of small-world networks.
- Through numerous experiments using computational software, we found several interesting patterns.

How did our journey start?

- In early 2021, Dr. Muller and Dr. Minac kindly explained to me some problems that they had been working on. One of these problems was the following: “Suppose we remove an edge from a complete graph K_n , how does the spectrum change?”
- The main theme of these problems is motivated by the operator-based approach to random graph theory. This approach has been pioneered by Dr. Muller and Dr. Rudolph in their study of small-world networks.
- Through numerous experiments using computational software, we found several interesting patterns.
- During the summer of 2021, the FURSP students made more surprising discoveries.

Spectrum of complete digraphs

Let K_n be the complete digraph with n nodes. Without loss of generality, we assume that the nodes of K_n are

$$V(K_n) = [n - 1] = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

Spectrum of complete digraphs

Let K_n be the complete digraph with n nodes. Without loss of generality, we assume that the nodes of K_n are

$$V(K_n) = [n - 1] = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

The edges of K_n are

$$E(K_n) = \{(i, j) | (i, j) \in [n - 1], i \neq j\}.$$

Spectrum of complete digraphs

Let K_n be the complete digraph with n nodes. Without loss of generality, we assume that the nodes of K_n are

$$V(K_n) = [n - 1] = \{0, 1, \dots, n - 1\}.$$

The edges of K_n are

$$E(K_n) = \{(i, j) | (i, j) \in [n - 1], i \neq j\}.$$

The adjacency matrix of K_n is of the form

$$A_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1.

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, the rank of $A_n + I_n$ is 1.

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, the rank of $A_n + I_n$ is 1. We conclude that $\lambda = -1$ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity at least $n - 1$.

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, the rank of $A_n + I_n$ is 1. We conclude that $\lambda = -1$ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity at least $n - 1$. Suppose that the remaining eigenvalue is λ_n .

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, the rank of $A_n + I_n$ is 1. We conclude that $\lambda = -1$ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity at least $n - 1$. Suppose that the remaining eigenvalue is λ_n . We have

$$\lambda + (n - 1)(-1) = \text{Tr}(A_n) = 0.$$

Therefore $\lambda_n = n - 1$.

Spectrum of complete digraphs

We see that $A_n + I_n$ is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. In particular, the rank of $A_n + I_n$ is 1. We conclude that $\lambda = -1$ is an eigenvalue of A with multiplicity at least $n - 1$. Suppose that the remaining eigenvalue is λ_n . We have

$$\lambda + (n - 1)(-1) = \text{Tr}(A_n) = 0.$$

Therefore $\lambda_n = n - 1$. In summary, we have

Proposition

Let A_n be the adjacency matrix of K_n . Then the eigenvalues of A_n are -1 (with multiplicity $n - 1$) and $n - 1$ (with multiplicity 1). In particular, the characteristic polynomial of A_n is

$$p_{A_n}(t) = (t + 1)^{n-1}(t - n + 1).$$

What happens if we remove exactly one edge?

Let H_n be the graph obtained from K_n by removing one edge, say the edge $(0, 1)$.

What happens if we remove exactly one edge?

Let H_n be the graph obtained from K_n by removing one edge, say the edge $(0, 1)$. The adjacency matrix of H_n is given by

$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boxed{0} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

What happens if we remove exactly one edge?

Let H_n be the graph obtained from K_n by removing one edge, say the edge $(0, 1)$. The adjacency matrix of H_n is given by


$$B_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boxed{0} & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proposition

The eigenvalues of B_n are -1 (with multiplicity $n - 2$), $\lambda_{1,n}$ (with multiplicity 1), and $\lambda_{2,n}$ (with multiplicity 1). Here $\lambda_{1,n}$ and $\lambda_{2,n}$ are given by


$$\lambda_{i,n} = \frac{(n - 2) \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 4}}{2}, i = 1, 2.$$

When we remove two edges, there are more configurations. Up to isomorphisms, we have the following types.




(a) Type 1


(b) Type 2



(c) Type 3



(d) Type 4



(e) Type 5

Complete digraphs with two edges removed: A quick summary

There are 3 clusters for the spectrum of K_n with two edges removed. They are summarized in the following table.

Cluster	Type of J_n	Spectrum of J_n
1	1	$-1, 0, \frac{(n-3) \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 2n - 7}}{2}$
2	2	$-1, \lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \lambda_{3,n}$
3	3, 4, 5	$-1, \frac{(n-2) \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 8}}{2}$

Complete digraphs with two edges removed: A quick summary

There are 3 clusters for the spectrum of K_n with two edges removed. They are summarized in the following table.

Cluster	Type of J_n	Spectrum of J_n
1	1	$-1, 0, \frac{(n-3) \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 2n - 7}}{2}$
2	2	$-1, \lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \lambda_{3,n}$
3	3, 4, 5	$-1, \frac{(n-2) \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 8}}{2}$

It is quite surprising to see that Type 3 and Type 5 graphs are cospectral.

Complete digraphs with two edges removed: A quick summary

There are 3 clusters for the spectrum of K_n with two edges removed. They are summarized in the following table.

Cluster	Type of J_n	Spectrum of J_n
1	1	$-1, 0, \frac{(n-3) \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 2n - 7}}{2}$
2	2	$-1, \lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \lambda_{3,n}$
3	3, 4, 5	$-1, \frac{(n-2) \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 8}}{2}$

It is quite surprising to see that Type 3 and Type 5 graphs are cospectral.

Question

Can we generalize this special observation to a more general case?

Complete digraphs with two edges removed: A quick summary

There are 3 clusters for the spectrum of K_n with two edges removed. They are summarized in the following table.

Cluster	Type of J_n	Spectrum of J_n
1	1	$-1, 0, \frac{(n-3) \pm \sqrt{n^2 + 2n - 7}}{2}$
2	2	$-1, \lambda_{1,n}, \lambda_{2,n}, \lambda_{3,n}$
3	3, 4, 5	$-1, \frac{(n-2) \pm \sqrt{n^2 - 8}}{2}$

It is quite surprising to see that Type 3 and Type 5 graphs are cospectral.


Question

Can we generalize this special observation to a more general case?

FURSP students: YES!

An concrete experiment

Suppose that we can now remove 3 edges. From the previous experience, it seems natural to consider the following types.



Let take $N = 6$. The adjacency matrix of the first type of graph is

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Matlab, we can see that the characteristics polynomial of A_1 is

$$p_{A_1}(x) = (x + 1)^4(x^2 - 4x - 2).$$

The adjacency matrix of the second type of graph is

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boxed{0} & \boxed{0} & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \boxed{0} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Matlab, we again see that

$$p_{A_2}(x) = (x + 1)^4(x^2 - 4x - 2).$$

Finally, the adjacency matrix of the third type of graph is given by

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boxed{0} & \boxed{0} & \boxed{0} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Matlab, we see that

$$p_{A_3}(x) = (x + 1)^4(x^2 - 4x - 2).$$

Finally, the adjacency matrix of the third type of graph is given by

$$A_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \boxed{0} & \boxed{0} & \boxed{0} & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Matlab, we see that

$$p_{A_3}(x) = (x + 1)^4(x^2 - 4x - 2).$$

We see that in all these cases, the resulting graphs are cospectral!
Let us find an explanation for this phenomenon.

Some notations from graph theory

- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .

Some notations from graph theory

- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .
- The length of a walk is its number of edges.

Some notations from graph theory

- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .
- The length of a walk is its number of edges.
- A (v_i, v_j) -walk is a walk starting at vertex v_i and ending at vertex v_j .

Some notations from graph theory

- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .
- The length of a walk is its number of edges.
- A (v_i, v_j) -walk is a walk starting at vertex v_i and ending at vertex v_j .
- A walk is said to be closed if its endpoints are the same.


Some notations from graph theory

- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .
- The length of a walk is its number of edges.
- A (v_i, v_j) -walk is a walk starting at vertex v_i and ending at vertex v_j .
- A walk is said to be closed if its endpoints are the same.
- A graph G is called acyclic if it has no closed walk.

Some notations from graph theory


- A walk is a sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, e_k, v_k$ of graph vertices v_i and graph edges e_i such that for $1 \leq i \leq k$, the edge e_i has endpoints v_{i-1} and v_i .
- The length of a walk is its number of edges.
- A (v_i, v_j) -walk is a walk starting at vertex v_i and ending at vertex v_j .
- A walk is said to be closed if its endpoints are the same.
- A graph G is called acyclic if it has no closed walk.
- The complement of a graph G , often denoted by G^c , is a graph with the same vertices as G such that two distinct vertices of G^c are adjacent if and only if they are not adjacent in G .

An example




- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ is a walk of length 5.

An example



- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ is a walk of length 5.
- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ is a closed walk of length 4.

An example



- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3$ is a walk of length 5.
- $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 1$ is a closed walk of length 4.

Some notations from graph theory

We have the following observation.

Proposition

The number of (v_i, v_j) -walks of length k is exactly $(A^k)_{ij}$.

Some notations from graph theory

We have the following observation.

Proposition

The number of (v_i, v_j) -walks of length k is exactly $(A^k)_{ij}$.

We explain the proof when $k = 2$. We have

$$(A^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} A_{kj}.$$

Some notations from graph theory

We have the following observation.

Proposition

The number of (v_i, v_j) -walks of length k is exactly $(A^k)_{ij}$.

We explain the proof when $k = 2$. We have

$$(A^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} A_{kj}.$$

Note that $A_{ik} A_{kj} = 1$ if and only if $A_{ik} = A_{kj} = 1$. This is equivalent to having the walk $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$ (this walk has length 2).

Some notations from graph theory

We have the following observation.

Proposition

The number of (v_i, v_j) -walks of length k is exactly $(A^k)_{ij}$.

We explain the proof when $k = 2$. We have

$$(A^2)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{ik} A_{kj}.$$

Note that $A_{ik} A_{kj} = 1$ if and only if $A_{ik} = A_{kj} = 1$. This is equivalent to having the walk $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$ (this walk has length 2). The general case be proved by induction.

Some notations from graph theory

Here are some corollaries of the previous proposition.

Corollary

The number of walks of length k is

$$S(A^k) = \sum_{i,j} (A^k)_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_n A^k \mathbb{1}_n^t.$$

where $\mathbb{1}_n = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$.

Some notations from graph theory

Here are some corollaries of the previous proposition.

Corollary

The number of walks of length k is

$$S(A^k) = \sum_{i,j} (A^k)_{ij} = \mathbb{1}_n A^k \mathbb{1}_n^t.$$

where $\mathbb{1}_n = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$.

Corollary

The number of closed walks of length k is $\text{Tr}(A^k)$.

Spectrum and closed walk structure of a graph

Suppose that $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ then

$$\text{Tr}(A^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k.$$

Therefore, the spectrum of G determines its closed walk structure.

Spectrum and closed walk structure of a graph

Suppose that $\text{Spec}(A) = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ then

$$\text{Tr}(A^k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^k.$$

Therefore, the spectrum of G determines its closed walk structure.
The converse is also true

Proposition

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of K_n with adjacency matrices A_1, A_2 respectively. Suppose that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\text{Tr}(A_1^k) = \text{Tr}(A_2^k).$$

Then G_1, G_2 are cospectral.

The first theorem

Theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of the complete digraph K_n . Let G_1^c and G_2^c be their complements. Suppose that for all $k \geq 0$, G_1^c and G_2^c have the same number of walks and closed walks length k , then G_1 and G_2 are cospectral.

The first theorem

Theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of the complete digraph K_n . Let G_1^c and G_2^c be their complements. Suppose that for all $k \geq 0$, G_1^c and G_2^c have the same number of walks and closed walks length k , then G_1 and G_2 are cospectral.

We have three proofs for this theorem.

- When G_1^c and G_2^c are acyclic, we can use the induced topological ordering on the set of vertices to make explicit calculations with minors.

The first theorem

Theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of the complete digraph K_n . Let G_1^c and G_2^c be their complements. Suppose that for all $k \geq 0$, G_1^c and G_2^c have the same number of walks and closed walks length k , then G_1 and G_2 are cospectral.

We have three proofs for this theorem.

- When G_1^c and G_2^c are acyclic, we can use the induced topological ordering on the set of vertices to make explicit calculations with minors.
- The second and third proofs use tools from matrix algebra and generating functions.

A concrete example

Let k, n be two positive integers. We start with the complete digraph K_n .

A concrete example


Let k, n be two positive integers. We start with the complete digraph K_n . Let (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) be an r -tuple of positive integers such that $k = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i$.

A concrete example

Let k, n be two positive integers. We start with the complete digraph K_n . Let (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) be an r -tuple of positive integers such that $k = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i$. We choose r vertices in K_n , say v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r .

A concrete example

Let k, n be two positive integers. We start with the complete digraph K_n . Let (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) be an r -tuple of positive integers such that $k = \sum_{i=1}^r k_i$. We choose r vertices in K_n , say v_1, v_2, \dots, v_r . For a vertex v_i , we remove k_i edges having v_i as the initial vertex. We require that all removed edges are independent, namely they pairwisely do not share a terminal vertex.



A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix.

A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix. We note that

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ is acyclic. Therefore

$$\text{Spec}(G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}.$$

A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix. We note that

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ is acyclic. Therefore

$$\text{Spec}(G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}.$$

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ has exactly k walks of length 1.

A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix. We note that

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ is acyclic. Therefore

$$\text{Spec}(G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}.$$

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ has exactly k walks of length 1.
- It has no walks of length ≥ 2 .

A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix. We note that

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ is acyclic. Therefore

$$\text{Spec}(G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}.$$

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ has exactly k walks of length 1.
- It has no walks of length ≥ 2 .

Therefore, by the first theorem

Corollary

For all choices of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) , G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are pairwisely cospectral. Their common characteristic polynomial is

$$p(t) = (t + 1)^{n-2}[t^2 - (n - 2)t - (n - k - 1)].$$

A concrete example

Let us denote by G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} the resulting graph and A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} its adjacency matrix. We note that

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ is acyclic. Therefore

$$\text{Spec}(G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c) = \{0, 0, \dots, 0\}.$$

- $G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}^c$ has exactly k walks of length 1.
- It has no walks of length ≥ 2 .

Therefore, by the first theorem

Corollary

For all choices of (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) , G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are pairwisely cospectral. Their common characteristic polynomial is

$$p(t) = (t + 1)^{n-2}[t^2 - (n - 2)t - (n - k - 1)].$$

Proof of the first main theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of K_n and G_1^c, G_2^c be their complements. Suppose the adjacency matrices of G_1^c and G_2^c are A_1, A_2 respectively.

Proof of the first main theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of K_n and G_1^c, G_2^c be their complements. Suppose the adjacency matrices of G_1^c and G_2^c are A_1, A_2 respectively. Then the adjacency matrices of G_1, G_2 are $J_n - I_n - A_1$ and $J_n - I_n - A_2$ respectively where J_n is the matrix with all entries equal to 1.

Proof of the first main theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of K_n and G_1^c, G_2^c be their complements. Suppose the adjacency matrices of G_1^c and G_2^c are A_1, A_2 respectively. Then the adjacency matrices of G_1, G_2 are $J_n - I_n - A_1$ and $J_n - I_n - A_2$ respectively where J_n is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. By the assumptions, we have for all $k \geq 1$

1. $S(A_1^k) = S(A_2^k)$.
2. $\text{Tr}(A_1^k) = \text{Tr}(A_2^k)$.

Proof of the first main theorem

Let G_1, G_2 be two subgraphs of K_n and G_1^c, G_2^c be their complements. Suppose the adjacency matrices of G_1^c and G_2^c are A_1, A_2 respectively. Then the adjacency matrices of G_1, G_2 are $J_n - I_n - A_1$ and $J_n - I_n - A_2$ respectively where J_n is the matrix with all entries equal to 1. By the assumptions, we have for all $k \geq 1$

1. $S(A_1^k) = S(A_2^k)$.
2. $\text{Tr}(A_1^k) = \text{Tr}(A_2^k)$.

To show that G_1, G_2 are cospectral, it is enough to show that $J_n - A_1$ and $J_n - A_2$ are cospectral. It is sufficient to show that

$$\text{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^k) = \text{Tr}((J_n - A_2)^k), \forall k \geq 1.$$

Let us examine some special cases.

Let us examine some special cases. For example, let us consider the case $k = 1$

$$\text{Tr}(J_n - A_1) = \text{Tr}(J_n) - \text{Tr}(A_1) = \text{Tr}(J_n) - \text{Tr}(A_2) = \text{Tr}(J_n - A_2).$$

Let us examine some special cases. For example, let us consider the case $k = 1$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_2).$$

Let us consider the case $k = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^2) &= \mathrm{Tr}(J_n^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1 J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2) \\ &= n^2 - S(A_1) - S(A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) = S(A_1)$.

Let us examine some special cases. For example, let us consider the case $k = 1$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_2).$$

Let us consider the case $k = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^2) &= \mathrm{Tr}(J_n^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1 J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2) \\ &= n^2 - S(A_1) - S(A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) = S(A_1)$. By the assumptions, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^2) = \mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_2)^2).$$

Let us examine some special cases. For example, let us consider the case $k = 1$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_2) = \mathrm{Tr}(J_n - A_2).$$

Let us consider the case $k = 2$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^2) &= \mathrm{Tr}(J_n^2) - \mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1 J_n) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2) \\ &= n^2 - S(A_1) - S(A_1) - \mathrm{Tr}(A_1^2).\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $\mathrm{Tr}(J_n A_1) = S(A_1)$. By the assumptions, we conclude that

$$\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^2) = \mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_2)^2).$$

By a similar argument, we can see that for all $k \geq 1$

$$\mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_1)^k) = \mathrm{Tr}((J_n - A_2)^k), \forall k \geq 1.$$

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral.

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} .

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} . For a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer s we denote by $J_{\lambda,s}$ the Jordan block of size $s \times s$ with λ on the main diagonal.

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} . For a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer s we denote by $J_{\lambda,s}$ the Jordan block of size $s \times s$ with λ on the main diagonal.

Proposition

The Jordan form of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is described as follow

- The spectrum of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is -1 (with multiplicity $n - 2$), λ_1 (with multiplicity 1) and λ_2 (with multiplicity 1).

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} . For a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer s we denote by $J_{\lambda,s}$ the Jordan block of size $s \times s$ with λ on the main diagonal.

Proposition

The Jordan form of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is described as follow

- The spectrum of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is -1 (with multiplicity $n - 2$), λ_1 (with multiplicity 1) and λ_2 (with multiplicity 1).
- The number of Jordan blocks $J_{-1,2}$ is equal to $r - 1$.

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} . For a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer s we denote by $J_{\lambda,s}$ the Jordan block of size $s \times s$ with λ on the main diagonal.

Proposition

The Jordan form of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is described as follow

- The spectrum of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is -1 (with multiplicity $n - 2$), λ_1 (with multiplicity 1) and λ_2 (with multiplicity 1).
- The number of Jordan blocks $J_{-1,2}$ is equal to $r - 1$.
- The number of Jordan block $J_{-1,1}$ is $n - 2r$.

Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r}

We know that as long as $k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_r = k$, the resulting graphs G_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} are cospectral. The next theorem provides a more precise structure of the Jordan forms of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} . For a complex number $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and a positive integer s we denote by $J_{\lambda,s}$ the Jordan block of size $s \times s$ with λ on the main diagonal.

Proposition

The Jordan form of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is described as follow

- The spectrum of A_{n,k_1,k_2,\dots,k_r} is -1 (with multiplicity $n - 2$), λ_1 (with multiplicity 1) and λ_2 (with multiplicity 1).
- The number of Jordan blocks $J_{-1,2}$ is equal to $r - 1$.
- The number of Jordan block $J_{-1,1}$ is $n - 2r$.
- The number of Jordan blocks $J_{\lambda_i,1}$ is 1 for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$.

The second Theorem

Let G be a subgraph of K_n . Let us assume further that G^c is acyclic. Let A be the adjacency matrix of G . The next theorem describes the characteristics polynomial of A explicitly.

The second Theorem

Let G be a subgraph of K_n . Let us assume further that G^c is acyclic. Let A be the adjacency matrix of G . The next theorem describes the characteristics polynomial of A explicitly.

Theorem

The characteristic polynomial of A is given by

$$p_A(t) = (t + 1)^n - a_1(t + 1)^{n-1} + a_2(t + 1)^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n a_n$$

where a_{k+1} is the number of walks of length k in G^c for $0 \leq k \leq N - 1$.

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .
- The number of walks of length 1 is 3.

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .
- The number of walks of length 1 is 3.
- The number of walks of length 2 is 2.

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .
- The number of walks of length 1 is 3.
- The number of walks of length 2 is 2.
- The number of walks of length 3 is 1

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .
- The number of walks of length 1 is 3.
- The number of walks of length 2 is 2.
- The number of walks of length 3 is 1
- There are no walks of length k for $k \geq 4$.

An example

Suppose G is a subgraph of K_n such that G^c is the subgraph of K_n with the following directed edges

$$0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3.$$

We can see that

- The number of walks of length 0 is n .
- The number of walks of length 1 is 3.
- The number of walks of length 2 is 2.
- The number of walks of length 3 is 1
- There are no walks of length k for $k \geq 4$.

By the second theorem, the characteristic polynomial G is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(t) &= (t+1)^n - n(t+1)^{n-1} + 3(t+1)^{n-2} - 2(t+1)^{n-3} + (t+1)^{n-4} \\ &= (t+1)^{n-4}[(t+1)^4 - n(t+1)^3 + 3(t+1)^2 - 2(t+1) + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Some ongoing projects

In this work, we focus on the spectrum of graphs obtained by removing acyclic subgraphs of K_n . We can ask for other types of edge-removal. For example

- What if we remove a directed cycle from K_n ?

Some ongoing projects

In this work, we focus on the spectrum of graphs obtained by removing acyclic subgraphs of K_n . We can ask for other types of edge-removal. For example

- What if we remove a directed cycle from K_n ?
- What if we remove a clique from K_n ?

Some ongoing projects

In this work, we focus on the spectrum of graphs obtained by removing acyclic subgraphs of K_n . We can ask for other types of edge-removal. For example

- What if we remove a directed cycle from K_n ?
- What if we remove a clique from K_n ?

These types of questions have opened great opportunities to study spectral graph theory using tools and ideas from representation theory, matrix algebra, and discrete Fourier transforms.

Some references

-  P. Van Mieghem, Graph Spectra for Complex Networks, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
-  Ján Mináč, Newton's Identities Once Again!, The American Mathematical Monthly Vol. 110, No. 3 (Mar., 2003), pp. 232-234.
-  M. Rudolph-Lilith, L. Muller, Algebraic approach to small-world network models, Phys. Rev. E 89, 2014.
-  A. Terras, Zeta functions of graphs: a stroll through the garden Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 128, Cambridge University Press, 2011.

Thank you

